

CENSUS OF INDIA

Paper No. 2

1955



**Sample Census of
Births and Deaths—1952-53
India**

Price Rs. Two or Three sh.

17109. 3.9 80.

CONTENTS

Part I—REPORT

	<i>Pages</i>
I .. Introduction	iii—iv
II .. Organization of the Operations	iv—v
III .. Simultaneous Revision of National Register of Citizens and Electoral Rolls	v
IV .. Commentary on the Statistics	v—xii

Part II—STATE REPORTS AND TABLES

1. .. Uttar Pradesh, Tables I to VIII	5—20
2. .. Bihar, Tables I to VIII	21—38
3. .. Assam, Manipur & Tripura, Reports and Tables I to VIII	39—78
4. .. Madras & Coorg, Reports & Tables I to VIII	79—151
5. .. Travancore-Cochin, Tables I to VIII	153—167
6. .. Bombay, Saurashtra & Kutch, Reports & Tables I to VIII	169—224
7. .. Madhya Pradesh, Reports & Tables I to VIII	225—256
8. .. Madhya Bharat (1952), Reports & Tables I to VIII	257—284
.. .. (1953), Tables I to VIII	285—297
9. .. Vindhya Pradesh, Reports & Tables I to VIII]	299—318
10. .. Rajasthan, Reports & Tables I to VIII	319—343
11. .. Punjab, Pepsu, Himachal Pradesh & Bilaspur, Reports & Tables I to VIII	345—389
12. .. Ajmer, Tables I to VIII	391—403

Part III—SUBSIDIARY TABLES

1. .. Coverage of Sample	406—407
2. .. Size of Household at the Sample Census and Census, 1951	408
3. .. Size of Households by Economic Groups at the Sample Census	409
4. .. Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Economic Groups at the Sample Census and Census, 1951	410—411
5. .. Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups in Sample Census and Census, 1951	412—427
6. .. Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951	428—443
7. .. Sex Ratio at the Sample Census and 1951 Census	445
8. .. Birth Rates	446—447
9. .. Average Maternal Age at the Various Birth Orders	448—449
10. .. Child Birth Indices	450—451
11. .. Child Survival and Loss Indices	452—455
12. .. Percentage Births in the Maternal Age Periods	456—457
13. .. Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births	458—472
14. .. Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders	473—474
15. .. Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders by Maternal Age Periods	475—491
16. .. Birth Rate Specific for Age of the Mother	492—493
17. .. Birth Rates by Order of Birth	494—495
18. .. Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order in the Various Maternal Age Periods	497—513
19. .. Death Rates	514—515
20. .. Death Rate Specific for Age and Sex at the Sample Census	516—527

APPENDICES

Appendix A—Copy of letter no. 4/22/52-RG, dated the 3rd March, 1952, from the Registrar General, India, Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi, to the Chief Secretaries of all Part A and Part B States (except Jammu and Kashmir) and Chief Commissioners of all Part C States.	531—537
Appendix B—Household verification Slip, Birth Slip and Death Slip.	539—540

PART I

REPORT

I—Introduction

1. The registration of Births and Deaths is very defective in this country and the problem of improving it has been under active consideration of the Government of India for sometime past. The Bhore Committee (1946), which made a comprehensive survey of the whole field of public health, also devoted considerable attention to "Vital Statistics" and made a series of inter-related recommendations on the improvement of registration of Births and Deaths. The recommendations of the Bhore Committee were considered at a Conference of Health Ministers in 1948, who appointed an expert Committee of officials called the "Vital Statistics Committee" to further examine them in detail. This Committee endorsed the recommendations of the Bhore Committee with certain modifications. In May, 1949, the Government of India decided that they should initiate steps forthwith for "developing and improving the systematic collection of statistics bearing upon the size and growth of population" and for this purpose they established a single organization at the Centre in the Ministry of Home Affairs under a Registrar General and *ex-officio* Census Commissioner to deal with population statistics including vital statistics and census. The Government of India also constituted an Advisory Committee to advise the Registrar General in technical and scientific matters.

2. In pursuance of the above decision Shri M.W.M. Yeatts, who was then Census Commissioner, was appointed as Registrar General and *ex-officio* Census Commissioner. He took ill soon after and was succeeded by Shri R. A. Gopalaswami in November, 1949. Shri Gopalaswami applied himself to the task of giving a practical shape to the recommendations of the Vital Statistics Committee and the Bhore Committee as soon as he was free from the urgent work connected with the organization of 1951 census. He prepared an elaborate scheme, which was considered and approved by the Population Advisory Committee in October, 1951*. The principal features of the scheme are as follows :

- (i) There should be a permanent organization in each State (or group of States) to deal with population statistics including vital statistics and census in the charge of a Superintendent of Census Operations and *ex-officio* Director of Population Records. The cost of the organization should be shared equally by the Centre and the States.
- (ii) The National Register of Citizens prepared from the enumeration slips at the time of the last census be regularly maintained along with the Register of Births and the Register of Deaths and these three Registers should constitute the principal "Population Records".
- (iii) An Annual Review of Population Records be carried out in order to bring to light as large a proportion of omissions in registration as possible.
- (iv) The National Register of Citizens be revised simultaneously with the Electoral Rolls where house-to-house visits and enquiries are carried out for revising the latter.
- (v) An Annual Sample Census be held in conjunction with the Annual Revision of Population Records.
- (vi) An Annual Population Report be prepared for each State (or group of States).
- (vii) Improvements be made in the system of area under registration, registration staff, forms, compilation etc.

* The detailed scheme of Shri Gopalaswami has been published in a brochure entitled "Scheme for Improvement of Population Data" issued by the Ministry of Home Affairs. This is a priced publication available from the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi (Price Re. 1-4).

3. Shri Gopalaswami recommended that before launching his scheme the essential parts consisting of (a) simultaneous revision of National Register of Citizens and Electoral Rolls and (b) Sample Census be given a trial in the States. This recommendation was endorsed by the Population Advisory Committee and accepted by the Government of India. Shri Gopalaswami thereupon addressed a letter in March 1952 to the State Governments (*vide* Appendix A) which, together with its enclosures, explains the genesis of the operations under report.

4. The scheme was accepted by all the States of India except Mysore, Hyderabad, Orissa, West Bengal, Bhopal and Delhi. It was carried out in twenty States whose population in 1951 totalled to 2,783 lakhs or 78% of the population of India. The enumeration took place in the States at various periods between September 1952 and January 1953 with the exception of Uttar Pradesh where it took place about a year later (*vide* Subsidiary Table 1). The portion of the scheme relating to simultaneous revision of National Register and Electoral Rolls could, however, be tried only in Madras, Coorg, Vindhya Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh and Madhya Bharat.

5. Unfortunately the scheme was launched at a time when the Census Superintendents and their offices were deeply absorbed in the important work of tabulation of census data and drafting of the Census Reports. The Census Superintendents were, therefore, unable to give the scheme due attention. Several of them reverted to the State Government or were transferred to other work in the Government of India before the data of the sample census could be processed. Only a few were able to send considered Reports on the operations under this scheme. Shri Gopalaswami himself left the post of Registrar General in June 1953 before the State reports or data had all arrived in his office. For some time the reports and the data remained unattended on account of the pre-occupation of the office of the Registrar General with important work connected with 1951 census. After examination it was found that the data could yield useful material if subsidiary tables bearing on the fertility pattern were prepared. These tables had not been originally planned but were indicated by a study of Shri S. P. Jain, the Actuary of 1951 census, contained in his paper entitled "A study of the birth order statistics in India" published in the Indian Journal of Medicines (April 1951). The data received from the States was, therefore, further processed in the head office and subsidiary tables prepared (*vide* Part III of this brochure). Originally each Census Superintendent was to publish a separate Report but in the changed circumstances it has been found expedient to publish after some editing the data and Reports of all the States in one Census of India brochure. An exception has been made only in the case of Uttar Pradesh for which a separate Census of India brochure has been issued besides incorporating its data in this brochure. In Uttar Pradesh the State Government placed its whole administrative machinery at the disposal of the Census Superintendent, who revised the National Register of Citizens of *all* the rural areas and also carried out the prescribed sample census in the rural areas of *all* the 51 districts.

II—Organization of the Operations

6. For the purpose of this scheme each State Government appointed the Census Superintendent as Officer on Special Duty and instructed the District Officers to give them full assistance. The Census Superintendent appointed suitable rural staff (panchayat secretaries, patwaris, shanbogs etc.) and urban staff (usually employees of local bodies) as enumerators and supervisors for the operations and issued written instructions* to them. Wherever possible a brief training was also given to this staff. On the whole, however, the training and superior supervision was not of the same quality as given at the time of the 1951 census. The higher district staff usually took no interest in the scheme and even the Census Superintendents and their offices were too busy in other work to devote sufficient attention to it.

7. The sample census was confined to one per cent. of the households in the *selected districts*. The households were selected on a random basis from the National Register of Citizens which provided the frame for this sampling. The enumerator had then to visit each selected household and to record for each birth within the last preceding year a *birth slip*, and likewise for each death occurring within the last preceding year a *death slip*. Besides he had to prepare a household schedule

*For sample instructions see Census of India Paper No. 1 of 1955 relating to Uttar Pradesh.

containing necessary details regarding each member of the selected household (*vide* Appendix B). Originally it was proposed to prepare a migration slip also but this was later dropped from the sample census. The slips and schedules were collected at the office of the Census Superintendent and a number of tables prepared from it. Eight of these tables are presented for each State in Part II of this brochure. From this data twenty subsidiary tables also have been prepared and are presented in Part III.

III—Simultaneous Revision of National Register of Citizens and Electoral Rolls

8. As already noted this part of Shri Gopalaswami's scheme could be tried only in Madras, Coorg, Vindhya Pradesh, Madhya Bharat and Madhya Pradesh. It is significant that Madras-Coorg and Madhya Bharat States, where the post of Census Superintendent and Election Commissioner was held by the same person, have found the scheme of simultaneous revision so satisfactory that they have continued to repeat it in the subsequent years. In Madhya Pradesh and Vindhya Pradesh also the simultaneous revision was successful though it has not been followed up in subsequent years. In other States it was not tried due to one reason or the other—largely the result of lack of co-ordination between the Census Superintendent and the Chief Electoral Officers.

9. The National Register of Citizens was prepared in all the States of India at the time of the 1951 census enumeration. It is a copy of most of the items contained in the census slip of each individual and has been prepared household-wise for each village in rural areas and for each ward in urban areas. The Electoral Rolls were originally prepared in 1950 and have undergone several revisions after that time. They consist of all the qualified adult voters, who form about 50% of the total population, and have been prepared by households for a group of villages in rural areas and for wards or other sub-divisions in urban areas. Although both the records were prepared usually by the same official—at least in the rural areas—there is considerable divergence among them as they were prepared under different authorities without any attempt at co-ordination. Even the house-numbering in the two records is in many cases different. This renders the task of comparison of the two records most formidable, specially in urban areas.

10. An important point of divergence in the two records is the age of individuals, which determines the eligibility for inclusion in the electoral roll. A comparison between the National Register of Citizens and the draft Electoral Rolls of a few tehsils was carried out in 1951 in Uttar Pradesh. It was found that about 5—8 per cent. voters had been left out in the Electoral Rolls, although the same patwari had prepared both the records. The patwaris explained that they had to *estimate* the ages in most cases and that one is apt to omit marginal cases if only a list of electors is made out. Apart from this divergence in the two records there are differences in other items also. On the whole the discrepancies are larger in the urban than the rural areas.

11. It is conceded on all hands that there should be complete alignment between these two important records. This can be achieved only if the National Register is revised annually and the Electoral Roll *extracted* out of it. The Election Commission has expressed its willingness to co-operate fully in any scheme intended to achieve this object.

IV—Commentary on the Statistics

12. The Subsidiary Tables in Part III have been designed so as to present in a comparable form the essential data relating to all the States and to enable some conclusions to be formed for India as a whole. In view of the different size of the sample in the various States it has not been considered proper to prepare consolidated tables for all the States. Subsidiary Table 1 shows the coverage of the sample in the various States; Tables 2—7 relate to the validity of the sample data in comparison with the known data of 1951 census; Table 8 relates to birth rates; Tables 9—18 relate to fertility pattern; and Tables 19—20 to death rates. Comparable figures of U.S.A., England and Wales, and Japan have been given in as many fertility tables as possible. A brief analysis of each table is being given below:

Table 1—Coverage of Sample—

The sample census was carried out in 20 States. In ten of the States the sample covered all the districts while in the remaining it covered about 1/5th of the districts, the exceptions being Rajasthan where only one out of twenty-five districts and PEPSU where only one out of eight districts was covered. In Uttar Pradesh the scheme confined to the rural areas only but in all the other States it covered both the rural and urban areas. The enumeration under the scheme took place at various periods between January 1952 and January 1953 with the exception of Uttar Pradesh where it took place in November 1953 for 47 districts and in April-May 1954 for four hill districts. In Madhya Bharat the sample census was taken twice, once in 1952 and again in 1953 after a year.

The size of the sample in relation to the total population differs from State to State. Taking the combined rural and urban population into consideration the sample exceeded or was nearly one per cent. in Manipur, Tripura, Kutch, Madhya Bharat (1953) and Vindhya Pradesh only; it was under 0.20 per cent. in Rajasthan, Punjab and PEPSU; in the remaining States it was between 0.20 and 1.0 per cent. The size of the rural sample is about the same as that noted for the general sample. The urban sample is between 1.0 and 5.0 per cent. in Assam, Manipur, Tripura, Kutch, Madhya Bharat (1953) and Vindhya Pradesh; it is under 0.20 per cent. in Bombay, Rajasthan and Punjab; and is between 0.20 and 1.0 per cent. in the rest of the States.

Table 2—Size of Household at the Sample Census and 1951 Census—

The size of the sample household is generally in agreement with the size of the 1951 household in all the States with the exception of Travancore-Cochin and Tripura (urban). In nearly 3/4th of the States the percentage deviation is under 10.

Table 3—Size of Household by Economic Groups at the Sample Census—

In the sample census the eight livelihood classes of 1951 census were simplified into three groups:

- A—Agricultural landholders and tenants (Livelihood Classes I, II and IV)
- B—Agricultural labourers (Livelihood Class III)
- C—Non-agricultural classes (Livelihood Classes V to VIII).

In a majority of the States the Economic Groups by size of household are in the following order—(i) Agricultural landholders and tenants, (ii) Non-agricultural classes, (iii) Agricultural labourers. In a few States (ii) and (iii) have changed places (Assam rural, Tripura rural, Coorg rural, Himachal Pradesh total and rural and Uttar Pradesh rural; Vindhya Pradesh and Madhya Bharat urban). The urban figures of Manipur have been vitiated apparently by the small number of slips.

In a majority of the States the household of agricultural landholders and tenants and non-agricultural classes is larger in urban than in rural areas, while the size of the household of agricultural labourers shows contrary trends.

No comparable data of 1951 census is available.

Table 4—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Economic Groups at the Sample Census and Census 1951—

The economic classification of the sample census is based on the principal means of livelihood of the head of the household while that of 1951 census was based on the principal means of livelihood of each individual.

The proportion of non-agricultural population shows marked increase and that of agricultural population marked decrease as compared with 1951 in all the States except Assam, Tripura, Coorg, Kutch and PEPSU in the case of rural areas and Bombay and Coorg in the case of total and urban areas and in Manipur in the urban area only. How far this result is due to change in the system of classification and how far it represents a genuine *shift* from the agricultural to non-agricultural occupations within the period of about 2 years from 1951 is difficult to say. The figures of agricultural labourers are erratic.

Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups in Sample Census and Census 1951—

In the case of lower age-groups (0—14) and the higher age-groups (55 and above) the deviations between the figures of the individual age-groups of the sample census and those of 1951 are quite large. But for middle ages (15—54), which are the most important for our studies, the deviations are in a large majority of cases of the order of 10 per cent. and less.

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census 1951—

For married females of the middle ages (15—44), who are the most important for our studies, the deviation between the figures of the individual age groups of the sample census and those of 1951 does not exceed 10 per cent. in a majority of the States.

Table 7—Sex Ratio at the Sample Census and 1951 Census—

The agreement between the sex-ratio in the sample census and 1951 census is, on the whole, quite good. In a large majority of the States the deviations does not exceed 5 per cent.

Table 8—Birth Rates —

The number of unregistered births per 100 registered births was recorded in order to estimate the true birth-rate by correcting the registered rate. With the exception of Madras, Bombay, Madhya Pradesh, Punjab and Bilaspur, where the existing registration is already of a high order, this method of computation does not yield any satisfactory results. The fact is that the published registration figures of most States suffer also from serious errors in compilation and cannot, therefore, form the basis of any scientific estimation.

The birth-rates as calculated from the sample census are in a majority of the States between 30 and 40 but are in all cases (except that of Travancore-Cochin and PEPSU) less than those estimated by the Actuary of 1951 census for the decade 1941—50. The trend of fall in the birth-rate may be real or the figures may have been affected by under enumeration of births. The difficulties of locating all births in an enquiry like this are real. The figures of at least seven States, which have returned a birth-rate under 30, have been affected by this factor.

Table 9—Average Maternal Age at the Various Birth Orders—

This table gives the average maternal age at the various birth orders.

The average for all orders is between 27 and 28 in a large majority of the States. Assam, Tripura, Madhya Bharat, PEPSU, Bilaspur and Himachal Pradesh have a somewhat lower average while that of Uttar Pradesh (rural) and Kutch is somewhat higher. The average maternal age in Japan and England is relatively higher and in U. S. A. relatively lower than in India. In England and Wales and now in Japan also births start at a later maternal age, which raises the average maternal age. In U.S.A. maternity starts almost as early as in India and the proportion of birth in the higher maternal age-groups is much smaller than in India.

The average age for first order births varies in the States of India between 20·0 and 22·9 but in most States it is between 21 and 22. The average for second order births varies between 20·0 and 27·1 but in most States it is between 23 and 25. (The figures for Bilaspur and PEPSU for second order births are apparently incorrect; the figures of Ajmer, Vindhya Pradesh and Coorg also appear to be too high). In England, Japan and U. S. A. the average maternal age for both the first and second orders is relatively higher. This difference is observable for higher order births also. The average age for all higher order births is almost invariably higher in Japan and England. In U.S.A., however, maternity comes to an end at an earlier age than in India.

No defined rural-urban differentials are traceable.

Table 10—Child Birth Indices—

The child birth indices for completed maternity of Manipur, Travancore-Cochin, PEPSU, Punjab, Saurashtra, Kutch, Rajasthan and Himachal Pradesh relate to less than 10 mothers only

and are, therefore, not dependable. Uttar Pradesh, Assam and Madras show an index between 6 and 7. Bihar has an index between 5 and 6 while Bombay and Madhya Pradesh have indices over 7. At the time of 1951 census a ten per cent. sample in Madhya Pradesh and Travancore-Cochin showed the index to be between 6 and 7. Tabulation for the 1941 census in Mysore State showed the child birth index for completed maternity to be 6.2. The Indian index may be placed between 6 and 7.

The number of children per completed family exceeded 6 in mid-Victorian United Kingdom when the crude birth-rate was about 34 per mille. The index has a steadily fallen since. It was 4.0 for the first decade of this century and is now about 2.6 with the crude birth-rate as 15.4. In U.S.A. the comparative figure is 3.3 and in Japan 5.3.

The figures for the average number of children born to mothers of all ages range between 3.0 in Manipur and Vindhya Pradesh to 4.0 in PEPSU. The differences may be due largely to varying proportions of married women in different age-groups.

No marked rural-urban differentials are noticeable.

Table 11—Child Survival and Loss Indices—

For mothers of completed fertility the child loss varies in a majority of the States from about 20 per cent. to about 33 per cent., that is, about 1/5th to 1/3rd of the children born pre-decease their mothers. The percentages of losses are high in Travancore-Cochin (38.1), Madhya Pradesh (35.4), PEPSU (35.3), Punjab (33.3), Madhya Bharat (1953) (28.9), Kutch (28.0) and Uttar Pradesh rural (27.6). They are low in Assam (22.9), Bombay (22.1), Bihar (21.0), Saurashtra (20.0), Rajasthan and Himachal Pradesh (16.7).^{*} The figure of other States do not appear to be reliable. These figures indicate a very high loss of human resources in this country.

No defined rural-urban differentials are discernible.

Table 12—Percentage of Births in the Maternal Age Periods—

The proportion of births to married women of age under 15 in India is very small, though not negligible as in western countries.

The proportion of births is almost equally balanced for the age-groups 15—24 and 25—34 in all the large States of India except Assam where it is higher for the age-group 15—24. In the small States of Manipur, Tripura, Bilaspur and Himachal Pradesh the proportion of births in the age-group 15—24 is much higher than that in the age-group 25—34 while the reverse is true for Coorg, Kutch and Ajmer. In England and Japan the important maternal age-group is 25—34 and in U.S.A. the births are almost equally balanced between the age-groups 15—24 and 25—34.

The proportion of births in the higher maternal age-groups is quite substantial in India while it is comparatively smaller in U.S.A., England and Japan. The wide use of contraceptives in the latter countries explains the difference.

Unfortunately the tables give figures by decennial age-groups. A better study would have been possible if quinquennial age-groups had been employed. The Uttar Pradesh Report has employed quinquennial age-groups.[†]

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—

The proportion of first order births is the highest in all the States in the maternal age-group 15—24, about 80 per cent. of the first births occurring during this period. In U.S.A., England and Japan also the largest proportion of first births take place in the age-group 15—24 but the percentage of the first births occurring during this period is much smaller than in India.[‡]

A similar trend is observable for second and higher order births. In India the different order births occur earlier than in western countries. The family in India grows *earlier and faster*.

^{*} The differentials in death-rates calculated by the Actuary of 1951 census do not correspond with these figures.

[†] *Vide Census of India Paper No. 1 of 1955, p. 29.*

[‡] The U. P. Report, employing quinquennial age-groups, shows that in U.P. the contributions of age-groups 15—19 and 20—24 to first births are almost equal while in western countries the largest contributions to first births are made by age-group 20—24.

No defined rural-urban differential is observable.

Table 14—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders—

In a majority of the States of India between 40—50 per cent. of the births are of the first and second order; in the remaining States they are between 30—40 per cent. Bombay, Saurashtra, Kutch, Madhya Bharat, Punjab, PEPSU and Coorg are in the latter category. As against this the percentage of first and second birth is about 53 in Japan, 59 in U. S. A. and 70 in England. Taking the total of the births of the first three orders the percentage works out to between 60—70 in half the States and 50—60 in the remaining half as against about 74 of Japan, 76 of U. S. A. and 85 of England. Another distinctive aspect of the Indian pattern is that the proportions of the first three orders do not show much difference from each other. But in U. S. A. and England the proportion is very high for the first birth and then declines steeply for each subsequent birth. In Japan and India the decline starts after the third birth and even then it is not as steep as in the countries mentioned above. A considerable proportion of births in India are recorded under the 4th and higher orders.

Shri R. A. Gopaldaswami in his Report of the Census of India, 1951 has evolved an interesting theory of Improvident Maternity (page 81 *et seq* and page 217). All births after the third will lead to an unwanted increase in the size of the family which for an undeveloped country like India amounts to improvidence. The proportion of births of 4th and higher order is, therefore, the incidence of Improvident Maternity. This incidence is 40—50 in half the States and 30—40 in the remaining half. In the latter category are Bihar, Assam, Manipur, Tripura, Madras, Coorg, Vindhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, Bilaspur and Himachal Pradesh. As against this the incidence is only 26·1 per cent. in Japan, 23·5 per cent. in U. S. A. and 14·9 per cent. in England.

It is interesting to note that in almost all the States for which this data is available the incidence of Improvident Maternity is higher in the urban than in the rural areas, a rather unexpected result.

Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders by Maternal Age Periods—

This table reveals strikingly the family building habits of India on one side and of U. S. A., England and Japan on the other—the result largely of family planning in the latter countries and its absence in India. In India first and second births occupy a relatively unimportant place in the later age-groups after 34 while they continue to be pronounced even in the later periods in U. S. A. and England—in particular the last country. In India births of higher order assume prominence with increase in maternal age.

There is no marked rural-urban differential.

Table 16—Birth Rate Specific for Age of the Mother—

This table gives birth-rates specific for age of mother in the various States *i.e.*, births per 1,000 females in the same age-group. A majority of the States show a fertility for all ages as between 100—125 or 125 and over. The figures of a few States showing a smaller fertility should be treated as undependable. Among the States of high fertility of over 125 are Assam, Manipur, Travancore-Cochin, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan, and PEPSU. Had it not been for a certain amount of under enumeration of births the figures of fertility of Indian States would have been still higher. As against this the fertility of Japan is only about 76, of U. S. A. 80 and of England only 50. India is thus a country of high fertility.

Examining fertility by maternal age-groups it will appear that it is the highest in the decennial age-group 25—34 in nearly all the States. It is the highest in this age-group in Japan and England also, but in U. S. A. it reaches the peak in the earlier age-group. The magnitude of fertility is, however, much less than India in all age-groups so far as U. S. A. and England are concerned. Japan is passing through a transition stage. Its fertility in age-group 15—24 is much lower than that of India but only slightly lower in the age-groups 24—34 and 35—44. In the age-groups 35—44 and 45 and over the fertility in India is very much higher than that of England and U. S. A. and appreciably higher than that of Japan also. If quinquennial age-groups had been employed some

additional trends could have been studied. In Uttar Pradesh, where such groups have been used the following trends have been noted:

(i) fertility is low in the age-group 15—19 but rises sharply in the next age-group 20—24 and further rises slightly in the age-group 25—29. Then a decline starts. In Japan the fertility in age-group 15—19 is very low; in U. S. A. and England also it is low. In all these countries also fertility rises sharply in the age-group 20—24; in U. S. A. it reaches the peak in this period. In England and Japan fertility rises further, but slightly, in the age-group 25—29 and thereafter registers a decline, which in U. S. A. starts from age 24 (ii) the decline after 29 is very steep in U. S. A., England and Japan for each successive age-group but it is gradual in Uttar Pradesh upto age-group 35—39 after which only it becomes steep (iii) in Uttar Pradesh fertility in the maternal age-groups 40—44 and 45—49 is preponderatingly high indicating the comparatively very high proportion of births in these ages. These trends are presumably common to all the States of India. These distinctive features of Indian fertility account for the different aspects of birth order statistics given in the various tables.

It is interesting to note that with the exception of Vindhya Pradesh and Himachal Pradesh the rural fertility of all the States is higher than urban fertility.

Table 17—Birth Rates by Order of Birth—

This table gives the number of live births per 1,000 women distributed according to birth order.

The total birth-rates for all orders is above 100 in a majority of the States of India. In Japan it is about 76, in U.S.A. 80 and in England only 50.

The differences in the birth-rates of the first and second order in the States of India on the one hand and Japan, U. S. A. and England on the other are not large but the gap widens up suddenly for third and higher order births in case of U. S. A. and England. The reason is that births of higher order are comparatively fewer in U. S. A. and England where family planning is widely practised.

Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order in the Various Maternal Age periods—

This table gives the fertility rate of married women *i.e.*, number of live births per 1,000 married women in each age-group by birth order. In table 16 the rate had been calculated on all women, married as well as others. The proportion of married women in each maternal age-group to the total number of married women in ages 15—44 has also been given in the table.

The fertility of the married women for ages 15—44 is for all major States (except Madras) between 150—200 or 200 and over. This indicates the figure of India as about 200.

The fertility of married women in the decennial age-groups 15—24 and 25—34 does not show any marked differentials in most States. The only noticeable trend is that the fertility falls abruptly in the decennial group 35—44. In Japan the fertility of married women is much higher than that of India in the age-groups 15—24 as well as 25—34, though, as we have seen in Table 16, the fertility, if calculated on all women of the specific maternal age-group, is much lower than India for age-group 15—24 and slightly lower in the age-groups 25—34 and 35—44. This is due to the different proportions of married women in the various age-groups. In Japan fertility of married women starts declining after the age-group 15—24 while in India the decline starts later (in a majority of the States). In U. S. A. the fertility of married women is much higher than India in the age-group 15—24 but lower than India in the age-groups 25—34 and 35—44. Here also the decline starts after age-group 15—24 and is more abrupt than that of Japan. In England the fertility of married mothers is slightly higher than India in the age-group 15—24 but lower in the other two groups. The decline starts in England after 15—24 and is as abrupt as in the U.S.A. We have seen in Table 16 that fertility calculated on all women is much less in U. S. A. and England than in India for each of the three groups.

Decennial age-groups employed in this table, however, do not correctly reflect all the important features of the fertility pattern. In Uttar Pradesh where quinquennial age-groups have been used the following trends have been noted:

- (i) The fertility of married women in Uttar Pradesh is very much lower than that of U. S. A. and England in the age-group 15—19. In age-group 20—24 the fertility

rates of Uttar Pradesh and England are about the same but that of U. S. A. is higher. In all the other age-groups the Uttar Pradesh rates are very much higher than those of the other two countries.

- (ii) In U. S. A. and England the fertility rate of married women falls very steeply after 24 in all the successive age-groups upto 40—44 while in Uttar Pradesh the fall is much less steep upto age 39 and quite steep in the age-group 40—44.
- (iii) Age specific fertility calculated for all women is higher in Uttar Pradesh than in U. S. A. or England in all the age-groups. But fertility of married women in the age period 15—19 is very much lower in Uttar Pradesh than in U. S. A. and England, and that in age period 20—24 is about the same as in England but lower than that of U. S. A. The fertility in Uttar Pradesh calculated for all women is high in the age-group 15—19 mainly due to the higher proportion of married women. The high fertility of women of Uttar Pradesh in the succeeding age-group 20—24 is the result of an increase in fertility as also an increase in the proportion of married women. The fertility reaches the peak in the age-group 25—29, though the proportion of married in this age-group is less than in the previous age-group. The fall in subsequent age-groups is due both to decline in proportion of married as well as decreasing fertility. In U. S. A. the decline in fertility rate after 24 and in England after 29 is due mainly to decline in fertility. The higher fertility in Uttar Pradesh after age 24 is due entirely to higher fertility of married women for the proportion of married is actually lower in all these groups. In U. S. A. and England fertility of married women in age-group 15—24 is the highest but the proportion of married is the lowest in this period. In the later age periods proportion of married increases but fertility decreases. Thus the low proportion of marriages during the fertile period and high proportion of marriages during the less fertile period keeps down the total number of births in these countries. In Uttar Pradesh both fertility rate and married proportion are at their highest during the age period 20—34. In 15—19, however, where the proportion of married is high, the fertility is low.
- (iv) The reason for the low fertility of married women in Uttar Pradesh in the age-groups 15—19 and 20—24 may be further examined. In Uttar Pradesh 76·8 per cent. of the women in age-group 15—19 are married compared to 16·7 per cent. in U.S.A. and 4·4 per cent. in England. In age-group 20—24 the corresponding figures are 96·0, 65·6 and 48·1 per cent. It is possible that in India owing to delayed *gauna* a number of marriages in the age-group 15—19 are consummated in the next age-group, which ought to increase the fertility of that group. This, however, does not fully explain the differential fertility of these age-groups in Uttar Pradesh on the one hand and U. S. A. and England on the other. There must be biological reasons for the differentials.
- (v) In Uttar Pradesh fertility of married women above the age of 35 remains at a fairly high level but the proportion of married declines due to an increase in the proportion of widows. Had it not been for the widows the fertility of these higher age-groups would have been still higher. In U. S. A. and England, in spite of the high married proportion in these ages, births remain low on account of the low fertility.

The above trends may be deemed to be true for most of the States of India.

As for birth order data for married women, it will be seen that the birth-rate for the first birth declines with age but in India the decline is not so steep as in U. S. A., England or Japan. For first births the fertility rates are much higher in U. S. A. and England in all age-groups as compared with India and in particular in the age-group 15—24. In other words, a larger proportion of women in these countries experience first order birth in the earlier as well as later ages as compared with India. For higher order births the Indian rates are well above those of U. S. A. and England. The rate of U. S. A. and England decline greatly for third and higher order births but the Indian rates continue at a comparatively high level. The fertility of Indian women declines

gradually with advance in age while that of U. S. A. and England shows an abrupt fall after age 29 owing to voluntary limitation of family.

Table 19—Death Rates—

The number of unregistered deaths per 100 registered deaths was recorded in order to estimate the true death rate by correcting the registration rates. This, however, does not work for reasons already stated under Table 8—Birth-Rates.

In a majority of the States the death-rate as calculated for the sample census is between 10 and 20. This is much below the rate computed by the Actuary of 1951 census for the decade 1941—50. Presumably there has been an under-enumeration of deaths in the sample census and it would be dangerous to draw any conclusions about the fall in death-rate.

Table 20—Death Rate Specific for Age and Sex at the Sample Census—

It will be seen that the proportion of deaths at younger ages is very high in India. In 10 States the specific death-rate for age under 1 is well over 60, in 12 States the death-rate for the age-group 1—4 is over 25 and in 12 States the rate for the age-group 5—9 is over 6. The corresponding figures for U. S. A. (1950) are 33·2, 1·4 and 0·6 while those for England (1951) are 30·0, 1·4 and 0·6 respectively. About 50 per cent. of the deaths in India occur among children under 10 years as against only 9·7 per cent. in U. S. A. and 5·3 per cent. in England, the proportion of such children to total population being 26·1 in India, 19·6 in U. S. A. and 15·7 in England. The relatively higher proportion of young population in India and the much higher rates of deaths raise its crude death-rate to a great extent.

The age specific death-rates for the succeeding age-groups are also the highest in India. In a majority of the States the rates are over 3 for ages 10—14 and 15—19, over 5 for ages 20—24, over 6 for ages 25—29, over 7 for ages 30—34, over 8 for ages 35—39, over 10 for ages 40—44, over 13 for ages 45—49, over 15 for ages 50—54, over 20 for ages 55—59, over 40 for ages 60—64, over 45 for ages 65—69 and over 65 for ages 70—74. The corresponding rates for U. S. A. are 0·6, 1·1, 1·5, 1·6, 2·0, 2·8, 4·4, 6·8, 10·4, 15·6, 23·2, 33·1 and 51·0 and those for England are 0·5, 0·8, 1·1, 1·3, 1·6, 2·1, 3·1, 5·3, 8·7, 13·9, 22·6, 36·6, and 58·5.

The mortality rates for females are generally higher than those for males in the reproductive ages (15—44) in almost all the States of India. U. S. A. and England on the other hand, show reverse trends. These countries have already conquered maternal mortality while India is still far from this goal.

The rate for infant mortality (defined as number of deaths of under 1 year per 1,000 live births) is over 50 in a majority of the States. There is a certain amount of under-enumeration of infant deaths otherwise the rate would have been higher. The infant mortality rate for the period 1941—50 as estimated by the Actuary of 1951 census was between 160—250 for males and 145—225 for females. The figures of the sample census, therefore, indicate a fall in infant mortality rates. The infant mortality rates for U. S. A. and England are 29·2 and 29·8 only. The Indian rates, therefore, still represent a terrible loss of human resources.

There are no defined rural-urban differentials.

PART II

STATE REPORTS AND TABLES

INTRODUCTORY NOTE TO TABLES

The Sample Census of Births and Deaths was conducted in all the States of India, except West Bengal, Orissa, Hyderabad, Mysore, Bhopal and Delhi. The data collected is presented State-wise in a series of eight tables. The details of information contained in each table are given below :

Table I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts—

This table has been prepared partly from the 1951 Census figures and partly from the data relating to Registration of Births and Deaths supplied by the Directors of Medical and Health Services of the various States.

2. The area figures given in col. (2) are same as those published in 1951 Census. These figures were supplied by the Surveyor General, India. In case of Uttar Pradesh the area figures are based on the district records.

3. The figures given in col. (3) relate to households and not houses and have been taken from the Census Table C-I, Household (size and composition). A clear distinction was made at the 1951 Census between a 'house' and a 'household'. A house is any human dwelling having a separate main entrance, while a household is any commensal unit living in a census house.

4. The figures relating to population given in cols. 4—7 are the 1951 Census figures.

Table I-B—Registered Deaths in State by Age and Sex—

This Table shows the number of registered deaths by sex (cols. 2—4) in each age group (col. 1), with rural/urban break-up in the prescribed period of one year as supplied by the Directors of Medical and Health Services of the various States.

Table II—Distribution of Population in State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951—

This table has been prepared from the Census figures. It exhibits the distribution of an approximately 10 per cent. sample population by age, sex and civil condition. The sample was taken at the initial stage of sorting of the 1951 Census slips.

Table III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of Sample Household Population by Districts—

This and the subsequent tables relate to the present Sample Census. The table shows the number of sample households and the sample household population by Economic Groups in each district with separation for total, rural and urban areas (except U. P. where the figures are for rural areas only).

2. The three Economic Groups shown in this table are :

- (i) Agricultural land-holders and tenants (Livelihood Classes I, II and IV of the 1951 Census).

- (ii) Agricultural labourers (Livelihood Class III of the 1951 Census).

- (iii) Non-agricultural Classes (Livelihood Classes V to VIII of the 1951 Census).

3. This table also gives marital status of the sample population.

4. The sample was extracted from the National Register of Citizens which was prepared at the 1951 Census for each village in rural areas and for each ward/mohalla in urban areas.

Table IV—Age, Sex and Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of the State—

This table exhibits the distribution of the sample household population by age, sex and marital status. Separate figures are given for rural and urban areas.

Table V—Number of Births in Sample Households by Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts—

This table shows the number of mothers and births during the prescribed period of one year in sample households by Economic Groups with rural/urban break-up.

2. The births have been classified under three headings viz. 'Registered', 'Unregistered' and 'Not known.'

3. Multiple births have been included in this table but not the still births.

Table VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample Households by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother—

This table presents fertility data of mothers in sample households. It shows the number of children born and surviving to mothers during the prescribed period of one year by order of births and age of mother for total, rural and urban areas separately.

2. Multiple births have been included in the table.

Table VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts—

This table shows the number of deaths during the prescribed period of one year in the sample households by Economic Groups with male/female and rural/urban break-up.

2. The deaths have also been classified under the different headings viz. 'Registered', 'Unregistered' and 'Not known'.

Table VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of State by Sex and Age at Death—

This table exhibits the number of deaths that took place during the prescribed period of one year by age of the deceased at death with male/female and rural/urban break-up.

UTTAR PRADESH

I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered

State & District	Area (in square miles)	Number of households	POPU-
			Persons
1	2	3	4
UTTAR PRADESH	112,044	10,745,942	54,590,043
Garhwal	5,588	136,383	621,682
Tehri-Garhwal	4,522	84,366	404,897
Naini Tal	2,711	58,627	261,415
Almora	5,381	159,183	749,358
Dehra Dun	1,144	38,341	190,406
Gorakhpur	2,416	384,113	2,071,960
Deoria	2,072	350,325	2,029,797
Basti	2,815	453,622	2,341,933
Gonda	2,823	356,792	1,785,804
Bahraich	2,621	268,759	1,274,736
Banaras	1,977	271,139	1,563,087
Jaunpur	1,546	261,399	1,432,982
Ghazipur	1,238	166,397	1,016,272
Ballia	1,221	178,561	1,083,609
Azamgarh	2,202	350,292	1,994,291
Kanpur	2,344	240,992	1,220,482
Fatehpur	1,629	168,928	861,348
Allahabad	2,806	342,002	1,682,123
Lucknow	949	127,968	607,577
Unnao	1,790	203,557	1,010,474
Rae Bareilly	1,748	236,662	1,106,251
Sitapur	2,187	272,483	1,276,210
Hardoi	2,287	250,021	1,238,910
Faizabad	1,678	266,030	1,345,010
Sultanpur	1,709	258,632	1,264,664
Pratapgarh	1,438	224,780	1,084,317
Bara Banki	1,721	254,736	1,181,105
Saharanpur	2,102	218,643	1,016,085
Bareilly	1,553	209,269	988,986
Bijnor	1,806	159,705	747,535
Pilibhit	1,337	90,933	429,289
Rampur	873	78,883	368,982
Kheri	2,952	213,495	981,081
Muzaffarnagar	1,557	188,946	1,016,552
Meerut	2,198	331,121	1,781,541
Bulandshahr	1,810	238,496	1,266,448
Aligarh	1,876	243,954	1,253,988
Mathura	1,416	137,138	727,592
Agra	1,812	188,552	1,003,529
Mainpuri	1,662	180,481	918,616
Etah	1,683	187,438	986,006
Budaun	1,982	235,511	1,105,808
Moradabad	2,281	255,605	1,264,595
Shahjahanpur	1,745	184,072	853,978
Etawah	1,675	165,773	869,594
Farrukhabad	1,652	187,423	932,776
Jhansi	3,860	143,004	664,355
Jalaun	1,722	91,236	466,797
Hamirpur	2,699	128,205	590,731
Banda	2,878	148,188	731,445
Mirzapur	4,350	174,781	803,034

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts (Rural), 1951

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
28,360,149	26,229,894	55,844,695	15.3	10.1
289,300	332,382	629,025	33.7	23.6
192,174	212,723	404,897	20.8	15.1
151,493	109,922	264,792	11.3	6.5
364,583	384,775	767,904	23.4	14.0
107,737	82,669	197,243	11.3	8.1
1,035,321	1,036,639	2,067,372	15.3	10.5
1,011,173	1,018,624	2,112,247	15.1	8.4
1,194,354	1,147,579	2,386,544	13.5	8.7
923,009	862,795	1,821,092	9.8	8.4
667,594	607,142	1,298,188	15.5	9.2
783,425	779,662	1,613,766	16.1	9.8
705,310	727,672	1,461,001	14.2	9.2
506,624	509,648	1,050,659	17.6	11.4
529,166	554,443	1,113,931	12.1	7.8
985,331	1,008,960	2,057,284	11.3	6.3
657,196	563,286	1,260,396	16.0	8.3
449,286	412,062	885,401	14.3	7.0
849,473	832,650	1,720,193	11.8	6.6
321,450	286,127	624,057	15.8	10.8
530,423	480,051	1,034,618	13.7	10.3
564,602	541,649	1,126,571	8.6	8.0
684,714	591,496	1,292,521	10.5	7.0
664,669	574,241	1,266,144	16.4	9.4
677,347	667,663	1,374,434	13.6	8.6
631,565	633,099	1,306,062	9.0	7.4
530,902	553,415	1,100,068	9.3	6.6
622,042	559,063	1,203,222	12.3	9.4
554,663	461,422	1,040,299	15.2	10.3
536,694	452,292	1,005,733	19.8	14.9
397,420	350,115	760,519	11.5	5.9
231,011	198,278	431,772	14.6	9.9
200,834	168,148	373,135	17.3	8.4
529,376	451,705	987,005	13.0	8.9
555,200	461,352	1,047,952	21.3	15.4
961,323	820,218	1,843,252	19.5	13.4
672,051	594,397	1,305,923	20.2	12.3
673,134	580,854	1,284,155	21.3	14.7
393,220	334,372	745,477	19.6	11.0
539,842	463,687	1,025,846	13.6	9.7
492,477	426,139	944,304	17.3	10.2
527,387	458,619	1,015,304	12.8	7.3
600,563	505,245	1,125,274	20.9	14.6
679,106	585,489	1,298,428	18.1	10.7
469,504	384,474	859,944	15.9	11.1
472,032	397,562	886,804	21.2	14.1
519,835	432,921	981,455	14.9	18.5
343,057	321,298	678,095	20.1	13.9
244,239	222,558	481,513	18.6	11.9
303,245	287,486	610,562	22.9	16.7
379,299	352,146	745,942	9.6	5.5
454,354	448,680	926,970	12.9	8.6

NOTE: Registration data (columns 7, 8 & 9) relates to the year, 1953.

**I-B—Registered Deaths in Uttar Pradesh State (Rural)
by Age and Sex, 1953**

										Registered Deaths			
Age Group										Persons	Males	Females	
1										2	3	4	
All Ages	565,815	317,791	248,024	
Under 1 year	100,629	57,078	43,551	
1—4	97,607	54,855	42,752	
5—9	40,845	22,804	18,041	
10—14	31,228	17,325	13,903	
15—19	29,745	16,040	13,705	
20—29	42,357	22,400	19,957	
30—39	45,697	25,243	20,454	
40—49	51,397	29,648	21,749	
50—59	57,303	33,386	23,917	
60 & over	69,007	39,012	29,995	

II—Distribution of Population in Uttar Pradesh State (Rural) by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Age Group	Persons				Males	
	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married
	2	3	4	5	6	7
All Ages	5,460,640	2,702,791	2,235,635	522,214	2,861,717	1,335,591
Under 1 year	180,261	...	180,261	...	92,472	...
1—4	556,608	...	556,608	...	280,385	...
5—14	1,381,384	239,529	1,137,385	4,470	734,837	92,535
15—24	907,996	670,086	218,708	19,202	466,385	278,319
25—34	826,599	708,653	69,864	48,082	432,530	345,197
35—44	651,785	530,014	36,022	85,749	349,560	280,973
45—54	491,424	339,895	21,143	130,386	266,230	197,591
55—64	287,125	150,680	10,531	125,914	153,234	98,754
65—74	123,317	47,364	3,233	72,720	61,232	32,295
75 & over	53,455	16,378	1,455	35,622	24,607	9,859
Age not stated	686	192	425	69	245	68

Age Group	Males—(concl'd.)			Females		
	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
	8	9	10	11	12	13
All Ages	1,320,186	205,940	2,598,923	1,367,200	915,449	316,274
Under 1 year	92,472	...	87,789	...	87,789	...
1—4	280,385	...	276,223	...	276,223	...
5—14	640,453	1,849	646,547	146,994	496,932	2,621
15—24	178,549	9,517	441,611	391,767	40,159	9,685
25—34	62,902	24,431	394,069	363,456	6,962	23,651
35—44	32,031	36,556	302,225	249,041	3,991	49,193
45—54	19,581	49,058	225,194	142,304	1,562	81,328
55—64	9,540	44,940	133,891	51,926	991	80,974
65—74	2,955	25,982	62,085	15,069	278	46,738
75 & over	1,174	13,574	28,848	6,519	281	22,046
Age not stated	144	33	441	124	281	38

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of

State & District	Number of Sample Households				POPUL-	
	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	All	
					Persons	
					Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
UTTAR PRADESH	101,446	68,000	11,029	22,417	250,040	262,147
Garhwal	1,319	1,248	8	63	2,979	3,252
Tehri-Garhwal	779	747	8	24	2,113	1,940
Naini Tal	474	375	26	73	1,001	1,165
Almora	1,563	1,428	41	94	3,729	4,041
Dchra Dun	280	161	40	79	812	665
Gorakhpur	3,783	2,695	591	497	10,140	9,773
Deoria	3,453	2,530	616	307	8,948	10,299
Basti	4,320	3,264	468	588	11,858	10,195
Gonda	3,495	2,580	388	527	8,826	8,667
Bahraich	2,625	2,081	246	298	6,087	6,139
Banaras	2,611	1,269	391	951	7,328	7,617
Jaunpur	2,537	1,603	458	476	7,240	6,860
Ghazipur	1,399	864	209	326	4,580	4,282
Ballia	1,700	898	315	487	4,790	5,522
Azamgarh	3,385	2,125	611	649	10,486	8,984
Kanpur	2,309	1,473	236	600	4,946	6,392
Fatehpur	1,681	996	168	517	3,931	4,279
Allahabad	3,268	1,958	501	809	8,726	7,212
Lucknow	1,210	880	71	259	2,855	2,931
Unnao	1,934	1,401	150	383	4,388	4,953
Rae Bareilly	2,381	1,561	304	516	5,938	5,023
Sitapur	2,635	2,040	162	433	6,177	6,473
Hardoi	2,425	1,965	116	344	5,304	6,433
Faizabad	2,605	1,683	511	411	7,399	5,670
Sultanpur	2,523	1,629	429	465	6,762	5,153
Pratapgarh	2,147	1,361	323	463	6,202	4,419
Bara Banki	2,469	1,722	218	529	5,897	5,232
Saharanpur	2,042	792	321	929	4,267	5,062
Barcilly	1,641	1,249	61	331	3,523	4,084
Bijnor	1,568	786	174	608	3,334	4,062
Pilibhit	728	546	62	120	1,550	1,899
Rampur	784	721	17	46	1,741	1,878
Kheri	1,735	1,524	75	136	3,593	4,072
Muzaffarnagar	1,860	822	249	789	4,394	5,491
Meerut	3,039	1,348	222	1,469	7,360	9,127
Bulandshahr	2,361	1,360	167	834	5,897	7,029
Aligarh	2,261	1,178	204	879	5,164	6,346
Mathura	1,107	614	96	397	2,631	3,305
Agra	1,765	1,108	115	542	4,314	5,416
Mainpuri	1,766	1,205	123	438	3,968	4,956
Etah	1,802	1,277	140	385	4,254	5,101
Budaun	2,193	1,782	119	292	4,662	5,752
Moradabad	2,111	1,591	127	393	4,771	5,735
Shahjahanpur	1,778	1,475	87	216	3,337	4,556
Etawah	1,613	1,152	142	319	3,739	4,573
Farrukhabad	1,756	1,263	104	389	3,881	4,940
Jhansi	1,370	842	113	415	2,843	3,228
Jalaun	899	565	83	251	2,104	2,484
Hamirpur	1,161	701	146	314	2,651	2,496
Banda	1,359	822	201	336	3,217	3,347
Mirzapur	1,437	740	276	421	3,403	3,637

Sample Household Population by Districts (Rural), 1953

LATION									
Classes									
Males		Females		Livelihood Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V--VIII	
Married	Others	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
124,915	149,067	125,125	113,080	192,211	166,032	27,002	24,432	54,769	47,741
1,303	1,623	1,676	1,629	2,772	3,173	16	11	138	121
1,024	1,015	1,089	925	1,964	1,940	18	14	57	60
522	692	479	473	1,011	828	55	27	148	97
1,714	2,215	2,015	1,826	3,602	3,567	93	82	234	192
448	384	364	281	536	442	102	76	194	127
5,055	5,141	5,085	4,632	7,527	7,095	1,423	1,479	1,246	1,143
4,440	5,697	4,508	4,602	7,640	6,884	1,704	1,517	793	709
5,937	5,678	5,921	4,517	9,104	8,198	1,142	1,019	1,369	1,221
4,449	4,889	4,377	3,778	7,232	6,320	892	780	1,214	1,055
3,084	3,371	3,003	2,768	5,365	4,747	539	485	551	539
3,674	4,108	3,654	3,509	4,196	3,847	1,110	1,008	2,476	2,308
3,501	3,718	3,739	3,142	4,918	4,619	1,128	1,138	1,173	1,124
2,270	2,356	2,310	1,926	3,093	2,777	614	578	919	881
2,272	3,009	2,518	2,513	2,993	2,828	989	926	1,299	1,277
5,254	4,857	5,232	4,127	6,727	6,020	1,661	1,667	1,723	1,672
2,455	3,752	2,491	2,640	4,150	3,460	563	440	1,494	1,231
1,986	2,439	1,945	1,840	2,798	2,366	429	347	1,198	1,072
4,414	3,894	4,312	3,318	5,254	4,805	1,130	1,118	1,924	1,707
1,446	1,696	1,409	1,235	2,427	2,041	152	124	563	479
2,208	2,850	2,180	2,103	3,799	3,175	322	258	937	850
3,028	2,732	2,910	2,291	4,012	3,576	625	602	1,123	1,023
3,121	3,873	3,056	2,600	5,724	4,605	349	273	921	778
2,630	3,847	2,674	2,586	5,348	4,385	280	229	849	646
3,750	3,073	3,649	2,597	4,599	4,232	1,233	1,192	991	822
3,474	2,798	3,288	2,355	4,347	3,863	914	875	1,011	905
3,092	2,356	3,110	2,063	3,641	3,390	714	737	1,093	1,046
3,036	2,947	2,861	2,285	4,421	3,775	479	432	1,083	939
2,177	3,036	2,090	2,026	2,232	1,722	785	605	2,196	1,789
1,804	2,430	1,719	1,654	3,237	2,498	139	127	858	748
1,622	2,400	1,712	1,662	2,135	1,785	401	341	1,486	1,248
769	1,089	781	810	1,416	1,237	133	113	309	241
879	1,104	862	774	1,837	1,520	36	31	110	85
1,801	2,451	1,792	1,621	3,841	3,069	150	125	261	219
2,205	3,296	2,189	2,195	2,670	2,071	653	535	2,178	1,778
3,651	5,588	3,709	3,539	4,571	3,473	607	484	4,061	3,291
2,938	4,077	2,959	2,952	4,366	3,584	454	381	2,195	1,946
2,573	3,763	2,591	2,583	3,585	2,867	509	412	2,242	1,895
1,279	1,908	1,352	1,397	1,894	1,637	261	205	1,032	907
2,145	3,179	2,169	2,237	3,567	2,871	275	251	1,482	1,284
1,982	2,934	1,986	2,022	3,525	2,911	307	222	1,084	875
2,159	2,966	2,095	2,135	3,880	3,177	347	261	898	792
2,355	3,527	2,307	2,225	4,836	3,775	318	213	728	544
2,409	3,355	2,362	2,380	4,555	3,662	313	262	896	818
1,654	2,744	1,683	1,812	3,766	2,981	175	148	457	366
1,872	2,799	1,867	1,774	3,517	2,716	362	292	792	633
1,937	2,948	1,944	1,992	3,734	2,926	270	231	881	779
1,443	1,803	1,400	1,425	2,167	1,834	214	213	865	778
1,055	1,416	1,049	1,068	1,640	1,435	220	168	611	514
1,333	1,387	1,318	1,109	1,743	1,549	292	290	685	588
1,594	1,947	1,623	1,400	2,286	1,920	492	453	763	650
1,692	1,910	1,711	1,727	2,011	1,854	613	635	978	949

**IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household
Population of Uttar Pradesh State (Rural), 1953**

POPULATION							
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females	
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
All Ages	299,993	141,679	158,314	70,551	91,721	71,128	66,593
Under 1 year	8,653	...	8,653	...	4,831	...	3,822
1—4	28,616	...	28,616	...	14,955	...	13,661
5—9	39,465	1,432	38,033	599	20,299	893	17,734
10—14	36,086	5,361	30,725	2,129	18,565	3,232	12,160
15—19	26,492	12,873	13,619	4,811	10,871	8,062	2,748
20—24	27,543	21,881	5,662	9,009	5,003	12,872	659
25—29	24,582	21,180	3,402	10,341	2,790	10,839	612
30—34	22,947	19,817	3,130	9,675	2,199	10,142	931
35—39	18,111	15,227	2,884	8,208	1,833	7,019	1,051
40—44	18,098	14,239	3,859	7,450	1,977	6,789	1,882
45—49	13,026	9,637	3,389	5,469	1,660	4,168	1,729
50—54	13,708	8,913	4,795	5,388	1,976	3,525	2,819
55—59	7,399	4,469	2,930	2,896	1,341	1,573	1,589
60—64	7,645	3,749	3,896	2,546	1,542	1,203	2,354
65—69	2,987	1,296	1,691	936	661	360	1,030
70—74	2,527	913	1,614	644	592	269	1,022
75 & over	1,873	582	1,291	402	553	180	738
Age not stated	235	110	125	48	73	62	52

NOTE : The data relates to 34 selected districts of the State. The districts that have been excluded are Gorakhpur, Deoria Basti, Bahraich, Banaras, Jaunpur, Azamgarh, Fatehpur, Rae Bareilly, Faizabad, Sultanpur, Bara Banki, Shahjahanpur, Etawah, Hamirpur, Banda and Mirzapur.

V—Number of Births in Sample Households by

State & District	TOTAL							
	Number of Mothers				Persons			
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
UTTAR PRADESH . . .	13,405	9,521	1,119	2,765	13,514	9,601	1,126	2,787
Garhwal . . .	161	149	2	10	161	149	2	10
Tehri-Garhwal . . .	102	99	...	3	105	102	...	3
Naini Tal . . .	53	49	2	2	54	50	2	2
Almora . . .	238	215	6	17	238	215	6	17
Dehra Dun . . .	58	41	8	9	58	41	8	9
Gorakhpur . . .	410	304	53	53	411	304	54	53
Deoria . . .	383	305	52	26	387	306	52	29
Basti . . .	484	403	48	33	494	413	48	33
Gonda . . .	443	373	31	39	446	375	32	39
Bahraich . . .	213	181	12	20	216	184	12	20
Banaras . . .	344	197	47	100	347	200	47	100
Jaunpur . . .	258	184	21	53	260	185	21	54
Ghazipur . . .	231	157	28	46	232	158	28	46
Ballia . . .	316	197	57	62	319	200	57	62
Azamgarh . . .	451	300	76	75	454	302	76	76
Kanpur . . .	281	184	16	81	282	184	17	81
Fatehpur . . .	183	113	14	56	184	113	14	57
Allahabad . . .	423	266	51	106	425	266	51	108
Lucknow . . .	162	131	5	26	162	131	5	26
Unnao . . .	239	193	11	35	240	194	11	35
Rae Bareilly . . .	217	158	21	38	220	160	21	39
Sitapur . . .	360	299	46	45	364	303	16	45
Hardoi . . .	424	349	21	54	424	349	21	54
Faizabad . . .	302	210	55	37	303	211	55	37
Sultanpur . . .	192	140	27	25	193	141	27	25
Pratapgarh . . .	279	179	30	70	282	182	30	70
Bara Banki . . .	189	146	16	27	194	150	17	27
Saharanpur . . .	252	99	37	116	253	99	38	116
Barilly . . .	256	194	10	52	259	197	10	52
Bijnor . . .	242	141	22	79	242	141	22	79
Pilibhit . . .	109	88	7	14	110	89	7	14
Rampur . . .	98	86	3	9	99	87	3	9
Kheri . . .	183	171	4	8	185	173	4	8
Muzaffarnagar . . .	295	130	33	132	296	130	33	133
Meerut . . .	500	244	29	227	506	248	29	229
Bulandshahr . . .	437	274	25	138	442	276	26	140
Aligarh . . .	323	177	26	120	324	178	26	120
Mathura . . .	240	140	21	79	241	140	21	80
Agra . . .	418	280	17	121	420	281	17	122
Mainpuri . . .	261	192	15	54	264	193	15	56
Etah . . .	321	245	16	60	323	246	17	60
Budaun . . .	344	292	11	41	351	298	11	42
Moradabad . . .	402	313	13	76	404	315	13	76
Shahjahanpur . . .	104	96	...	8	104	96	...	8
Etawah . . .	194	150	14	30	195	151	14	30
Farrukhabad . . .	321	232	27	62	324	235	27	62
Jhansi . . .	159	108	9	42	161	110	9	42
Jalaun . . .	177	122	7	48	179	122	7	50
Hamirpur . . .	95	55	12	28	96	56	12	28
Banda . . .	127	85	14	28	129	86	14	29
Mirzapur . . .	151	85	21	45	152	86	21	45

Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts (Rural), 1953

NUMBER OF BIRTHS								WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED					
Males				Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Fe- males	Males	Fe- males	Males	Fe- males
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
7,581	5,450	604	1,527	5,933	4,151	522	1,260	5,070	3,846	2,020	1,712	491	375
87	81	1	5	74	68	1	5	83	69	4	5
59	57	...	2	46	45	...	1	44	35	12	11	3	...
30	29	...	1	24	21	2	1	19	16	9	6	2	2
147	130	4	13	91	85	2	4	114	73	29	15	4	9
32	24	3	5	26	17	5	4	21	14	11	12
224	178	23	23	187	126	31	30	171	134	42	42	11	11
239	191	29	19	148	115	23	10	195	117	37	26	7	5
291	247	25	19	203	166	23	14	229	156	37	38	25	9
249	216	16	17	197	159	16	22	174	130	68	60	7	7
125	109	7	9	91	75	5	11	53	48	67	39	5	4
193	120	18	55	154	80	29	45	131	97	46	45	16	12
145	106	10	29	115	79	11	25	101	77	38	37	6	1
127	84	16	27	105	74	12	19	95	86	26	13	6	6
183	114	33	36	136	86	24	26	132	75	34	39	17	22
259	163	53	43	195	139	23	33	204	151	43	39	12	5
146	95	9	42	136	89	8	39	59	51	82	79	5	6
94	59	5	30	90	54	9	27	74	68	19	21	1	1
248	151	27	70	177	115	24	38	175	121	61	53	12	9
83	71	3	9	79	60	2	17	47	34	30	36	6	9
133	108	5	20	107	86	6	15	98	71	32	32	3	4
127	93	15	19	93	67	6	20	81	60	45	31	1	2
195	164	9	22	169	139	7	23	144	112	42	51	9	6
231	191	9	31	193	158	12	23	91	65	138	125	2	3
177	123	31	23	126	88	24	14	116	82	57	38	4	6
108	79	17	12	85	62	10	13	86	64	11	14	11	7
149	98	19	32	133	84	11	38	107	97	29	25	13	11
101	85	5	11	93	65	12	16	67	66	30	23	4	4
146	59	19	68	107	40	19	48	116	91	21	14	9	2
145	114	5	26	114	83	5	26	77	64	47	29	21	21
131	84	12	35	111	57	10	44	90	75	24	26	17	10
64	55	1	8	46	34	6	6	46	39	4	1	14	6
59	53	1	5	40	34	2	4	27	21	15	13	17	6
101	95	1	5	84	78	3	3	89	77	6	6	6	1
162	70	17	75	134	60	16	58	127	96	35	38
296	141	17	138	210	107	12	91	222	144	45	49	29	17
236	147	14	75	206	129	12	65	185	140	41	46	10	20
183	110	10	63	141	68	16	57	99	72	69	50	15	19
124	74	7	43	117	66	14	37	73	61	45	45	6	11
217	146	8	63	203	135	9	59	90	88	115	98	12	17
149	105	11	33	115	88	4	23	77	67	59	40	13	8
185	140	12	33	138	106	5	27	78	54	85	66	22	18
208	173	8	27	143	125	3	15	145	105	23	15	40	23
232	185	9	38	172	130	4	38	131	106	76	54	25	12
61	54	...	7	43	42	...	1	40	28	6	5	15	10
121	95	9	17	74	56	5	13	88	58	31	14	2	2
185	134	17	34	139	101	10	28	87	56	89	71	9	12
91	61	5	25	70	49	4	17	58	47	31	21	2	2
90	57	5	28	89	65	2	22	56	59	31	29	3	1
50	27	7	16	46	29	5	12	41	38	9	6	...	2
74	49	5	20	55	37	9	9	57	40	8	9	9	6
89	58	12	21	63	30	9	24	60	51	26	12	3	...

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample House-

Order of Birth	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
All Orders	13,405	46,000	35,393	4,803	8,874	7,339
1st	2,914	2,914	2,808	2,354	2,354	2,260
2nd	2,482	4,964	4,239	1,381	2,762	2,305
3rd	2,459	7,377	5,993	687	2,061	1,586
4th	1,883	7,532	5,979	263	1,052	767
5th	1,474	7,370	5,681	80	400	267
6th	924	5,544	4,144	26	156	106
7th & above	1,269	10,299	6,549	12	89	48

holds of Uttar Pradesh State (Rural) by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1953

OF MOTHER

25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
5,940	22,662	17,269	2,250	12,119	9,072	343	2,102	1,521	69	243	192
474	474	467	58	58	54	9	9	8	19	19	19
950	1,900	1,660	128	256	234	13	26	22	10	20	18
1,464	4,392	3,631	273	819	688	28	84	70	7	21	18
1,214	4,856	3,845	359	1,436	1,201	37	148	132	10	40	34
867	4,335	3,284	452	2,260	1,819	65	325	271	10	50	40
483	2,898	2,084	357	2,142	1,668	54	324	268	4	24	18
488	3,807	2,298	623	5,148	3,408	137	1,186	750	9	69	45

VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic

State & District	TOTAL NUMBER							
	Persons				Males			
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
UTTAR PRADESH . . .	8,514	5,922	872	1,720	4,265	2,997	434	834
Garhwal . . .	95	93	...	2	49	48	...	1
Tehri-Garhwal . . .	66	62	3	1	27	26	1	...
Naini Tal . . .	19	13	3	3	5	4	1	...
Almora . . .	86	77	6	3	34	31	2	1
Dehra Dun . . .	26	21	3	2	14	12	1	1
Gorakhpur . . .	261	192	38	31	132	99	20	13
Deoria . . .	223	167	45	11	119	87	27	5
Basti . . .	292	238	25	29	149	120	13	16
Gonda . . .	313	245	29	39	165	122	19	24
Bahraich . . .	151	128	15	8	76	64	7	5
Banaras . . .	192	102	18	72	106	55	9	42
Jaunpur . . .	186	122	24	40	104	64	14	26
Ghazipur . . .	97	63	18	16	48	29	11	8
Ballia . . .	179	112	31	36	88	48	17	23
Azamgarh . . .	258	159	43	56	134	83	24	27
Kanpur . . .	164	113	17	34	91	65	11	15
Fatehpur . . .	105	73	4	28	54	42	...	12
Allahabad . . .	318	201	56	61	153	99	24	30
Lucknow . . .	104	72	7	25	53	39	6	8
Unnao . . .	164	130	9	25	93	76	4	13
Rae Bareilly . . .	124	91	19	14	70	52	11	7
Sitapur . . .	193	172	3	18	94	81	1	12
Hardoi . . .	285	233	14	38	148	125	7	16
Faizabad . . .	253	172	46	35	126	87	20	19
Sultanpur . . .	139	97	25	17	68	49	13	6
Pratapgarh . . .	185	138	24	23	92	72	10	10
Bara Banki . . .	170	129	7	34	86	71	2	13
Saharanpur . . .	200	79	34	87	99	38	13	48
Bareilly . . .	238	184	19	35	124	94	12	18
Bijnor . . .	132	61	16	55	66	32	11	23
Pilibhit . . .	66	56	2	8	29	25	...	4
Rampur . . .	74	59	8	7	39	30	4	5
Kheri . . .	162	146	7	9	82	73	4	5
Muzaffarnagar . . .	184	93	23	68	88	52	8	28
Meerut . . .	318	148	22	148	132	60	8	64
Bulandshahr . . .	229	138	15	76	122	75	7	40
Aligarh . . .	193	99	21	73	86	45	9	32
Mathura . . .	109	51	9	49	50	24	5	21
Agra . . .	240	148	22	70	117	75	11	31
Mainpuri . . .	161	109	18	34	82	55	12	15
Etah . . .	245	174	20	51	126	91	9	26
Budaun . . .	254	207	9	38	123	97	4	22
Moradabad . . .	293	182	12	39	115	89	5	21
Shahjahanpur . . .	95	83	3	9	50	45	1	4
Etawah . . .	98	79	12	7	46	35	8	3
Farrukhabad . . .	139	104	7	28	62	49	3	10
Jhansi . . .	139	82	16	41	78	53	9	16
Jalaun . . .	88	61	4	23	44	31	3	10
Hannirpur . . .	75	50	10	15	39	27	4	8
Banda . . .	81	54	7	20	34	23	1	10
Mirzapur . . .	113	60	24	29	54	29	8	17

Groups and their Registration by Districts (Rural), 1953

OF DEATHS				WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED					
Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
4,249	2,925	438	886	3,008	2,805	1,094	1,259	163	185
46	45	...	1	47	44	2	2
39	36	2	1	21	33	5	6	1	...
14	9	2	3	3	9	2	5
52	46	4	2	27	40	7	12
12	9	2	1	6	8	8	4
129	93	18	18	114	97	16	25	2	7
104	80	18	6	90	83	26	16	3	5
143	118	12	13	121	98	25	36	3	9
148	123	10	15	128	102	34	44	3	2
75	64	8	3	37	36	39	38	...	1
86	47	9	30	87	66	17	19	2	1
82	58	10	14	73	53	27	25	4	4
49	34	7	8	39	44	7	4	2	1
91	64	14	13	57	57	25	27	6	7
124	76	19	29	123	101	10	18	1	5
73	48	6	19	42	27	47	46	2	...
51	31	4	16	37	38	17	13
165	102	32	31	108	109	45	54	...	2
51	33	1	17	32	23	21	28
71	54	5	12	68	46	23	22	2	3
54	39	8	7	45	38	25	15	...	1
99	91	2	6	66	68	28	28	...	3
137	108	7	22	72	48	73	87	3	2
127	85	26	16	85	76	31	46	10	5
71	48	12	11	61	61	7	8	...	2
93	66	14	13	67	72	19	18	6	3
84	58	5	21	64	63	20	20	2	1
101	41	21	39	85	81	12	19	2	1
114	90	7	17	68	65	96	35	20	14
66	29	5	32	49	43	14	17	3	6
37	31	2	4	21	30	1	4	7	3
35	29	4	2	15	15	22	18	2	2
80	73	3	4	77	70	3	9	2	1
96	41	15	40	68	65	20	30	...	1
186	88	14	84	91	130	33	37	8	19
107	63	8	36	99	64	19	38	4	5
107	54	12	41	52	50	26	43	8	14
59	27	4	28	33	31	13	23	4	5
123	73	11	39	56	58	52	58	9	7
79	54	6	19	56	49	23	28	3	2
119	83	11	25	59	54	60	53	7	12
131	110	5	16	89	103	18	20	16	8
118	93	7	18	73	59	33	45	9	14
45	38	2	5	40	43	5	2	5	...
52	44	4	4	36	40	10	12
77	55	4	18	42	39	19	35	1	3
61	29	7	25	43	40	35	21
44	30	1	13	31	29	13	14	...	1
36	23	6	7	34	25	5	11
47	31	6	10	29	43	5	2	...	2
59	31	16	12	42	39	11	19	1	1

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Uttar Pradesh State (Rural)
by Sex and Age at Death, 1953**

NUMBER OF DEATHS			
Age Group	Persons	Males	Females
1	2	3	4
All Ages	8,514	4,265	4,249
Under 1 year	793	428	365
1—4	1,791	861	930
5—9	664	341	323
10—14	366	167	199
15—19	369	141	228
20—24	350	129	221
25—29	341	140	201
30—34	340	150	190
35—39	323	165	158
40—44	372	199	173
45—49	353	219	134
50—54	505	289	216
55—59	351	213	138
60—64	563	295	268
65—69	264	142	122
70—74	341	159	182
75 & over	406	217	189
Age not stated	22	10	12

BIHAR

I-A--Area, Households, Population and Registered

								POPU-	
State & District								Area (in square miles)	Number of households
1								2	3
									4
BIHAR								Total	40,225,947
								Rural	37,521,216
								Urban	2,704,731
Patna								T	2,528,272
								R	2,070,478
								U	457,794
Gaya								T	3,070,499
								R	2,853,807
								U	216,692
Shahabad								T	2,688,440
								R	2,507,861
								U	180,579
Saran								T	3,155,144
								R	3,028,986
								U	126,158
Champaran								T	2,515,343
								R	2,410,483
								U	104,860
Muzaffarpur								T	3,520,739
								R	3,385,043
								U	135,696
Darbhanga								T	3,769,534
								R	3,609,443
								U	160,091
Monghyr								T	2,849,127
								R	2,582,010
								U	267,117
Bhagalpur								T	1,429,069
								R	1,307,024
								U	122,045
Saharsa								T	1,308,198
								R	1,308,198
								U	...
Purnea								T	2,525,231
								R	2,430,352
								U	94,879
Santal Parganas								T	2,322,092
								R	2,225,312
								U	96,780
Hazaribagh								T	1,937,210
								R	1,804,084
								U	133,126
Ranchi								T	1,861,207
								R	1,736,162
								U	125,045
Purulia								T	1,547,559
								R	1,463,216
								U	84,343
Dhanbad								T	731,700
								R	658,098
								U	73,602
Palamau								T	985,767
								R	948,760
								U	37,007
Singhbhum								T	1,490,816
								R	1,191,899
								U	288,917

NOT AVAILABLE

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951

ATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
20,223,675	20,002,272			
18,757,405	18,763,811			
1,466,270	1,238,461			
1,297,266	1,231,006			
1,050,414	1,020,064			
246,852	210,942			
1,535,362	1,535,137			
1,420,855	1,432,952			
114,507	102,185			
1,358,443	1,329,997			
1,262,944	1,244,917			
95,499	85,080			
1,501,253	1,653,891			
1,435,206	1,593,780			
66,047	60,111			
1,267,406	1,247,937			
1,210,108	1,200,375			
57,298	47,562			
1,730,750	1,789,989			
1,656,837	1,728,206			
73,913	61,783			
1,844,201	1,925,333			
1,759,534	1,849,909			
84,667	75,424			
1,434,824	1,414,303			
1,294,178	1,287,832			
140,646	126,471			
728,681	700,388			
662,165	644,859			
66,516	55,529			
672,677	635,521			
672,677	635,521			
...	...			
1,319,262	1,205,969			
1,261,540	1,168,812			
57,722	37,157			
1,172,594	1,149,498			
1,119,688	1,105,624			
52,906	43,874			
981,264	955,946			
907,445	896,639			
73,819	59,307			
938,255	922,952			
870,453	865,709			
67,802	57,243			
780,309	767,250			
736,574	726,642			
43,733	40,608			
410,140	321,560			
365,030	293,068			
45,110	28,492			
498,564	487,203			
478,589	470,171			
19,975	17,032			
752,424	728,392			
593,168	598,731			
159,256	129,661			

N O T A V A I L A B L E

N O T A V A I L A B L E

N O T A V A I L A B L E

I-B—Registered Deaths in Bihar State by Age and Sex, 1952

										Registered Deaths			
Age Group										Persons		Males	Females
1										2		3	4
										TOTAL			
All Ages										400,214		214,239	185,975
Under 1 year										52,231		28,592	23,639
1—4										64,075		34,002	30,073
5—9										22,238		12,285	9,953
10—14										14,006		8,006	6,000
15—19										15,042		8,007	7,035
20—29										34,152		17,065	17,087
30—39										37,253		20,103	17,150
40—49										36,118		20,936	15,182
50—59										40,498		22,095	18,403
60 & over										84,601		43,148	41,453
										RURAL			
All Ages										387,597		207,438	180,159
Under 1 year										50,365		27,561	22,804
1—4										62,133		32,967	29,166
5—9										21,510		11,914	9,596
10—14										13,521		7,734	5,787
15—19										14,453		7,731	6,722
20—29										33,006		16,544	16,462
30—39										36,041		19,440	16,601
40—49										35,028		20,270	14,758
50—59										39,360		21,402	17,958
60 & over										82,180		41,875	40,305
										URBAN			
All Ages										12,617		6,801	5,816
Under 1 year										1,866		1,031	835
1—4										1,942		1,035	907
5—9										723		371	357
10—14										485		272	213
15—19										589		276	313
20—29										1,146		521	625
30—39										1,212		663	549
40—49										1,090		666	424
50—59										1,138		693	445
60 & over										2,421		1,273	1,148

II—Distribution of Population in Bihar

Age Group	Persons				Males
	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
	1	2	3	4	5
TOTAL					
All Ages	3,972,621	2,126,719	1,551,307	294,595	2,007,335
Under 1 year	191,115	...	191,115	...	96,469
1—4	388,968	...	388,968	...	193,389
5—14	954,476	184,871	766,844	2,761	493,010
15—24	617,581	468,336	136,664	12,581	307,121
25—34	593,439	528,528	36,066	28,845	297,846
35—44	468,100	408,304	14,713	45,083	238,942
45—54	341,753	272,920	7,724	61,109	175,599
55—64	221,884	154,076	3,653	64,155	110,948
65—74	123,490	74,807	1,349	47,334	58,521
75 & over	64,216	31,969	783	31,464	31,533
Age not stated	7,599	2,908	3,428	1,263	3,957
RURAL					
All Ages	3,707,352	1,984,485	1,444,392	278,475	1,861,850
Under 1 year	178,757	...	178,757	...	89,639
1—4	362,037	...	362,037	...	179,886
5—14	892,475	174,947	714,878	2,650	461,439
15—24	571,077	434,349	124,947	11,781	281,533
25—34	551,956	490,874	33,927	27,155	274,094
35—44	436,040	379,829	13,763	42,448	219,643
45—54	319,542	254,266	7,384	57,892	161,825
55—64	209,856	145,594	3,384	60,878	104,410
65—74	117,337	71,348	1,280	44,709	55,656
75 & over	61,041	30,499	729	29,813	29,931
Age not stated	7,234	2,779	3,306	1,149	3,794
URBAN					
All Ages	265,269	142,234	106,915	16,120	145,485
Under 1 year	12,358	...	12,358	...	6,830
1—4	26,931	...	26,931	...	13,503
5—14	62,001	9,924	51,966	111	31,571
15—24	46,504	33,987	11,717	800	25,588
25—34	41,483	37,654	2,139	1,690	23,752
35—44	32,060	28,475	950	2,635	19,299
45—54	22,211	18,654	340	3,217	13,774
55—64	12,028	8,482	269	3,277	6,538
65—74	6,153	3,459	69	2,625	2,865
75 & over	3,175	1,470	54	1,651	1,602
Age not stated	365	129	122	114	169

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

				Females		
Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1,060,818	849,533	96,984	1,965,286	1,065,901	701,774	197,611
...	96,469	...	94,646	...	94,646	...
...	193,389	...	195,579	...	195,579	...
77,109	414,903	998	461,466	107,762	351,941	1,763
207,377	95,060	4,684	310,460	260,959	41,604	7,897
261,032	26,467	10,347	295,593	267,496	9,599	18,498
213,044	11,204	14,694	229,158	195,260	3,509	30,389
151,056	6,044	18,499	166,154	121,864	1,680	42,610
87,868	2,725	20,355	110,936	66,208	928	43,800
42,388	961	15,172	64,969	32,419	388	32,162
19,438	622	11,473	32,683	12,531	161	19,991
1,506	1,689	762	3,642	1,402	1,739	501
980,273	790,172	91,405	1,845,502	1,004,212	654,220	187,070
...	89,639	...	89,118	...	89,118	...
...	179,886	...	182,151	...	182,151	...
72,863	387,595	981	431,036	102,084	327,283	1,669
190,673	86,647	4,213	289,544	243,676	38,300	7,568
239,661	24,656	9,777	277,862	251,213	9,271	17,378
195,558	10,385	13,700	216,397	184,271	3,378	28,748
138,756	5,746	17,323	157,717	115,510	1,638	40,569
82,535	2,477	19,398	105,446	63,059	907	41,480
40,306	907	14,443	61,681	31,042	373	30,266
18,502	576	10,853	31,110	11,997	153	18,960
1,419	1,658	717	3,440	1,360	1,648	432
0,545	59,361	5,579	119,784	61,689	47,554	10,541
...	6,830	...	5,528	...	5,528	...
...	13,503	...	13,428	...	13,428	...
4,246	27,308	17	30,430	5,678	24,658	94
16,704	8,413	471	20,916	17,283	3,304	329
21,371	1,811	570	17,731	16,283	328	1,120
17,486	819	994	12,761	10,989	131	1,641
12,300	298	1,176	8,437	6,354	42	2,041
5,333	248	957	5,490	3,149	21	2,320
2,082	54	729	3,288	1,377	15	1,896
936	46	620	1,573	534	8	1,031
87	31	45	202	42	91	69

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of

						POPU-	
Number of Sample Households						All	
State & District	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	Persons		
					Married	Others	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
BIHAR—							
Total . . .	13,418	7,134	2,871	3,413	44,888	41,006	
Rural . . .	11,465	6,944	2,744	1,777	38,786	34,585	
Urban . . .	1,953	190	127	1,636	6,102	6,421	
Patna—							
T . . .	2,384	1,152	602	630	9,233	7,983	
R . . .	2,210	1,148	580	482	8,830	7,592	
U . . .	174	4	22	148	403	391	
Champan—							
T . . .	3,321	1,624	1,316	381	10,614	10,382	
R . . .	3,171	1,592	1,290	289	10,133	9,987	
U . . .	150	32	26	92	481	395	
Bhagalpur—							
T . . .	2,989	1,107	782	1,100	10,299	8,166	
R . . .	2,087	1,007	740	340	7,325	4,932	
U . . .	902	100	42	760	2,974	3,234	
Ranchi—							
T . . .	4,724	3,251	171	1,302	14,742	14,475	
R . . .	3,997	3,197	134	666	12,498	12,074	
U . . .	727	54	37	636	2,244	2,401	

Sample Household Population by Districts, 1952

LATION

Classes

Males		Females		Livelihood Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V—VIII	
Married	Others	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
22,406	21,858	22,482	19,148	25,011	23,746	8,210	7,850	11,043	10,034
19,298	18,468	19,488	16,117	24,276	23,047	7,848	7,512	5,642	5,046
3,108	3,390	2,994	3,031	735	699	362	338	5,401	4,988
4,740	4,209	4,493	3,774	4,885	4,497	2,022	1,946	2,042	1,824
4,530	3,965	4,300	3,627	4,874	4,489	1,980	1,914	1,641	1,524
210	244	193	147	11	8	42	32	401	300
5,236	5,567	5,378	4,815	5,925	5,656	3,659	3,493	1,219	1,044
4,999	5,351	5,134	4,636	5,794	5,528	3,599	3,436	957	806
237	216	244	179	131	128	60	57	262	238
5,179	4,360	5,120	3,806	3,789	3,468	2,105	1,988	3,645	3,470
3,657	2,722	3,668	2,210	3,388	3,093	1,956	1,839	1,035	946
1,522	1,638	1,452	1,596	401	375	149	149	2,610	2,524
7,251	7,722	7,491	6,753	10,412	10,125	424	423	4,137	3,696
6,112	6,430	6,386	5,644	10,220	9,937	313	323	2,009	1,770
1,139	1,292	1,105	1,109	192	188	111	100	2,128	1,926

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Bihar State, 1952

POPULATION

Age Group	Persons			Males		Females	
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TOTAL							
All Ages	85,894	44,888	41,006	22,406	21,858	22,482	19,148
Under 1 year	3,006	...	3,006	...	1,556	...	1,450
1-4	10,112	...	10,112	...	5,142	...	4,970
5-9	11,904	312	11,592	79	5,858	233	5,734
10-14	9,878	2,056	7,822	592	4,790	1,464	3,032
15-19	7,203	4,347	2,856	1,594	1,937	2,753	919
20-24	7,963	6,864	1,099	3,056	818	3,808	281
25-29	7,199	6,635	564	3,292	412	3,343	152
30-34	6,300	5,884	416	3,091	219	2,793	197
35-39	5,146	4,793	353	2,433	165	2,360	188
40-44	4,807	4,360	447	2,434	149	1,926	298
45-49	3,607	3,146	461	1,829	149	1,317	312
50-54	3,203	2,656	547	1,674	187	982	360
55-59	1,854	1,392	462	828	130	564	332
60-64	1,869	1,274	595	796	147	478	448
65-69	791	539	252	321	67	218	185
70-74	626	376	250	236	79	140	171
75 & over	426	254	172	151	53	103	119
Age not stated
RURAL							
All Ages	73,371	38,786	34,585	19,298	18,468	19,488	16,117
Under 1 year	2,588	...	2,588	...	1,341	...	1,247
1-4	8,416	...	8,416	...	4,367	...	4,049
5-9	9,863	303	9,560	77	4,957	226	4,603
10-14	8,218	1,814	6,404	568	3,763	1,246	2,641
15-19	6,381	3,840	2,541	1,462	1,709	2,378	832
20-24	6,922	5,963	959	2,630	713	3,333	246
25-29	6,386	5,879	507	2,946	378	2,933	129
30-34	5,419	5,040	379	2,643	202	2,397	177
35-39	4,410	4,082	328	2,104	154	1,978	174
40-44	4,027	3,602	425	2,022	142	1,580	283
45-49	3,035	2,611	424	1,465	139	1,146	285
50-54	2,688	2,195	493	1,316	166	879	327
55-59	1,629	1,219	410	705	116	514	294
60-64	1,688	1,152	536	706	138	446	398
65-69	721	502	219	296	58	206	161
70-74	584	348	236	215	76	133	160
75 & over	396	236	160	143	49	93	111
Age not stated
URBAN							
All Ages	12,523	6,102	6,421	3,108	3,390	2,994	3,031
Under 1 year	418	...	418	...	215	...	203
1-4	1,696	...	1,696	...	775	...	921
5-9	2,041	9	2,032	2	901	7	1,131
10-14	1,660	242	1,418	24	1,027	218	391
15-19	822	507	315	132	228	375	87
20-24	1,041	901	140	426	105	475	35
25-29	813	756	57	346	34	410	23
30-34	881	844	37	448	17	396	20
35-39	736	711	25	329	11	382	14
40-44	780	758	22	412	7	346	15
45-49	572	535	37	364	10	171	27
50-54	515	461	54	358	21	103	39
55-59	225	173	52	123	14	50	98
60-64	181	122	59	90	9	32	50
65-69	70	37	33	25	9	12	24
70-74	42	28	14	21	3	7	11
75 & over	30	18	12	8	4	10	8
Age not stated

V—Number of Births in Sample Households by

TOTAL								
State & District	Number of Mothers				Persons			
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
BIHAR—								
Total . . .	2,915	1,625	529	761	2,915	1,625	529	761
Rural . . .	2,538	1,594	511	433	2,538	1,594	511	433
Urban . . .	377	31	18	328	377	31	18	328
Patna—								
T . . .	616	321	155	140	616	321	155	140
R . . .	582	320	153	109	582	320	153	109
U . . .	34	1	2	31	34	1	2	31
Champan—								
T . . .	850	488	262	100	850	488	262	100
R . . .	821	482	258	81	821	482	258	81
U . . .	29	6	4	19	29	6	4	19
Bhagalpur—								
T . . .	540	181	97	262	540	181	97	262
R . . .	357	166	88	103	357	166	88	103
U . . .	183	15	9	159	183	15	9	159
Ranchi—								
T . . .	909	635	15	259	909	635	15	259
R . . .	778	626	12	140	778	626	12	140
U . . .	131	9	3	119	131	9	3	119

Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

NUMBER OF BIRTHS								WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED					
Males				Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1,600	879	281	440	1,315	746	248	321	230	208	1,218	1,008	152	99
1,381	858	271	252	1,157	736	240	181	211	193	1,104	901	66	63
219	21	10	188	158	10	8	140	19	15	114	107	86	36
370	203	80	87	246	118	75	53	76	55	265	165	29	26
352	202	79	71	230	118	74	38	72	49	251	155	29	26
18	1	1	16	16	...	1	15	4	6	14	10
449	248	143	58	401	240	119	42	67	55	382	346
435	246	141	48	386	236	117	33	64	53	371	333
14	2	2	10	15	4	2	9	3	2	11	13
315	108	54	153	225	73	43	109	37	32	155	120	123	73
197	95	48	54	160	71	40	49	35	32	125	91	37	37
118	13	6	99	65	2	3	60	2	...	30	29	86	36
466	320	4	142	443	315	11	117	50	66	416	377
397	315	3	79	381	311	9	61	40	59	357	322
69	5	1	63	62	4	2	56	10	7	59	55

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

Order of Birth	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	2,915	9,256	7,363	3	4	4	1,167	2,213	1,856
1st	686	686	626	2	2	2	511	511	469
2nd	673	1,346	1,156	1	2	2	409	818	688
3rd	512	1,536	1,269	156	468	379
4th	373	1,492	1,231	63	252	196
5th	272	1,360	1,083	12	60	43
6th	189	1,134	861	12	72	57
7th & above	210	1,702	1,137	4	32	24
RURAL									
All Orders	2,538	8,127	6,372	3	4	4	960	1,796	1,473
1st	618	618	564	2	2	2	447	447	410
2nd	562	1,124	950	1	2	2	312	624	508
3rd	441	1,323	1,070	125	375	294
4th	317	1,268	1,039	52	208	155
5th	234	1,170	913	10	50	34
6th	167	1,002	756	10	60	48
7th & above	199	1,622	1,080	4	32	24
URBAN									
All Orders	377	1,129	991	207	417	383
1st	68	68	62	64	64	59
2nd	111	222	206	97	194	180
3rd	71	213	199	31	93	85
4th	56	224	192	11	44	41
5th	38	190	170	2	10	9
6th	22	132	105	2	12	9
7th & above	11	80	57

Household of Bihar State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1952

OF MOTHER

25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1,225	4,310	3,434	452	2,340	1,752	57	329	260	11	60	57
146	146	135	22	22	16	2	2	1	3	3	3
235	470	414	27	54	50	1	2	2
284	852	708	64	192	161	8	24	21
221	884	730	77	308	258	10	40	39	2	8	8
176	880	700	76	380	307	8	40	33
96	576	430	70	420	323	10	60	46	1	6	5
67	502	317	116	964	637	18	161	118	5	43	41
1,101	3,835	3,019	412	2,136	1,589	51	296	230	11	60	57
143	143	132	21	21	16	2	2	1	3	3	3
221	442	388	27	54	50	1	2	2
249	747	607	59	177	148	8	24	21
184	736	607	69	276	230	10	40	39	2	8	8
152	760	590	67	335	270	5	25	19
90	540	399	59	354	274	7	42	30	1	6	5
62	467	296	110	919	601	18	161	118	5	43	41
124	475	415	40	204	163	6	33	30
3	3	3	1	1
14	28	26
35	105	101	5	15	13
37	148	123	8	32	28
24	120	110	9	45	37	3	15	14
6	36	31	11	66	49	3	18	16
5	35	21	6	45	36

VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic

		TOTAL NUMBER							
		Persons				Males			
State & District	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
BIHAR—									
Total . . .	1,316	705	242	369	681	388	132	161	
Rural . . .	1,020	671	217	132	565	367	121	77	
Urban . . .	296	34	25	237	116	21	11	84	
Patna—									
T . . .	259	143	69	47	143	83	36	24	
R . . .	250	142	69	39	139	82	36	21	
U . . .	9	1	...	8	4	1	...	3	
Champan—									
T . . .	305	171	104	30	175	93	60	22	
R . . .	291	167	101	23	165	90	58	17	
U . . .	14	4	3	7	10	3	2	5	
Bhagalpur—									
T . . .	349	85	56	208	148	48	31	69	
R . . .	127	64	39	24	75	37	23	15	
U . . .	222	21	17	184	73	11	8	54	
Ranchi—									
T . . .	403	306	13	84	215	164	5	46	
R . . .	352	298	8	46	186	158	4	24	
U . . .	51	8	5	38	29	6	1	22	

Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

OF DEATHS				WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED					
Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
635	317	110	208	97	86	576	548	8	1
455	304	96	55	92	76	465	378	8	1
180	13	14	153	5	10	111	170
116	60	33	23	34	29	109	87
111	60	33	18	33	25	106	86
5	5	1	4	3	1
130	78	44	8	27	20	148	110
126	77	43	6	26	18	139	108
4	1	1	2	1	2	9	2
201	37	25	199	16	14	124	186	8	1
52	27	16	9	16	14	51	37	8	1
149	10	9	130	73	149
188	142	8	38	20	23	195	165
166	140	4	22	17	19	169	147
22	2	4	16	3	4	26	18

VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Bihar State by Sex and Age at Death

NUMBER OF DEATHS

Age Group	Total			Rural			Urban		
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
All Ages	1,316	681	635	1,020	565	455	296	116	180
Under 1 year	302	159	143	247	133	114	55	26	29
1—4	289	145	144	190	102	88	99	43	56
5—9	102	51	51	70	35	35	32	16	16
10—14	50	25	25	41	22	19	9	3	6
15—19	18	9	9	17	8	9	1	1	...
20—24	39	16	23	33	13	20	6	3	3
25—29	31	13	18	27	13	14	4	...	4
30—34	46	22	24	43	21	22	3	1	2
35—39	28	16	12	26	15	11	2	1	1
40—44	31	15	16	28	13	15	3	2	1
45—49	42	28	14	34	22	12	8	6	2
50—54	51	35	16	43	32	11	8	3	5
55—59	33	14	19	19	13	6	14	1	13
60—64	81	39	42	57	35	22	24	4	20
65—69	62	39	23	49	36	13	13	3	10
70—74	41	19	22	32	16	16	9	3	6
75 & over	65	36	29	61	36	25	4	...	4
Age not stated	5	...	5	3	...	3	2	...	2

ASSAM, MANIPUR & TRIPURA

**Note on the Sample Census of Births and Deaths, 1952,
Assam, Manipur & Tripura**

By

(SHRI S. C. SHARMA, Director of Statistics & ex-officio Superintendent of Census Operations)

With a view to securing the maximum benefit at minimum cost in connection with the Improvement of Population Data, it was decided by the Government of India with the concurrence of the State Governments to revise the N. R. Cs. of selected districts in the State and to make a sample verification in one out of every twenty households of the selected areas. It was originally intended to conduct this operation along with the revision of Electoral Rolls, but as the decision arrived at was not made in time it could not be so combined and had to be undertaken independently. In order to avoid complication the element of migration was omitted from the verification slip but the State of Assam being on the border of a Foreign State two columns were added showing the particulars of inter-state migrants.

2. For reviewing the N. R. Cs. *i.e.* to bring their

entries up-to-date, and for conducting the sample household verification, the same areas as were covered for the purpose of electoral rolls revision (which formed approximately one-fifth the district area in each district) were selected with a view to having both the operations combined in future years. The State Government in pursuance of the proposal of the Registrar General, India, decided that in the experimental scheme of 1952-53, the operation should be carried out in three selected districts—Sibsagar, Goalpara and United Khasi-Jaintia Hills—the first representing the conditions in a Plain Rayatwari District, the second a Plain Permanently Settled District and the third a typical Hill District.

3. The following areas were selected for the revision of N. R. Cs. and household verification in a sample of households numbering approximately one-twentieth of the total number of households in the areas of the three districts covered for the review of N.R.Cs.

District & Sub-division	No. of Town	No. of Villages or Mauzas	No. of households (1951 Census)	Population (1951 Census)	Experimental Census	
					No. of households verified	Population
United Khasi-Jaintia Hills						
Jowai	...	15 villages	2,617	13,818	127	675
Sadar (Shillong Town)	1	...	10,735	58,512	485	2,586
Total United K-J Hills			13,352	72,330	612	3,261
Goalpara						
Goalpara	1	11 Unions	17,431	87,687	800	4,254
Dhubri	2	South Salmara, Dhubri Thanas & Forest villages	40,916	255,339	1,686	11,047
Total Goalpara			58,347	343,026	2,486	15,301
Sibsagar						
Sibsagar	2	6 Mauzas	18,052	107,295	933	5,611
Jorhat	1	5 „	26,000	108,728	997	5,022
Golaghat	1	4 „	11,662	64,563	505	2,914
Total Sibsagar			55,714	280,586	2,435	13,547
ASSAM			127,413	695,942	5,533	32,109
Manipur State						
Imphal Sadar	1	...	25,497	131,470	1,221	6,474
Imphal East	7,902	35,409	359	1,630
Imphal West	9,571	46,007	422	1,950
MANIPUR			42,970	212,886	1,996	10,054

4. In order to prepare an up-to-date N. R. C., every name in the household was investigated to see whether it was a valid entry. Any N. R. C. compiled in course of the 1951 Census might fail in two ways :

(i) It might contain surplus names *i.e.* names of persons who have died before the date of visit

and subsequent to the date of enumeration of the 1951 Census, and should not therefore be in the up-to-date N.R. C.; fictitious names; multiple entries.

(ii) It might fail to contain names which should be on it: *e.g.* new births, permanent mi-

grants, etc. This investigation included personal visit to each sample household and extraction of information from the head of the household himself whenever possible; otherwise from any other responsible member of the household.

5. The sample household was taken primarily to investigate into the components bearing upon the size and growth—in particular, the birth and death rates in the population, and for measuring the extent of omission of the registration of vital occurrences. Important characteristics of the population such as, its distribution by age and by occupation were also sought to be collected

in the Experimental Sample Census. The questionnaire that was used for eliciting the information from the households consisted of three Forms. Form I included spaces for sex, age, class of occupation aside from the space required for information concerning permanent interstate migration. Form II was the Birth Slip and Form III the Death Slip.

6. The period of enumeration covered the year ending 30th November, 1952 with the instruction to complete enumeration within six weeks from 1st December, 1952. The last contingent of field returns from Assam and Manipur were received in the Superintendent's office by the last week of April, 1953.

STATEMENT SHOWING THE DATES OF COMMENCING AND COMPLETING THE REVISION OF N. R. Cs.,
DATES OF COMMENCING AND COMPLETING THE HOUSEHOLD VERIFICATION AND
THE DATES OF RECEIVING RECORDS IN SUPERINTENDENT'S OFFICE

State & District	Sub-division and Tahsil	Dates of commencing and completing the revision of N. R. Cs.	Dates of commencing and completing the household verification	Dates of receiving records in Superintendent's office	Remarks
		3	4	5	6
ASSAM					
United Khasi-Jaintia Hills					
	Jowai	4-12-52 to 10-1-53	...	23-2-53	Col. 4--Information not furnished by S. D. O. Jowai. Col. 4. Information not furnished by D. C., United K-J Hills.
	Shillong	12-12-52 to 15-12-52	...	23-2-53 8-5-53	
Goalpara	Goalpara	13-12-52 to 10-2-53	17-12-52 to 13-2-53	9-3-53 24-4-53	North Salmara, Dudnai & Goalpara Thanas
	Dhubri	20-11-52 to 15-1-53* 28-11-52 to 25-1-53*	17-12-52 to 2-2-53 20-12-52 to 2-2-53	24-3-53 27-3-53 28-3-53 24-4-53	*South Salmara Thana *Dhubri Thana & Forest villages.
Sibsagar	Sibsagar	2-1-53 to 31-1-53	7-1-53 to 11-2-53	23-2-53 15-4-53	Sibsagar, Amguri & Nazira Thanas
	Jorhat	18-12-52 to 28-1-53	2-1-53 to 29-1-53	13-2-53 21-3-53 15-4-53	Jorhat & Titabor Thanas
	Golaghat	12-1-53 to 13-2-53	20-1-53 to 13-2-53	7-2-53 15-4-53	Golaghat & Dergaon Thanas
MANIPUR STATE	Imphal Town	18-1-53 to 31-3-53	18-1-53 to 9-4-53	21-4-53	
	„ East				
	„ West				
TRIPURA STATE	Agartala	10-3-53 to 25-5-53	20-3-53 to 31-5-53	19-8-53*	*Compiled tables
	Kailashahar Sonamura				

7.

8. The enumerators selected were already trained for carrying out the 1951 Census and the people enumerated were more or less census-minded owing to constant propaganda. Hence the 1952 Experimental Census and the Revision of N. R. Cs. were completed more smoothly at a lesser cost and the results achieved are

expected to be more accurate. In recording births and deaths the enumerators were instructed to record events that took place during the preceding 12 months, but some of them recorded earlier events also. The inaccuracies noticed were not serious. Hence these were left unadjusted so as to keep the recorded figures intact. Other minor irregularities were negligible.

9. The following statement shows the number of persons and survival rate arrived at for Assam and Manipur States. The detailed calculations are shown in the annexed tables.

	PERSONS	
	Assam	Manipur
(i) Number of persons in the sample households at the time of the census	32,109	10,054
(ii) Number of births occurring during the year	1,116	445
(iii) Number of deaths occurring during the year	455	205
(iv) Crude birth rate (per 1,000 population)	35	44
(v) Crude death rate (per 1,000 population)	14	20
(vi) Crude survival rate (birth rate minus death rate)	21	24

10. The total cost excluding printing on account of Census 1951 was Rs. 4,54,000 which works out at Rs. 44/5/- per 1,000 of population. The total cost on account of Experimental Census of 1952 including the revision of N. R. Cs. is Rs. 42/- per 1,000 of population

involved. Substantial reduction in this latter cost could have been achieved if it were possible to synchronise the field work of the experimental census with the operation of the electoral rolls revision in the selected districts.

MANIPUR

The work in connection with the Experimental Census 1952 was undertaken on the 10th March, 1953 and completed on the 31st May, 1953. The following areas were selected for this purpose :

- (1) Agartala Town and Charilam Tahashil in Sadar Division,
- (2) Kailashahar and Fatikroy Tahashil in Kailashahar Division, and
- (3) Sonamura Division. The revision of National Register of Citizens took place from

10th March to 25th May, 1953 and household verification from the 20th March to 31st May, 1953. It covered 552 villages with a population of 163,665. The period of enumeration relates to the 12 preceding months ending the 28th February, 1953.

2.	*	*	*
3.	*	*	*
4.	*	*	*

Note of a Discussion held under the Chairmanship of the Chief Secretary

Discussion on the Improvement of Population Data in a meeting held in the office of the Chief Secretary at 11 A. M. on 29th April, 1953. Among those present were the Chief Secretary, the Chief Electoral Officer, Director of Public Health, Director of Public Instruction and Director of Statistics & Ex-officio Superintendent of Census Operations. The Inspector General of Civil Hospitals who was also requested to attend the meeting could not do so owing to other urgent work. The agreed decision arrived at after considering the suggestions of the District Officers and a tentative scheme on the subject prepared by the Director of Statistics and Ex-officio Superintendent of Census Operations is recorded below:—

Necessity of Population Data—India as a Welfare State is wedded to the principle of securing 'justice, social, economic and political' for each and every one of her citizens from the pre-natal stage to the old age. Changes in the economic and the social structure of a community affects its birth rate, death rate and the current of migration and thus influence the growth, structure and spatial distribution of the population. Conversely, changes in population, size of family units, structure and geographical distribution react upon such economic features as consumer's needs, labour, supply, capital formation, land utilization and the volume of production. The disadvantages of growing numbers, especially in an agricultural country like India, are that the amount of land available per head of the population diminishes with the unchecked or unwanted growth of its numbers and that the growing numbers use up merely for bare existence all the capital resources which might otherwise be devoted for productive measures to raise the standard of living.

The decennial census which provides no doubt a cross-section of the population size and composition at a given time and extremely useful bench-mark statistics for projection purposes, has but limited usefulness unless there is also periodic information for appraisal of the trend of population growth. By its very nature the population problem of a country will always be

changing, with changes in family structure, in outlook and in social and economic influences. It will require a continuous study to find out demographic facts in relation to the current population trends, to investigate causes of these trends and their probable consequences, in order to consider what measures for population planning should be taken in the national interest to influence the future trend of population so as to balance the growth in population and production within the limit of the country's available resources. In fact, population statistics have their application in the apportionment of representatives in the Central and the State Legislatures, in the distribution of revenue, expenditure and direct taxes, in the initiation of any scheme meant for the social and economic well-being of the people and assessment of its results, besides a host of other uses in running day to day affairs of a modern public administration.

2. The basic materials for study are the census data and vital statistics. The vital statistics not only fill up the gaps between two censuses but also throw a considerable light on the various factors (such as age, sex, community, economic condition) which affect the birth and death rates. Knowledge of correct mortality and morbidity statistics is an essential pre-requisite for organising the health services. Important gaps in vital statistical data have to be filled up and in respect of quality there are serious defects to be remedied. The defects of the present system of registration are (i) incompleteness in the recording of events, (ii) inaccuracy of the registered cause of death and (iii) faulty compilation.

3. *Area of registration*—At present compulsory registration is in force in towns and tea-gardens. The experimental census of 1952 reveals that there was a large number of omissions even in some urban areas. As the registration agency in towns, tea-gardens, forest villages and railway areas is sufficiently literate for the purpose, the existing arrangement need not be disturbed but supervision requires tightening up for qualitative and quantitative improvement of the data collected. Voluntary registration system, already in existence

in the entire rural areas of all the Plains districts, should be revitalised with the village school teachers as registrars, a population of about 1,500 or 4 villages being placed in charge of each. There are 17,948 villages in the Plains and 7,379 in the Hills and the average population per village in the former is 416 against 157 in the Hills. In the Hills the registration should be introduced gradually in the concentrated areas, contiguity being the criterion for determining the size of each charge.

4. *Population Records*—Every Registrar will maintain three registers, namely National Register of Citizens, Register of Births and Register of Deaths and also a Migration Register, if considered necessary at least for border districts to note the territorial migration. They will also act as the field agency for the annual sample census of households.

5. *Duties of the Registrars*—The Registrars will enter the relevant particulars in the Registers of Births and Deaths. These events in the particular households should be noted in the National Register of Citizens as well in which no other population changes need be recorded.

In addition to the maintenance of these registers, the Registrars of the areas selected for simultaneous rotational revision of National Registers of Citizens and Electoral Rolls, once in five years, will have to carry out the revision in their respective charges.

6. *Number of Registrars—Plains*—If each Registrar is placed in charge of a household population of 1,500 the number required for the seven Plains districts comes to 4,284 or 4,300. In the Hills area a start will be made with 200 Registrars, the ultimate aim being to cover the entire rural population of 1,155,868 in five years. In Assam there are now 16,988 L. P. teachers against requirement of 4,500 Registrars.

7. *Number of Registration Supervisors*—The Health Survey and Development Committee in 1946, recommended that "the Registrar must be trained for his work and he should, besides attending his office at stated hours, inspect the area under his jurisdiction, in order to ensure that un-registered events, if any, are brought on record. There is no need for a large scale provision for the supervision of these Registrars."

The L. P. teacher being a non-official has an established personal and unbiased relation with the villagers and can easily contact them through his pupils and during holidays. Necessary training will be imparted to him by the Public Health Departmental agency.

A small provision has been made for 300 Registration Supervisors for the Plains and 10 for the Hills to be drawn from the S. Is. and A. S. Is. of Schools, Rural Health Inspectors and Health Assistants and Officers of similar rank.

8. *Chief Registration Officer*—The Sub-divisional Medical Officer of Health or an Officer of similar rank of the Public Health Department.

9. *Compilation*—Compilation will be made by the Chief Registration Officer for each Sub-division from the monthly returns received direct from the Registrars and the consolidation of figures for the districts and the

State will be made in the office of the Director of Population Records. This will reduce the stages of compilation and minimise consequent errors.

10. *National Health Week*—A small sum has been provided for posters etc. to be utilised as an additional item for Vital Statistics in the occasional celebration of Education Week, Health Week, etc. Observance of a Health Week independently for this purpose will be too costly without corresponding benefit for want of good communication in rural areas.

11. *Rural Panchayats*—The question of improving the population data through the Rural Panchayats was also considered. They are still in their infancy and so few in number that they cannot be saddled with this responsibility until they grow into a full-bodied working institution.

12. *Registration of Pregnancy*—The system adopted in Uttar Pradesh through compulsory vaccination was also considered in this connection. It does not appear to be practicable in Assam in the absence of any legal cover either for vaccination or for registration of pregnancy in the rural areas. Nor are there sufficient number of vaccinators under the Government and the Local Boards so as to secure that every village can be visited by one vaccinator once every 6 months.

It may however be worthwhile to try the plan in certain limited areas where provision for compulsory vaccination exists and where it is not likely to invite public criticism.

13. *Cost*—A statement showing the probable cost is annexed. The scheme has been worked out on the basis of ex-officio arrangements except for a wholetime small office staff in the Office of the Director of Population Records. The cost under "Superintendence" has been estimated on the basis of the present skeleton staff maintained for the Improvement of Population Data. Also honoraria to Registrars at Rs. 36/- per annum and to Registration Supervisors at Rs. 50/- per annum have been provided as unanimously agreed to at the meeting.

Statement of annual expenditure in connection with the Improvement of Population Data

A—SUPERINTENDENCE—

Special Pay :	Rs.
Special Pay attached to the post of Director of Statistics appointed as Ex-officio Director of Population Records @ Rs. 200/-	2,400/-
Pay of Establishment :	
Assistant to the Director of Population Records @ Rs. 300/- (300-20-400)	
Two Inspectors-Computors @ Rs. 80/- each (80-6-220)	7,200/-
One Lower Division Assistant and one Typist @ Rs. 55/- each (55-3-80-5-130)	
One Peon @ Rs. 30/- (30-1-35)	
Allowances & Honoraria :	
Dearness & other Allowances	Rs. 5,300/-
Travelling Allowance	Rs. 3,000/-
Contingencies	1,200/-
Total	19,100/-

B—ENUMERATION—

<i>Allowances & Honoraria :</i>	Rs.
Allowances of 4,500 Registrars @ Rs. 36/- (4,300 in the Plains and 200 in the Hills)	1,62,000/-
Supervisors 315 (300 in Plains and 15 in Hills) @ Rs. 50/-	15,750/-
Travelling Allowance of Field Staff	9,000/-
For rotational revision of N. R. Cs. and Sample Census of Household in urban and tea-garden areas	7,000/-
Total	1,93,750/-

Contingencies @ Rs. 5/- for 4,500 Registrars	Rs. 22,500/-
Propaganda work	2,000/-
Improvement of N. R. Cs.	1,000/-
Total	2,19,250/-

C—ABSTRACTION & COMPILATION 5,000/-

E—PRINTING OF FORMS ETC.

	6,500/-
GRAND TOTAL	2,49,850/-
Or	2,50,000/-

TABLES

I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered

				POPUL.
State & District				Persons
1	2	3	4	
ASSAM	Total	85,012	1,729,780	9,043,707
	Rural	...	1,655,097	8,629,289
	Urban	...	74,683	414,418
Cachar	T	2,692	221,309	1,115,865
	R	...	211,324	1,054,489
	U	...	9,985	61,376
Goalpara	T	3,987	202,436	1,108,124
	R	...	195,362	1,067,495
	U	...	7,074	40,629
Kamrup	T	3,849	276,464	1,490,392
	R	...	264,674	1,416,512
	U	...	11,790	73,880
Darrang	T	2,314	184,740	913,841
	R	...	180,683	891,390
	U	...	4,057	22,451
Nowgong	T	2,169	154,013	886,955
	R	...	146,334	843,420
	U	...	7,679	43,535
Sibsagar	T	3,454	228,283	1,212,224
	R	...	220,710	1,172,905
	U	...	7,573	39,319
Lakhimpur	T	4,068	219,637	1,078,157
	R	...	207,492	1,021,728
	U	...	12,145	56,429
United Khasi and Jaintia Hills	T	5,533	64,340	363,599
	R	...	53,605	305,087
	U	...	10,735	58,512
Naga Hills	T	4,276	50,333	205,950
	R	...	49,362	201,825
	U	...	971	4,125
Lushai Hills	T	8,149	32,837	196,202
	R	...	31,669	189,252
	U	...	1,168	6,950
Garo Hills	T	3,160	53,958	242,075
	R	...	53,958	242,075
	U
United Mikir North Cochar Hills	T	5,892	27,954	165,440
	R	...	27,508	163,272
	U	...	446	2,168
Mishmi Hills	T	9,390	6,461	32,163
	R	...	5,401	27,119
	U	...	1,060	5,044
Abor Hills	T	8,544	2,250	10,761
	R	...	2,250	10,761
	U
Tirap Frontier Tract	T	2,876	1,258	5,213
	R	...	1,258	5,213
	U
Balipara Frontier Tract	T	12,104	2,037	9,721
	R	...	2,037	9,721
	U
Naga Tribal Area	T	2,055	1,470	7,025
	R	...	1,470	7,025
	U
MANIPUR	Total	8,628	116,034	577,635
	Rural	...	116,034	574,773
	Urban	2,862
TRIPURA	Total	4,032	122,684	639,029
	Rural	...	115,132	596,434
	Urban	...	7,552	42,595

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
4,812,166	4,231,541	7,920,599	14.9	7.2
4,565,930	4,063,359	7,593,862	14.8	7.2
246,236	168,182	326,737	16.7	6.7
588,306	527,559	1,131,508	18.1	9.2
552,784	501,705	1,064,507	18.3	9.3
35,522	25,854	67,001	15.6	6.6
588,999	519,125	1,117,507	12.1	7.8
565,289	502,206	1,075,445	11.9	7.9
23,710	16,919	42,062	17.1	5.3
799,391	691,001	1,513,010	7.0	3.4
754,391	662,121	1,437,277	6.4	3.0
45,000	28,880	75,733	18.7	10.2
491,618	422,223	931,545	18.3	8.2
477,284	414,106	908,247	18.4	8.2
14,334	8,117	23,298	16.0	7.6
476,578	410,377	904,569	15.4	6.6
451,365	392,055	874,784	14.9	6.5
25,213	18,322	29,785	31.2	8.9
647,932	564,292	1,225,972	20.0	9.7
624,321	548,584	1,185,535	20.3	9.9
23,611	15,708	40,437	12.2	2.9
586,306	491,851	1,096,488	15.9	6.4
550,779	470,949	1,048,067	16.2	6.5
35,527	20,902	48,421	9.8	3.8
186,652	176,947			
153,862	151,225			
32,790	25,722			
103,104	102,846			
100,732	101,093			
2,372	1,753			
96,136	100,066			
92,369	96,883	E	E	E
3,767	3,183			
124,054	118,021	L	L	L
124,054	118,021			
...	...	B	B	B
86,430	79,010			
85,128	78,144	A	A	A
1,302	866			
17,953	14,210	L	L	L
14,865	12,254	I	I	I
3,088	1,956			
6,348	4,413	A	A	A
6,348	4,413			
...	...	V	V	V
3,050	2,163			
3,050	2,163	A	A	A
...	...			
5,862	3,859			
5,862	3,859			
...	...			
3,447	3,578	T	T	T
3,447	3,578			
...	...	O	O	O
283,685	293,950			
281,932	292,041	N	N	N
1,753	1,109			
335,589	303,440			
312,385	284,049			
23,204	19,391			

NOTE: Registration data (columns 7, 8 & 9) relates to the year 1952

I-B—Registered Deaths in Assam State by Age and Sex, 1952

										Registered Deaths			
Age Group										Persons	Males	Females	
1										2	3	4	
										TOTAL			
All Ages	56,654	29,938	26,716	
Under 1 year	9,719	5,287	4,432	
1—4	7,589	4,015	3,574	
5—9	4,089	2,199	1,890	
10—14	3,132	1,696	1,436	
15—19	3,379	1,585	1,794	
20—29	5,705	2,487	3,218	
30—39	5,560	2,839	2,721	
40—49	5,016	2,802	2,214	
50—59	4,994	2,912	2,082	
60 & over	7,471	4,116	3,355	
										RURAL			
All Ages	54,455	28,659	25,796	
Under 1 year	9,395	5,100	4,295	
1—4	7,349	3,887	3,462	
5—9	3,969	2,142	1,827	
10—14	3,043	1,640	1,403	
15—19	3,267	1,540	1,727	
20—29	5,405	2,325	3,080	
30—39	5,307	2,682	2,625	
40—49	4,783	2,652	2,131	
50—59	4,804	2,787	2,017	
60 & over	7,133	3,904	3,229	
										URBAN			
All Ages	2,199	1,279	920	
Under 1 year	324	187	137	
1—4	240	128	112	
5—9	120	57	63	
10—14	89	56	33	
15—19	112	45	67	
20—29	300	162	138	
30—39	253	157	96	
40—49	233	150	83	
50—59	190	125	65	
60 & over	338	212	126	

										Registered Deaths		
										Persons	Males	Females
Age Group										2	3	4
1												
										TOTAL		
All Ages	2,878	1,648	1,230
Under 1 year	93	58	35
1—4	599	365	234
5—9	240	142	98
10—14	177	102	75
15—19	224	117	107
20—29	297	164	133
30—39	266	148	118
40—49	230	138	92
50—59	282	148	134
60 & over	470	266	204
										RURAL		
All Ages	1,738	992	746
Under 1 year	53	32	21
1—4	350	215	135
5—9	146	84	62
10—14	115	66	49
15—19	142	81	61
20—29	183	95	88
30—39	159	89	70
40—49	148	87	61
50—59	181	94	87
60 & over	261	149	112
										URBAN		
All Ages	1,140	656	484
Under 1 year	40	26	14
1—4	249	150	99
5—9	94	58	36
10—14	62	36	26
15—19	82	36	46
20—29	114	69	45
30—39	107	59	48
40—49	82	51	31
50—59	101	54	47
60 & over	209	117	92

I-B—Registered Deaths in Tripura State by Age and Sex, 1952

										Registered Deaths			
Age Group										Persons	Males	Females	
1										2	3	4	
										TOTAL			
All Ages										974	536	438	
Under 1 year										112	63	49	
1—4										68	39	29	
5—9										62	34	28	
10—14										57	31	26	
15—19										78	37	41	
20—29										126	55	71	
30—39										117	61	56	
40—49										45	23	22	
50—59										142	90	52	
60 & over										167	103	64	
										RURAL			
All Ages										602	344	258	
Under 1 year										69	35	34	
1—4										51	28	23	
5—9										45	24	21	
10—14										36	19	17	
15—19										45	23	22	
20—29										73	37	36	
30—39										80	46	34	
40—49										19	11	8	
50—59										84	59	25	
60 & over										100	62	38	
										URBAN			
All Ages										372	192	180	
Under 1 year										43	28	15	
1—4										17	11	6	
5—9										17	10	7	
10—14										21	12	9	
15—19										33	14	19	
20—29										53	18	35	
30—39										37	15	22	
40—49										26	12	14	
50—59										58	31	27	
60 & over										67	41	26	

II—Distribution of Population in Assam

Age Group	Persons				Male
	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
	1	2	3	4	5
					6
					TOTAL
All Ages	875,750	348,661	464,871	62,218	467,086
Under 1 year	30,127	...	30,127	...	15,813
1—4	114,904	...	114,904	...	57,792
5—14	231,432	6,254	225,024	154	121,867
15—24	150,059	74,567	72,392	3,100	73,591
25—34	139,027	114,548	15,923	8,556	74,366
35—44	96,703	80,085	3,292	13,326	57,210
45—54	61,791	44,918	1,544	15,329	37,110
55—64	31,446	18,694	562	12,190	17,863
65—74	12,858	6,545	206	6,107	7,318
75 & over	6,446	2,867	155	3,424	3,704
Age not stated	957	183	742	32	452
					RURAL
All Ages	839,414	334,957	444,424	60,033	445,306
Under 1 year	29,129	...	29,129	...	15,307
1—4	111,201	...	111,201	...	55,922
5—14	222,752	6,063	216,535	154	117,200
15—24	141,711	71,754	66,960	2,997	68,474
25—34	132,469	109,772	14,437	8,260	70,044
35—44	92,859	76,867	3,098	12,894	54,578
45—54	59,374	43,144	1,467	14,763	35,514
55—64	30,327	18,022	527	11,778	17,150
65—74	12,386	6,347	193	5,846	7,055
75 & over	6,264	2,805	148	3,311	3,615
Age not stated	942	183	729	30	447
					URBAN
All Ages	36,336	13,704	20,447	2,185	21,780
Under 1 year	998	...	998	...	506
1—4	3,703	...	3,703	...	1,870
5—14	8,680	191	8,489	...	4,667
15—24	8,348	2,813	5,432	103	5,117
25—34	6,558	4,776	1,486	296	4,322
35—44	3,844	3,218	194	432	2,632
45—54	2,417	1,774	77	566	1,596
55—64	1,119	672	35	412	713
65—74	472	198	13	261	263
75 & over	182	62	7	113	89
Age not stated	15	...	13	2	5

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females						
Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
180,751	266,463	19,872	408,664	167,910	198,408	42,346
...	15,813	...	14,314	...	14,314	...
...	57,792	...	57,112	...	57,112	...
1,233	120,590	44	109,565	5,021	104,434	110
18,584	54,119	888	76,468	55,983	18,273	2,212
57,523	13,348	3,495	64,661	57,025	2,575	5,061
50,061	2,559	4,590	39,493	30,024	733	8,736
31,458	1,217	4,435	24,681	13,460	327	10,894
14,056	418	3,389	13,583	4,638	144	8,801
5,286	167	1,865	5,540	1,259	39	4,242
2,444	108	1,152	2,742	423	47	2,272
106	332	14	505	77	410	18
172,494	253,551	19,261	394,108	162,463	190,873	40,772
...	15,307	...	13,822	...	13,822	...
...	55,922	...	55,279	...	55,279	...
1,212	115,944	44	105,552	4,851	100,591	110
17,733	49,071	870	73,237	54,021	17,089	2,127
54,642	11,986	3,416	62,425	55,130	2,451	4,844
47,736	2,390	4,452	38,281	29,131	708	8,442
30,077	1,154	4,283	23,860	13,067	313	10,480
13,482	393	3,275	13,177	4,540	134	8,503
5,117	154	1,784	5,331	1,230	39	4,062
2,389	103	1,123	2,649	416	45	2,188
106	327	14	495	77	402	16
8,257	12,912	611	14,556	5,447	7,535	1,574
...	506	...	492	...	492	...
...	1,870	...	1,833	...	1,833	...
21	4,646	...	4,013	170	3,843	...
851	4,248	18	3,231	1,962	1,184	85
2,881	1,362	79	2,236	1,895	124	217
2,325	169	138	1,212	893	25	294
1,381	63	152	821	393	14	414
574	25	114	406	98	10	298
169	13	81	209	29	...	180
55	5	29	93	7	2	84
...	5	...	10	...	8	2

II—Distribution of Population in Manipur

Persons					Males
Age Group	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6
					TOTAL
All Ages	57,575	22,344	30,182	5,049	28,382
Under 1 year	1,581	...	1,581	...	844
1—4	6,888	...	6,888	...	3,600
5—14	14,723	58	14,662	3	7,311
15—24	9,782	3,641	5,837	304	4,851
25—34	8,565	7,039	780	746	4,254
35—44	6,444	5,227	271	946	3,111
45—54	4,495	3,375	68	1,052	2,123
55—64	2,876	1,984	57	835	1,306
65—74	1,487	737	5	745	633
75 & over	687	267	3	417	311
Age not stated	47	16	30	1	38
					RURAL
All Ages	57,575	22,344	30,182	5,049	28,382
Under 1 year	1,581	...	1,581	...	844
1—4	6,888	...	6,888	...	3,600
5—14	14,723	58	14,662	3	7,311
15—24	9,782	3,641	5,837	304	4,851
25—34	8,565	7,039	780	746	4,254
35—44	6,444	5,227	271	946	3,111
45—54	4,495	3,375	68	1,052	2,123
55—64	2,876	1,984	57	835	1,306
65—74	1,487	737	5	745	633
75 & over	687	267	3	417	311
Age not stated	47	16	30	1	38
					URBAN
All Ages
Under 1 year
1—4
5—14
15—24
25—34
35—44
45—54
55—64
65—74
75 & over
Age not stated

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

[illegible]

II—Distribution of Population in Tripura

Persons					Males
Age Group	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6
TOTAL					
All Ages	53,636	22,478	27,177	3,981	28,242
Under 1 year	1,640	...	1,640	...	962
1—4	6,727	...	6,727	...	3,445
5—14	14,019	781	13,193	45	7,354
15—24	9,167	5,279	3,581	307	4,245
25—34	8,589	7,299	685	605	4,470
35—44	6,412	4,676	959	777	3,869
45—54	3,798	2,688	209	901	2,202
55—64	1,899	1,098	49	752	1,005
65—74	775	396	8	371	423
75 & over	429	168	39	222	231
Age not stated	181	93	87	1	36
RURAL					
All Ages	51,310	21,594	25,915	3,801	26,985
Under 1 year	1,532	...	1,532	...	908
1—4	6,479	...	6,479	...	3,315
5—14	13,426	767	12,615	44	7,048
15—24	8,693	5,080	3,310	303	3,986
25—34	8,250	7,021	643	586	4,280
35—44	6,137	4,456	947	734	3,701
45—54	3,630	2,566	206	858	2,094
55—64	1,822	1,059	49	714	977
65—74	753	389	8	356	414
75 & over	407	163	39	205	226
Age not stated	181	93	87	1	36
URBAN					
All Ages	2,326	884	1,262	180	1,257
Under 1 year	108	...	108	...	54
1—4	248	...	248	...	130
5—14	593	14	578	1	306
15—24	474	199	271	4	259
25—34	339	278	42	19	190
35—44	275	220	12	43	168
45—54	168	122	3	43	108
55—64	77	39	...	38	28
65—74	22	7	...	15	9
75 & over	22	5	...	17	5
Age not stated

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females

Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
10,839	16,390	1,013	25,394	11,639	10,787	2,968
...	962	...	678	...	678	...
...	3,445	...	3,282	...	3,282	...
36	7,318	...	6,665	745	5,875	45
1,334	2,815	96	4,922	3,945	766	211
3,657	636	177	4,119	3,642	49	428
2,737	933	199	2,543	1,939	26	578
1,790	201	211	1,596	898	8	690
811	24	170	894	287	25	582
338	8	77	352	58	...	294
135	13	83	198	33	26	139
1	35	...	145	92	52	1
10,364	15,638	983	24,325	11,230	10,277	2,818
...	908	...	624	...	624	...
...	3,315	...	3,164	...	3,164	...
34	7,014	...	6,378	733	5,601	44
1,287	2,604	95	4,707	3,793	706	208
3,511	595	174	3,970	3,510	48	412
2,586	922	193	2,436	1,870	25	541
1,695	200	199	1,536	871	6	659
786	24	167	845	273	25	547
331	8	75	339	58	...	281
133	13	80	181	30	26	125
1	35	...	145	92	52	1
473	752	30	1,069	409	510	150
...	54	...	54	...	54	...
...	130	...	118	...	118	...
2	304	...	287	12	274	1
47	211	1	215	152	60	3
146	41	3	149	132	1	16
151	11	6	107	69	1	37
95	1	12	60	27	2	31
25	...	3	49	14	...	35
7	...	2	13	13
2	...	3	17	3	...	14
...

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of

State & District	Number of Sample Households				POPU.	
	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	All	
					Persons	
					Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
ASSAM—						
Total	5,586	3,084	395	2,107	12,797	19,312
Rural	4,421	3,039	381	1,001	10,253	15,330
Urban	1,165	45	14	1,106	2,544	3,982
United K & J Hills—						
T	615	74	35	506	1,202	2,059
R	129	65	30	34	228	447
U	486	9	5	472	974	1,612
Goalpara—						
T	2,517	1,679	211	627	6,536	8,765
R	2,160	1,673	209	278	5,627	7,515
U	357	6	2	349	909	1,250
Sibsagar—						
T	2,454	1,331	149	974	5,059	8,488
R	2,132	1,301	142	689	4,398	7,368
U	322	30	7	285	661	1,120
MANIPUR—						
T	2,285	1,352	195	738	4,109	5,945
R	2,266	1,336	195	735	4,070	5,889
U	19	16	...	3	39	56
TRIPURA—						
T	1,382	670	167	545	3,145	4,347
R	1,053	661	152	240	2,413	3,045
U	329	9	15	305	732	1,302

Sample Household Population by Districts, 1952

LATION									
Classes									
Males		Females		Livelihood Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V—VIII	
Married	Others	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
6,609	10,603	6,188	8,709	10,460	9,323	1,026	870	5,726	4,704
5,129	8,450	5,124	6,880	10,284	9,183	986	849	2,309	1,972
1,480	2,153	1,064	1,829	176	140	40	21	3,417	2,732
660	1,032	542	1,027	222	207	74	75	1,396	1,287
114	212	114	235	185	184	52	62	89	103
546	820	428	792	37	23	22	13	1,307	1,184
3,363	4,845	3,173	3,920	5,758	5,187	544	481	1,906	1,425
2,802	4,151	2,825	3,364	5,707	5,153	540	478	706	558
561	694	348	556	51	34	4	3	1,200	867
2,586	4,726	2,473	3,762	4,480	3,929	408	314	2,424	1,992
2,213	4,087	2,185	3,281	4,392	3,846	394	309	1,514	1,311
979	639	288	481	88	83	14	5	910	681
1,985	2,845	2,124	3,100	3,078	3,075	259	357	1,493	1,792
1,984	2,817	2,106	3,072	3,071	3,069	259	357	1,451	1,752
21	28	18	28	7	6	42	40
1,570	2,362	1,575	1,985	1,980	1,818	380	371	1,572	1,371
1,198	1,655	1,215	1,390	1,941	1,773	350	350	562	482
372	707	360	595	39	45	30	21	1,010	889

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Assam State, 1952

POPULATION

Age Group	Persons			Males		Females	
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TOTAL							
All Ages	32,109	12,797	19,312	6,609	10,603	6,188	8,709
Under 1 year	1,201	...	1,201	...	610	...	591
1—4	4,295	...	4,295	...	2,199	...	2,096
5—9	4,942	34	4,908	7	2,566	27	2,342
10—14	3,626	187	3,439	23	1,932	164	1,507
15—19	2,840	883	1,957	99	1,297	784	660
20—24	3,023	1,912	1,111	578	888	1,334	223
25—29	2,821	2,266	555	1,109	437	1,157	118
30—34	2,357	2,076	281	1,173	167	903	114
35—39	1,771	1,565	206	970	99	595	107
40—44	1,551	1,300	251	793	98	507	153
45—49	1,107	884	223	614	75	270	148
50—54	1,015	751	264	526	75	225	189
55—59	482	324	158	253	47	71	111
60—64	528	302	226	230	64	72	162
65—69	229	144	85	109	18	35	67
70—74	169	80	89	58	16	22	73
75 & over	148	89	59	67	15	22	44
Age not stated	4	...	4	4

RURAL

All Ages	25,583	10,253	15,330	5,129	8,450	5,124	6,880
Under 1 year	1,080	...	1,080	...	549	...	531
1—4	3,612	...	3,612	...	1,857	...	1,755
5—9	4,122	31	4,091	5	2,166	26	1,925
10—14	2,880	178	2,702	23	1,553	155	1,149
15—19	2,107	744	1,363	87	926	657	437
20—24	2,303	1,573	730	451	614	1,122	116
25—29	2,131	1,785	346	848	275	937	71
30—34	1,799	1,617	182	888	103	729	79
35—39	1,398	1,244	154	757	77	487	77
40—44	1,213	1,015	198	602	78	413	120
45—49	895	713	182	482	60	231	122
50—54	789	592	197	419	58	173	139
55—59	379	257	122	195	36	62	86
60—64	436	249	187	185	55	64	132
65—69	181	110	71	81	17	29	54
70—74	138	72	66	51	14	21	52
75 & over	116	73	43	55	12	18	31
Age not stated	4	...	4	4

URBAN

All Ages	6,526	2,544	3,982	1,480	2,153	1,064	1,829
Under 1 year	121	...	121	...	61	...	60
1—4	683	...	683	...	342	...	341
5—9	820	3	817	2	400	1	417
10—14	746	9	737	...	379	9	358
15—19	733	139	594	12	371	127	223
20—24	720	339	381	127	274	212	107
25—29	690	481	209	261	162	220	47
30—34	558	459	99	285	64	174	35
35—39	373	321	52	213	22	108	30
40—44	338	285	53	191	20	94	33
45—49	212	171	41	132	15	39	26
50—54	226	159	67	107	17	52	50
55—59	103	67	36	58	11	9	25
60—64	92	53	39	45	9	8	30
65—69	48	34	14	28	1	6	13
70—74	31	8	23	7	2	1	21
75 & over	32	16	16	12	3	4	13
Age not stated

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Manipur State, 1952

POPULATION

Age Group	Persons			Males		Females	
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TOTAL							
All Ages	10,054	4,109	5,945	1,985	2,845	2,124	3,100
Under 1 year	462	...	462	...	243	...	219
1—4	1,205	...	1,205	...	605	...	600
5—9	1,227	5	1,222	2	625	3	597
10—14	1,047	16	1,031	6	492	10	539
15—19	887	221	666	23	380	198	286
20—24	990	631	359	195	244	436	115
25—29	850	677	173	329	90	348	83
30—34	658	569	89	283	24	286	65
35—39	587	490	97	243	24	247	73
40—44	583	465	118	250	28	215	90
45—49	423	332	91	185	12	147	79
50—54	391	278	113	177	21	101	92
55—59	261	162	99	103	11	59	88
60—64	188	119	69	86	18	33	51
65—69	108	61	47	45	9	16	38
70—74	115	54	61	36	13	18	48
75 & over	72	29	43	22	6	7	37
Age not stated
RURAL							
All Ages	9,959	4,070	5,889	1,964	2,817	2,106	3,072
Under 1 year	461	...	461	...	243	...	218
1—4	1,181	...	1,181	...	595	...	586
5—9	1,217	5	1,212	2	617	3	595
10—14	1,043	16	1,027	6	491	10	536
15—19	878	219	659	23	375	196	284
20—24	978	623	355	194	241	429	114
25—29	840	669	171	324	89	345	82
30—34	651	562	89	278	24	284	65
35—39	582	485	97	239	24	246	73
40—44	578	460	118	248	28	212	90
45—49	423	332	91	185	12	147	79
50—54	386	276	110	175	21	101	89
55—59	260	161	99	102	11	59	88
60—64	187	118	69	85	18	33	51
65—69	108	61	47	45	9	16	38
70—74	115	54	61	36	13	18	48
75 & over	71	29	42	22	6	7	36
Age not stated
URBAN							
All Ages	95	39	56	21	28	18	28
Under 1 year	1	...	1	1
1—4	24	...	24	...	10	...	14
5—9	10	...	10	...	8	...	2
10—14	4	...	4	...	1	...	3
15—19	9	2	7	...	5	...	2
20—24	12	8	4	1	3	7	1
25—29	10	8	2	5	1	3	1
30—34	7	7	...	5	...	2	...
35—39	5	5	...	4	...	1	...
40—44	5	5	...	2	...	3	...
45—49
50—54	5	2	3	2	3
55—59	1	1	...	1
60—64	1	1	...	1
65—69
70—74
75 & over	1	...	1	1
Age not stated

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Tripura State, 1952

POPULATION								
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females		
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
TOTAL								
All Ages	7,492	3,145	4,347	1,570	2,362	1,575	1,985	
Under 1 year	202	...	202	...	101	...	101	
1—4	900	...	900	...	466	...	434	
5—9	1,053	22	1,031	6	549	16	482	
10—14	940	97	843	14	473	83	370	
15—19	713	279	434	42	307	237	127	
20—24	714	458	256	110	214	348	42	
25—29	691	564	127	284	97	280	30	
30—34	537	473	64	252	39	221	25	
35—39	416	353	63	215	22	138	41	
40—44	351	268	83	178	19	90	64	
45—49	264	205	59	151	10	54	49	
50—54	274	182	92	132	16	50	76	
55—59	118	77	41	55	9	22	32	
60—64	165	99	66	74	12	25	54	
65—69	54	25	29	21	6	4	23	
70—74	53	22	31	19	10	3	21	
75 & over	47	21	26	17	12	4	14	
Age not stated	
RURAL								
All Ages	5,458	2,413	3,045	1,198	1,655	1,215	1,390	
Under 1 year	152	...	152	...	75	...	77	
1—4	696	...	696	...	364	...	332	
5—9	786	21	765	5	414	16	351	
10—14	648	84	564	14	325	70	239	
15—19	472	223	249	38	187	185	62	
20—24	502	358	144	89	117	269	27	
25—29	531	447	84	222	61	225	23	
30—34	391	350	41	187	24	163	17	
35—39	314	266	48	161	21	105	27	
40—44	261	198	63	130	15	68	48	
45—49	185	148	37	117	7	31	30	
50—54	192	129	63	93	10	36	53	
55—59	90	58	32	43	8	15	24	
60—64	126	78	48	56	9	22	39	
65—69	41	20	21	16	3	4	18	
70—74	34	16	18	14	5	2	13	
75 & over	37	17	20	13	10	4	10	
Age not stated	
URBAN								
All Ages	2,034	732	1,302	372	707	360	595	
Under 1 year	50	...	50	...	26	...	24	
1—4	204	...	204	...	102	...	102	
5—9	267	1	266	1	135	...	131	
10—14	292	13	279	...	148	13	131	
15—19	241	56	185	4	120	52	65	
20—24	212	100	112	21	97	79	15	
25—29	160	117	43	62	36	55	7	
30—34	146	123	23	65	15	58	8	
35—39	102	87	15	54	1	33	14	
40—44	90	70	20	48	4	22	16	
45—49	79	57	22	34	3	23	19	
50—54	82	53	29	39	6	14	23	
55—59	28	19	9	12	1	7	8	
60—64	39	21	18	18	3	3	13	
65—69	13	5	8	5	3	...	5	
70—74	19	6	13	5	5	1	8	
75 & over	10	4	6	4	2	...	4	
Age not stated	

V—Number of Births in Sample Households by

TOTAL								
State & District	Number of Mothers				Persons			
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
ASSAM—								
Total . . .	1,112	803	80	229	1,116	805	81	230
Rural . . .	1,002	786	80	136	1,006	788	81	137
Urban . . .	110	17	...	93	110	17	...	93
United K & J Hills—								
T . . .	59	14	3	42	59	14	3	42
R . . .	21	14	3	4	21	14	3	4
U . . .	38	38	38	38
Goalpara—								
T . . .	581	456	50	75	581	456	50	75
R . . .	539	450	50	39	539	450	50	39
U . . .	42	6	...	36	42	6	...	36
Sibsagar—								
T . . .	472	333	27	112	476	335	28	113
R . . .	442	322	27	93	446	324	28	94
U . . .	30	11	...	19	30	11	...	19
MANIPUR—								
T . . .	446	281	24	141	446	281	24	141
R . . .	446	281	24	141	446	281	24	141
U
TRIPURA—								
T . . .	182	108	8	66	183	109	8	66
R . . .	133	104	7	22	134	105	7	22
U . . .	49	4	1	44	49	4	1	44

Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

NUMBER OF BIRTHS								WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED					
Males				Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Fe- males	Males	Fe- males	Males	Fe- males
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
571	408	42	121	545	397	39	109	328	304	205	201	38	40
516	399	42	75	490	389	39	62	299	270	186	184	31	36
55	9	...	46	55	8	...	47	29	34	19	17	7	4
35	9	3	23	24	5	...	19	6	3	25	21	4	...
15	9	3	3	6	5	...	1	1	...	10	6	4	...
20	20	18	18	5	3	15	15
299	231	24	44	282	225	26	31	171	145	110	117	18	20
273	226	24	23	266	224	26	16	156	135	106	115	11	16
26	5	...	21	16	1	...	15	15	10	4	2	7	4
237	168	15	54	239	167	13	59	151	156	70	63	16	20
228	164	15	49	218	160	13	45	142	135	70	63	16	20
9	4	...	5	21	7	...	14	9	21
235	148	13	74	211	133	11	67
235	148	13	74	211	133	11	67
...
93	59	1	33	90	50	7	33
68	58	1	9	66	47	6	13
25	1	...	24	24	3	1	20

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

Order of Birth	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	1,112	3,577	3,189	3	3	3	570	1,207	1,072
1st	255	255	253	3	3	3	217	217	215
2nd	233	466	433	178	356	326
3rd	200	600	529	104	312	264
4th	159	636	563	47	188	168
5th	117	585	516	16	80	60
6th	78	468	418	5	30	25
7th & above	70	567	477	3	24	14
RURAL									
All Orders	1,002	3,205	2,835	3	3	3	516	1,097	965
1st	224	224	223	3	3	3	192	192	191
2nd	216	432	399	164	328	298
3rd	184	552	484	97	291	244
4th	147	588	516	42	168	148
5th	104	520	454	14	70	51
6th	66	396	353	4	24	19
7th & above	61	493	406	3	24	14
URBAN									
All Orders	110	372	354	54	110	107
1st	31	31	30	25	25	24
2nd	17	34	34	14	28	28
3rd	16	48	45	7	21	20
4th	12	48	47	5	20	20
5th	13	65	62	2	10	9
6th	12	72	65	1	6	6
7th & above	9	74	71

Households of Assam State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1952

OF MOTHER											
25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
431	1,777	1,591	92	485	442	16	105	81
31	31	31	4	4	4
51	102	99	3	6	6	1	2	2
85	255	233	9	27	26	2	6	6
97	388	343	13	52	46	2	8	6
77	385	348	22	110	104	2	10	4
50	300	271	21	126	113	2	12	9
40	316	266	20	160	143	7	67	54
386	1,580	1,409	83	431	388	14	94	70
26	26	26	3	3	3
48	96	93	3	6	6	1	2	2
77	231	211	9	27	26	1	3	3
90	360	316	13	52	46	2	8	6
69	345	310	19	95	89	2	10	4
41	246	224	19	114	101	2	12	9
35	276	229	17	134	117	6	59	46
45	197	182	9	54	54	2	11	11
5	5	5	1	1	1
3	6	6
8	24	22	1	3	3
7	28	27
8	40	38	3	15	15
9	54	47	2	12	12
5	40	37	3	26	26	1	8	8

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

[illegible]

Households of Manipur State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1952

[illegible]

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

PRESENT AGE									
Order of Birth	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders . .	182	594	532	1	1	1	102	211	204
1st . .	41	41	41	1	1	1	36	36	36
2nd . .	43	86	81	35	70	66
3rd . .	32	96	83	20	60	57
4th . .	25	100	92	10	40	40
5th . .	9	45	44	1	5	5
6th . .	14	84	73
7th & above . .	18	142	118
RURAL									
All Orders . .	133	396	375	1	1	1	80	166	164
1st . .	31	31	31	1	1	1	27	27	27
2nd . .	36	72	70	30	60	58
3rd . .	23	69	63	14	42	42
4th . .	20	80	75	8	32	32
5th . .	7	35	35	1	5	5
6th . .	7	42	41
7th & above . .	9	67	60
URBAN									
All Orders . .	49	198	157	22	45	40
1st . .	10	10	10	9	9	9
2nd . .	7	14	11	5	10	8
3rd . .	9	27	20	6	18	15
4th . .	5	20	17	2	8	8
5th . .	2	10	9
6th . .	7	42	32
7th & above . .	9	75	58

Households of Tripura State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1952

OF MOTHER

25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
62	296	241	15	91	81	2	5	5
3	3	3	1	1	1
7	14	13	1	2	2
11	33	23	1	3	3
12	48	41	2	8	7	1	4	4
6	30	29	2	10	10
12	72	61	2	12	12
11	86	71	7	56	47
38	154	143	12	70	62	2	5	5
2	2	2	1	1	1
6	12	12
8	24	18	1	3	3
9	36	32	2	8	7	1	4	4
4	20	20	2	10	10
5	30	29	2	12	12
4	30	30	5	37	30
24	132	98	3	21	19
1	1	1
1	2	1	1	2	2
3	9	5
3	12	9
2	10	9
7	42	32
7	56	41	2	19	17

VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic

		TOTAL NUMBER							
		Persons				Males			
State & District		Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V-VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V-VIII
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
ASSAM—									
Total . . .		570	430	53	87	297	227	30	40
Rural . . .		551	427	53	71	288	226	30	32
Urban . . .		19	3	...	16	9	1	...	8
United K & J Hills—									
T . . .		29	16	3	10	14	10	...	4
R . . .		25	16	3	6	13	10	...	3
U . . .		4	4	1	1
Goalpara—									
T . . .		298	242	31	25	155	126	19	10
R . . .		292	241	31	20	151	125	19	7
U . . .		6	1	...	5	4	1	...	3
Sibsagar—									
T . . .		243	172	19	52	128	91	11	26
R . . .		234	170	19	45	124	91	11	22
U . . .		9	2	...	7	4	4
MANIPUR—									
T . . .		205	136	16	53	100	59	13	28
R . . .		205	136	16	53	100	59	13	28
U
TRIPURA—									
T . . .		161	105	14	42	87	60	5	22
R . . .		139	104	14	21	76	59	5	12
U . . .		22	1	...	21	11	1	...	10

Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

OF DEATHS				WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED					
Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	I. C. I. II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
273	203	23	47	189	174	105	87	3	12
263	201	23	39	181	169	104	83	3	11
10	2	...	8	8	5	1	4	...	1
15	6	3	6	3	...	11	13	...	2
12	6	3	5	2	...	11	10	...	2
3	3	1	3
143	116	12	15	90	93	63	47	2	3
141	116	12	13	87	92	62	46	2	3
2	2	3	1	1	1
115	81	8	26	96	81	31	27	1	7
110	79	8	23	92	77	31	27	1	6
5	2	...	3	4	4	1
105	77	3	25
105	77	3	25
...
74	45	9	20
63	45	9	9
11	11

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Assam State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1952**

[illegible]

VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Manipur State by Sex and Age at Death, 1952

[illegible]

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Tripura State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1952**

[illegible]

MADRAS & COORG

Report on the Improvement of Registration of Vital Statistics in Madras State

By

(SHRI J. I. ARPUTHANATHAN, *Superintendent of Census Operations*)

PART I

REGISTRATION OF VITAL STATISTICS

History of Registration of Vital Statistics in Madras State:

Introduction : For the purpose of developing and improving the systematic collection of statistics bearing upon the size and growth of population, the Government of India created the post of Registrar General and ex-officio Census Commissioner to deal with population statistics and census. Early in 1952, the Registrar General, in consultation with the Population Advisory Committee set up by the Government of India, set out a permanent scheme for the improvement of population data and requested the State Government to consider it and take such steps in accordance therewith, as they considered appropriate, for the improvement of population data relating to the State. Without prejudice to the nature of the permanent arrangements to be made for handling the work relating to improvement of population data from 1953-54 onwards, the Registrar General formulated an experimental scheme for the combined review of the National Registers of Citizens and the electoral rolls and the conduct of sample census of births and deaths in selected areas in one-fifth area of the State during the financial year 1952-53. The proposals of the Registrar General were accepted by the Government of Madras in G. O. Ms. No. 1964, Public (Elections), dated the 2nd August 1952. Sri S. Venkateswaran, I. C. S., Additional Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Department, Chief Electoral Officer and Superintendent of Census Operations for Madras and Coorg, was in charge of the combined review till the 22nd August 1952. Subsequently a separate post of Chief Electoral Officer for Madras State was created and the officer was placed in-charge of the combined review. I succeeded Sri Venkateswaran as Superintendent of Census Operations for Madras and Coorg. One of the operative proposals suggested by the Registrar General was, that the Superintendent of Census Operations should be appointed as an Officer on special duty during the year 1952-53. He should complete the work relating to the 1951 Census and, in addition, he should review the existing organisation and methods of registration of births and deaths, as well as the submission of returns and compilation of statistics based thereon. As proposed by the Registrar General, I was appointed as the Officer on special duty for the improvement of population data, with effect from the 1st October 1952 till the 31st March 1953 in G. O. Ms. No. 2579, Public (Elections), dated the 31st October 1952. The sanction was extended later on for another month till the 30th April 1953. The duties that I should perform were also defined in that G. O. which reads as follows :

"The Officer on special duty will review the existing organisation and methods of registration of births and deaths, as well as the submission of returns and compilation of statistics based thereon. He should consult the Heads of Districts and the Director of Public Health, formulate specific proposals for improving the registration of births and deaths and submit them, together with the comments of the Registrar General, for the orders of the State Government."

The registration of vital statistics in Madras State dates back to the year 1855, when the first attempt was made in Madras Presidency for the registration of deaths in the town of Madras only. In 1853, Mr. E. F. Elliot, Chief Magistrate and Superintendent of Police, while submitting a code for regulating the police courts in the Presidency town of Madras, suggested the introduction of a clause into the code, authorising the police to make monthly returns of all deaths in the Presidency town. Subsequently, in 1854, he reported, that it was not necessary to introduce a clause in the police code for instituting the mortuary registration, and that the returns could be compiled by the Medical Board, as was then being done at Bombay. He also suggested, that an attempt might be made, at the same time, to procure information relating to births, although such information might be defective, owing to the great difficulty in collecting it from the Muhammadans, who are so jealous respecting all domestic concerns. His proposals were referred to the Medical Board. The Board agreed to collect the information and submitted forms of reports and registers of births and deaths for the Presidency town of Madras. These registers were approved by the Government in their E. M. C. No. 677, Judicial, dated the 21st September 1854. Though it was contemplated, that both births and deaths should be registered the first report submitted by the Medical Board in 1856 showed that only deaths were registered from 1855. The Medical Board distributed printed forms of report for deaths to every medical man at the Presidency town, for the purpose of being filled up on casualties occurring in their practice. The forms were also distributed by the police authorities to those in-charge of native burying and burning grounds.

In order to improve the sanitary conditions, in and around the military cantonments, the British Parliament nominated a Royal Commission in the year 1859. The Royal Commission concluded its enquiry and submitted its report in 1863. In its report, the Commission drew pointed attention to the absence of all information in regard to the vital statistics of the general population and urged the introduction of a scheme for the registration of life and death statistics. The question of registration of deaths in agricultural districts was taken up for consideration by the Board of Revenue in 1865. The Sanitary Commission, Madras, also addressed a letter to the Board of Revenue, stating, that the question of instituting a Public Health Service for Madras Presidency was under consideration, and suggested, for the consideration of the Board, whether it might not prove advantageous that public health officers should be the persons, through whom the returns for registration of deaths should be transmitted. The Commission also suggested, that the registration of births should also be attempted, along with that of deaths. In their Order No.

119, Public, dated the 4th August 1865, the Government ordered the registration of deaths only, and communicated copies to all Collectors, to the Inspectors General of Police and Prisons, etc. The Government stated that the question of registration of births had been under their consideration, but that, anticipating difficulties in the way of introducing a complete system of registering births, they had deemed it advisable to defer making any attempt to introduce it, until it shall be seen how the system of registration of deaths was found to answer. Three years after, on the recommendation of the Board of Revenue, the Government changed their attitude and ordered the registration of births also, in the whole State. The Government sanctioned a permanent establishment of two clerks each for the then nineteen districts for the work of tabulating the returns, at a total cost of Rs. 10,260/- per annum. (G. O. Nos. 115, 116, Public, dated the 28th January 1868). In 1869, the Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of India forwarded to the Government of Madras, Fort St. George, for consideration a detailed scheme for the collection of vital statistics of general population and for showing the monthly and annual returns. The scheme was examined by the Sanitary Commissioner for Madras, in consultation with the Board of Revenue, and accepted with slight modifications. In regard to the agency for registering and compiling returns the following procedure was adopted:

Village authorities charged with the duty of registration were furnished with registers, with instructions to forward copies, monthly, to the Revenue Inspector. Registration circles were formed. It was considered that the returns should be prepared separately for towns having upwards of 5,000 inhabitants and for municipal towns. The jurisdiction of a Revenue Inspector was constituted into a revenue circle. Thus, the returns from revenue circles, municipal towns and two or more towns in each taluk having upwards of 5,000 inhabitants, were aggregated into the taluk return. The returns from all taluks were aggregated into the district return. The returns from the taluks were collected by the Deputy Collector and forwarded to the Civil Surgeon, for tabulation of the district returns and transmission to the Sanitary Commissioner. Zamindary tracts were excluded, as there was no agency available, by which the scheme could be worked in those tracts. The above returns were to be submitted every month. The Sanitary Commissioner prepared both the monthly and annual returns. The above proposals were approved by the Government in their Order No. 1094, Public, dated the 5th August 1869.

The first attempt to impose a statutory obligation on the citizens to report births and deaths was made in the town of Madras by the enactment of the Madras Municipality Act, 1867 (Act IX of 1867). This Act was from time to time amended and reissued in 1878, 1904 and 1919. The registration of vital statistics was made compulsory in municipalities by legislation, by the enactment of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1884. This Act was reissued as the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920 (Act V of 1920). The Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899 (Act III of 1899), extended compulsory registration to rural tracts also. Section 2 of the Act empowers the State Government to extend the Act, or any portion thereof, by notification, to any local area beyond the limits of the City

of Madras, and all the municipalities constituted under the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1884, and also to exclude, by notification, any such local area from the operation of the Act, or any portion thereof. Till 1940 the Act was in force throughout the districts of Anantapur, Chingleput and practically throughout the districts of Coimbatore, Madurai and North Arcot. Only about 40 per cent. of the total number of villages in the State was covered by compulsory registration till 1940. The Act has since been extended to cover the entire State, except the areas specified in Annexure I to this Report. The population in these excepted areas is less than 5 per cent. of the total population of the State. In rural areas, where Act III of 1899 is not applicable, the executive instructions contained in the Board's Standing Order No. 101 are applicable. In the Agency areas in Visakhapatnam and Srikakulam districts, where Act III of 1899 is not in force, births and deaths are not registered, as there is no proper agency for registering the vital events. The figures of births and deaths for these areas are not included in the consolidated statements prepared by the Director of Public Health.

In the Cantonment areas the registration of births and deaths is carried on under the provisions of the Cantonment's Act, (Central Act II of 1924), 1924, by the Executive Officer.

Agency for Registration of Vital Statistics:

(a) Rural Areas in which Act III of 1899 is not applicable:

(i) *Agency Areas:* The Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899, is not in force in the Agency areas of Srikakulam and Visakhapatnam districts, except in twenty villages in Araku valley. In these backward areas there are no village officers, who are literate. So the registration of births and deaths is not being carried on in these areas. In the East Godavari and West Godavari Agencies, Act III of 1899 is not in force, except in certain specified villages. Even though the Act is not in force, there are literate village officers in these Agencies and the registration of births and deaths is being carried on and monthly returns are being submitted to the Director of Public Health, through the Tahsildars concerned.

(ii) *West Coast Islands:* Registration of Vital Statistics in the Laccadive and Amindivi islands, including Minicoy, is being carried on under the Board's Standing Order No. 101, and the registers prescribed therein are being maintained.

Amindivi Islands: In these islands the Karanis employed in each island attend to the registration of vital statistics, and record them in the prescribed registers. All the Karanis are literates. As the official language of the islands is Malayalam, no difficulty is felt in registering cases of births or deaths. The islands are very small and compact and cases of births or deaths are not likely to go unnoticed. The Deputy Tahsildar Monegar, who is the administrative head of the islands and whose headquarters are in the island of Ameni, checks the registers periodically during his tours of the islands.

Laccadive Islands: In the Laccadive and Minicoy islands, the Amins attend to the work of registration of births and deaths.

(iii) *Other Rural Areas:* In the other areas also where registration of births and deaths is not compulsory,

village officers are appointed as births and deaths registrars and they attend to the registration of births and deaths. In the private estates in Wynaad taluk in Malabar district, honorary births and deaths registrars are appointed and they register the births and deaths occurring in their respective estates.

(b) *In Areas where Act III of 1899 is applicable:*

(i) *In Panchayat Areas where Act III of 1899 is applicable:* In all Panchayats, where the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, has not been specially extended, but where Act III of 1899 is in force, village headmen carry on the work of registration of births and deaths. If the panchayat comprises more than one village, then the headman of each village maintains a register relating to his village in the panchayat. Where a panchayat appoints special registrars of births and deaths and applies to the Government for the extension of the provisions of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, within the panchayat limits, the Government withdraw the provisions of the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899, in these panchayats and extend in their place the provisions of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920. Some District Health Officers have brought to notice that in some panchayats, where the District Municipalities Act, 1920, is in force, the registration work is being performed by the panchayat board clerks, who are not executive officers.

(ii) *In Cantonment Areas:* Registration of vital statistics within the Cantonment area is carried on by the Executive Officer, under the by-laws framed by the Cantonment Board, under the provisions of the Cantonment's Act, 1924.

(iii) *In Municipal Areas:* Under Section 286 of the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, the Municipal Council shall register all births and deaths occurring in the municipality, and for this purpose the council may divide the municipality into districts of registration and shall appoint a person to be the registrar of births and deaths for the whole municipality, or in the case of a division of the municipality into districts, for every such district. In the municipalities, persons who possess the qualifications of Sanitary Inspector are appointed as registrars of births and deaths. Vaccinators are also appointed as births and deaths registrars.

(iv) *In Madras City:* Under the rules framed under the Madras City Municipal Act, 1919, the Commissioner of the Corporation of Madras shall divide the city into such and so many districts of registration as he shall think fit and shall appoint a person to be the registrar of births and deaths within each such district. In Madras City ten Medical officers have been appointed as births and deaths registrars and they are assisted by 28 clerks in the scriptory work relating to the registration of births and deaths.

Obligations imposed on Registrars or private persons for bringing on record vital events:

(a) *In Rural Areas Where Act III of 1899 is not Applicable:*

(i) *Agency Areas:* In the Agency areas in Sri-kakulam and Visakhapatnam districts, the village officers are not literate and so registration of births and deaths is not being carried out. No returns are being received or compiled for these areas by the Director of Public Health.

(ii) *West Coast Islands—Amindivi Islands:* The registration of vital statistics is being done by the Karanis. They maintain the registers prescribed in the Board's Standing Order No. 101, and record all cases of births and deaths after due enquiry.

Laccadive Islands: The Amins perform the functions of the Registrar and record all cases of births and deaths in the registers prescribed in the Board's Standing Order No. 101.

(iii) *Other Rural Areas:* In these areas the village headman registers cases of births and deaths after due enquiry, in accordance with the instructions in the Board's Standing Order No. 101.

(b) *In Rural Areas Where Act III of 1899 is applicable:*

Under Section 8 of Act III of 1899, the father of every child, or the midwife assisting at the birth, or other adult male member of the family resident in the house in which the child was born, or any person having charge of the child, or in the absence of the above, the mother, shall, within two weeks after the day of such birth give, or cause to be given, either orally, or in writing to the registrar the several particulars required to be entered in the birth register.

In the case of deaths, the nearest adult male relative present at the death, or in attendance during the last illness of any person dying in a village or plantation, or every adult male person present at the death, or the occupier of the house, or every adult male person living in the house in which the death has happened, or the person undertaking the disposal of the corpse, shall, within four days from the date of death, give or cause to be given, either orally or in writing, information to the registrar.

For failure to give information, a person shall, on conviction before a magistrate, be liable to a fine not exceeding Rs. 10/-.

In Section 28 of Chapter V of the Village Officers and Ryots Manual, it is stated that the Vetti and Talayari of the village, who should be aware of all occurrences in the village, must find out all births and deaths which have occurred and report them at once to the headman, who is the registrar for the time being, to enable him to make the necessary daily entries. This will not, however, relieve the headman from the duty of making enquiries himself. The enquiries should be made as soon as possible after the occurrence of birth or death, as the case may be. The registrar should not be satisfied by waiting till the birth or death is reported to him, but should make frequent enquiries, so as to make the entries in the registers complete and correct.

(c) *In Areas Where the Madras District Municipalities Act of 1920 is applicable:*

The father or mother of a child born in the municipality, or the registered medical practitioner, vaidyan, hakim, midwife, nurse or dhai who assisted at the birth, or the occupier of the building in which the child was born shall, within one week after the day of such birth, give or cause to be given, information of the birth to the registrar of the municipality, or district.

In the case of deaths, the nearest adult relative present at the death, or in attendance during the last illness of any person dying in the municipality, or every other adult person present at the death, or the occupier of the

building in which the death occurs, or every adult person living in the building in which the death occurs, shall, within thirty-six hours after the death, give information to the registrar of the municipality or district.

In addition, any registered medical practitioner, vaidyan or hakim in attendance during the last illness of any person dying in the municipality, shall, within three days of his becoming aware of the death of the person, send a notice to the executive authority in the prescribed form.

Any person who performs the funeral ceremonies of a person dying within the Municipality, shall, whenever required, furnish the registrar such information as he possesses of the particulars required for registration.

Any person who commits a breach of any of the rules shall be punishable with fine, which may extend to Rs. 20/- and in the case of a continuing breach, with fine which may extend to Rs. 5/- for every day the breach continues after conviction for the first breach or after receipt of notice from the executive authority to discontinue such breach, subject to a maximum of one hundred rupees.

(d) *In Madras City:* The father or mother of every child born in the city, or the midwife assisting at the birth or every adult male member of the family resident in the house in which the child was born, shall within one week after the day of such birth, give or cause to be given, either orally or in writing, information of the birth to the Registrar of the district. If any child is born in any hospital the medical officer in-charge shall forthwith send to the Registrar a notice in writing of the occurrence.

As regards death, the nearest adult male relative present at the death or in attendance during the last illness of any person dying in the city of Madras, or every adult male person present at the death, or the occupier of the house, if such occupier is an adult male person, or every adult male person living in the house in which such death has happened, shall, within thirty-six hours after the death, give or cause to be given, either orally or in writing, information to the Registrar of the district. Every medical attendant, who attended on any person during his or her last illness, shall, if such person has died in the city, within three days of his becoming aware of such death, give information to the Municipal Health Officer and to the registrar of births and deaths in the district.

Every person who performs, or has performed the funeral ceremonies of a person who died in the city, shall whenever required, furnish to the Registrar, information as he possesses, of the particulars required for registration.

Under rule 1(6) of the rules framed under the Madras City Municipality Act, 1919, it is also the duty of the registrar to inform himself carefully of every birth and death which occurs in the district for which he is appointed and shall register with as little delay as possible the particulars required to be registered touching every birth and death which has not been registered.

Whoever commits a breach of the above rules, shall be punishable with fine, which may extend to Rs. 100/-.

Provision for Checks and Registration of omitted cases:

Rural: In the agency areas in Srikakulam and

Visakhapatnam districts, as registration of births and deaths is not attended to, there is no checking agency.

The executive officers of the Revenue and Public Health Departments check up the registers of births and deaths and detect omitted cases in the course of their tours in other areas where compulsory registration is not in force.

In the Amindivi Islands, the Deputy Tahsildar Monegar, checks up the registration of births and deaths in the course of his periodical tours of the islands.

In the Laccadive Islands the check-up of the registration of births and deaths is done by the Inspecting Officer, when he visits the islands during his periodical inspections.

Other Rural Areas: According to paragraph 4 of the Board's Standing Order No. 101, it is the duty of the Tahsildar, Deputy Tahsildar and Revenue Inspectors to scrutinise the registers during their tours and to verify a certain number of entries by local enquiry and to punish or bring to notice any instances of neglect on the part of the village officers. They should initial and date the entries in the registers, which they verified. Collectors and Divisional officers have to see that the registers are carefully examined during their tours, and at the time of the jamabandi the accuracy of the entries have to be checked by questioning the assembled ryots. Another excellent check prescribed by the Board is that a trustworthy official should be deputed to take a census of all children in a village under one year of age and to compare the results with the register of births.

Under Section 15 of the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899, it shall be the duty of every registrar, to inform himself carefully of every birth and of every death, which takes place in his village, plantation or ward and he shall ascertain and register, as soon as conveniently may be after the event, the particulars required for such registration. The Registrar should not be satisfied by waiting till the birth or death is reported to him, but should make frequent enquiries, so as to make the entries complete and correct.

Under the Public Health Code (Volume I), it is the duty of the Health Inspector to inspect the birth and death registers of the villages he visits and check their completeness and accuracy by house to house enquiry (paragraph 21 (d), Section III of Chapter III). Where there are Assistant District Health Officers, it is their duty to check vital statistics and vaccination in rural areas. The District Health Officer has also to examine and verify the village vital statistics by a scrutiny of the registers and by house to house enquiry, as far as possible.

Urban: In Cantonment areas, the out-door staff of the Cantonment exercise checks over the births and deaths within the Cantonment area.

In Municipalities and Panchayats, where sanitary inspectors are employed, they should help in the work of registration of births and deaths by enquiry during their inspections and bring to account cases of any reported births and deaths not yet registered.

One of the duties of the Municipal Health Officer is to institute necessary measures to ensure accurate registration of births and deaths. In municipalities

which do not employ separate Health Officers, the District Health Officer shall scrutinise the returns of vaccination and vital statistics, and take such action as he considers necessary, for remedying any defects.

During the hot season of every year vaccination is suspended. During the cessation period vaccinators are asked to check the birth and death registers and submit off season returns.

City of Madras: The outdoor staff of the Health Department, when they come across any cases of omission to register births or deaths, report the events to the registrar of the district concerned.

Method of correcting clerical errors and registering omitted cases:

Rural Areas where Act III of 1899 is not applicable:

If the Registrar detects any clerical error in the registers of births and deaths within a year after the entry was made, he should correct the error in the register concerned immediately. A similar procedure should be followed by the checking officers, if they detect errors, within a year after the entry was made. If the error is detected more than one year after the entry was made, the sanction of the Collector should be obtained. If any error other than those mentioned above is detected, the orders of a magistrate not below the rank of a magistrate of the second class, should be obtained, for correcting the error. If the registrar detects any case of omission to register a birth or death or if any omission is brought to his notice within a year after the birth or death took place, he should register the birth or death in the register. A similar procedure should be followed by the checking officers. If any case of omission to register is detected after the lapse of one year after the event, the orders of a magistrate not below the rank of a magistrate of the second class should be obtained.

Rural Areas where Act III of 1899 is applicable :

Rules 10 and 11 of the rules framed under Section 20 of Act III of 1899, lay down the procedure for correcting errors or any omission of any particular, in any entry relating to a birth or death already registered or for registering any cases of omission to register a birth or death by a registrar himself or by a checking officer.

Under these rules, a checking officer means—

(1) any officer of the Public Health Department, not below the rank of a vaccinator, in cases where a correction is to be made before the expiry of one year after the original entry has been made and in the case of omissions before the expiry of one year from the birth or death;

(2) the Taluk Tahsildar, Deputy Tahsildar in independent charge of a taluk or the Sub-Magistrate in cases where a correction has to be made more than a year after the original entry, with the previous sanction of the Collector of the district; and

(3) a Revenue Inspector of the Firka, in which the village concerned is situated, in the case of other errors in the registers, and in the case of births and deaths not registered, within one year after their occurrence, under the orders of a magistrate, not below the rank of a magistrate of the second class having jurisdiction over the local area.

Cantonments: Any clerical error, which may be discovered in any register of births or deaths, may be

corrected by the Executive Officer. Any error of fact or substance, in any register of births or deaths, may be corrected by the Executive Officer, by an entry in the margin, without any alteration in the original entry, upon the production by the person requiring such correction of a declaration on oath or solemn affirmation, setting forth the nature of the error and the true facts of the case made before a magistrate of the first class.

In Rural Areas where the District Municipalities Act is in force: Clerical error or omission of any particular, in any entry relating to a birth or death already registered, shall be corrected, or rectified by the checking officer, if the error or omission is discovered by the registrar, provided that, no such error or omission shall be corrected or rectified more than a year after the original entries were made, except with the previous sanction of the Collector of the district. Any other error in the said registers, shall be corrected under the orders of a magistrate, not below the rank of a magistrate of the second class having jurisdiction over the municipal area. If any case of birth or death is discovered, or brought to the notice of the registrar, or the checking officer, he shall, after enquiry, register the same, or cause the same to be registered, provided that no registration shall be made after the expiry of one year from the birth or death. A birth or death not registered within one year after its occurrence, shall be registered only under the orders of a magistrate not below the rank of a magistrate of the second class having jurisdiction over the municipal area.

City of Madras: Clerical error found in the register of births or in the register of deaths, shall be corrected by the registrar, if the error is discovered by him, and by both the registrar and the checking officer, (Commissioner or the Health Officer of the Corporation) if the error be discovered by the checking officer, provided, that, no entry shall be corrected under this rule, more than a year after it is made, except with the previous sanction of the Commissioner.

Where any case of omission to register a birth or death is discovered by or brought to the notice of the Registrar, or the Health Officer, or any other officer authorised by the Health Officer, he shall, after ascertaining on such enquiry as he may deem fit, register the same or cause the same to be registered provided that no registration shall be made after the expiry of one year from the birth or death. A birth or death not registered within one year after its occurrence shall be registered only under the orders of a magistrate not below the rank of a magistrate of the first class.

How the Scheme for Registration is actually worked :

The scheme for the registration of vital statistics, including the provisions for checks, has been carefully planned, so far as this State is concerned; but in actual practice, it is found that the scheme is not properly worked out on account of the ignorance of the public of their obligations to register vital events, and the apathy of the registrars and the checking officers. Except in urban areas (Cantonments, municipalities and the City of Madras), the duty of recording vital events is entrusted to village officers, under the general supervision of Tahsildars or Deputy Tahsildars, Revenue Divisional Officers and Collectors, who are responsible in this matter to the Board of Revenue. The Public Health Department, which is greatly interested in the working of the scheme,

contributes only checking officers, and has no control over the registrars.

People in the rural parts are still ignorant that there is a statutory obligation on their part to report births and deaths. In large villages comprising several hamlets, there is only one registrar of births and deaths. People living in a remote part of the village do not feel inclined to go a long distance to report cases of births and deaths. This is more so in cases, where there is only one registrar for a group of villages. People living in remote villages omit to report cases of births and deaths to the registrars, as this involves their going long distances. In a few cases the registrar resides outside his jurisdiction and the people do not find it convenient to go to his residence and report the vital events.

The village registrars have not put up sign boards in front of their offices or their houses, as required under Section 6 of Act III of 1899.

Usually the registrars in rural parts are the village headmen. They are already saddled with a lot of revenue and magisterial work. Registration of vital statistics has been added to their work. As their work in this direction is only checked by officers of the Public Health Department, who have no administrative control over them, at long intervals, they evince no interest in it.

Under rule 7(3) of the rules framed under Act III of 1899, the village headmen shall register births and deaths, as information reaches them, irrespective of the hour or day (whether Sundays or other authorised holidays). A village officer is not a whole-time Government servant. He frequently absents himself from the village or attends to his own personal work. When he is absent from the village, he never makes arrangements for carrying on the duties of the registrar. When he is engaged on his own personal work, e.g., supervising the agricultural operations, he is disinclined to record the particulars relating to a birth or death, when an informant comes to him at that time. He asks him to go to him some time later, or tells him, that the information will be recorded by him later in the register. Thus he fails to record the information in the register immediately and also fails to obtain the signature of the informant in the relevant column in the register. Some district Health Officers have reported that the signatures or thumb impressions of the informants in this column are usually the signatures or thumb impressions of the village menials and not of the real informants. Under Section 15 of Act III of 1899, it shall be the duty of the village registrar to inform himself carefully of every birth and every death, which takes place in his village, plantation, or ward, and he shall ascertain and register, as soon as conveniently may be after the event, the particulars required to be registered. The village registrar seldom makes enquiries into cases of births and deaths not reported to him. Under rule 14 of the rules made under Act III of 1899, all village officers and village servants working in any village or ward shall assist the registrar in obtaining information, with a view to the registration thereof under Section 15, of all births and deaths, which have not been already registered. When the talayari or vetti brings information relating to cases of births or deaths not reported to the registrar when he is otherwise engaged, he is generally disinclined to enquire into such cases and register them promptly. Under rule 3 of the rules made under Act III of 1899,

the registrar has to submit to the Tahsildar, on or before the third day of each month, an abstract in form No. 3 of the entries in the registers of births and deaths relating to the month immediately preceding. In the course of my tours I asked the Tahsildars, when the village registrars submitted their returns. They stated that these returns were generally received only after reminders had been issued from the Taluk offices, and generally along with the pay bill of the village establishment, which is due in the Taluk office on or before the 15th of the month. Some Tahsildars also informed me that the village registrars write up the entries in the birth and death registers only at the time of submitting these returns to the Taluk office. As a result of this belated registration of the events, several cases of births and deaths go unrecorded; the dates of the occurrences are incorrectly noted and there are also other inaccuracies in the particulars recorded. The signatures of the informants are not obtained in the registers but only the signatures or thumb impressions of the village menials.

Sections 8 and 10 of the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, (Act III of 1899), impose the obligation of informing the event of a birth, or death, on the relatives or other adult persons residing in the house, or any medical attendant who attended on the case to the registrar. As a result of this, the village registrars are under the mistaken impression that their responsibility regarding the collection of information of births and deaths has ceased.

Several District Health Officers have brought to notice that the village registrars are not supplied with printed forms and registers for registering births and deaths, as contemplated in Section 7(1) of the Act. They are noting down the occurrences in notebooks of their own. In Jalarpet Panchayat I myself noticed that the registrars noted these entries in notebooks of their own. I reported the case to the Government and orders were issued in G. O. No. P. 3448, Health, dated the 3rd November, 1952.

Some of the village registrars are ignorant of the provisions of the Act and they are unable to record correctly the causes of death. One District Health Officer has brought to notice that midwives (untrained), who attend on confinement cases, fail to inform the registrar about the events, and also midwives attached to hospitals fail to inform the registrars about cases of confinement attended by them outside the hospital. The same Health Officer has reported that certain medical officers have failed to furnish information about labour cases conducted in hospitals.

The provisos to Sections 8 and 10 of Act III of 1899 state that "a person not required to give information in the first instance, but only in default of some other person, shall not be bound to give information under this Act, if he had reasonable cause to suppose that the information had been or would be duly given by such other person". On account of this lenient provision in the Act, persons whose duty it is to report the event, fail to do so.

Check in Areas where Act III of 1899 is not applicable :

The check made by the superior officers of the Revenue Department, viz., the Revenue Inspector, Deputy Tahsildar, Tahsildar or Revenue Divisional

Officer, is superficial or nil. One of the Tahsildars, in whose area the provisions of the Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899, are not in force, reported in reply to a circular letter from me that the registration of vital statistics is not being carried on in the villages in his taluk, and that the monthly returns are not being sent regularly to the Director of Public Health, Madras. On the other hand, I have verified from the office of the Director of Public Health that, though the provisions of the Act are not applicable within the taluk referred to, registration of births and deaths is being carried on in the villages under Board's Standing Order No. 101, and that the monthly returns from the village officers are being received in his office. Evidently the Tahsildar is not familiar with this branch of his work and does not even know that registration of births and deaths is carried on by the village officers in his area.

Check in Areas where Act III of 1899 is applicable:

In areas where the Act has been extended, the Revenue Inspectors and other superior officers of the Revenue Department rarely make house to house enquiries for the purpose of detecting omitted cases. They do not attach any importance to this part of their work. The Health Inspectors of the Public Health Department have to examine and verify village vital statistics, not merely by examining the registers, but also by intensive investigation, and if necessary, by house to house enquiry in the village and by checking the information thus collected with the events reported in the register. But the jurisdiction of the Health Inspector is very wide. At times it comprises about 250 villages and it is sometimes co-extensive with the taluk. A Health Inspector therefore can visit a village only about once a year and the check that he can exercise over the recording of vital events in a village once a year cannot be effective. Vaccinators have also been appointed by District Boards in rural areas. Their jurisdictions also are very wide. A vaccinator's primary duty is to vaccinate every month such minimum of cases as the District Health Officer may prescribe. During the hot season vaccination work is suspended. During that period the vaccinators are required to check cases of omission to register births and deaths and submit reports. The work of detection is carried on only in the off season and not during the whole year.

In the urban areas (Cantonments, municipalities and city of Madras), no statutory obligation is imposed on the outdoor staff of the Health Department to detect cases of omission to register. But, under the instructions of the Health Officers, they generally make enquiries regarding cases of births and deaths. They make enquiries of the untrained dhais and trained midwives, who attend on labour cases outside the hospital, and also medical practitioners in regard to cases of births and deaths attended on by them.

Extent of omissions to register cases of births and deaths:

The touring officers of the Revenue Department and Public Health Department check the birth and death registers in the course of their tours and bring on record unregistered births and deaths. The number of omissions detected by the checking officers of the Public Health Department during the years 1941 to 1952 is

shown below:

Year	Number of cases detected	
	Births	Deaths
1941	56,583	22,032
1942	46,296	17,925
1943	34,512	14,515
1944	25,742	15,315
1945	25,054	12,634
1946	22,629	7,108
1947	42,895	10,859
1948	39,000	13,828
1949	47,660	15,990
1950	35,726	12,905
1951	32,761	13,685
1952	41,049	7,360

In Part I of the Census Report, 1951, the Superintendent of Census Operations for Madras and Coorg has calculated the extent of registration error during the decade 1921 to 1930 and the two decades 1931 to 1950. The latter period could not be split up into two decades, for want of relevant data relating to the 1941 Census. During the decade 1921 to 1930 the registration error was calculated as +1.3 per cent. The corresponding figure for the period 1931 to 1950 was +4.8 per cent. The registration error was calculated as follows:

The decennial rate of natural increase in the State was calculated, i.e., the difference between the mean decennial birth rate and the mean decennial death rate. The difference between these rates and the mean decennial growth rate represents the allowance to be made for migration and registration errors. The migration error was calculated separately and the resulting difference represented the registration error. The percentage of migration error arrived at was based upon the estimated figures of emigration to the various foreign countries during the two decades 1931 to 1950. On account of the Second World War authentic figures of emigration were not available. As a result of this, the percentage of registration error calculated is only an estimate and shows that registration error increased during the period 1931 to 1950, as compared with the decade 1921 to 1930.

An attempt was made by Sri S. P. Jain, Census Actuary, Government of India to assess the omissions in birth and death registration in Madras State. The non-availability of correct migration data prevented an accurate assessment of the birth and death rates. He has, however, calculated, by making certain assumptions, the percentages of omission in birth and death registration which are as follows:

Percentage omissions in 1941—50	Deaths	9.6%
	Births	13.7%

The percentage of omission in births agrees fairly well with Kingsley Davis's estimate for the period 1926 to 1930 which is 16.1. An experimental census of births

and deaths which had occurred during the period 1st September, 1951 to 31st August 1952 in selected sample households in about one-fifth area in each district in Madras State was conducted during September and October, 1952. The work was entrusted to officers of the grade of Revenue Inspector and above, who were designated as Supervisors. One in one hundred households was selected for the purpose of sampling. The sample households were selected from the National Registers of Citizens, treating the entire supervisor's area as a unit. Supervisors were asked to note cases of births and deaths in the selected sample households during the specified period, on separate birth slips and death slips. They were also asked to note, whether those cases of births and deaths noted by them were already registered, or not registered, or registration about which they had no information. The birth and death slips were sorted for each district and the results tabulated. The birth rate, according to the Census of sample households, was 18.6 and the death rate was 11.6 for the State as a whole. The Director of Public Health was requested to supply figures of recorded births and deaths, district wise, for the period 1st September, 1951 to 31st August 1952. The rates worked out for the State as a whole, from the figures so furnished, allowing a margin for returns not received by the Director of Public Health, are as follows:

Birth rate	30.3%
Death rate	15.8%

It will be seen, that the rates arrived at, as a result of the census of sample households are very much lower than the rates arrived at from the recorded births and deaths. It is an admitted fact that the recorded births and deaths represent under-registration. When such is the case, the census of sample households should have shown a higher rate than the recorded rate. Households were selected on the method of random sampling. Very clear instructions with illustrations were given for selecting sample households and the work was entrusted to the Revenue Divisional Officers. In the course of my tours, I checked at all places I camped whether the sample households were selected in accordance with the instructions and I was satisfied that in all those places, the samples were correctly selected. The reason for this disappointing result revealed by the census of sample households may be attributed to the fact that the supervisors, who were entrusted with the work of taking the sample census, had not either covered the entire area, or had made only superficial enquiries at each of the sample household. Even this unsatisfactory census of sample households conducted in 1952 shows that there is under-registration. The percentage of registered, unregistered and unknown deaths in this State, according to the sample census, is 75.7, 11.9 and 12.4, respectively. The percentage of registered, unregistered and unknown births in this State, according to the sample census is, 75.0, 8.3 and 16.7, respectively.

Remedies suggested by District Health Officers for rectifying defects in the working of the system:

The public are not aware, that a statutory obligation has been imposed on them to register vital statistics. It has been suggested that the public should be educated about their duties in this regard by propaganda. In rural areas, it has been suggested that the fact that parents should register births and deaths should be periodically

made known to the public by beat of tom tom in the villages. At present no sign boards have been put up in front of the offices of the Registrars. Under Section 6 of Act III of 1899, a sign board should be put up. The sign boards will serve to remind the public that they should report vital events to the Registrars. Government have requested the Board of Revenue in Memorandum No. 15044-E1/53-2, Health, dated the 24th April, 1953, to issue instructions to all Collectors to give effect to the above suggestions.

It will take a long time to implement the orders of the Government in respect of putting up of boards (presumably wooden) in front of the offices of all Registrars in the State. The Collectors will have to collect information regarding the number of sign boards to be put up and the cost involved in putting up such boards. I would therefore suggest, that Collectors may be advised to issue instructions to Tahsildars to see that sign boards made of card boards are put up in front of the offices of the Registrars. These card boards will be less costly and can be easily obtained by Tahsildars and distributed to the registrars. The Tahsildars may be authorised to incur the expenditure immediately and then to report to the Collector, as regards the actual cost incurred by them. The designation of the registrar may be written in ink in the regional language in bold letters. The Collectors may be asked to submit quarterly reports to the Board of Revenue, as to the number of registrars who had been provided with sign boards and the number of registrars, who have yet to be provided with sign boards, with reasons for not supplying them. These quarterly reports may be discontinued when all the registrars have been provided with sign boards. I would also suggest that the educational authorities may be requested to include in the prescribed text books a lesson on the importance of the system of registration of vital statistics which may be taught to the pupils in the elementary schools and the lower classes in the secondary schools, just as there are lessons to explain the importance of hygiene, sanitation, etc. The Health Inspectors, Assistant Directors of Public Health, Health Officers in municipalities and District Health Officers in the districts, should be directed to do systematic propaganda work within their respective jurisdictions and to get prominent non-officials to talk on the subject of vital statistics at public meetings, organised under the auspices of the Health Department. They should treat this as part of their normal duties and shown in their diaries the extent of the propaganda activity carried on by them. This should be reviewed by the Director of Public Health once a quarter. Health Week celebrations are organised by the Public Health Department in every district, and in that connection considerable propaganda is done. The importance of the registration of vital statistics also may be stressed at the time of these celebrations. It may be brought home to the public, the value of registering immediately the vital events, which have occurred in their respective households. Extracts from birth registers will be accepted as valid evidence for proving the age of a person by a Court of law, or at the time of appointment or by an insurance company or for proving whether a person is a major or a minor, etc. If people omit to register the birth and then in later years they wish to admit their children in schools, they have to mention their age and also the dates of birth. If they have not registered the births immediately, they are apt to forget the dates of birth and the age of the

children and they are likely to mention some age or dates of birth relying on their memory, which may not be correct. This is likely to have unfavourable repercussions, when the children want to apply for professional courses of study later on. The date of birth once entered in the school records or in a public record cannot be altered, except after an enquiry by a magistrate. Therefore the people should be advised in their own interest to register births immediately after the occurrence and obtain extracts from the birth registers free of cost. Similarly, they should be advised to register immediately deaths in their respective households and obtain extracts free of cost. These extracts will be accepted as valid evidence for proving the death of a person at the time of succession to property, claims for insurance, etc. Apart from the benefits accruing to the public, it is of national importance to register vital events immediately. The rate of growth of population in the State is determined by taking into account the number of births and the number of deaths in a year and during the decade, and statistics are compiled on the above basis. All progressive administrative measures of the Government are based upon those statistics and hence the importance of making those statistics accurate by the prompt registration of vital statistics cannot be too much stressed.

In Part III of this report I am suggesting to the Government to issue an order that in all educational institutions, except primary and elementary schools, the authorities of the institutions should insist on the students producing certified extracts from the birth registers in evidence of their age at the time of admission. If the suggestion is approved by the Government and they prescribe a fee for obtaining these extracts from the birth registers, it will have additional propaganda value in inducing the public to register births immediately after the occurrence.

In some places there is only one registrar for a large village comprising several hamlets, or for a group of villages. In some places the registrar does not reside in the village, but elsewhere outside it. It is not fair to expect the people in such cases to go long distances for the purpose of registering vital events. In all the places where the provisions of Act III of 1899 are applicable, or in places where only the provisions of the Board's Standing Order No. 101 are applicable, the Collectors must take steps to appoint additional registering officers for each hamlet or for each village included in a vattam (provided responsible literate persons are available in the locality) for the purpose of registering vital statistics within that area. The village headmen should be appointed registrars and the others registering officers. The village headmen should be held responsible for the registration of vital events within their respective vattams. The following classes of persons may be selected as registering officers: (a) the monegar or assistant karnam or failing them, (b) school teachers or failing them (c) responsible non-officials who are literate and who command some respect in the village or hamlet. It should be made clear that these registering officers are not registrars, that they only assist the registrars in registering the vital events within their respective units. They should also have sign boards put up in front of their offices or residences. The Tahsildar, Wynaad, has reported that, although the Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899, is not in force in his taluk the

registration of vital statistics is being carried on in all the villages. There are 26 village officers, who attend to this work. Apart from the village officers, 35 honorary birth and death registrars have been appointed in private estates and they do the work in their respective estates. In remote and inaccessible areas where village officers are not available, private persons may be appointed Registrars provided they undertake to submit the monthly returns to the Tahsildar regularly. Generally private persons should be appointed only as registering officers to assist the village headmen (registrars) in registering vital events within their respective units. In all cases, where the registrars reside outside their jurisdictions, they should be compelled to reside within their jurisdictions. If this is not feasible, the Collector should nominate a registering officer for registration of births and deaths, in the locality concerned, presumably the monegar or assistant karnam. But the village officer should be made responsible and he should continue to be designated as the registrar. If this suggestion is accepted, registration of vital statistics is likely to improve quantitatively. The general public will not be put to the difficulty of traversing long distances for the purpose of reporting vital events.

In Memorandum No. 15044-E1/53-2, Health, dated the 24th April 1953, the Board of Revenue has been requested to issue instructions to Collectors to give effect to the suggestion of the Director of Public Health that sign board showing the offices of the Registrars of births and deaths, and *indicating the hours when the offices will be kept open*, should be put up conspicuously, as required by the provisions of Act III of 1899. Under rule 7(3) of the rules, village headmen appointed as registrars are expected to register births and deaths, as information reaches them, irrespective of the hour or day. It would seem correct, if the Board is informed that the instructions, as regards indicating on sign boards the hours when the offices will be kept open are applicable only to registrars, who are not village headmen.

Under Sections 8 and 10 of the Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899, information regarding the birth of a child or the death of a person, should be given to the registrar, within two weeks after the day of the birth, or within four days from the day of death, as the case may be. Some District Health Officers have recommended that the two weeks period allowed for giving information of the birth of a child should be reduced to one week, as this will enable the villagers to appreciate their responsibilities in this matter better. Under rule 5(1) of the rules framed under the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, and under rule 2 of the rules framed under the Madras City Municipal Act, 1919, the period allowed for reporting births is only one week after the birth of the child. In Cantonments the period allowed is only 48 hours, after the birth of the child. But in rural parts, the villagers have not yet realised their statutory obligation to register births as soon as possible after the event. They have to be educated slowly in regard to this duty. It is not desirable now to amend the rules, so as to reduce the period of two weeks to one week for reporting cases of birth. After some improvement is shown in the percentage of registration of births in rural parts, which will indicate that the people are beginning to realise their obligation to register the vital events, the suggestion made by the District Health Officers may be considered.

Several District Health Officers have brought to notice that village registrars are not supplied with printed forms and registers for registering births and deaths. Under Section 7(1) of the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899, the District Collector shall cause to be printed and supplied a sufficient number of register books for making entries of births and deaths according to such forms and instructions as may from time to time be prescribed by the Provincial Government. When the village registrars represent to the Tahsildars that their forms are exhausted, they get a reply that there is no stock of the forms in the taluk office. The Tahsildar does not take steps to bring to the notice of the Collector of the lack of forms. A circular may be issued to the Collectors asking them to ascertain whether adequate number of register books is available in the taluk offices in stock for distribution to registrars, and if not, to take steps to print and supply adequate number of copies.

The first proviso to Section 8 of the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899, and the proviso to Section 10 of the same Act, afford loop holes to persons required under the provisions of the main section to escape responsibility for failure to intimate a birth or death. The proviso reads as follows: "Provided that a person not required to give information in the first instance but only in default of some other person shall not be bound to give information under this Act if he had reasonable cause to suppose that the information had been or would be duly given by such other person." Provisos (a) and (c) to rule 5(1) of the rules framed under the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920 read as follows: (a) "if any one of the aforesaid persons gives the required information, no other person shall be bound to give it."

(c) "a person not required to give information in the first instance, but only in default or in the absence of some other person shall not be bound to give such information, if he or she had reasonable cause to suppose that it had been or would be duly given by any other person". Similar provisions exist in the rules framed under the Madras City Municipal Act, 1919—vide provisos (a) and (b) to rule 2 of the rules. I suggest that a provision similar to proviso (a) under rule 5(1) of the rules framed under the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, and proviso (a) to rule 2 of the rules made under the Madras City Municipal Act, 1919, should be made in the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899. Secondly, I consider that the other proviso affording loop hole to the persons who are bound to give information to escape responsibility, should be recast as follows:

"Provided that a person not required to give information in the first instance but only in default of some other person shall not be proceeded against, if he can show cause that the person required to give information in the first instance had given, or should have given such information."

District Health Officers have brought to notice that some of the village registrars are ignorant of the provisions of the Act and they are unable to record correctly the causes of death. Village headmen are literates and they have to pass a special test, the syllabus of which includes a knowledge of the signs and symptoms of the more important diseases like cholera, plague, small-

pox, book worm, etc. The District Health Officers have made various suggestions in this regard, (e. g.) (1) the registration work should be entrusted only to persons who have studied upto S. S. I. C. So long as the registration work is entrusted to village officers, the suggestion made by the District Health Officer is impracticable. (2) All village munsifs should be trained in the registration of births and deaths. The period of training has not been specified by the District Health Officer who made the suggestion. (3) A refresher course should be held once in five years in the taluk headquarters under the aegis of the Health Department. Another District Health Officer has suggested that the District Health Officers should hold one class for the birth and death registrars every month at the taluk or range headquarters, so that the whole district may have a sort of refresher course once a year. The suggestion last given by the District Health Officer is commended for adoption. The Director of Public Health may examine the suggestion, in consultation with the Board of Revenue and secure the approval of the Government for the holding of this refresher course. The holding of these refresher courses is likely to improve slightly the quality of registration of deaths, and also impress on the registrars the importance of the registration of vital statistics.

Almost all District Health Officers have complained bitterly that no action is taken by the Tahsildars on the reports submitted by the Health Inspectors in Form HI-34, or that the Tahsildars view lightly those cases. Out of hundreds of cases reported, the Tahsildars sanction prosecution only in a few cases and even in those cases, the magistrates let off the defaulters with a warning or a petty fine, which has no deterrent effect. Therefore, they have vehemently urged that the power of prosecution should be transferred to them. District Health Officers are no doubt chagrined that the Tahsildars view these reports received from the Health Inspectors lightly. If, however, the power to sanction prosecution is transferred to them, they have not the proper agency to institute proceedings against the defaulters in the courts. Their suggestions were considered by the Director of Public Health on more than one occasion and not accepted as they were impracticable.

PART II

COMPILATION

Forms Prescribed for Registration of Births and Deaths.

The Government have allotted permanent location code numbers to denote each district, and in each district to denote each taluk, and in each taluk to denote each unit, viz., the village, panchayat, cantonment, municipality or city. Both in connection with the elections and census, these location code numbers have been widely used. It is important that the village officers, the higher revenue officers, officers of the Public Health Department and the office of the Director of Public Health get accustomed to the use of these location code numbers. It is therefore necessary that in all forms, and in the registers of births and deaths, prescribed under the rules for the registration of vital statistics, the number and name of the village, the number and name of the taluk, and the number and name of the district should be entered. The Government in the Public (Elections)

Department may make arrangements to print and communicate the location code statement for each district, for information of and adoption by all the officers concerned.

Form of Register of Births:

The forms of the register of births, prescribed for the rural areas, towns and municipalities and the city of Madras under the rules framed under the respective Acts, are different. The arrangements of the headings and the columns are also different. The recording of additional information provided in the forms relating to towns and municipalities and the city of Madras, has been dispensed with in the form relating to rural areas.

Non-municipal Areas where Act III of 1899 is in force:

I think that Form No. I, prescribed in the rules for the registration of vital statistics in local areas, is capable of being improved. I suggest that columns (5) to (8) relating to 'particulars of the child' may be amplified as follows :

Particulars of the child					
Born alive		Born dead		Nationality	
Male	Female	Male	Female	and caste	Name, if any
(5)(a)	(5)(b)	(6)(a)	(6)(b)	(7)	(8)

'Note (1)' under the existing form states that, if a child is born dead, the entries should be made in red ink, without being given any serial numbers, and such cases should be excluded from the totals in the compilation of the returns. The village headmen are rarely supplied with red ink. It is better that we have two separate columns for 'children born alive' and for 'children born dead'. If my suggestion is approved, Note (1) may be amended by deleting the words "in red ink".

Column (11) of the form relates to the residence of the parents to whom the child is born. This column may be amplified to show, (a) Door number, (b) Block number or Street number and name and (c) Ward number or locality number and name.

A new column (12) may be inserted under the same heading, after column (11) for noting the "occupation of the father or other person on whom the child is dependent". If we have particulars about the father or other person on whom the child is dependent, this will give information about the livelihood under which this child can be classified, if such information is required later on.

The existing columns (12) to (14) may be renumbered as columns (13) to (15). Column (15) relating to "description" of the informant may be omitted.

A new heading may be inserted, after column (18), as follows: "Particulars of the informant or the name of the child". This heading may have the following

sub-columns: "(a) Name, (b) Address (door number, block number or street number and name, ward number or locality number and name), (c) Date of information, (d) Mark or signature". As the name of the child is invariably registered long after the birth is registered, it is necessary to know particulars about the informant, who gives the name of the child.

Existing columns (18) and (19) may be renumbered as (19) and (20).

A copy of the existing form No. 1 and a copy of the proposed revised form are in Annexure No. II.

Municipal Areas:

The corresponding form prescribed in the rules framed under the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920 is Form A. Columns (10) and (11) of the form relating to 'address' may be amended as follows :

"Column (10) Number and name of block/street."

"Column (11) Number and name of ward/locality."

Column (16) relating to 'occupation of father or mother' may be changed to "occupation of father or other person on whom the child is dependent".

Columns (19) to (22) relating to 'particulars about informant' may be revised as follows :

"(19) Informant of Birth:

(a) Name, (b) Mark or signature.

(20) Address (Door number, Number and name of block/street and number and name of ward/locality)."

Informant of name of the child:

(21) (a) Name, (b) Mark or signature.

(22) (a) Date of information, (b) Address (Door number, number and name of block/street and number and name of ward/locality)".

Existing form and the proposed revised form are in Annexure No. III.

Madras City:

The corresponding form for the city of Madras, prescribed under the rules for registration of births is Form A. The headings of this form have been differently arranged, but compared with Form A prescribed for municipalities, the following are the differences: Additional particulars have to be recorded in the form prescribed for the city of Madras, viz., (a) Age and address of the father; (b) Occupation of the mother, and (c) Number of children born alive and living, and dead at the time of registration; and number of children still born to the mother. But particulars about the informant of the name of the child required in Form A, prescribed for municipalities, are not found in Form A prescribed for the city. I think that the forms prescribed for the municipalities and the city of Madras should be uniform. If additional information required about the occupation of the mother and also the number of children born alive and living or dead at the time of registration in the case of city is considered necessary, it may be retained, but there is no reason why the headings of the form prescribed for the city should be differently arranged from the headings of the form prescribed for the municipalities. I may also observe that Notes 1 and 2 in Form A prescribed for municipalities are not found in Form A relating to the city. These should be included in the form.

Existing form and the proposed revised form are in Annexure No. V.

Non-municipal Areas where Act III of 1899 is in force :

Form of Register of Deaths: The form of register of deaths prescribed for rural areas—Form No. 2—may be amplified, so as to contain information regarding the occupation and civil condition of the deceased. In the case of a deceased woman (married or widowed), the name of the husband should also be noted. So three additional columns may be inserted after column (11), as follows:

“(12) Civil condition—Whether single/married, or/widowed

(13) In the case of a married woman or a widow, the name of the husband,

(14) Occupation.”

All the other columns may be renumbered.

Column (6) and column (36) as renumbered, relating to residence, may be amplified, so as to give, the door number, number and name of the block/street, and number and name of the ward/locality.

Municipal Areas :

“In Form No. B, prescribed for the municipalities, a column may be inserted after column (4), for noting the ‘civil condition’—whether single, married or widowed.”

Another column may be inserted before column (13) relating to residence at the time of death, for noting the place of death. In municipalities and cities, a person may die in a hospital, or elsewhere, and not necessarily at his usual place of residence. The heading of the column ‘Residence at the time of death’ may be changed to “Usual residence”.

Existing columns (14) and (15), relating to street and ward or division, may be revised as follows : “(16) Number and name of the block/street, and (17) Number and name of the ward/locality”.

Existing column (19) relating to ‘informant’ may be amplified, to note also “the name of the informant”.

Existing column (20) relating to ‘residence’ of the informant may be revised as “Address (door number, number and name of the block/street, and number and name of the ward/locality).”

Columns (13) to (24) should be renumbered as columns (15) to (26).

Madras City :

Form B prescribed for the city of Madras may be revised so as to be in line with Form B relating to municipalities and towns.

The existing forms and the proposed revised forms are in Annexures Nos. V to VII.

Non-municipal Areas where Act III of 1899 is not in force :

In areas where the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899, is not now in force, the executive instructions in Board’s Standing Order No. 101 are applicable. The registers of births and deaths prescribed for those places are much simpler. It may be left to the Board of Revenue to consider whether any further information, as in the case of the revised registers for rural areas should be noted in those special areas also.

Monthly Returns :

Non-municipal Areas : Under rule 3 of the rules framed under the Madras Registration of Births

and Deaths Act, 1899, the registrar shall submit to the Tahsildar, on or before the third day of each month, an abstract in Form No. 3 of the entries in the registers of births and deaths, relating to the month immediately preceding. The monthly abstract is prepared according to the dates of registration of the events. The Tahsildar collects all the birth and death returns relating to the villages in his jurisdiction and forwards them direct to the Director of Public Health by the 8th of the succeeding month. The monthly returns consist of two parts—one giving particulars relating to births and the other particulars relating to deaths. The particulars given in respect of deaths are sex, community, age groups into which deaths are classified and groups of causes of deaths at all ages.

Municipal Areas :

Under rule 12 of the rules framed under the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, the executive authority of every municipality shall forward a statement in Form D of the births and deaths registered during each week ending Saturday and during each calendar month to the Director of Public Health.

Madras City :

Under the rules framed under the Madras City Municipal Act, 1919, the Health Officer shall forward statements in Form E of the births and deaths registered during each week ending Saturday and in Forms F, G, I and J, all the births and deaths registered during each calendar month to the Director of Public Health. Here also, the monthly returns consist of two parts—one giving particulars relating to births and the other to deaths on the same pattern, as in the case of non-municipal areas.

The monthly return prescribed in the case of non-municipal areas is simple and contains less information. The monthly return prescribed for municipalities is more elaborate and furnishes more information. The monthly return prescribed for the city of Madras is still more elaborate, and contains several returns in respect of deaths due to various causes.

While on the subject of the submission of the returns, I feel it necessary to say a word about the extraordinary delay that occurs in the transmission of the returns to the Director of Public Health from the rural areas. In connection with the Sample Census undertaken in this State in 1952, I wanted the number of births and deaths for the period 1-9-1951 to 31-8-1952. The Statistician, Office of the Director of Public Health brought to my notice that the returns for the period were heavily in arrears and were therefore incomplete. The returns were not received by him, in spite of several reminders and requests made in D. O. letters to the Tahsildars and Collectors concerned. Only in three districts, viz., Malabar, South Kanara and Madras City, were the returns for the period (1-9-1951 to 31-8-1952) completely received in March 1953. I furnish in Annexure No. VIII a list showing the percentage of birth and death returns received by the Director of Public Health of the total returns due for the period 1-9-1951 to 31-8-1952. The position was particularly bad in the districts of Srikakulam, Nellore, Bellary, South Arcot, Ramanathapuram and the Nilgiris, even after six months, where the number of returns of births and deaths received by the Director of Public Health for the period 1-9-1951 to 31-8-1952 was less than 90% of the total returns due. The percentage of returns received from the urban areas of East Godavari (Plains),

Cuddapah, Anantapur, North Arcot, Salem, South Arcot and Tiruchirappalli are much lower than the percentages of returns received from the corresponding rural areas. The delay in the transmission of returns in urban areas reflects discredit on the officers concerned. I understand from the Statistician that returns from some villages are not at all received. In the circumstances it can be imagined how difficult it is to arrive at correct birth and death rates. The following statement shows the extent of arrears in regard to submission of these returns to the Director of Public Health during the period 1941 to 1952 :

Year	Number of monthly village birth and death returns in arrears	Percentage of arrears to the total number of returns due for the year
1	2	3
1941	1,288	0.3
1942	11,424	2.7
1943	20,050	4.8
1944	19,321	4.6
1945	18,800	4.5
1946	29,405	7.0
1947	16,051	3.8
1948	13,469	3.2
1949	8,030	1.9
1950	6,061	1.4
1951	18,736	4.4
1952	14,740	3.5

Age Groups into which Deaths are classified:

The age group adopted for death returns prescribed for municipalities and non-municipal areas are: under one year, one year and under five years, five years and under ten years, ten years and under fifteen years, fifteen years and under twenty years, twenty years and under thirty years, thirty years and under forty years, forty years and under fifty years, fifty years and under sixty years, sixty years and upwards. The age groups adopted in the Census Tables are 0, 1 to 4, 5 to 9, 10 to 14, 15 to 24, 25 to 34, 35 to 44, 45 to 54, 55 to 64, 65 to 74 and 75 and over. The decennial groups adopted in the Census Tables were those recommended by the United Nations Population Commission. These decennial groups include all the digits, with the most favoured digit '0' at the centre. This group is calculated to avoid preferences for particular digits. The Population Advisory Committee, under the Chairmanship of Sri R. A. Gopalaswami, I. C. S., considered these groups as specially suitable for correlation of age data with literacy and fertility data. These groups have the additional advantage of international comparability.

The decennial grouping in the Census Tables starts from 15, whereas in the death returns it starts from the age of 20, with the result that though both follow decennial groups, the two sets are materially different. This handicaps the studying of particulars collected at the Census and data obtained by the registration of deaths. It would be advantageous, if the age groups in the death returns can be altered to correspond with the age groups adopted at the Census.

The Registrar General, India, has suggested a scheme for trial in rural areas, where a statutory obligation has not been cast on the householders to register births and

an accessible registrar does not exist and seems unlikely to come into existence in a short time. He has stated that this scheme is not intended for adoption in municipal towns or in any other village or town, where a statutory obligation on householders to report births already exists and a registrar who is readily accessible to such householders is functioning satisfactorily. The only areas in this State where the scheme can be made applicable are the agency areas in Srikakulam and Visakhapatnam districts where no statutory obligation has been laid on the householders to register births. There are also no registrars in these areas. In the Agency areas in East and West Godavari districts and in the hilly and remote and inaccessible areas in other districts where Act III is not in force, the executive orders in Board's Standing Order No. 101 are applicable and there are literate village officers who are functioning as registrars. The Registrar General requires that two conditions should be fulfilled if his proposal is to work in any rural area, viz., (1) the State Government should arrange that a sufficient number of vaccinators should be arranged in the rural tract concerned so as to secure that every village can be visited by one vaccinator once every six months without fail; and (2) Legal provision should exist, whereby upon due notice of the ensuing visit of the vaccinator, the head (or other responsible member) of every household will be obliged to report "Current pregnancies" as well as "births in the household".

The Registrar General also suggests that a panchayat or an *ad hoc* Committee of villagers should be constituted for each village which should be notified in advance of the date when the vaccinator would arrive. They would give adequate publicity and inform everybody to get ready and be available in the village when the vaccinator arrives.

The scheme of the Registrar General is explained below :

The vaccinator should maintain a register of pregnancies, births and vaccinations. A separate register should be allotted to each village. It should have columns divided into three parts, of which the first will contain a column which can be filled up either when pregnancy is reported or when the birth is reported. The second will contain a column which can be filled up only when birth is reported and the third will contain a column for recording the completion of vaccination. At every visit the vaccinator should record full particulars of all the children brought to him, if they are born to mothers, whose pregnancy was reported and registered already. The relevant entry should be made in continuation. Otherwise a new entry should be made. At the same time "current pregnancies", which are reported, should also be registered. Further entries will be made against such current pregnancies "either at the next visit or the one after".

Where a child is not produced against an already "current pregnancy", the vaccinator should make it a point to ascertain the reason and record it in the remarks column, (as "mis-carried pregnancy, still-born, infant mortality, maternal mortality, or migration", as the case may be). As soon as the half yearly visit to a village is over, a half yearly return should be drawn up for the village, which should furnish separate statistics for "new pregnancies", "live births", "still births", "mis-carried pregnancies", and "infant mortality". These periodical returns should be posted in the office of the District

Health Officer and used for controlling the work of the vaccinator and making sure that he is doing his rounds of the villages in accordance with the roster prescribed for him. The office of the District Health Officer should also compile periodical statistical returns and submit them to the Director of Public Health for compilation and publication as vital statistics. There is nothing new in this proposal to report births or to get children vaccinated. The only novelty is the getting information about "Current pregnancies", at the same time, keeping a tab on the subsequent visits and compiling statistical returns about them.

I consider that the above scheme, which can, according to the Registrar General, be applicable only to the agency areas in Srikakulam and Visakhapatnam districts cannot be introduced in those areas as there are no means of communication in those areas, the people are mostly Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes who are very backwards and illiterate and no committee of villagers can be constituted there which will assist the vaccinator when he visits those remote areas. We do not know the customs and habits of these people and whether they will take kindly to such personal enquiries relating to pregnancies concerning their womenfolk. The scheme need not be introduced in this State.

PART III

NEW PROPOSALS FOR IMPROVEMENT

In the preceding parts, I have reviewed the statutory enactments and the executive instructions in force in the several areas in Madras State, the agencies charged with the duty of registration of vital statistics, the agencies selected for checking and how the system has been working in the State, its defects and the suggestions made by certain District Health Officers for improving the registration of vital statistics. The fact that strikes one in reviewing the system in force in this State is that, apart from the municipalities and the city of Madras, the duty of registration of vital statistics has been entrusted to officers of the Revenue Department and the meagre staff of the Health Department merely exercise supervision in regard to the detection of unregistered cases. In other words, the working of the scheme in the rural parts has been entrusted to the Revenue Department which has no interest in the matter, and which regards this duty as an additional burden imposed upon it. The Health Department which is vitally interested in the successful working of the scheme has no control over the officers of the Revenue Department. The inherent defect in the scheme is that its working has been entrusted to a dual agency instead of making one department, viz., the Public Health Department solely responsible both for registration and checking. But unfortunately, the Health Department has not got a large staff in the districts and the registration of vital statistics in each village cannot therefore be entrusted to them. There are village officers in every village or at least in every group of villages, and from the point of view of economical arrangement the registration of vital statistics should continue to be entrusted to the village headmen in the rural parts in this State.

The Bhore Committee and the Vital Statistics Committee recommended that a village registrar should be appointed on a scale of Rs. 40-1-50-2-60 per mensem and put in charge of about 90 villages containing an average population of about 50,000. The defect in this proposal

is that the citizens will have to report to a distant office, which is common to 90 villages. The official will have no roots in the villages and will carry little real weight with the villagers generally. The improvement which he can bring about cannot be considerable and the quantitative and qualitative defects are likely to continue. It was considered that a village officer's charge should not be larger than a village or a very few contiguous villages with a total population of about 2,000. The Registrar General considered that the proposals of the Bhore Committee and the Vital Statistics Committee were uneconomical and suggested that the Village Officer must be appointed on an ex-officio basis. He considered that registration of births and deaths could not be organised otherwise than as a minor addition to the duties of a post maintained permanently for other purposes. The Madras State is perhaps the most advanced in India from the point of view of having a very satisfactory village administrative set up. The Government of Madras in the Health Department have expressed the view that village officers in this State should continue to be the recorders of the statistics, as this arrangement has worked successfully here.

What is needed is to devise some system, which will establish a liaison between the Health and the Revenue Departments in the matter of registration of vital statistics.

The village headmen were entrusted with the work of registration of vital statistics right from the beginning, when the system of registration was first started in 1868. They know that it is part of their work, but after registration of vital statistics was made statutorily compulsory and the obligation to report cases of births and deaths was thrown on the parents, or relatives or other adult persons in a house, where the event occurred, the village headmen are under the impression that it is no longer their duty to make enquiries and record vital events within their jurisdiction. They neglect this duty. Village headmen have multifarious duties. On account of their unattractive pay and their discontented lot it will not work, if any punitive measures are taken against them for failure to register vital events. For improving registration quantitatively, I have already suggested in the previous parts that the Government should arrange to conduct propaganda to educate the public in regard to their duties to register vital events, to introduce in text-books lessons stressing the importance of vital statistics, to put up sign boards in front of the offices of the registrars, to make the registrars undergo refresher courses annually which will make them realize the importance of registration of vital statistics, and to appoint additional registering officers. But, if in spite of all these measures the registration agency (the category of village headmen) proves indifferent, as mentioned above, it is necessary that arrangements for a more efficient checking agency should be made. The checking officers of the Revenue Department, viz., the Revenue Inspectors, the Deputy Tahsildars and the Tahsildars are also indifferent to this branch of their work. They consider that this part of their work falls within the proper sphere of the Health Department. Apart from the officers of the Revenue Department, who constitute the checking agency, the District Health Officers, the Assistant District Health Officers, the Health Inspectors and the vaccinators of the Health Department constitute the remaining checking agency. It is not possible for

the District Health Officer or the Assistant District Health Officer to visit each and every village within his respective jurisdiction. The Health Inspectors also have been assigned large numbers of villages. Their jurisdiction is sometimes co-extensive with the jurisdiction of the Tahsildar. The Health Inspector is able to visit a village about once a year. So, the checks carried out by these officers cannot be effective. The vaccinators appointed in the rural parts have their own vaccination work to attend to. Only during the hot season when the vaccination work is suspended, they are asked to detect omitted cases of births and deaths in certain villages allotted to them. The checks carried out by these vaccinators in the off season are only in respect of the villages covered by them and not in the remaining areas.

I understand that the Director of Public Health urged the need for appointment of more vaccinators in the rural parts to improve quantitatively the registration of vital statistics, but his proposals were turned down, due apparently, to considerations of cost. I have already pointed out that the registration agency in Madras is indifferent and that it will not be possible to improve that agency by the adoption of punitive measures. The proposal to replace the existing registration agency by a separate agency of registrars of births and deaths made by the Bhole Committee and the Vital Statistics Committee has been characterised as uneconomical. The Government of Madras have expressed the view that the village officers should continue to be the recorders of vital statistics. The only alternative for Government for improving the registration of vital statistics is therefore to have a more efficient checking agency. Officers of the Revenue Department who constitute the checking agency have multifarious duties and they cannot be relied upon to attend to this work efficiently or conscientiously. The work of checking involves enquiries from house to house in each village or panchayat and not merely making superficial enquiries of one or two important or influential persons in a village. The superior officers of the Revenue Department can at best make enquiries only at a few houses. In other words, they can carry out only a percentage test check at random. They cannot be expected to visit all the houses in a village and make enquiries in regard to cases of omissions to register. I think Government must face this fact squarely and consider the appointment of an independent checking agency, which can be expected to go round to all the houses in a village for purposes of checking omitted cases. I consider that this checking agency should form part of the Health Department in the district, but should work in the taluk office concerned and should be authorised to put up papers to the Tahsildar for orders in individual cases. By this method a liaison will be established between the Health and the Revenue Departments.

I suggest that each taluk may be divided into convenient circles of 50 to 70 villages each, according to the size and population, means of communication and distance from the taluk office. One officer, designated the Inspector of Vital Statistics may be appointed for each circle, whose duty is to detect all cases of omissions to register births and deaths by making house to house enquiries in every village in his circle once in three months. The village headmen assisted by the additional registering officers for each hamlet in a village or for each village in a vattam will continue to be the registrars. The

Inspector of Vital Statistics will be the checking officer.

The Bhole Committee suggested the appointment of a registrar for originally registering vital statistics in a contiguous area comprising about 90 villages. The proposal was not acceptable for the reason that citizens would have to report to a distant office which is common to 90 villages and that the improvement which he could bring about would not be considerable. Moreover it was considered that such an official would have no roots in the village and would carry little real weight with the villagers generally. These objections will not hold good in the case of the checking agency. Under my proposals the village headmen will continue to be the registrars of births and deaths and the Inspector of Vital Statistics will be only a checking agency. This arrangement is bound to improve the registration of vital statistics quantitatively.

The superior officers of the Revenue Department will be relieved of their duties as checking agency and the sole responsibility for checking the registration of vital statistics will devolve on the Health Department. This proposal has therefore the merit of eliminating the dual agency employed at present for checking and fixing the responsibility on only one agency. But a serious objection to the scheme is that it involves new expenditure. I am making certain proposals for the consideration of the Government as part of my scheme, which, if accepted by them, will bring in a revenue of about Rs. 4,13,200 which will meet more than half the cost of the new establishment suggested by me. My scheme for the appointment of an independent checking agency is explained below. This scheme is not applicable to municipalities and cities, where there is a separate qualified registration agency under the personal supervision of the Health Officers concerned. My scheme is applicable only to rural areas, where Act III of 1899 or Board's Standing Order No. 101 is applicable. It is not applicable to the agency areas in the Visakhapatnam and Srikakulam districts and the Laccadive and Amindivi Islands. According to my scheme, a taluk should be divided into convenient circles and each circle put in charge of an Inspector of Vital Statistics. The Inspector should inspect every village in his circle at least once in three months. At each village he must make enquiries in all houses for cases of births and deaths. The average population of a village in Madras State is 1,236. The average population of a town (excluding municipalities and cities) in Madras State works out to 1,423. So the average population of a unit in a circle (village or town) will be approximately 1,300. Assuming that there are 5 persons in a household the average number of households in a unit works out to 260. I think that an Inspector who camps in a village can cover all the households in a day. If the unit is a large one, he may have to camp in that place for more than one day to cover all the households. On this basis the circle of an Inspector of Vital Statistics should comprise 50 to 70 units to enable him to visit all the units, at least once in three months.

In Census Table A-I of part II-A of the Madras Census Report, the number of villages and towns in Madras State and in each district is given. From this the approximate number of inspectors to be appointed in each district has been calculated at the rate of one Inspector for sixty units—vide Annexure No. IX.

Vaccinators are paid on the scale of Rs. 45-2-55-1-75. Vaccinators employed by District Boards get a fixed

travelling allowance of Rs. 22-8-0 per mensem. Those employed by municipalities and panchayats get a cycle allowance of Rs. 4/- if males and a fixed allowance of Rs. 5/- if females. I suggest that the scale of pay of the Inspectors of Vital Statistics may be fixed at Rs. 40/- per mensem. In addition they may be paid dearness allowance and other allowances admissible to officers of the same grade in the State Government. They may also be allowed a fixed travelling allowance of Rs. 22-8-0 per mensem. They should possess the minimum general educational qualification prescribed for public service. They may be directed to undergo training for a month under a District Health Officer and pass a special test on the rules and methods of registration of vital statistics. They may also be taught how to diagnose the signs and symptoms of important diseases like cholera, plague, small-pox, hook worm, etc. During the period of training they may be paid an allowance of Rs 25/- per mensem. The estimated number of inspectors required for the present composite State is roughly 586. The cost of this establishment for the first year will be approximately Rs. 14,650 during training and Rs. 5,38,241 during the remaining eleven months. In the succeeding years the cost will be approximately Rs. 5,87,172. The estimated number of inspectors required for the districts included in the proposed Andhra State is 246 and the cost will come to Rs. 2,32,101 during the first year and Rs. 2,46,492 in the succeeding years. The estimated number of inspectors required for the districts in the residuary State of Madras is 331 and their cost will come to Rs. 3,12,299 during the first year and Rs. 3,31,662 in the succeeding years. The Collectors of districts may be asked to fix the number of inspectors for each taluk, in consultation with the District Health Officers, with reference to the size of the units in each circle, means of communication available and the distance from the taluk headquarters, etc., and intimate the actual number of officers required for the district to the Director of Public Health who will make the necessary provision in the budget for the staff. The Inspectors of Vital Statistics will be borne on the establishment of the Health Department and they will be under the administrative and disciplinary control of the District Health Officers.

The headquarters for each circle should be fixed and the inspectors should be directed to reside in their respective headquarters and tour the villages within their respective circles. These inspectors will work in the taluk offices on the 4th, 5th and 6th of every month, and if any of those dates happens to be a holiday on the next working day. The Tahsildars should arrange for their accommodation in the taluk offices. The District Health Officer will draw up a roster for each quarter for these inspectors, mentioning the villages, towns and panchayats they should visit on the dates specified in the roster. He should communicate copies of this roster to the inspector, Tahsildar and the village officers concerned. If on account of any emergency or on public grounds an inspector has to deviate from the programme drawn up in the roster, he should intimate the fact to the District Health Officer with copy to the Tahsildar and the village officers concerned. The duty of an Inspector is to compile the monthly returns in Form No. 3 of the entries in the registers of births and deaths relating to each village or town in his circle for the month immediately preceding. One of my proposals is that the present registers of births and deaths should be maintained in

counterfoil. The village officer has merely to tear off the counterfoil in the serial order and transmit it to the Inspector of Vital Statistics, care of the Tahsildar. On receipt of the counterfoils in the Taluk office, they should be collected by the clerk, who at present collects all the birth and death returns from the village registrars and hand them over to the Inspectors of Vital Statistics concerned. The inspector will consolidate the monthly return relating to each village in his circle from the counterfoils. If the counterfoils of anyone or more villages are not received, he will prepare reminders to the defaulting registrars and hand them over to the taluk head accountant, who should arrange to send those reminders through the taluk office peons or messengers. It is the duty of the inspector to arrange to get them before the 8th of every month and transmit them to the Director of Public Health. The Inspector will be held responsible for the compilation of the monthly return in Form No. 3 for each village and for transmission of these returns relating to his circle to the Director of Public Health before the 10th of every month. Each inspector will maintain a register showing on what dates the counterfoils for each village were received, when they were consolidated by him and on what date they were transmitted to the office of the Director of Public Health. This proposal of mine will relieve the registrar of the monthly duty of compiling the abstract in Form No. 3 for the village. Another important point is that, if the system of counterfoil is maintained, there will be no excuse for the village registrar to delay the transmission of the counterfoils beyond the due date. To this extent the delayed receipt of these returns in the office of the Director of Public Health will be minimised. The maintenance of the counterfoil system will no doubt impose on the registrar the burden of recording the same event twice, but the additional labour involved is only trivial. To relieve the registrar of the burden of recording the entries twice and to eliminate any possible clerical error in any entry in the process, an alternative is to make a carbon copy of the entry. The carbon copy can be torn out and transmitted to the Inspector. But this involves the supply of carbon sheets to the registrars regularly. If this is omitted to be supplied, the registrars will continue to write on used up carbon sheets, which will leave either indistinct or no impression on the paper below. This carbon copy will prove quite useless to the Inspector for the purpose of consolidating the returns of the villages concerned. I recommend therefore the adoption of the system of recording the entries twice in the foil and the counterfoil. The inspectors, after consolidating the returns for each village will transmit, along with these returns, the counterfoils also to the office of the Director of Public Health. If these counterfoils are also transmitted to the office of the Director of Public Health, then he will have the counterpart of the birth and death registers of all villages in the State in his office. If he finds that the return for any village is incomplete or inaccurate, he can have it corrected by a reference to these counterfoils.

Counterfoil System:

The register of births and the register of deaths are now maintained in Forms Nos. I and II as prescribed in the rules framed under the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899, in rural areas where the Act is in force. Slightly simpler forms are maintained under the Board's Standing Order No. 101, in rural areas where Act III of 1899 is not in

force. In my scheme I have suggested that the village registrar should be relieved of the duty of consolidating the monthly returns for his village and that this work should be done by the Inspector of Vital Statistics. In order to enable the Inspector to consolidate the returns for each village the registrar has to transmit to him a copy of all the entries in the registers of births and deaths relating to the month immediately preceding. Instead of making manuscript copies and also with a view to expedite the transmission of these copies to the inspector, I suggest that the Registers of Births and Deaths in the rural areas be maintained in counterfoil. The counterfoil like the foil, should contain both heading and all the columns.

If in a village there are some hamlets and if for each hamlet a registering officer has been appointed to register vital events, and similarly if there are minor villages attached to a main village and if a registering officer for each such minor village has been appointed, separate birth and death registers in counterfoils should be maintained both by the registrar and the registering officers. At the heading of each register, in addition to the location code number and name of the village, must be noted within brackets the name of the hamlet or minor village, for which the register is maintained. The registrar will collect the counterfoils on the first working day of each month from the registering officers, tag them together along with his counterfoils and transmit the whole to the Inspector of Vital Statistics. In the existing registers the registrars have to give serial numbers for each entry for the whole year commencing from the 1st January to the 31st December in column (1). In column (2) they have to give a serial number for the same entries, for each calendar month. If the register is to be maintained in counterfoil there should be a separate sheet for every birth and for every death. Consequently, column (1) "Annual number" may be dispensed with. The sheets in the register may be numbered serially in print both in the foil and in the counterfoil. Only the monthly number has to be noted by the registrar in serial order. On the first working day of each month the registrar has merely to tear off the counterfoils, tag the sheets relating to the month preceding in serial order and transmit them to the Inspector of Vital Statistics, care of the Tahsildar.

Checking:

When an Inspector of Vital Statistics camps in a village as per the programme drawn up in the roster by the District Health Officer, he must obtain from the registrar the foils of all the registers of births and deaths in his custody, and, in company with the registrar, or the registering officer or any other person deputed by the registrar, and also a village menial, he must visit all houses in the village and make his enquiries regarding all cases of births and deaths. Whenever he comes across a case of omission to register, he should record all entries relating to the event in the register concerned, both in the foil and in the counterfoil, obtain the signature of the informant and that of the registrar or registering officer, as the case may be, in the relevant columns. In the remarks column, he should note that the case was detected by him and also the explanation given by the informant for the delay in registering or for the omission to register, and sign and date it. He should also obtain the signature or the thumb impression of the village menial in the remarks column, as a witness to the fact that the entry was made on that day. After

completing the house to house enquiry in a village the inspector should prepare a statement of defaulters in Form No. 7, slightly modified. Column (9) of the Form, which reads, "Remarks and signature of the Village Head" may be changed to "Remarks and signature of the Registrar or Registering officer concerned, as the case may be, for failure to register." Column (11) may be changed to "Orders of the Tahsildar". Along with each defaulter's statement relating to a village, the Inspector should also prepare notices in duplicate, calling upon the defaulter or defaulters to pay a compounding fee (according to a graduated scale—vide my recommendations for the approval of the Government in Annexure No. X) within 15 days of the receipt of the notice, for failure to register the vital event within the statutory period allowed or in the alternative to take the risk of being prosecuted. The notice will be standardised and printed in the regional languages and bear the signature of the Tahsildar or the Taluk Head Accountant with the office seal. It should be served on the defaulter through the village headman, who should return the duplicate copy with the signature of the defaulter and the manner of service noted on it. On receipt of the defaulter's statement and the copies of the notices from the Inspectors of circles in the taluk office, the Tahsildar, or in his absence the Taluk Head Accountant on behalf of the Tahsildar will sign the notices and have them despatched for service on the defaulters. On receipt back of the duplicate copies of the notices from the village headmen after service, the Taluk Head Accountant will arrange to pass on these notices along with the defaulters' statements to the Inspector concerned. The Inspector will watch, whether the compounding fees have been collected within the time allowed. If the fees have not been collected, he should submit the papers to the Tahsildar for sanction for prosecution. The prosecutions must be sanctioned by the Tahsildar automatically. The prosecutions will be conducted by the Tahsildar through the Revenue Inspectors of the firkas concerned. At the end of every quarter, viz., for the quarters ending 31st March, 30th June, 30th September and 31st December, the inspector will prepare a statement showing the action taken by the Tahsildar on the defaulters' statement submitted by him of persons who failed to register births or deaths during the quarter immediately preceding. The statement already prescribed by the Government in G. O. No. 1387, Public Health, dated 10th August 1926, for each quarter may be suitably modified and prescribed. The inspector should prepare copies of the statement in triplicate and forward one copy to the District Health Officer, one copy to the Revenue Divisional Officer of the division and one copy to the Tahsildar for his information. On receipt of this statement, the Revenue Divisional Officer should review the action taken by the Tahsildar particularly whether there was delay in according sanction for prosecution and send a copy of it to the District Health Officer and the Board of Revenue. The District Health Officer should forward his comments on the quarterly statement and the review of the Revenue Divisional Officer to the Director of Public Health. If the Director of Public Health is not satisfied with the progress made, he should take up further action, in consultation with the Board of Revenue.

It shall be the duty of the inspector to prepare and send a fortnightly diary to the District Health Officer on the 1st and 16th of every month of the work performed

by him viz., the camping in the villages as per the programme in the roster, the number of cases of omissions detected at each place, the defaulters' statements submitted to the Tahsildar, the submission of consolidated monthly returns for each village to the Director of Public Health with dates, etc.

Sources of income suggested for financing the scheme:

Under rule 16(1) of the rules framed under Act III of 1899, fees for search and for grant of extracts from the Registers of Births and Deaths have been prescribed. The search fee has been fixed at Re. 1 for search for a single entry for the first year for which search is made and 8 annas for every additional year, for which the search is continued. Rupee 1 has been prescribed for the grant of extract, relating to each birth or death, in addition to postal charges.

In the rules framed under the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, no fee for grant of extracts relating to a birth or death has been specifically prescribed. Rule 9(3) of those rules prescribes only a search fee and the rate prescribed is 8 annas for a single entry for a single year specified in the application and 2 annas for every additional year, subject to a maximum of Rs. 2-8-0.

Under rules 10(c) and (d) of the rules framed under the Madras City Municipal Act, 1919, the fees prescribed by the Corporation Council for search and grant of extracts from the registers of births and deaths are as follows:

Where the year has been specified but not the date ..	A fee of Rs. 3/-
For every subsequent year ..	„ Rs. 2/-
Where the exact date is given but it dates back to two or more years ..	„ Rs. 1/8
Where the exact date is given but that date is within two years from the date of application ..	„ Re. 1/-
For additional copy of the extract ..	„ Re. 1/-

It will be seen from the above that the provisions in the various rules and the fees prescribed are not uniform. It is desirable that the provisions should be uniform and that there should be only a flat rate of fees for searches or for grant of extracts in any part of the State. I suggest that the Government may consider the question of amending the provisions in the rules framed under the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, and the Madras City Municipal Act, 1919, so as to bring them into conformity with the provisions in the rules framed under Act III of 1899.

There is no means of ascertaining the income derived from the fees for search and for grant of extracts relating to a birth or death. In the Budget Estimate under Revenue receipts, the amount estimated on account of collection of fees for births, deaths and marriages is as follows:

1951-52 Budget Estimate ..	Rs. 4,220
1952-53 Revised Estimate ..	Rs. 3,500
1953-54 Revised Estimate ..	Rs. 3,500

The receipts under this head are trivial at present. My proposal is that the Government may pass an order, that in all educational institutions, except primary and elementary educational institutions, whether Govern-

ment or aided or private, the authorities of the institutions should insist on the students producing certified extracts from the birth register, in evidence of their age at the time of admission. This order must be made binding on all institutions. The Government may further direct the institutions that the date of birth of each student in the records of the school should be entered only from these extracts, and certified so. In the S. S. L. C. books, which are opened for every student from the IV from onwards, on the first page of the book there is a column relating to "Date of birth". The Government may direct that the age in this column should be filled up only from the certified extract of the birth register produced by the student. If the student is unable to produce an extract from the birth register relating to his date of birth, but produces only a horoscope or some other document from which the date of birth could be ascertained, he should be directed by the school authorities to apply to the Deputy Inspector of Schools of the range concerned, for the issue of a certificate relating to his date of birth. He should pay a fee of Rs. 2/- into the treasury and obtain a chalan. He should forward, along with his application, his horoscope or other evidence relating to his date of birth, together with the chalan. After necessary enquiry into the matter, the Deputy Inspector should issue a certificate relating to the date of his birth. This certificate should be accepted as valid by the school authorities for purposes of entering the date of birth in the S. S. L. C. book. Similarly, in all cases, where evidence of age is required, e. g., by a court or at the time of appointment, or by an insurance company or for proving whether a person is a major or a minor or for purposes of certificates of nationality, citizenship, etc., it should be insisted that the person concerned should produce an extract from the birth register in evidence of his age. The Government may also issue an order insisting upon the production of certified extracts from death registers in cases of succession to property, claims to insurance amounts, etc. Apart from the revenue that may be derived by giving effect to the above proposal, this will have the effect of training the public to register immediately vital events and obtain certified extracts of births and deaths immediately, free of cost.

A proposal for introduction of birth certificates as evidence of age of all new entrants to school has been placed before the Government of West Bengal. It is not known whether that Government has accepted the proposal. It is estimated that the annual revenue in West Bengal under this head would be 2.91 lakhs approximately. It has been ascertained from the Director of Public Instruction that the estimated average number of students in a year (boys and girls) in the first form of the secondary schools in the composite Madras State is roughly 140,300. Assuming that 25% of these students are born within municipal or city limits or have already obtained certified extracts from the birth registers in evidence of their age, there will be the remaining 75%, viz., 105,225, who have not yet obtained such extracts. If these persons are required to obtain such extracts by paying a search fee of Re. 1/- and another rupee for extract, the income that may be expected under this head will be roughly Rs. 210,450/-. This income will be a recurring one, if the proposal is accepted. In the case of students born within the area of any municipality or city, the fees for obtaining extract from the birth register will be credited to the municipal or the corporation funds. But it

is not possible to estimate the amount that may be credited to such funds, and the remaining portion that will be credited to Government. In my estimate I have allowed a discount of 25% of the total revenue under this head. During the first three years of the scheme students of the fourth form for whom the S. S. L. C. books will be newly opened may be insisted upon to produce extracts from Birth registers in evidence of their age. The average number of students in a year (boys and girls) in the fourth form in all high school institutions in the composite State is approximately 118,500. Assuming that 25% of the students are born within municipal or city limits or have obtained certified extracts from the birth registers, the remaining 75% of the students will have to pay search fee, etc., for obtaining extracts, or certified copies of their date of birth. The income for each year for the first 3 years on this account may be estimated at Rs. 1,77,750 per year. This income may gradually dwindle or disappear from the fourth year onwards. In an earlier paragraph I have suggested that fines on a graduated scale may be levied as compounding fees for failure to register births and deaths within the statutory period allowed. In the paragraph relating to extent of omissions to register cases of births and deaths, I have given the number of cases of omissions detected each year from 1941 onwards. During 1952 the number of cases of omissions detected was 41,049 under births and 7,360 under deaths. I have already explained in a previous paragraph that these cases were mostly detected by vaccinators working during the off season and also by Health Inspectors. If the inspectors of vital statistics set about their job earnestly and conscientiously, the number of cases of omissions detected is bound to be much larger, but taking credit only for 50,000 cases of detection annually and calculating the compounding fees at a flat rate of 8 annas per case, the income under this head may be estimated at Rs. 25,000 per annum. It is likely to be much higher.

The total annual income under the two heads referred to above may be estimated at Rs. 4,13,200.

In the foregoing paragraphs, I have explained the sources from which income may be derived. The question is, whether the Government will be justified in collecting any revenue from the above sources, or whether public opinion will be against it. The proposal to insist on the production of certified extract from the birth register or death register to prove the date of birth or death, in regard to certificates of nationality, citizenship, claims for insurance amounts or at the time of insuring, is not new. The only point is to insist upon the production of extract at the time of admission to secondary schools. According to my proposals pupils in the primary and elementary schools will not be called upon to produce these extracts. Only pupils who join secondary schools for higher education will be called upon to do so. The age noted in the S. S. L. C. book is very important and extracts from the S. S. L. C. book are now-a-days freely used for purposes of proving the age of a person. In these circumstances, it seems but right that we should insist upon the production of certified extracts for proving the date of birth at the time of admission to secondary schools. It is open to Government to reimburse the students belonging to the Scheduled Tribes or Scheduled Castes or specified backward communities, if they have paid these fees. This is a matter of policy for the Government to decide. There is nothing unmoral in deriving income by insisting on the production of certified extracts from birth registers. Even now, in cases relating to succession to properties

and in the matter of claiming of insurance amounts, people have to produce certified extracts from death registers. My proposal in this respect is not therefore new.

The following statement shows at a glance the expenditure involved in accepting my proposals and also the income that may be derived:

ANNUAL EXPENDITURE

Composite Madras State

586 Inspectors @ Rs. 40 plus Rs. 21 plus
Rs. 22-8-0 for 12 months. .. =Rs. 5,87,172

Andhra State (excluding 7 taluks of Bellary)

246 Inspectors @ Rs. 40 plus Rs. 21 plus
Rs. 22-8-0 for 12 months .. =Rs. 2,46,492

Residuary Madras State

331 Inspectors @ Rs. 40 plus Rs. 21 plus
Rs. 22-8-0 for 12 months .. =Rs. 3,31,662

ANNUAL INCOME FOR THE FIRST 3 YEARS

Composite Madras State =Rs. 4,13,200

Andhra State (excluding 7 taluks of Bellary)

Rs. 1,41,750

Rs. 11,000

Rs. 1,52,750

Residuary Madras State

Rs. 2,42,550

Rs. 14,000

Rs. 2,56,550

I have deliberately estimated the revenue at a very low figure. It is likely to be much higher. Adopting the minimum estimated revenue by accepting my proposals in toto the composite state will be put to a net expenditure of Rs. 1,73,972. The future Andhra State will be put to a net expenditure of Rs. 93,742 and the future residuary State to a net expenditure of Rs. 75,112.

I have considered whether the District Boards could be asked to bear this expenditure. Under section 5(1) of the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899, the Collector shall appoint a person either by name or by virtue of any office he may hold to be registrar of births and deaths for each village etc. Under section 6, every such registrar shall unless otherwise expressly authorised by the Collector in writing reside within the village of which he is the registrar. Under the Act the Collector of the district has to appoint the registrar and such person appointed has to reside in the village. The duty of appointing registrar of births and deaths in every village is not cast on the District Board but on the District Collector, no doubt for the reason that the village headmen should generally be appointed as the registrars. As the District Boards are not concerned with the registration of births and deaths they cannot be called upon to bear expenditure on any checking staff for the purpose of improving the registration of vital statistics.

I understand that the District Boards in Madras State are now maintaining 805 vaccinators (now known as Health Assistants) apart from 20 vaccinators appointed by the Government in the agency areas. I also considered whether these vaccinators could not be asked to

carry on the checking work in the villages along with their vaccination work with the permission of the District Boards. Vaccinators are required, to vaccinate every month such minimum of cases as the District Health Officer may prescribe. They are supplied with lymph from the King Institute four times a month. They have to use this lymph without allowing it to be spoiled. Therefore, the vaccinators have to do the work quickly in a village and push on to the next village till the supply of lymph is exhausted. They cannot therefore be called upon to remain in a village and make enquiries house to house regarding cases of omissions to register births and deaths.

In the circumstances explained above it seems obvious that the Government will have to incur expenditure on the appointment of a separate checking agency. The necessity to improve the registration of births and deaths has been stressed from time to time by important and expert committees like the Bhole Committee, the Vital Statistics Committee, etc. Improvement of vital statistics in a State will result in furnishing accurate data which will in turn be of great help in determining the size and growth of population. I therefore commend my proposals for the acceptance of the Government.

The subject "Vital Statistics including registration of births and deaths" is included in List III of the Concurrent List of the Seventh Schedule of the Constitution. Both the Union Government and the State Government are interested in the subject. As the securing of accurate and complete vital statistics is a matter on which there is no conflict of interest between the State Government and the Central Government, the small expenditure involved in my proposals may be shared equally both by the State and the Central Governments.

Central Compilation of Statistics :

At present monthly returns of births and deaths relating to a village are consolidated by the village registrar and forwarded to the Tahsildar. The Tahsildar collects all these returns relating to his taluk and transmits them to the Director of Public Health. From these returns the Director of Public Health compiles monthly and annual prescribed statements. Prior to 1932 the statistics relating to a district were compiled in the Collectors' Offices and transmitted to the office of the Director of Public Health. In order to eliminate delay and mistakes in the compilation in the intermediate stage the returns from the villages are being collected by the Tahsildars and transmitted to the Director of Public Health. But, even now the consolidation for each village is done by the village registrar. Under my proposals the consolidation for each village will be done by the Inspector of Vital Statistics. My idea is that the consolidation of the returns relating to a village should not continue to be done by the Inspector of Vital Statistics, but it should ultimately be done by the Director of Public Health. It is for this reason I have suggested that the registers of births and deaths should be maintained in counterfoil. The counterfoils are transmitted to the office of the Director of Public Health through the Inspector of Vital Statistics of the circle concerned. It will take some time for the Director of Public Health to organise in his office the necessary staff for consolidating the returns of births and deaths for every village, for each taluk, for each district and for the State, as a whole. In order to give him some time to organise the staff in his office, I am suggesting that the consolidation of the village returns

should be done for some time by the Inspectors of vital statistics. When consolidation is done in the office of the Director of Public Health, it will eliminate the intermediate compilation at the villages. There will be no loss of information and no error in compilation. Moreover, all the records relating to births and deaths of all villages, panchayats, etc., will be available in the office of the Director of Public Health. Certified extracts of entries from births registers and death registers have now to be obtained from the village registrars or sub-registrars, in whose offices these registers are now stored. If the counterfoils are stored in the office of the Director of Public Health, anyone can apply to the Director of Public Health for certified copies of extracts. The office of the Director of Public Health will become a central office for obtaining information regarding the date of birth or death of a person, like that of Somerset House in England and Wales. If consolidation of the returns of births and deaths for every village is done centrally in the office of the Director of Public Health he will need the assistance of a large clerical staff. At present consolidation is done in respect of the returns received from about 38,000 villages. If counterfoils are received from each registrar direct in the office of the Director of Public Health and assuming that each registrar sends about six counterfoils, the present clerical staff will have to be increased about four-fold to cope with the work. The Vital Statistics Committee has recommended the use of machines for the compilation of vital statistics in the States on sound lines. If these machines are used, there will be no need to employ large clerical staff. The Registrar General, India, has accepted in principle that there is need for developing the methods of mechanical compilation, as recommended by the Committee. I commend the suggestion of the Bhole Committee, the Vital Statistics Committee and the Registrar General regarding the use of machines for compiling the vital statistics in the office of the Director of Public Health.

My proposal is applicable only to rural areas where the provisions of the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act III of 1899, or Board's Standing Order No. 101 are in force. In the agency areas in Srikakulam and Visakhapatnam districts, neither the provisions of Act III of 1899, nor the provisions of Board's Standing Order No. 101 are applicable. In these areas births and deaths are not registered, as there is no proper agency for registering vital events. The village officers are not literate. For registering vital events in these areas the Government may consider the appointment of teachers in some places as registrars for a compact area. Failing that the Government may consider the appointment of inspectors of vital statistics as registrars in these areas. The agency area may be divided into convenient circles by the Collectors with reference to the number of villages and their distance from each other and the time to be taken for moving from one village to another and also the time taken for going to the taluk office for the purpose of sending the monthly returns, etc. Such an officer may also be utilised for other purposes by the Revenue Department. The registrars may visit the villages within their respective circles once in three months and register the vital events.

In reserved forest areas, where the provisions of Act III of 1899 are not applicable, the forest officers, if there are any in the forest settlements, may be appointed as registrars. The Tahsildar of Kottayan has suggested

that in the Kannavam reserved forests in Kolayad amsom, the forest range officer may be appointed as registrar for registering births and deaths in the Kurichiya families. His suggestion is commended for approval.

My scheme is not applicable to municipal areas and the city of Madras, where the registration work is carried on by qualified persons under the personal supervision of Health Officers. In some municipalities, where there are no separate Health Officers, the work is carried on under the supervision of the District Health Officers. In these areas there should be adequate provision made for a checking agency. In the rural parts registration work is entrusted to officers of the Revenue department but the checking work is carried out, principally, by the officers of the Health Department. In the municipalities and in the City of Madras both the registration and the checking are done by the officers of the Health Department. I fear that the checking is not, as efficient as it could be. I have no statistics to prove cases of omissions detected in municipalities and the City of Madras. I therefore suggest that the executive officer of the municipality as also the executive officer of the Corporation assisted by the tax collecting staff, should be constituted as a checking agency. If any bill collector or tax collector detects any case of omission to register birth or death within his jurisdiction and brings it to the notice of the executive officer, and it is established that it is a case of omission, he may be given a reward of four annas for every case. This will give an incentive to the checking officers to detect cases of omission. As a result of my proposal to insist upon the production of certified extracts from the birth and death registers, the municipalities and the Corporation of Madras will get additional income from the fees collected. These bill collectors who detect cases can therefore be given rewards without putting the local bodies to any monetary loss. This suggestion may be given a trial.

The scheme formulated by me is only a temporary one. If the Government approve of the scheme, I suggest that it may be given a trial for five years. The posi-

tion may be reviewed at the end of five years, whether the scheme has resulted in the improvement of registration of vital statistics. The Government may gauge at the end of the period whether the public, especially in the rural parts, have been educated to understand the importance of registration of vital statistics. If the Government consider that the public have been sufficiently educated and there is no need to continue the cadre of inspectors of vital statistics, they may disband the staff, or continue the staff for another term after reviewing the position.

I may add, in this connection, that, should the Government approve of my proposals, it will be necessary to amend the various rules framed under the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, (Act III of 1899), 1899, the Madras District Municipalities Act, 1920, and the Madras City Municipal Act, 1919.

I am greatly indebted to Sri V. K. Parthasarathy, Statistician, Office of the Director of Public Health, who furnished me with up-to-date information on all points. He also furnished me with copies of forms and books of reference. His expert advice in statistical matters and suggestions based on experience of the working of the Health Department were very valuable to me.

I must also place on record my appreciation of the work done by Sri M. Mochunarayanan, Upper Division Clerk of my office, who assisted me in the collection of statistics and also other miscellaneous information for drafting my report.

There are many shortcomings in this report. I have not been able to work out my scheme down to the minutest details, and to suggest the necessary amendments to the various statutory rules, for want of time, as I was placed on special duty for the collection of village-wise language data for certain areas. But, my main scheme has been explained in sufficient detail to enable the Government to decide, whether it is acceptable.

Dated 14th August, 1953.

ANNEXURE No. I

LIST OF AREAS IN MADRAS STATE EXCLUDED FROM THE OPERATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF 'THE MADRAS REGISTRATION OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS ACT (ACT III OF 1899)'.

District	Taluk	Description of area excluded
1	2	3
Srikakulam and Visakhapatnam	.	Villages in the agency and backward areas except 20 villages in Araku valley.
East Godavari	Bhadrachalam	All villages except 20 villages.
	Nugur	All villages except Venkatapuram.
	Chodavaram	All villages except Pedda Bhimpalli, Indukurpeta, Purushothapatnam and Devipatnam.
	Yellavaram	All villages except Rajavommangi, Dusaripam, Gonthivarapalem Kim-mur, Thimmapuram, Molleeru, Lingavaram, Mellipudi and Jad-dangi.
	Peddapuram	Amirekula, Akantaram, Ankampalem, Anumarti, Bapandhara, Bura-dakota, Chakirevupalem, Chelluru, Chinamallapuram, Dabbadi, D. Pydipala, Dharamallapuram, Ginnelaram, Jaladam, Kethanagir Mirtivada, Kondapalli, Pedduru, Peda Mallapuram, Polavaram, Raghavapatnam, Sarlanka, Satyavaram Siddavaripalem, Srunga-dhara, Vclangi, Vondregula, Uigogula, Yerakapuram.
		<i>Inam villeges :</i> Diguwadharapalli, Diguvasivada, Girijanapuram, Masampalli, Methu-chinta, Gondi, Rajavaram, Simusuru, Vanthada, Yeguvasivada, Ycrakampalem.
	Rajahmundry	<i>Uninhabited villeges :</i> Bapanammampalem, Srimannarayanapalem, Bhupatipalem, Meran pudu, Narasimhapuram Nagampalli, Nallagondi, Sivarampatnam, Jagannadhapuram, Thakur-palem, Kahijolla, Sudikonda.
West Godavari	Polavaram	All villages except 70 agency villages
Krishna	.	The depopulated villages in the district
Guntur	.	Ditto
Kurnool	.	Backward tracts, viz., Chenchugudem and Lambadi pentas on the hills.
North Arcot	.	34 villages on the Javadi hills inhabited by Malayalis.
Tanjore	Pattukottai	Government . . . 39 villages. Zamindari . . . 124 " Inam . . . 248 "
	Arantangi	Inam . . . 478 "
Tiruchirappalli	Musiri	Vannadu, Kombai, Thumbaranadu, Radaksa Kombai, Pongalayee Kombai, Puliyancholai Kombai, Kanathu Kombai, Nallamathi Kombai, Rasan Kombai, Sangampatti Kombai Bit I, Sangampatti Kombai Bit II, Sukkalampatti Kombai.
Madurai	Kodaikanal	All the villages. Uninhabited villages in the district.
Tirunelveli	Tenkasi	Vadagari, Melpidagai, Kunakudi (U), Vairavankulam.
	Ambasamudram	Isanamadam, Maramangalam, Umiyammalpuram, Dharamapuram, Madam (V), Meramangalam, Jivandisanamadam, Thirupudai, Isanamadam and Arilajamakattalai
	Tiruchendur	Nathakulam (V)

ANNEXURE No. I

LIST OF AREAS IN MADRAS STATE EXCLUDED FROM THE OPERATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF 'THE MADRAS REGISTRATION OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS ACT (ACT III OF 1899)'—(contd.)

District	Taluk		Description of area excluded																																	
1	2		3																																	
	Koilkatti	. . .	Chidambarapatti, Koppanpatti, Parasurampuram, Olakulam, Achan- kulam, Kamanaickupatti, Kurivinatham, Melmandai, Periyasami- puram, Sakkamalpuram, Sivagnanapuram (near Arunkulam) Sivag- nanapuram (near Kadambur), Suramgudi, Tharuvaikulam, Thu- thampatti, Thuraiyoor Thotampatti.																																	
Coimbatore	. . .	Kollegal	. . . Ponnachi, Chengadi, Dantalli, Madiswarammalai, Hoogiam Minnium.																																	
Salem	. . .		All Malaiyali hill villages																																	
South Kanara	. . .	Coondapur	. . . Mudur, Hallihole, Kamalashile, Hosangadi, Yedamoge, Machattur, Ameshebail, Hangavalli, Madamaki, Shedimane, Albadi, Kolluru.																																	
		Karkal	. . . Andar, Andinje, Arambody, Badagakarandur Badekodi, Bajre, Balanja, Chara, Deregudde, Durga, Gunduri, Kabbinala, Karambar, Kalla- puttige, Karebettu, Kervashe, Kokradi, Kuchur, Kudyadi, Kukkeddi, Kukkuje, Kuthlur, Mantradi, Marodi, Mudukodi, Nalkur, Navara, Nittade, Panapila, Peradi, Pillya, Soavya, Shirlal (26), Shirlal (98), Shirthady, Sulkiri, Sulkerimogru, Thenkakarandur, Yellare, Venur.																																	
		Puttur	. . . 90 villages.																																	
		Kasargod	. . . Bellur, Ubrangala, Delampadi, Bandadka, Kuttikolu, Bedadka, Koltur, Periya, Kodoth, Panathadi, Maloth, Beloor I, Beloor II, Karindola, Kinanore I, Kinnanore II, East Eleri, West Eleri and Chimeni.																																	
Malabar	. . .	Mangalore	. . . Amindivi islands.																																	
		Cochin	. . . Whole taluk.																																	
		Wynaad	. . . Dito																																	
	Ernad	. . .	<table><thead><tr><th>Amsam</th><th></th><th>Desam</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>1 Pandalur</td><td>. . .</td><td>Pandalur</td></tr><tr><td>2 Arimbra</td><td>. . .</td><td>Arimbra</td></tr><tr><td>3 Karuvarakundu</td><td>. . .</td><td>Karuvarakundu</td></tr><tr><td>4 Kalikavu</td><td>. . .</td><td>Kalikavu</td></tr><tr><td>5 Amarambalam</td><td>. . .</td><td>Amarambalam</td></tr><tr><td>6 Mambad</td><td>. . .</td><td>Mambad</td></tr><tr><td>7 Urungattiri</td><td>. . .</td><td>Urungattiri</td></tr><tr><td>8 Kuzhuparamba</td><td>. . .</td><td>Kuzhuparamba</td></tr><tr><td>9 Nediyruppu</td><td>. . .</td><td>Chirayil</td></tr><tr><td>10 Uragam Melmuri</td><td>. . .</td><td>Uragam Melmuri</td></tr></tbody></table>	Amsam		Desam	1 Pandalur	. . .	Pandalur	2 Arimbra	. . .	Arimbra	3 Karuvarakundu	. . .	Karuvarakundu	4 Kalikavu	. . .	Kalikavu	5 Amarambalam	. . .	Amarambalam	6 Mambad	. . .	Mambad	7 Urungattiri	. . .	Urungattiri	8 Kuzhuparamba	. . .	Kuzhuparamba	9 Nediyruppu	. . .	Chirayil	10 Uragam Melmuri	. . .	Uragam Melmuri
Amsam		Desam																																		
1 Pandalur	. . .	Pandalur																																		
2 Arimbra	. . .	Arimbra																																		
3 Karuvarakundu	. . .	Karuvarakundu																																		
4 Kalikavu	. . .	Kalikavu																																		
5 Amarambalam	. . .	Amarambalam																																		
6 Mambad	. . .	Mambad																																		
7 Urungattiri	. . .	Urungattiri																																		
8 Kuzhuparamba	. . .	Kuzhuparamba																																		
9 Nediyruppu	. . .	Chirayil																																		
10 Uragam Melmuri	. . .	Uragam Melmuri																																		
	Walluvanad	. . .	1 Attapadi . . . Attapadi																																	
	Kurumbranad	. . .	<table><tbody><tr><td>1 Parakkadavu</td><td>. . .</td><td>Vilakkottur</td></tr><tr><td>2 Chekkyad</td><td>. . .</td><td>Karuvancheri</td></tr><tr><td>3 Valayam</td><td>. . .</td><td>Valayam</td></tr><tr><td>4 Naripetta</td><td>. . .</td><td>Tirur</td></tr><tr><td>5 Kayakkodi</td><td>. . .</td><td>1 Akkal</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>2 Niduvannur</td></tr><tr><td>6 Velliyode</td><td>. . .</td><td>Velliyode</td></tr><tr><td>7 Kavilampara</td><td>. . .</td><td>1 Kavilampara</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>2 Maruthorkara</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>3 Moyilothara</td></tr></tbody></table>	1 Parakkadavu	. . .	Vilakkottur	2 Chekkyad	. . .	Karuvancheri	3 Valayam	. . .	Valayam	4 Naripetta	. . .	Tirur	5 Kayakkodi	. . .	1 Akkal			2 Niduvannur	6 Velliyode	. . .	Velliyode	7 Kavilampara	. . .	1 Kavilampara			2 Maruthorkara			3 Moyilothara			
1 Parakkadavu	. . .	Vilakkottur																																		
2 Chekkyad	. . .	Karuvancheri																																		
3 Valayam	. . .	Valayam																																		
4 Naripetta	. . .	Tirur																																		
5 Kayakkodi	. . .	1 Akkal																																		
		2 Niduvannur																																		
6 Velliyode	. . .	Velliyode																																		
7 Kavilampara	. . .	1 Kavilampara																																		
		2 Maruthorkara																																		
		3 Moyilothara																																		
	Kozhikode	. . .	<table><tbody><tr><td>1 Tiruvambadi</td><td>. . .</td><td>1 Cherupra</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>2 Kutaranni</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>3 Marakkathupuram</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>4 Tiruvambadi</td></tr><tr><td>2 Neelcwaram</td><td>. . .</td><td>1 Neelcwaram</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>2 Palannur</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>3 Techiyad</td></tr><tr><td>3 Pannikode</td><td>. . .</td><td>1 Kakkad</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>2 Naramberi</td></tr><tr><td></td><td></td><td>3 Pannikode</td></tr></tbody></table>	1 Tiruvambadi	. . .	1 Cherupra			2 Kutaranni			3 Marakkathupuram			4 Tiruvambadi	2 Neelcwaram	. . .	1 Neelcwaram			2 Palannur			3 Techiyad	3 Pannikode	. . .	1 Kakkad			2 Naramberi			3 Pannikode			
1 Tiruvambadi	. . .	1 Cherupra																																		
		2 Kutaranni																																		
		3 Marakkathupuram																																		
		4 Tiruvambadi																																		
2 Neelcwaram	. . .	1 Neelcwaram																																		
		2 Palannur																																		
		3 Techiyad																																		
3 Pannikode	. . .	1 Kakkad																																		
		2 Naramberi																																		
		3 Pannikode																																		

ANNEXURE No. I

LIST OF AREAS IN MADRAS STATE EXCLUDED FROM THE OPERATION OF THE PROVISIONS OF 'THE MADRAS REGISTRATION OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS ACT (ACT III OF 1899)'—(concl'd.)

District	Taluk	Description of area excluded	
1	2	3	
		<i>Amsam</i>	<i>Desam</i>
Malabar—(concl'd.)	Kozhikode—(concl'd.)	4 Pudupadi	1 Malapuram 2 Pattur 3 Puthupadi 4 Vezhappur
		5 Kedavur	1 Chamala 2 Irippona 3 Kedavur 4 Palliprom 5 Purmur
		6 Kudathayi	1 Chemmaruthayi 2 Korontiri 3 Kudathayi 4 Palora 5 Perili 6 Vellimanna
		7 Rarothe	1 Andona 2 Chombra 3 Karingamanna 4 Rarothe
		8 Puthur	1 Kadayathur 2 Puthur 3 Vennakkode
		9 Kumaranallur	1 Alli 2 Anayamkunnur 3 Kumaranallur
		Laccadive Islands (including Minicoy)	
		1 Kolayad	1 Komuneri 2 Peruva 3 Porthalam 4 Kolayad 5 Alacheri 6 Etayur
		2 Tholambra	1 Vellarvalli 2 Kotharnanga 3 Tholambra 4 Paghassi 5 Ponnambatta
		3 Vekkalam	1 Thondiyil 2 Vekkalam 3 Tiruvanaprom 4 Kurithala 5 Vayanur
Nilgiris	Coonoor Gudalur	4 Manathana	1 Manathana 2 Kappad 3 Peravur 4 Muringodi
		5 Payam	1 Edakanam 2 Payam 3 Perimparamba 4 Vilamana
		Hallimayar and Kallampalayam	
		9 villages	

ANNEXURE No. II

FORM NO. 1 (EXISTING)

(As per rules framed under the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899.)

Register of births during the year.....in.....village of.....taluk of.....district

[illegible]

NOTE: (1) If a child is born dead, the entries should be made in red ink without being given any serial numbers and such cases should be excluded from the totals in the compilation of the returns.

(2) In making the entries in column (7), it should be stated whether the child born is a Hindu and, if so, of which caste and sub-caste, or a Muhammadan or an Indian Christian or of any other religion or any Anglo-Indian or a European British subject or a foreigner and, if so, of what nationality.

(3) In the case of illegitimate births, the word 'Illegitimate' should be entered in the remarks column and no person's name should be entered as that of the father except as provided in the second proviso to section 8 of the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899.

ANNEXURE No. II

FORM No. 1 (As REVISED)

Register of births during the year.....in (Location Code No.....).....village of
(Location Code No.....).....taluk of (Location Code No.....).....District

Annual number	Monthly number	Date of		Particulars of the child				Nationality and caste	Name, if any	Names and residence of parents to whom the child is born					Age of mother at confinement	Number of live-birth	
		Birth	Registration	Born alive		Born dead				Father's name	Mother's name	Door number	Block/street number & name	Ward/locality number & name			Occupation of father or other person on whom the child is dependent
				Male	Female	Male	Female										
1	2	3	4	5		6		7	8	9	10	11			12	13	14

Particulars of the informant of birth					Particulars of the informant of the name of the child					Signature of the Registrar	Remarks
Name	Door number	Block/street number & name	Ward/locality number & name	Signature or mark of the informant if the information is given orally	Name	Door number	Block/street number & name	Ward/locality number & name	Date of information	Mark or signature	
15	a	b	c	17	a	18	b	c	d	19	20

- NOTE : (1) If a child is born dead, the entries should be made without being given any serial numbers and such cases should be excluded from the totals in the compilation of the returns.
- (2) In making the entries in column (7), it should be stated whether the child born is a Hindu and, if so, of which caste and sub-caste, or a Muhammadan or any Indian Christian or of any other religion or any Anglo-Indian or a European British subject or a foreigner and, if so of what nationality.
- (3) In the case of illegitimate births, the word 'Illegitimate' should be entered in the remarks column and no person's name should be entered as that of the father except as provided in the second proviso to section 8 of the Madras Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899.

ANNEXURE No. III

FORM A (EXISTING)

(As per rules framed under the Madras District Municipalities Act 1920.)

Register of births in the Municipality of.....

during the month of.....19 .

Annual number	Monthly number	Name of child, if any	Born alive		Born dead		Nationality and caste	Where born			Name of father	Name of mother	Age of mother at confinement	Number of the live-birth	Occupation of the father or mother	Date of birth
			Male	Female	Male	Female		Door number	Street number	Number of ward or division						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17

Date of registration	Informant's		Informant of the name of the child		Signature of Registrar	Midwife or doctor who attended the birth		Remarks
	Mark or signature	Residence	Relationship and his mark or signature	Residence		Name	Qualified or unqualified in the case of midwife	
18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26

- NOTE : (1) In making the entries in column (8), it should be stated whether the child born is a Hindu, and, if so, of which caste, and sub-caste or a Muhammadan or an Indian Christian or of any other religion or an Anglo-Indian or a European British subject, or a foreigner and, if so, of what nationality.
- (2) In the case of illegitimate births the word 'Illegitimate' should be entered in the remarks column and no person's name should be entered as that of the father except as provided in clause (e) of the proviso to sub-rule (1) of rule 5.

ANNEXURE No. III

FORM A (As REVISED)

Register of births in the Municipality of (Location Code No.....).....
during the month of.....19 .

Annual number	Monthly number	Name of child (if any)	Born alive		Born dead		Nationality and caste	Where born			Name of father	Name of mother	Age of mother at confinement	Number of the live-birth	Occupation of father or other person on whom the child is dependant	Date of birth
			Male	Female	Male	Female		Door number	Number and name of block/street	Number and name of ward/locality						
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17

Date of registration	Informant of birth					Informant of name of the child					Signature of Registrar	Midwife or doctor who attended the birth		Remarks	
	Name	Mark or signature	Door number	Number and name of block/street	Number and name of ward/locality	Name	Mark or signature	Date of information	Door number	Number and name of block/street		Number and name of ward/locality	Name		Qualified or unqualified in the case of mid-wife
18	a	b	a	b	c	a	b	a	b	c	d	23	24	25	26

- NOTE:** (1) In making the entries in column (8), it should be stated whether the child born is a Hindu, and, if so, of which caste, and sub-caste or a Muhammadan or an Indian Christian or of any other religion or an Anglo-Indian or a European British subject, or a foreigner and, if so, of what nationality.
- (2) In the case of illegitimate births the word 'Illegitimate' should be entered in the remarks column and no person's name should be entered as that of the father except as provided in clause (e) of the proviso to sub-rule (1) of rule 5.

ANNEXURE No. IV**FORM A REGISTER OF BIRTHS (EXISTING)***(As per rules framed under the Madras City Municipal Act, 1919.)*

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| (1) Annual number | |
| (2) Monthly number | |
| (3) Name of child | |
| (4) Born alive | (a) Male |
| | (b) Female |
| (5) Born dead | (a) Male |
| | (b) Female |
| (6) Nationality or caste | |
| (7) Where born | (a) Door number |
| | (b) Street |
| | (c) Division |
| (8) Father | (a) Name |
| | (b) Age |
| | (c) Occupation |
| | (d) Address |
| (9) Mother | (a) Name |
| | (b) Age |
| | (c) Occupation |
| | (d) Number of children of this |
| | mother including this child |
| | <div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="font-size: 4em; margin-right: 10px;">{</div> <div> <div>(i) Born alive—</div> <div style="text-align: center;">v</div> <div>now —</div> <div>dead</div> </div> </div> |
| | (ii) Still born |
| (10) Informant | (a) Signature or mark |
| | (b) Address |
| (11) Midwife who attended the birth | (a) Name |
| | (b) Qualified or unqualified |
| | (c) Address |
| (12) Doctor who attended the birth | { Name |
| | Address |
| (13) Date of birth | |
| (14) Date of registration | |
| (15) Signature of the Registrar | |
| (16) Remarks | |

ANNEXURE No. IV

FORM A REGISTER OF BIRTHS (AS REVISED)

Annual number	Monthly number	Name of child (if any)	Born alive		Born dead		Nationality and caste	Where born			Father				Mother				No. of children of this mother including this child		
			Male	Female	Male	Female		Door number	Number and name of block/street	Number and name of ward/locality	Name	Age	Occupation	Address—door no., street no. & name, locality no. and name	Name	Age	Occupation	Address—door no., street no. & name, locality no. and name	Born alive	Now— V dead	Still born
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12				13				14		

Date of birth	Date of registration	Informant of birth			Informant of name of the child					Signature of the Registrar	Midwife or doctor who attended the birth		Remarks				
		Name	Mark or signature	Door number	Street number and name	Locality number and name	Name	Mark or signature	Date of information		Door number	Street number & name		Locality number & name	Name	Qualified or unqualified in the case of midwife	
15	16	17			18					19	20			21	22	23	24

- NOTE :** (1) In making the entries in column (8) it should be stated whether the child born is a Hindu, and, if so, of which caste, and sub-caste or a Muhammadan or an Indian Christian or of any other religion or an Anglo-Indian or a European British subject, or a foreigner and if so, of what nationality.
- (2) In the case of illegitimate births the word 'illegitimate' should be entered in the remarks column and no person's name should be entered as that of the father except as provided in the sub-paragraph to clause (b) of the proviso to rule 2.

ANNEXURE No. V

FORM No. 2 (EXISTING)

(As per rules framed under the Registration of Births and Deaths Act, 1899)

Register of deaths during the year.....in village of.....taluk of.....district

Annual number	Monthly number	Particulars relating to the deceased																
		Date of		Name with the name of both the parents	Residence at the time of death	Age			Male or female	Nationality and caste	Born dead	Cause of death						
		Death	Registration			Years	Months	Days				All maternal deaths occurring during child-birth or within one month thereof	Cholera	Plague	Hydrophobia	Cerebro-spinal meningitis	Diphtheria	Small-pox
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19

Particulars relating to the deceased—(concl.)										Particulars of the informant			Signature or mark of the informant if the information be given orally	Signature of the Registrar	Remarks (Note in this column the exact cause of death in case of the cases registered under 'all other causes').
Cause of death—(concl.)										Name	Description	Residence			
20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35
Misiles	Malaria	Other fevers	Respiratory diseases	Dysentery	Diarrhoea	Suicides	Wounds and accidents	Snake-bites	Killed by wild beasts	All other causes					

NOTE: (1) If a child is born dead, the entries should be made in red ink without being given any serial numbers and such cases should be excluded from the totals in the compilation of the returns.

(2) In making the entries in column (11), it should be stated whether the person deceased was a Hindu, and, if so, of which caste and sub-caste, or a Muhammadan or an Indian Christian or of any other religion or an Anglo-Indian or a European British subject or a foreigner and, if so, of what nationality.

AENEXURE No. V

FORM NO. 2 (As REVISED)

Register of deaths during the year.....in (Location Code No. and name).....village of
(Location Code No. and name).....taluk of (Location Code No. and name).....district

Annual number		Monthly number		Date of		Name with the name of both the parents	Residence at the time of death.			Age.			Male or female	Nationality and caste	Civil condition—single/married /widowed	In case of married woman or widow, name of the husband	Occupation	Born dead	Cause of death																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																													
1	2	3	4	5	Door number		Number and name of block/street	Number and name of ward/locality	Years	Months	Days	All maternal deaths occurring during child-birth or within one month thereof							Cholera	Plague	Hydrophobia	Cerebrospinal meningitis	Diphtheria	Small-pox																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																								
																									6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																							

Particulars relating to the deceased—(concl'd.)											Particulars* of the informant				Signature or mark of the informant if the information be given orally	Signature of the Registrar	Remarks (Note in this column the exact cause of death in case of the deaths registered under 'all other causes'.)
Cause of death—(concl'd.)																	
Measles	Malaria	Other fevers	Respiratory diseases	Dysentery	Diarrhoea	Suicides	Wounds and accidents	Snake-bites	Killed by wild beasts	All other causes	Name	Description	Door number	Block / street no. and name	Ward/locality number & name		
23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	a	b	c		
														36			
												</					

- NOTE : (1) If a child is born dead, the entries should be made without being given any serial numbers and such cases should be excluded from the totals in the compilation of the returns.
- (2) In making the entries in column (11), it should be stated whether the person deceased was a Hindu, and, if so, of which caste and sub-caste, or Muhammadan or an Indian Christian or of any other religion or an Anglo-Indian or a European British subject or a foreigner and, if so, of what nationality.

FORM B (EXISTING)

Register of deaths in the Municipality of.....during the month of.....19

NOTE : (1) In the case of persons born illegitimate, the mother's name should be entered in column (4).
(2) In making the entries in column (11), it should be stated whether the person deceased was a Hindu, and, if so, of which caste and sub-caste, or a Muhammadan or an Indian Christian or of any other religion or an Anglo-Indian or a European British subject or a foreigner and, if so, of what nationality.

FORM B (As Revised)

Register of deaths in (Location Code No. and name).....Municipality
during the month of.....19 .

[illegible][illegible]

NOTE : (1) In the case of persons born illegitimate, the mother's name should be entered in column (4).
(2) In making the entries in column (12), it should be stated whether the person deceased was a Hindu, and, if so, of which caste and sub-caste, or a Muhammadan or an Indian Christian or of any other religion or an Anglo-Indian or a European British subject or a foreigner and, if so, of what nationality.

ANNEXURE No. VII**FORM B REGISTER OF DEATHS (EXISTING)***(As per rules framed under the Madras City Municipal Act, 1919)*

- (1) Annual number
- (2) Monthly number
- (3) Name of deceased
- (4) Nationality or caste
- (5) Sex
 - { Male
 - { Female
- (6) Age
 - { Years
 - { Months
 - { Days
- (7) Social condition
 - { Single
 - { Married
 - { Widowed
- (8) Occupation
- (9) Usual residence
 - { Door number
 - { Street
 - { Division
- (10) Place of death
- (11) Cause of death
- (12) Duration of illness
- (13) Date of death
- (14) Date of registration
- (15) Informant
 - { Signature or mark
 - { Address
- (16) Name of the burial or burning ground or crematorium where the body was disposed of
- (17) Name and the address of the medical attendant who attended during last illness
- (18) Signature of the Registrar
- (19) Remarks

ANNEXURE No. VII

FORM B REGISTER OF DEATHS (AS REVISED)

Annual number	Monthly number	Name of deceased	Name of father	Civil condition—single/married/ widowed	In the case of a married woman or a widow the name of the husband	Sex		Age			Nationality & caste	Occupation	Place of death		
						Male	Female	Years	Months	Days			Door number	Block/street number & name	Ward/locality number & name
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	a	b	c
14															

Usual residence			Informant's										Name of medical attendant (if any)	Remarks
Door number	Block/street number & name	Ward/locality number & name	Cause of death	Date of death	Date of registration	Name	Mark or signature	Door number	Block/street number & name	Ward/locality number & name	Signature of Registrar	Name of burial or burning ground		
15	16	17	18	19	20	a	b	a	b	c	23	24	25	26
21														
22														

- NOTE :** (1) In the case of persons born illegitimate, the mother's name should be entered in column (4).
 (2) In making the entries in column (12), it should be stated whether the person deceased was a Hindu, and, if so, of which caste and sub-caste, or a Muhammadan or an Indian Christian or of any other religion or an Anglo-Indian or a European British subject or a foreigner and, if so, of what nationality.

ANNEXURE No. VIII

STATEMENT SHOWING THE PERCENTAGES OF BIRTH AND DEATH RETURNS RECEIVED BY THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC HEALTH FOR EACH DISTRICT, TOTAL, RURAL AND URBAN SEPARATELY, OUT OF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF RETURNS DUE FOR THE PERIOD 1-9-1951 to 31-8-1952.

MADRAS STATE			TOTAL	92-279			
			RURAL	92-335			
			URBAN	89-313			
1	Srikakulam	T	86-997	12	Chingleput	T	91-411
		R	87-008			R	91-243
		U	86-111			U	100
1-A	Visakhapatnam	T	97-048	13	Chittoor	T	89-727
		R	97-047			R	89-661
		U	97-222			U	100
2	East Godavari (Plains)	T	91-874	14	North Arcot	T	96-936
		R	92-828			R	97-211
		U	60-667			U	83-865
2-A	East Godavari (Agency)	T	81-479	15	Salem	T	91-745
		R	81-479			R	92-095
		U	...			U	68-553
3	West Godavari (Plains)	T	98-076	16	Coimbatore	T	99-460
		R	98-063			R	99-446
		U	98-611			U	100
3-A	West Godavari (Agency)	T	98-581	17	South Arcot	T	84-181
		R	98-581			R	84-306
		U	...			U	76-389
4	Krishna	T	97-338	18	Tanjore	T	99-029
		R	97-379			R	99-008
		U	93-333			U	100
5	Guntur	T	93-594	19	Tiruchirapalli	T	93-498
		R	93-830			R	93-801
		U	85-606			U	82-051
6	Nellore	T	87-470	20	Madurai	T	93-756
		R	87-497			R	93-577
		U	85-088			U	100
7	Cuddapah	T	96-547	21	Ramanathapuram	T	82-296
		R	97-013			R	82-265
		U	68-627			U	83-559
8	Kurnool	T	92-985	22	Tirunelveli	T	99-322
		R	93-003			R	99-373
		U	91-667			U	98-571
9	Bellary	T	86-225	23	The Nilgiris	T	73-657
		R	86-100			R	72-883
		U	96-528			U	100
10	Anantapur	T	95-862	24	Malabar	T	100
		R	95-945			R	100
		U	89-394			U	100
11	Madras	T	100	25	South Kanara	T	100
		R	100			R	100
		U	100			U	100

ANNEXURE No. IX

STATEMENT SHOWING THE ESTIMATED NUMBER OF INSPECTORS REQUIRED FOR
EACH DISTRICT IN MADRAS STATE

Number and Name of District		Number of Inspectors estimated at the rate of one Inspector for 60 units (villages and towns)
1	2	
1 Srikakulam (excluding Agency)		44
1A Visakhapatnam (excluding Agency)		21
2 East Godavari		31
3 West Godavari		13
4 Krishna		16
5 Guntur		16
6 Nellore		26
7 Cuddapah		14
8 Kurnool		14
9 Bellary		14
Bellary (Andhra area)		5
Bellary (Mysore area)		9
10 Anantapur		14
11 Madras		...
12 Chingleput		32
13 Chittoor		32
14 North Arcot		30
15 Salem		30
16 Coimbatore		17
17 South Arcot		38
18 Tanjore		38
19 Tiruchirapalli		25
20 Madurai		18
21 Ramanathapuram		47
22 Tirunelveli		17
23 The Nilgiris		3
24 Malabar		25
25 South Kanara		11
Total		586
Number of Inspectors required for the Composite Madras State		586
Cost of establishment at the rate of Rs. 40+21+22½ for one Inspector		Rs. 5,87,172 per annum.
Number of Inspectors required for Andhra area		246
Number of Inspectors required for residuary area in Madras State		331
Cost for Andhra area Rs. 2,46,492. Cost for residuary area		Rs. 3,31,662 per annum.

Taking in to consideration the difficulties in the means of communication.

ANNEXURE No. X**COMPOUNDING FEES FOR FAILURE TO REGISTER BIRTHS AND DEATHS
WITHIN THE STATUTORY PERIOD ALLOWED**

Compounding fees for failure to register vital events may be levied on a graduated scale, as suggested below :

Births

Rs. as. p.

For failure to register upto the end of 3 months from the date of birth

0 4 0

Do. Do. 6 Do. Do.

0 8 0

Do. Do. 12 Do. Do.

1 0 0

For failure to register for more than one year, in accordance with the orders of the Magistrate, not below the rank of a Magistrate of the Second Class, having jurisdiction over the local area.

Deaths

For failure to register upto the end of 1 month from the date of death

0 4 0

Do. Do. 3 Do. Do.

0 6 0

Do. Do. 6 Do. Do.

0 8 0

Do. Do. 12 Do. Do.

1 0 0

For failure to register for more than one year, in accordance with the orders of the Magistrate, not below the rank of a Magistrate of the Second Class, having jurisdiction over the local area.

TABLES

									POPULATION			
State & District									Area(in square miles)	Number of households	Persons	
1									2	3	4	
MADRAS	Total Rural Urban	127,790	11,936,123 9,672,865 2,263,258	57,016,002 45,832,268 11,183,734
Srikakulam	T R U	3,902	449,861 408,907 40,954	2,123,136 1,932,351 190,785
Visakhapatnam	T R U	5,201	448,918 386,531 62,387	2,072,698 1,767,840 304,858
East Godavari	T R U	5,768	539,695 438,742 100,953	2,414,808 1,954,662 460,146
West Godavari	T R U	2,988	382,106 308,759 73,347	1,697,727 1,366,458 331,269
Krishna	T R U	3,496	383,099 301,343 81,756	1,779,484 1,409,392 370,092
Guntur	T R U	5,795	557,680 451,011 106,669	2,549,996 2,048,075 501,921
Nellore	T R U	7,943	367,099 329,962 37,137	1,795,632 1,591,993 203,639
Cuddapah	T R U	5,922	237,060 211,183 25,877	1,161,731 1,027,502 134,229
Kurnool	T R U	7,896	263,475 224,701 38,774	1,270,843 1,070,625 200,218
Bellary	T R U	5,881	249,384 200,437 48,947	1,243,525 974,172 269,353
Anantapur	T R U	6,705	266,872 223,626 43,246	1,361,556 1,139,998 221,558
Madras	T R U	49	269,851 269,851	1,416,056 1,416,056
Chingleput	T R U	3,054	388,609 323,494 65,115	1,853,619 1,532,257 321,362

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
28,419,003	28,596,999	56,781,763	30.4	15.9
22,795,545	23,036,723	46,197,178	28.8	15.0
5,623,458	5,560,276	10,584,583	37.3	19.8
1,034,910	1,088,226	2,069,002	32.8	19.8
942,405	989,946	1,889,689	33.2	20.2
92,505	98,280	179,313	29.2	15.9
1,023,192	1,049,506	1,892,593	30.3	19.2
872,153	895,687	1,606,245	30.0	18.7
151,039	153,819	286,348	31.7	22.0
1,206,483	1,208,325	2,414,808	26.2	15.1
978,181	976,481	1,994,822	23.4	14.1
228,302	231,844	419,986	39.3	19.8
848,990	848,737	1,697,727	28.5	17.0
684,816	681,642	1,433,866	29.1	17.5
164,174	167,095	263,861	25.2	14.3
903,872	875,612	1,779,484	30.3	14.7
715,740	693,652	1,432,072	30.3	14.9
188,132	181,960	347,412	30.1	13.5
1,291,745	1,258,251	2,549,996	31.0	15.2
1,039,696	1,008,379	2,073,595	29.9	15.1
252,049	249,872	476,401	35.6	15.8
902,794	892,838	1,795,632	30.3	11.1
799,630	792,363	1,596,388	29.5	15.2
103,164	100,475	199,244	36.6	23.0
595,060	566,671	1,161,731	30.8	14.7
526,695	500,807	1,027,502	22.4	10.6
68,365	65,864	134,229	95.6	45.9
643,594	627,249	1,270,843	38.2	18.4
541,460	529,165	1,085,428	38.0	18.8
102,134	98,084	185,415	39.4	16.2
632,285	611,240	1,243,525	38.6	18.1
494,648	479,524	980,139	39.9	18.7
137,637	131,716	263,386	33.8	15.8
701,242	660,314	1,361,556	35.8	16.8
586,610	553,388	1,159,216	36.5	17.5
114,632	106,926	202,340	31.5	13.1
737,013	679,043	1,416,056	42.4	30.8
737,013	679,043	1,416,056	42.4	30.8
940,824	912,795	1,853,619	25.2	17.3
777,818	754,439	1,578,797	24.3	17.0
163,006	158,356	274,822	30.4	18.9

I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered

									POPUL		
State & District									Area (in square miles)	Number of households	Persons
1									2	3	4
Chittoor	T R U	5,931	356,477 323,095 33,382	1,810,377 1,641,043 169,334
North Arcot	T R U	4,674	569,059 466,475 102,584	2,859,157 2,330,945 528,212
Salem	T R U	7,063	714,404 622,672 91,732	3,371,769 2,900,450 471,319
Coimbatore	T R U	7,090	722,470 585,447 137,023	3,293,204 2,640,032 653,172
South Arcot	T R U	4,204	586,533 518,485 68,048	2,776,767 2,449,304 327,463
Tanjore	T R U	3,740	663,259 541,678 121,581	2,982,670 2,404,774 577,896
Tiruchirapalli	T R U	5,514	658,484 537,102 121,382	2,943,882 2,360,607 583,275
Madurai	T R U	4,910	611,704 446,464 165,240	2,891,817 2,086,513 805,304
Ramanathapuram	T R U	4,849	474,390 358,125 116,265	2,080,519 1,541,966 538,553
Tirunelveli	T R U	4,343	576,547 404,080 172,467	2,445,967 1,681,404 764,563
The Nilgiris	T R U	984	71,942 55,139 16,803	311,729 222,732 88,997
Malabar	T R U	5,844	819,272 738,968 80,304	4,758,342 4,250,367 507,975
South Kanara	T R U	4,044	307,873 266,439 41,434	1,748,991 1,506,806 242,185
COORG	Total Rural Urban	1,586	50,348 47,275 3,073	229,405 215,150 16,255

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951—(concl.)

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
924,592	885,785	1,810,377	27.3	15.3
839,029	802,014	1,677,604	27.0	15.2
85,563	83,771	132,773	31.3	16.5
1,427,722	1,431,435	2,859,157	32.5	17.9
1,166,138	1,164,807	2,330,945	29.8	17.1
261,584	266,628	528,212	44.4	21.1
1,691,003	1,680,766	3,371,769	26.0	12.4
1,453,568	1,446,882	2,926,828	23.8	11.5
237,435	233,884	444,941	40.1	18.3
1,653,494	1,639,710	3,293,204	25.5	11.0
1,317,991	1,322,041	2,671,939	22.7	9.8
335,503	317,669	621,265	37.5	16.2
1,390,463	1,386,304	2,776,767	27.7	17.4
1,226,596	1,222,708	2,449,304	26.4	17.4
163,867	163,596	327,463	37.8	17.4
1,467,494	1,515,176	2,982,670	26.4	13.4
1,182,204	1,222,570	2,441,652	23.5	12.0
285,290	292,606	541,018	39.5	19.4
1,459,676	1,484,206	2,943,882	27.5	17.3
1,164,970	1,195,637	2,418,725	25.9	16.8
294,706	288,569	525,157	34.5	19.7
1,439,258	1,452,559	2,891,817	28.4	15.6
1,033,275	1,053,238	2,110,647	25.6	14.3
405,983	399,321	781,170	36.1	18.9
995,525	1,084,994	2,080,519	28.3	17.1
735,107	806,859	1,554,265	25.7	16.3
260,418	278,135	526,254	36.1	19.5
1,188,399	1,257,568	2,445,967	32.3	18.6
815,682	865,722	1,758,300	33.3	19.3
372,717	391,846	687,667	29.7	16.7
163,880	147,849	311,729	43.2	15.7
116,814	105,918	222,732	42.9	15.4
47,066	41,931	88,997	44.0	16.4
2,314,484	2,443,858	4,758,342	34.9	11.6
2,063,040	2,187,327	4,250,367	34.5	11.2
251,444	256,531	507,975	37.9	15.4
841,009	907,982	1,748,991	36.3	12.8
721,279	785,527	1,526,111	36.9	12.7
119,730	122,455	222,880	32.3	13.6
125,327	104,078	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
116,040	97,110	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
9,287	6,968	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.

NOTE: Registration data (columns 7, 8 & 9) relates to the year, 1951-52.

I-B—Registered Deaths in Madras State by Age and Sex, 1951-52

										Registered Deaths		
Age Group										Persons	Males	Females
1										2	3	4
										TOTAL		
All Ages	903,069	459,415	443,654
Under 1 year	187,890	100,723	87,167
1—4	157,422	78,943	78,479
5—9	37,215	18,522	18,693
10—14	23,729	11,395	12,334
15—19	30,736	13,925	16,811
20—29	52,359	22,301	30,058
30—39	57,466	27,167	30,299
40—49	65,605	36,658	28,947
50—59	65,018	36,009	29,009
60 & over	225,629	113,772	111,857
										RURAL		
All Ages	693,275	351,918	341,357
Under 1 year	142,662	76,729	65,933
1—4	116,772	58,979	57,793
5—9	27,677	13,796	13,881
10—14	18,981	9,015	9,966
15—19	24,733	11,392	13,341
20—29	36,749	15,525	21,224
30—39	42,625	19,895	22,730
40—49	50,909	27,978	22,931
50—59	49,689	26,813	22,876
60 & over	182,478	91,796	90,682
										URBAN		
All Ages	209,794	107,497	102,297
Under 1 year	45,228	23,994	21,234
1—4	40,650	19,964	20,686
5—9	9,538	4,726	4,812
10—14	4,748	2,380	2,368
15—19	6,003	2,533	3,470
20—29	15,610	6,776	8,834
30—39	14,841	7,272	7,569
40—49	14,696	8,680	6,016
50—59	15,329	9,196	6,133
60 & over	43,151	21,976	21,175

II—Distribution of Population in Madras

Age Group	Persons				Males
	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
	1	2	3	4	5
TOTAL					
All Ages	5,704,658	2,486,261	2,666,913	551,484	2,851,439
Under 1 year	146,589	...	146,589	...	73,090
1—4	541,242	...	541,242	...	271,233
5—14	1,375,797	44,480	1,330,011	1,306	692,547
15—24	1,026,388	494,767	510,775	20,846	506,674
25—34	884,341	731,680	94,612	58,049	429,590
35—44	698,719	576,910	22,934	98,875	356,493
45—54	532,501	380,024	12,320	140,157	272,388
55—64	318,789	181,076	4,787	132,926	161,668
65—74	130,227	59,843	1,711	68,673	64,379
75 & over	48,162	16,928	919	30,315	22,500
Age not stated	1,903	553	1,013	337	877
RURAL					
All Ages	4,586,653	2,001,883	2,140,410	444,360	2,286,949
Under 1 year	118,270	...	118,270	...	59,165
1—4	440,701	...	440,701	...	220,976
5—14	1,116,219	39,100	1,075,937	1,182	561,847
15—24	805,890	391,346	398,646	15,898	394,570
25—34	704,377	585,791	72,194	46,392	338,078
35—44	564,269	466,379	17,998	79,892	287,152
45—54	431,595	308,915	9,867	112,813	221,253
55—64	259,534	147,398	3,853	108,283	132,667
65—74	105,830	48,726	1,405	55,699	52,689
75 & over	38,567	13,888	659	24,020	17,964
Age not stated	1,401	340	880	181	588
URBAN					
All Ages	1,118,005	484,378	526,503	107,124	564,490
Under 1 year	28,319	...	28,319	...	13,925
1—4	100,541	...	100,541	...	50,257
5—14	259,578	5,380	254,074	124	130,700
15—24	220,498	103,421	112,129	4,948	112,104
25—34	179,964	145,889	22,418	11,657	91,512
35—44	134,450	110,531	4,936	18,983	69,341
45—54	100,906	71,109	2,453	27,344	51,135
55—64	59,255	33,678	934	24,643	29,001
65—74	24,397	11,117	306	12,974	11,690
75 and over	9,595	3,040	260	6,295	4,536
Age not stated	502	213	133	156	289

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females

Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
1,218,282	1,505,829	127,328	2,853,219	1,267,979	1,161,084	424,156
...	73,090	...	73,499	...	73,499	...
...	271,233	...	270,009	...	270,009	...
8,391	683,907	249	683,250	36,089	646,104	1,057
131,660	370,339	4,675	519,714	363,107	140,436	16,171
338,453	77,381	13,756	454,751	393,227	17,231	44,293
319,523	16,049	20,921	342,226	257,387	6,885	77,954
234,335	8,042	30,011	260,113	145,689	4,278	110,146
127,477	3,486	30,705	157,121	53,599	1,301	102,221
45,082	1,212	18,085	65,848	14,761	499	50,588
13,124	603	8,773	25,662	3,804	316	21,542
237	487	153	1,026	316	526	184
978,052	1,206,837	102,060	2,299,704	1,023,831	933,573	342,300
...	59,165	...	59,105	...	59,105	...
...	220,976	...	219,725	...	219,725	...
7,373	554,252	222	554,372	31,727	521,685	960
101,539	289,834	3,197	411,320	289,807	108,812	12,701
268,990	58,482	10,606	366,299	316,801	13,712	35,786
257,526	12,663	16,963	277,117	208,853	5,335	62,929
190,320	6,618	24,315	210,342	118,595	3,249	88,498
104,482	2,881	25,304	126,867	42,916	972	82,979
37,060	1,036	14,593	53,141	11,666	369	41,106
10,628	520	6,816	20,603	3,260	139	17,204
134	410	44	813	206	470	137
240,230	298,992	25,268	553,515	244,148	227,511	81,856
...	13,925	...	14,394	...	14,394	...
...	50,257	...	50,284	...	50,284	...
1,018	129,655	27	128,878	4,362	124,419	97
30,121	80,505	1,478	108,394	73,300	31,624	3,470
69,463	18,899	3,150	88,452	76,426	3,519	8,507
61,997	3,386	3,958	65,109	48,534	1,550	15,025
44,015	1,424	5,696	49,771	27,094	1,029	21,648
22,995	605	5,401	30,254	10,683	329	19,242
8,022	176	3,492	12,707	3,095	130	9,482
2,496	83	1,957	5,059	544	177	4,338
103	77	109	213	110	56	47

II—Distribution of Population in Coorg

				Persons			Males
Age Group	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total		
1	2	3	4	5	6		
							TOTAL
All Ages	22,913	9,081	12,146	1,686	12,544		
Under 1 year	702	...	702	...	350		
1—4	2,496	...	2,496	...	1,238		
5—14	5,185	40	5,141	4	2,618		
15—24	4,432	1,476	2,907	49	2,435		
25—34	3,946	3,071	713	162	2,194		
35—44	2,822	2,357	132	333	1,760		
45—54	1,800	1,350	36	414	1,121		
55—64	968	546	11	411	524		
65—74	400	184	5	211	216		
75 & over	158	53	3	102	87		
Age not stated	4	4	1		
							RURAL
All Ages	21,293	8,477	11,253	1,563	11,633		
Under 1 year	660	...	660	...	333		
1—4	2,357	...	2,357	...	1,174		
5—14	4,833	37	4,792	4	2,441		
15—24	4,026	1,362	2,617	47	2,179		
25—34	3,684	2,880	653	151	2,043		
35—44	2,655	2,219	122	314	1,659		
45—54	1,658	1,252	35	371	1,032		
55—64	899	502	10	387	486		
65—74	365	170	4	191	200		
75 & over	153	52	3	98	86		
Age not stated	3	3		
							URBAN
All Ages	1,620	604	893	123	911		
Under 1 year	42	...	42	...	17		
1—4	139	...	139	...	64		
5—14	352	3	349	...	177		
15—24	406	114	290	2	256		
25—34	262	191	60	11	151		
35—44	167	138	10	19	101		
45—54	142	98	1	43	89		
55—64	69	44	1	24	38		
65—74	35	14	1	20	16		
75 & over	5	1	...	4	1		
Age not stated	1	1	1		

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females

Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
4,809	7,227	508	10,369	4,272	4,919	1,178
...	350	...	352	...	352	...
...	1,238	...	1,258	...	1,258	...
1	2,615	2	2,567	39	2,526	2
248	2,177	10	1,997	1,228	730	39
1,440	678	76	1,752	1,631	35	86
1,527	122	111	1,062	830	10	222
983	33	105	679	367	3	309
415	8	101	444	131	3	310
145	3	68	184	39	2	143
49	3	35	71	4	...	67
1	3	3
4,481	6,672	480	9,660	3,996	4,581	1,083
...	333	...	327	...	327	...
...	1,174	...	1,183	...	1,183	...
1	2,438	2	2,392	36	2,354	2
220	1,949	10	1,847	1,142	668	37
1,347	622	74	1,641	1,533	31	77
1,439	112	108	996	780	10	206
906	32	94	626	346	3	277
384	7	95	413	118	3	292
136	2	62	165	34	2	129
48	3	35	67	4	...	63
...	3	3
328	555	28	709	276	338	95
...	17	...	25	...	25	...
...	64	...	75	...	75	...
...	177	...	175	3	172	...
28	228	...	150	86	62	2
93	56	2	111	98	4	9
88	10	3	66	50	...	16
77	1	11	53	21	...	32
31	1	6	31	13	...	18
9	1	6	19	5	...	14
1	4	4
1

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of

POPUL.						
State & District	Number of Sample Households				Persons	
	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
MADRAS—						
Total	33,754	11,434	6,305	16,015	74,682	92,471
Rural	21,693	10,677	5,804	5,212	47,486	58,044
Urban	12,061	757	501	10,803	27,196	34,427
Srikakulam—						
T	890	459	198	233	2,278	2,017
R	830	452	192	186	2,163	1,890
U	60	7	6	47	115	127
Visakhapatnam—						
T	1,103	345	154	604	2,500	2,785
R	654	319	138	197	1,450	1,552
U	449	26	16	407	1,050	1,233
East Godavari—						
T	1,819	643	511	665	4,139	4,403
R	1,300	598	481	221	2,886	3,057
U	519	45	30	444	1,253	1,346
West Godavari—						
T	1,110	374	365	371	2,507	2,692
R	841	337	332	172	1,906	2,059
U	269	37	33	199	601	633
Krishna—						
T	1,043	339	158	546	2,503	2,684
R	542	299	138	105	1,273	1,415
U	501	40	20	441	1,230	1,269
Guntur—						
T	1,210	359	220	631	2,843	2,831
R	655	299	184	172	1,534	1,538
U	555	60	36	459	1,309	1,293
Nellore—						
T	1,068	391	307	370	2,348	2,786
R	772	358	279	135	1,619	2,084
U	296	33	28	235	729	702
Cuddapah—						
T	597	212	197	188	1,368	1,714
R	479	198	189	92	1,060	1,332
U	118	14	8	96	308	382
Kurnool—						
T	723	264	237	222	1,677	2,032
R	547	243	215	89	1,252	1,465
U	176	21	22	133	425	567
Bellary—						
T	768	317	136	315	1,819	2,276
R	456	278	99	79	1,064	1,288
U	312	99	37	236	755	988
Anantapur—						
T	568	281	149	138	1,344	1,647
R	478	273	135	70	1,125	1,392
U	90	8	14	68	219	255
Madras—						
T	2,623	27	...	2,596	5,871	7,903
R
U	2,623	27	...	2,596	5,871	7,903
Chingleput—						
T	1,455	461	312	682	3,093	4,069
R	990	427	302	261	2,112	2,753
U	465	34	10	421	981	1,316

Sample Household Population by Districts, 1951-52

LATION

Classes

Males		Females		Livelihood Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V—VIII	
Married	Others	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
35,771	48,039	38,911	44,432	29,797	29,640	13,585	14,045	40,428	39,658
22,765	29,919	24,721	28,125	27,802	27,631	12,499	12,869	12,383	12,346
13,006	18,120	14,190	16,307	1,995	2,009	1,086	1,176	28,045	27,312
1,087	1,032	1,191	985	1,191	1,232	370	398	558	546
1,035	959	1,128	931	1,177	1,216	359	388	458	455
52	73	63	54	14	16	11	10	100	91
1,187	1,420	1,313	1,365	796	843	295	328	1,516	1,507
686	780	764	772	732	779	269	295	465	462
501	640	549	593	64	64	26	33	1,051	1,045
1,977	2,300	2,162	2,103	1,705	1,630	975	1,020	1,597	1,615
1,372	1,633	1,514	1,424	1,581	1,505	908	946	516	487
605	667	648	679	124	125	67	74	1,081	1,128
1,209	1,392	1,298	1,300	963	979	784	790	854	829
935	1,053	971	1,006	882	886	718	728	388	363
274	339	327	294	81	93	66	62	466	466
1,195	1,448	1,308	1,236	881	865	363	318	1,399	1,361
615	756	658	659	776	757	320	280	275	280
580	692	650	577	105	108	43	38	1,124	1,081
1,369	1,498	1,474	1,333	928	906	463	460	1,476	1,441
752	810	782	728	779	744	384	385	399	381
617	688	692	605	149	162	79	75	1,077	1,060
1,127	1,477	1,221	1,309	1,033	1,011	702	667	869	852
783	1,108	836	976	947	915	651	610	293	287
344	369	385	333	86	96	51	57	576	565
660	913	708	801	592	560	475	425	506	524
521	700	539	632	551	524	456	410	214	237
139	213	169	169	41	36	19	15	292	287
809	1,048	868	984	694	662	542	575	621	615
609	752	643	713	638	614	499	528	224	214
200	296	225	271	56	48	43	47	397	401
888	1,198	931	1,078	876	899	315	307	895	803
509	679	555	609	766	784	229	218	193	162
379	519	376	469	110	115	86	89	702	641
637	884	707	763	821	768	321	339	379	363
541	748	584	644	797	744	296	305	196	179
96	136	123	119	24	24	25	34	183	184
2,896	4,207	2,975	3,696	78	66	7,025	6,605
2,896	4,207	2,975	3,696	78	66	7,025	6,605
1,467	2,149	1,626	1,920	1,203	1,155	699	727	1,714	1,664
1,010	1,440	1,102	1,313	1,099	1,061	685	703	666	651
457	709	524	607	104	94	14	24	1,048	1,013

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of

					POPU.	
Number of Sample Households					All	
State & District	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	Persons	
					Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Chittoor—						
T . . .	834	507	168	159	1,889	2,521
R . . .	766	495	164	107	1,733	2,320
U . . .	68	12	4	52	156	201
North Arcot—						
T . . .	1,434	614	249	571	3,230	4,156
R . . .	1,063	598	222	243	2,367	3,020
U . . .	371	16	27	328	863	1,136
Salem—						
T . . .	1,727	667	257	803	3,965	4,621
R . . .	1,280	651	245	384	2,848	3,292
U . . .	447	16	12	419	1,117	1,329
Coimbatore—						
T . . .	1,628	356	233	1,039	3,308	4,582
R . . .	1,016	331	226	459	2,046	2,801
U . . .	612	25	7	580	1,262	1,781
South Arcot—						
T . . .	1,414	761	330	323	3,161	3,666
R . . .	1,186	736	317	133	2,667	2,976
U . . .	228	25	13	190	494	690
Tanjore—						
T . . .	1,505	558	352	595	3,011	3,848
R . . .	915	478	293	144	1,780	2,171
U . . .	590	80	59	451	1,231	1,677
Tiruchirapalli—						
T . . .	1,903	868	249	786	3,920	5,155
R . . .	1,279	823	228	228	2,607	3,253
U . . .	624	45	21	558	1,313	1,902
Madurai—						
T . . .	2,078	740	377	961	4,504	5,452
R . . .	1,231	712	356	163	2,520	3,310
U . . .	847	28	21	798	1,984	2,142
Ramanathapuram—						
T . . .	1,099	396	189	514	2,035	2,901
R . . .	752	366	161	225	1,362	1,922
U . . .	347	30	28	289	673	979
Tirunelveli—						
T . . .	1,441	436	164	841	2,755	3,596
R . . .	776	395	154	227	1,409	1,957
U . . .	665	41	10	614	1,346	1,639
The Nilgiris—						
T . . .	678	155	95	428	1,368	1,807
R . . .	495	139	80	276	1,020	1,285
U . . .	183	16	15	152	348	522
Malabar—						
T . . .	2,129	501	550	1,078	5,065	7,053
R . . .	1,715	485	530	700	4,020	5,490
U . . .	414	16	20	378	1,045	1,563
South Kanara—						
T . . .	907	403	148	356	2,181	3,274
R . . .	675	387	144	144	1,663	2,422
U . . .	232	16	4	212	518	852
COORG—						
Total . . .	129	50	37	42	263	361
Rural . . .	102	46	37	19	197	287
Urban . . .	27	4	...	23	66	74

Sample Household Population by Districts, 1951-52—(concl.)

LATION									
Classes									
Males		Females		Livelihood Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V—VIII	
Married	Others	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
911	1,313	978	1,208	1,488	1,387	357	385	379	414
836	1,205	897	1,115	1,455	1,355	345	377	241	280
75	108	81	93	33	32	12	8	138	134
1,535	2,211	1,695	1,945	1,697	1,646	575	552	1,474	1,442
1,126	1,599	1,241	1,421	1,637	1,601	509	477	579	534
409	612	454	524	60	45	66	75	895	858
1,907	2,388	2,058	2,233	1,666	1,648	526	579	2,103	2,064
1,379	1,661	1,469	1,631	1,628	1,603	480	553	932	944
528	727	589	602	38	45	46	26	1,171	1,120
1,614	2,387	1,694	2,195	885	869	470	530	2,646	2,490
994	1,421	1,052	1,380	827	808	456	509	1,132	1,115
620	966	642	815	58	61	14	21	1,514	1,375
1,496	1,952	1,665	1,714	1,797	1,856	804	746	847	777
1,261	1,574	1,406	1,402	1,742	1,805	763	718	330	285
235	378	259	312	55	51	41	28	517	492
1,427	1,939	1,584	1,909	1,248	1,297	730	797	1,388	1,399
849	1,113	931	1,058	1,067	1,077	601	642	294	270
578	826	653	851	181	220	129	155	1,094	1,129
1,890	2,606	2,030	2,549	2,048	2,126	546	599	1,902	1,854
1,256	1,627	1,351	1,626	1,932	2,016	507	540	444	421
634	979	679	923	116	110	39	59	1,458	1,433
2,183	2,825	2,321	2,627	1,954	1,863	746	813	2,308	2,272
1,227	1,704	1,293	1,606	1,857	1,788	703	754	371	357
956	1,121	1,028	1,021	97	75	43	59	1,937	1,915
922	1,400	1,113	1,501	894	967	355	380	1,073	1,267
615	923	747	999	806	890	312	326	420	530
307	477	366	502	88	77	43	54	653	737
1,294	1,842	1,461	1,754	991	1,012	292	326	1,853	1,877
664	969	745	988	901	915	271	300	461	518
630	873	716	766	90	97	21	26	1,392	1,359
682	982	686	825	454	414	210	185	1,000	912
516	706	504	579	416	377	174	149	632	557
166	276	182	246	38	37	36	36	368	355
2,400	3,606	2,665	3,447	1,575	1,551	1,370	1,440	3,061	3,121
1,916	2,818	2,104	2,672	1,536	1,500	1,320	1,382	1,878	1,894
484	788	561	775	39	51	50	58	1,183	1,227
1,002	1,622	1,179	1,652	1,339	1,428	300	359	985	1,044
758	1,181	905	1,241	1,273	1,367	284	346	382	433
244	441	274	411	66	61	16	13	603	611
139	216	124	145	146	128	82	71	127	70
102	160	95	127	136	121	82	71	44	30
37	56	29	18	10	7	83	40

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Madras State, 1951-52

POPULATION								
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females		
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
TOTAL								
All Ages	167,153	74,682	92,471	35,771	48,039	38,911	44,432	
Under 1 year	3,101	...	3,101	...	1,549	...	1,552	
1-4	18,919	...	18,919	...	9,478	...	9,441	
5-9	19,919	96	19,823	28	9,940	68	9,883	
10-14	19,422	894	18,528	136	9,744	758	8,784	
15-19	16,635	5,205	11,430	618	7,783	4,587	3,647	
20-24	15,199	9,844	5,355	2,809	4,396	7,035	959	
25-29	14,349	11,942	2,407	5,106	1,825	6,836	582	
30-34	12,168	10,792	1,376	5,465	661	5,327	715	
35-39	10,416	9,180	1,236	4,953	442	4,227	794	
40-44	9,900	8,328	1,572	4,766	382	3,562	1,190	
45-49	7,249	5,739	1,510	3,496	312	2,243	1,198	
50-54	7,431	5,294	2,137	3,319	404	1,975	1,733	
55-59	4,045	2,713	1,332	1,819	271	894	1,061	
60-64	4,452	2,603	1,849	1,805	370	798	1,479	
65-69	1,704	930	774	666	196	264	578	
70-74	1,336	681	655	479	164	202	491	
75 & over	908	441	467	306	122	135	345	
Age not stated	
RURAL								
All Ages	105,530	47,486	58,044	22,765	29,919	24,721	28,125	
Under 1 year	2,076	...	2,076	...	1,049	...	1,027	
1-4	12,187	...	12,187	...	6,062	...	6,125	
5-9	13,186	80	13,106	21	6,580	59	6,526	
10-14	12,391	649	11,742	96	6,190	553	5,552	
15-19	10,084	3,394	6,690	444	4,642	2,950	2,048	
20-24	8,905	6,052	2,853	1,812	2,357	4,240	496	
25-29	8,374	7,571	1,303	3,202	979	4,369	324	
30-34	7,670	6,832	838	3,376	378	3,456	460	
35-39	6,590	5,810	780	3,134	263	2,676	517	
40-44	6,292	5,296	996	3,023	231	2,273	765	
45-49	4,646	3,693	953	2,239	182	1,454	771	
50-54	4,659	3,339	1,320	2,072	263	1,267	1,057	
55-59	2,620	1,794	826	1,189	185	605	641	
60-64	2,834	1,663	1,171	1,200	241	463	930	
65-69	1,091	616	475	450	134	166	341	
70-74	867	433	434	312	107	121	327	
75 & over	558	264	294	195	76	69	218	
Age not stated	
URBAN								
All Ages	61,623	27,196	34,427	13,006	18,120	14,190	16,307	
Under 1 year	1,025	...	1,025	...	500	...	525	
1-4	6,732	...	6,732	...	3,416	...	3,316	
5-9	6,733	16	6,717	7	3,360	9	3,357	
10-14	7,031	245	6,786	40	3,554	205	3,232	
15-19	6,551	1,811	4,740	174	3,141	1,637	1,599	
20-24	6,294	3,792	2,502	997	2,039	2,795	463	
25-29	5,475	4,371	1,104	1,904	846	2,467	258	
30-34	4,498	3,960	538	2,089	283	1,871	255	
35-39	3,826	3,370	456	1,819	179	1,551	277	
40-44	3,608	3,032	576	1,743	151	1,289	425	
45-49	2,603	2,046	557	1,257	130	789	427	
50-54	2,772	1,955	817	1,247	141	708	676	
55-59	1,425	919	506	630	86	289	420	
60-64	1,618	940	678	605	129	335	549	
65-69	613	314	299	216	62	98	237	
70-74	469	248	221	167	57	81	164	
75 & over	350	177	173	111	46	66	127	
Age not stated	

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Coorg State, 1951-52

POPULATION

Age Group	POPULATION						
	Persons			Males		Females	
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TOTAL							
All Ages	624	263	361	139	216	124	145
Under 1 year	13	...	13	...	8	...	5
1-4	89	...	89	...	48	...	41
5-9	69	...	69	...	41	...	28
10-14	73	2	71	1	41	1	30
15-19	46	3	43	...	28	3	15
20-24	59	25	34	5	26	20	8
25-29	50	41	9	17	8	24	1
30-34	50	41	9	14	6	27	3
35-39	46	41	5	23	4	18	1
40-44	41	39	2	28	2	11	...
45-49	24	21	3	16	1	5	2
50-54	21	18	3	13	1	5	2
55-59	13	11	2	8	1	3	1
60-64	12	9	3	8	1	1	2
65-69	6	2	4	1	...	1	4
70-74	2	2	...	1	...	1	...
75 and over	10	8	2	4	...	4	2
Age not stated
RURAL							
All Ages	484	197	287	102	160	95	127
Under 1 year	11	...	11	...	6	...	5
1-4	71	...	71	...	34	...	37
5-9	62	...	62	...	37	...	25
10-14	55	...	55	...	30	...	25
15-19	35	2	33	...	20	2	13
20-24	41	18	23	4	17	14	6
25-29	44	38	6	15	5	23	1
30-34	31	24	7	7	4	17	3
35-39	37	33	4	17	3	16	1
40-44	30	29	1	21	1	8	...
45-49	19	16	3	12	1	4	2
50-54	16	13	3	10	1	3	2
55-59	9	8	1	6	1	2	...
60-64	9	8	1	7	...	1	1
65-69	6	2	4	1	...	1	4
70-74	1	1	1	...
75 and over	7	5	2	2	...	3	2
Age not stated
URBAN							
All Ages	140	66	74	37	56	29	18
Under 1 year	2	...	2	...	2
1-4	18	...	18	...	14	...	4
5-9	7	...	7	...	4	...	3
10-14	18	2	16	1	11	1	5
15-19	11	1	10	...	8	1	2
20-24	18	7	11	1	9	6	2
25-29	6	3	3	2	3	1	...
30-34	19	17	2	7	2	10	...
35-39	9	8	1	6	1	2	...
40-44	11	10	1	7	1	3	...
45-49	5	5	...	4	...	1	...
50-54	5	5	...	3	...	2	...
55-59	4	3	1	2	...	1	1
60-64	3	1	2	1	1	...	1
65-69
70-74	1	1	...	1
75 and over	3	3	...	2	...	1	...
Age not stated

V—Number of Births in Sample Households by

		Number of Mothers				Persons				TOTAL
State & District		Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
MADRAS—										
	Total	3,095	1,223	448	1,424	3,115	1,233	450	1,432	
	Rural	2,080	1,161	422	497	2,094	1,171	424	499	
	Urban	1,015	62	26	927	1,021	62	26	933	
Srikakulam—										
	T	57	36	8	13	58	37	8	13	
	R	55	36	8	11	56	37	8	11	
	U	2	2	2	2	
Visakhapatnam—										
	T	85	37	12	36	85	37	12	36	
	R	63	35	12	16	63	35	12	16	
	U	22	2	...	20	22	2	...	20	
East Godavari—										
	T	120	54	16	50	120	54	16	50	
	R	78	51	16	11	78	51	16	11	
	U	42	3	...	39	42	3	...	39	
West Godavari—										
	T	81	35	18	28	82	36	18	28	
	R	71	33	18	20	72	34	18	20	
	U	10	2	...	8	10	2	...	8	
Krishna—										
	T	97	39	14	44	97	39	14	44	
	R	59	36	14	9	59	36	14	9	
	U	38	3	...	35	38	3	...	35	
Guntur—										
	T	75	21	16	38	75	21	16	38	
	R	49	18	15	16	49	18	15	16	
	U	26	3	1	22	26	3	1	22	
Nellore—										
	T	89	42	25	22	90	43	25	22	
	R	77	41	25	11	78	42	25	11	
	U	12	1	...	11	12	1	...	11	
Cuddapah—										
	T	45	18	8	19	45	18	8	19	
	R	31	17	7	7	31	17	7	7	
	U	14	1	1	12	14	1	1	12	
Kurnool—										
	T	118	43	38	37	118	43	38	37	
	R	92	42	36	14	92	42	36	14	
	U	26	1	2	23	26	1	2	23	
Bellary—										
	T	88	38	19	31	88	38	19	31	
	R	57	34	15	8	57	34	15	8	
	U	31	4	4	23	31	4	4	23	
Anantapur—										
	T	75	41	11	23	75	41	11	23	
	R	57	38	11	8	57	38	11	8	
	U	18	3	...	15	18	3	...	15	
Madras—										
	T	233	2	...	231	236	2	...	234	
	R	
	U	233	2	...	231	236	2	...	234	
Chingleput—										
	T	107	46	20	41	109	48	20	41	
	R	75	40	19	16	77	42	19	16	
	U	32	6	1	25	32	6	1	25	

Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1951-52

NUMBER OF BIRTHS								WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED						
Males				Females				Yes		No		Not known		
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	
1,570	616	229	725	1,545	617	221	707	1,204	1,131	124	136	242	278	
1,071	589	222	260	1,023	582	202	239	828	748	86	94	157	181	
499	27	7	465	522	35	19	468	376	383	38	42	85	97	
28	17	3	8	30	20	5	5	21	24	2	1	5	5	
27	17	3	7	29	20	5	4	21	23	1	1	5	5	
1	1	1	1	...	1	1	
47	19	7	21	38	18	5	15	36	32	3	2	8	4	
37	19	7	11	26	16	5	5	31	22	...	2	6	2	
10	10	12	2	...	10	5	10	3	...	2	2	
61	31	7	23	59	23	9	27	41	34	6	8	14	17	
43	30	7	6	35	21	9	5	35	24	4	3	4	8	
18	1	...	17	24	2	...	22	6	10	2	5	10	9	
44	20	10	14	38	16	8	14	36	25	2	6	6	7	
40	20	10	10	32	14	8	10	34	22	...	4	6	6	
4	4	6	2	...	4	2	3	2	2	...	1	
51	25	8	18	46	14	6	26	35	32	4	1	12	13	
34	23	8	3	25	13	6	6	27	16	1	...	6	9	
17	2	...	15	21	1	...	20	8	16	3	1	6	4	
38	11	9	18	37	10	7	20	30	27	2	2	6	8	
28	11	8	9	21	7	7	7	22	15	2	2	4	4	
10	...	1	9	16	3	...	13	8	12	2	4	
40	19	13	8	50	24	12	14	29	32	4	7	7	11	
37	18	13	6	41	24	12	5	27	28	4	5	6	8	
3	1	...	2	9	9	2	4	...	2	1	3	
23	7	7	9	22	11	1	10	18	17	4	3	1	2	
16	7	6	3	15	10	1	4	13	13	2	2	1	...	
7	...	1	6	7	1	...	6	5	4	2	1	...	2	
61	22	19	20	57	21	19	17	48	42	3	5	10	10	
46	21	19	6	46	21	17	8	34	32	2	4	10	10	
15	1	...	14	11	...	2	9	14	10	1	1	
40	19	10	11	48	19	9	20	29	32	5	8	6	8	
27	16	9	2	30	18	6	6	21	20	3	4	3	6	
13	3	1	9	18	1	3	14	8	12	2	4	3	2	
40	21	9	10	35	20	2	13	34	25	2	4	4	6	
33	19	9	5	24	19	2	3	29	19	2	1	2	4	
7	2	...	5	11	1	...	10	5	6	...	3	2	2	
118	2	...	116	118	118	93	99	3	5	22	14	
...	
118	2	...	116	118	118	93	99	3	5	22	14	
50	20	11	19	59	28	9	22	35	44	9	3	6	12	
36	18	11	7	41	24	8	9	24	31	8	2	4	8	
14	2	...	12	18	4	1	13	11	13	1	1	2	4	

V—Number of Births in Sample Households by

TOTAL									
State & District	Number of Mothers				Persons				
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	
	1	2	3	5	6	7	8	9	
Chittoor—									
T . . .	77	57	7	13	77	57	7	13	
R . . .	73	57	6	10	73	57	6	10	
U . . .	4	...	1	3	4	...	1	3	
North Arcot—									
T . . .	119	53	13	53	121	54	13	54	
R . . .	82	53	12	17	83	54	12	17	
U . . .	37	...	1	36	38	...	1	37	
Salem—									
T . . .	157	62	16	79	158	63	16	79	
R . . .	111	61	16	34	112	62	16	34	
U . . .	46	1	...	45	46	1	...	45	
Coimbatore—									
T . . .	131	38	12	81	131	38	12	81	
R . . .	80	36	11	33	80	36	11	33	
U . . .	51	2	1	48	51	2	1	48	
South Arcot—									
T . . .	138	75	29	34	141	76	31	34	
R . . .	110	71	28	11	113	72	30	11	
U . . .	28	4	1	23	28	4	1	23	
Tanjore—									
T . . .	123	47	29	47	123	47	29	47	
R . . .	76	39	26	11	76	39	26	11	
U . . .	47	8	3	36	47	8	3	36	
Tiruchirapalli—									
T . . .	149	76	21	52	150	77	21	52	
R . . .	115	75	20	20	116	76	20	20	
U . . .	34	1	1	32	34	1	1	32	
Madurai—									
T . . .	124	67	22	35	124	67	22	35	
R . . .	95	62	21	12	95	62	21	12	
U . . .	29	5	1	23	29	5	1	23	
Ramanathapuram—									
T . . .	116	45	12	59	116	45	12	59	
R . . .	80	43	9	28	80	43	9	28	
U . . .	36	2	3	31	36	2	3	31	
Tirunelveli—									
T . . .	136	42	9	85	139	43	9	87	
R . . .	81	42	9	30	82	43	9	30	
U . . .	55	55	57	57	
The Nilgiris—									
T . . .	85	37	9	39	85	37	9	39	
R . . .	64	34	8	22	64	34	8	22	
U . . .	21	3	1	17	21	3	1	17	
Malabar—									
T . . .	284	73	48	163	286	73	48	165	
R . . .	212	73	44	95	214	73	44	97	
U . . .	72	...	4	68	72	...	4	68	
South Kanara—									
T . . .	186	99	16	71	186	99	16	71	
R . . .	137	94	16	27	137	94	16	27	
U . . .	49	5	...	44	49	5	...	44	
COORG—									
Total . . .	13	11	1	1	13	11	1	1	
Rural . . .	11	10	1	...	11	10	1	...	
Urban . . .	2	1	...	1	2	1	...	1	

Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1951-52—(concl.)

NUMBER OF BIRTHS								WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED					
Males				Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
32	22	1	9	45	35	6	4	23	33	2	3	7	9
29	22	1	6	44	35	5	4	22	33	2	3	5	8
3	3	1	...	1	...	1	2	1
65	21	9	35	56	33	4	19	52	47	9	4	4	5
43	21	9	13	40	33	3	4	32	34	7	3	4	3
22	22	16	...	1	15	20	13	2	1	...	2
78	35	8	35	80	28	8	44	64	66	4	8	10	6
60	34	8	18	52	28	8	16	51	45	3	4	6	3
18	1	...	17	28	28	13	21	1	4	4	3
66	20	5	41	65	18	7	40	53	50	...	4	13	11
40	19	4	17	40	17	7	16	31	34	...	2	9	4
26	1	1	24	25	1	...	24	22	16	...	2	4	7
73	38	16	19	68	38	15	15	58	51	9	8	6	9
58	36	15	7	55	36	15	4	44	39	8	8	6	8
15	2	1	12	13	2	...	11	14	12	1	1
58	25	14	19	65	22	15	28	41	50	2	1	15	14
43	23	13	7	33	16	13	4	28	26	2	1	13	6
15	2	1	12	32	6	2	24	13	24	2	8
77	42	10	25	73	35	11	27	53	53	3	3	21	17
64	42	10	12	52	34	10	8	46	38	3	2	15	12
13	13	21	1	1	19	7	15	...	1	6	5
67	39	7	21	57	28	15	14	50	30	6	8	11	19
50	37	7	6	45	25	14	6	38	23	4	7	8	15
17	2	...	15	12	3	1	8	12	7	2	1	3	4
55	18	6	31	61	27	6	28	37	31	8	8	10	22
36	17	6	13	44	26	3	15	26	22	2	4	8	18
19	1	...	18	17	1	3	13	11	9	6	4	2	4
75	21	4	50	64	22	5	37	64	40	4	11	7	13
37	21	4	12	45	22	5	18	30	28	3	9	4	8
38	38	19	19	34	12	1	2	3	5
39	17	5	17	46	20	4	22	32	31	5	10	2	5
30	15	5	10	34	19	3	12	25	22	3	8	2	4
9	2	...	7	12	1	1	10	7	9	2	2	...	1
151	39	22	90	135	34	26	75	119	119	18	9	14	7
109	39	21	43	105	34	23	48	82	91	16	9	11	5
42	...	1	41	30	...	3	27	37	28	2	...	3	2
93	46	9	38	93	53	7	33	73	65	5	4	15	24
68	44	9	15	69	50	7	12	55	48	4	4	9	17
25	2	...	23	24	3	...	21	18	17	1	...	6	7
8	6	1	1	5	5	6	5	2
6	5	1	...	5	5	6	5
2	1	...	1	2

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

Order of Birth	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	3,095	10,077	8,706	3	3	3	1,358	2,651	2,379
1st	706	706	686	3	3	3	587	587	574
2nd	666	1,332	1,220	423	846	767
3rd	557	1,671	1,501	225	675	595
4th	435	1,740	1,539	83	332	271
5th	285	1,425	1,239	32	160	131
6th	183	1,098	932	6	36	28
7th & above	263	2,105	1,589	2	15	13
RURAL									
All Orders	2,080	6,578	5,695	3	3	3	868	1,605	1,448
1st	503	503	490	3	3	3	414	414	405
2nd	447	894	817	258	516	466
3rd	376	1,128	1,013	135	405	355
4th	288	1,152	1,020	39	156	128
5th	186	930	806	18	90	74
6th	126	756	639	4	24	20
7th & above	154	1,215	910
URBAN									
All Orders	1,015	3,499	3,011	490	1,046	931
1st	203	203	196	173	173	169
2nd	219	438	403	165	330	301
3rd	181	543	488	90	270	240
4th	147	588	519	44	176	143
5th	99	495	433	14	70	57
6th	57	342	293	2	12	8
7th & above	109	890	679	2	15	13

Households of Madras State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1951-52

OF MOTHER

25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No of mothers	No. of children born	No of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1,317	5,039	4,309	385	2,198	1,856	31	185	158	1	1	1
108	108	102	7	7	6	1	1	1
221	442	414	20	40	35	2	4	4
291	873	794	36	108	97	5	15	15
284	1,136	1,013	64	256	243	4	16	12
180	900	785	70	350	310	3	15	13
116	696	574	57	342	306	4	24	24
117	884	627	131	1,095	859	13	111	90
916	3,389	2,880	268	1,445	1,246	24	135	117	1	1	1
79	79	75	6	6	6	1	1	1
170	340	317	17	34	30	2	4	4
215	645	586	22	66	60	4	12	12
195	780	685	50	200	195	4	16	12
113	565	491	53	265	231	2	10	10
73	438	352	46	276	249	3	18	18
71	542	374	74	598	475	9	75	61
401	1,650	1,429	117	753	610	7	50	41
29	29	27	1	1
51	102	97	3	6	5
76	228	208	14	42	37	1	3	3
89	356	328	14	56	48
67	335	294	17	85	79	1	5	3
43	258	222	11	66	57	1	6	6
46	342	253	57	497	384	4	36	29

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

[illegible]

Households of Coorg State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1951-52

OF MOTHER											
25-34			35-44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
10	38	34
...
2	4	4
4	12	12
1	4	4
2	10	9
...
1	8	5
10	38	34
...
2	4	4
4	12	12
1	4	4
2	10	9
...
1	8	5
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...

VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic

TOTAL NUMBER									
State & District	Persons				Males				
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V--VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V--VIII	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
MADRAS—									
Total	1,942	745	337	860	1,001	390	171	440	
Rural	1,261	702	301	258	655	366	152	137	
Urban	681	43	36	602	346	24	19	303	
Srikakulam—									
T	55	30	11	14	31	19	5	7	
R	53	30	10	13	30	19	4	7	
U	2	...	1	1	1	...	1	...	
Visakhapatnam—									
T	57	14	8	35	26	6	4	16	
R	36	12	8	16	16	4	4	8	
U	21	2	...	19	10	2	...	8	
East Godavari—									
T	95	35	26	34	42	17	9	16	
R	64	31	24	9	27	17	7	3	
U	31	4	2	25	15	...	2	13	
West Godavari—									
T	63	28	17	18	34	13	12	9	
R	51	28	16	7	26	13	11	2	
U	12	...	1	11	8	...	1	7	
Krishna—									
T	37	17	8	12	13	6	...	7	
R	27	17	8	2	8	6	...	2	
U	10	10	5	5	
Guntur—									
T	55	15	9	31	29	10	5	14	
R	34	15	6	13	19	10	4	5	
U	21	...	3	18	10	...	1	9	
Nellore—									
T	62	25	19	18	36	14	11	11	
R	51	23	19	9	30	12	11	7	
U	11	2	...	9	6	2	...	4	
Cuddapah—									
T	20	7	9	4	8	4	2	2	
R	19	7	9	3	7	4	2	1	
U	1	1	1	1	
Kurnool —									
T	55	20	23	12	31	12	13	6	
R	39	17	20	2	23	11	12	...	
U	16	3	3	10	8	1	1	6	
Bellary—									
T	48	24	9	15	22	12	3	7	
R	32	21	3	8	16	10	2	4	
U	16	3	6	7	6	2	1	3	
Anantapur—									
T	49	30	10	9	26	17	5	4	
R	45	30	10	5	25	17	5	3	
U	4	4	1	1	
Madras—									
T	206	5	...	201	113	2	...	111	
R	
U	206	5	...	201	113	2	...	111	
Chingleput—									
T	72	31	14	27	46	19	8	19	
R	53	31	14	8	34	19	8	7	
U	19	19	12	12	

Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1951-52

OF DEATHS				WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED					
Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
941	355	166	420	762	709	123	108	116	124
606	336	149	121	495	456	86	71	74	79
335	19	17	299	267	253	37	37	42	45
24	11	6	7	26	21	2	...	3	3
23	11	6	6	25	20	2	...	3	3
1	1	1	1
31	8	4	19	23	27	2	1	1	3
20	8	4	8	14	17	1	1	1	2
11	11	9	10	1	1
53	18	17	18	34	34	4	7	4	12
37	14	17	6	25	27	2	4	...	6
16	4	...	12	9	7	2	3	4	6
29	15	5	9	23	17	4	5	7	7
25	15	5	5	17	16	2	2	7	7
4	4	6	1	2	3
24	11	8	5	12	16	...	1	1	7
19	11	8	...	7	13	1	6
5	5	5	3	...	1	...	1
26	5	4	17	19	18	7	2	3	6
15	5	2	8	14	12	4	...	1	3
11	...	2	9	5	6	3	2	2	3
26	11	8	7	24	23	8	...	4	3
21	11	8	2	20	20	8	...	2	1
5	5	4	3	2	2
12	3	7	2	6	8	1	3	1	1
12	3	7	2	5	8	1	3	1	1
...	1
24	8	10	6	19	16	6	5	6	3
16	6	8	2	15	12	2	1	6	3
8	2	2	4	4	4	4	4
26	12	6	8	16	17	4	8	2	1
16	11	1	4	12	9	2	6	2	1
10	1	5	4	4	8	2	2
23	13	5	5	23	20	2	...	1	3
20	13	5	2	23	17	1	...	1	3
3	3	...	3	1
93	3	...	90	95	79	6	4	12	10
93	3	...	90	95	79	6	4	12	10
26	12	6	8	38	17	4	5	4	4
19	12	6	1	28	15	4	4	2	...
7	7	10	2	...	1	2	4

VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic

[illegible]

Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1951-52—(concl.)

[illegible]

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Madras State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1951-52**

Age Group	NUMBER OF DEATHS								
	Total			Rural			Urban		
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
All Ages	1,942	1,001	941	1,261	655	606	681	346	335
Under 1 year	121	80	41	75	51	24	46	29	17
1—4	419	219	200	266	144	122	153	75	78
5—9	138	72	66	100	53	47	38	19	19
10—14	50	20	30	38	15	23	12	5	7
15—19	67	23	44	39	13	26	28	10	18
20—24	74	22	52	44	13	31	30	9	21
25—29	73	28	45	47	18	29	26	10	16
30—34	87	37	50	66	27	39	21	10	11
35—39	69	35	34	49	25	24	20	10	10
40—44	90	49	41	58	36	22	32	13	19
45—49	95	58	37	65	42	23	30	16	14
50—54	108	64	44	69	35	34	39	29	10
55—59	93	55	38	62	37	25	31	18	13
60—64	163	97	66	97	56	41	66	41	25
65—69	85	43	42	55	32	23	30	11	19
70—74	67	31	36	44	19	25	23	12	11
75 & over	195	66	69	80	37	43	55	29	26
Age not stated	8	2	6	7	2	5	1	...	1

[illegible]

TRAVANCORE-COCHIN

I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered

				POPU.
State & District	Area (in square miles)	Number of households	Persons	
1	2	3	4	
TRAVANCORE-COCHIN	Total	9,144	1,668,407	9,280,423
	Rural	8,846	1,411,864	7,792,133
	Urban	298	256,543	1,488,292
Trivandrum	T	1,492	411,769	2,154,192
	R	1,395	315,497	1,630,942
	U	97	96,272	523,250
Quilon	T	2,730	545,980	3,026,822
	R	2,631	475,568	2,619,252
	U	99	70,412	407,570
Kottayam	T	2,954	317,032	1,783,771
	R	2,897	285,449	1,592,017
	U	57	31,583	191,754
Trichur	T	1,968	393,626	2,315,640
	R	1,923	335,350	1,949,922
	U	45	58,276	365,718

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
4,620,803	4,659,622	9,280,425	24.16	6.23
3,869,657	3,922,476	8,281,641	22.58	5.83
751,146	737,146	998,784	37.26	9.62
1,078,035	1,076,157	2,154,192	23.37	6.34
815,614	815,328	1,815,070	21.07	5.73
262,421	260,829	339,122	35.65	9.65
1,508,474	1,518,348	3,026,822	25.53	6.46
1,301,817	1,317,435	2,775,926	24.10	6.22
206,657	200,913	250,896	41.26	9.08
902,282	881,489	1,783,771	31.55	7.81
803,838	788,179	1,674,211	31.19	7.74
98,444	93,310	109,560	36.97	8.93
1,132,012	1,183,628	2,315,640	17.41	4.63
948,388	1,001,534	2,016,434	14.67	3.79
183,624	182,094	299,206	35.83	10.29

NOTE : Registration data (columns 7, 8 & 9) relates to the year, 1952.

I-B—Registered Deaths in Travancore-Cochin State by Age and Sex, 1952

		Registered Deaths		
Age Group		Persons	Males	Females
1	2	3	4	
TOTAL				
All Ages		57,860	30,582	27,278
Under 1 year		9,283	5,124	4,159
1—4		8,859	4,322	4,537
5—9		2,739	1,351	1,388
10—14		1,448	737	711
15—19		1,602	738	864
20—29		4,059	1,937	2,122
30—39		4,243	2,195	2,048
40—49		4,604	2,653	1,951
50—59		5,344	3,153	2,191
60 & over		15,679	8,372	7,307
RURAL				
All Ages		48,250	25,333	22,917
Under 1 year		7,939	4,389	3,550
1—4		7,431	3,641	3,790
5—9		2,312	1,124	1,188
10—14		1,204	608	596
15—19		1,315	607	708
20—29		3,209	1,514	1,695
30—39		3,400	1,721	1,679
40—49		3,682	2,067	1,615
50—59		4,454	2,581	1,873
60 & over		13,304	7,081	6,223
URBAN				
All Ages		9,610	5,249	4,361
Under 1 year		1,344	735	609
1—4		1,428	681	747
5—9		427	227	200
10—14		244	129	115
15—19		287	131	156
20—29		850	423	427
30—39		843	474	369
40—49		922	586	336
50—59		890	572	318
60 & over		2,375	1,291	1,084

II—Distribution of Population in Travancore.

Age Group	Persons				Males
	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
	1	2	3	4	5
All Ages	927,404	350,097	511,746	65,561	TOTAL
Under 1 year	27,383	...	27,383	...	13,856
1—4	109,432	...	109,432	...	55,420
5—14	227,566	170	227,380	16	114,555
15—24	189,377	62,871	124,185	2,321	91,711
25—34	129,892	106,197	17,378	6,317	64,236
35—44	97,044	83,496	3,468	10,080	48,908
45—54	72,575	56,145	1,514	14,916	36,550
55—64	45,167	28,498	648	16,021	22,139
65—74	20,900	9,987	233	10,680	10,020
75 & over	8,036	2,724	108	5,204	3,739
Age not stated	32	9	17	6	11
All Ages	778,939	295,363	429,465	54,111	RURAL
Under 1 year	23,367	...	23,367	...	11,827
1—4	92,660	...	92,660	...	46,894
5—14	192,794	127	192,655	12	97,018
15—24	157,983	53,457	102,657	1,869	75,971
25—34	108,039	89,370	13,417	5,252	53,026
35—44	81,121	70,099	2,690	8,332	40,582
45—54	60,710	47,282	1,213	12,215	30,520
55—64	37,834	24,175	512	13,147	18,690
65—74	17,589	8,540	194	8,855	8,512
75 & over	6,816	2,304	87	4,425	3,168
Age not stated	26	9	13	4	11
All Ages	148,465	54,734	82,281	11,450	URBAN
Under 1 year	4,016	...	4,016	...	2,029
1—4	16,772	...	16,772	...	8,526
5—14	34,772	43	34,725	4	17,537
15—24	31,394	9,414	21,528	452	15,740
25—34	21,853	16,827	3,961	1,065	11,210
35—44	15,923	13,397	778	1,748	8,326
45—54	11,865	8,863	301	2,701	6,030
55—64	7,333	4,323	136	2,874	3,449
65—74	3,311	1,447	39	1,825	1,508
75 & over	1,220	420	21	779	571
Age not stated	6	...	4	2	...

Cochin State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females

Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
169,335	279,837	11,973	466,259	180,762	231,909	53,588
...	13,856	...	13,527	...	13,527	...
...	55,420	...	54,012	...	54,012	...
32	114,514	9	113,011	138	112,866	7
12,746	78,738	227	97,666	50,125	45,447	2,094
49,462	13,618	1,156	65,656	56,735	3,760	5,161
45,117	2,179	1,612	48,136	38,379	1,289	8,468
33,238	905	2,407	36,025	22,907	609	12,509
18,914	389	2,836	23,028	9,584	259	13,185
7,566	153	2,301	10,880	2,421	80	8,379
2,254	60	1,425	4,297	470	48	3,779
6	5	...	21	3	12	6
142,516	233,730	9,973	392,720	152,847	195,735	44,138
...	11,827	...	11,540	...	11,540	...
...	46,894	...	45,766	...	45,766	...
20	96,992	6	95,776	107	95,663	6
11,137	64,624	210	82,012	42,320	38,033	1,659
41,610	10,493	923	55,013	47,760	2,924	4,329
37,601	1,678	1,303	40,539	32,498	1,012	7,029
27,842	724	1,954	30,190	19,440	489	10,261
15,959	310	2,421	19,144	8,216	202	10,726
6,425	134	1,953	9,077	2,115	60	6,902
1,916	49	1,203	3,648	388	38	3,222
6	5	...	15	3	8	4
26,819	46,107	2,000	73,539	27,915	36,174	9,450
...	2,029	...	1,987	...	1,987	...
...	8,526	...	8,246	...	8,246	...
12	17,522	3	17,235	31	17,203	1
1,609	14,114	17	15,654	7,805	7,414	435
7,852	3,125	233	10,643	8,975	836	832
7,516	501	309	7,597	5,881	277	1,439
5,396	181	453	5,835	3,467	120	2,248
2,955	79	415	3,884	1,368	57	2,459
1,141	19	348	1,803	306	20	1,477
338	11	222	649	82	10	557
...	6	...	4	2

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of Sample Household Population by Districts, 1952

State & District	POPULATION							
	Number of Sample Households				All Classes			
	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	Persons		Males	
					Married	Others	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

TRAVANCORE-COCHIN—

Total	3,654	827	730	2,087	9,739	15,734	4,655	7,881
Rural	3,139	786	704	1,639	8,237	13,133	3,933	6,576
Urban	515	41	26	448	1,502	2,601	722	1,305

Trichur—

T	3,654	827	730	2,087	9,739	15,734	4,655	7,881
R	3,139	786	704	1,639	8,237	13,133	3,933	6,576
U	515	41	26	448	1,502	2,601	722	1,305

POPULATION—(concl.)

State & District	All Classes— (concl.)		Livelihood Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V—VIII	
	Females		Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
	Married	Others						
1	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17

TRAVANCORE-COCHIN—

Total	5,084	7,853	3,222	3,215	2,096	2,326	7,179	7,367
Rural	4,304	6,557	3,044	3,012	2,006	2,238	5,428	5,585
Urban	780	1,296	178	203	90	88	1,751	1,782

Trichur—

T	5,084	7,853	3,222	3,215	2,096	2,326	7,179	7,367
R	4,304	6,557	3,044	3,012	2,006	2,238	5,428	5,585
U	780	1,296	178	203	90	88	1,751	1,782

Note : Column 2/and 6 to 11 include figures for unspecified Livelihood Classes also.

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Travancore-Cochin State, 1952

POPULATION							
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females	
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TOTAL							
All Ages	25,473	9,739	15,734	4,655	7,881	5,084	7,853
Under 1 year	953	...	953	...	473	...	480
1—4	2,603	...	2,603	...	1,315	...	1,288
5—9	3,169	...	3,169	...	1,623	...	1,546
10—14	2,727	10	2,717	3	1,386	7	1,331
15—19	2,782	384	2,398	26	1,296	358	1,102
20—24	2,599	1,255	1,344	280	923	975	421
25—29	2,176	1,636	540	672	361	964	179
30—34	1,656	1,400	256	720	122	680	134
35—39	1,483	1,306	177	644	68	662	109
40—44	1,266	1,054	212	625	36	429	176
45—49	985	795	190	438	32	357	158
50—54	922	666	256	398	48	268	208
55—59	724	469	255	285	38	184	217
60—64	633	382	251	262	56	120	195
65—69	340	192	148	147	35	45	113
70—74	233	111	122	94	30	17	92
75 & over	222	79	143	61	39	18	104
Age not stated
RURAL							
All Ages	21,370	8,237	13,133	3,933	6,576	4,304	6,557
Under 1 year	815	...	815	...	403	...	412
1—4	2,149	...	2,149	...	1,084	...	1,065
5—9	2,640	...	2,640	...	1,369	...	1,271
10—14	2,279	8	2,271	2	1,146	6	1,125
15—19	2,353	337	2,016	20	1,100	317	916
20—24	2,195	1,082	1,113	248	766	834	347
25—29	1,835	1,395	440	578	293	817	147
30—34	1,380	1,168	212	606	96	562	116
35—39	1,249	1,103	146	545	55	558	91
40—44	1,045	863	182	506	29	357	153
45—49	844	678	166	379	27	299	139
50—54	774	561	213	332	38	229	175
55—59	620	406	214	245	32	161	182
60—64	526	318	208	216	48	102	160
65—69	289	166	123	130	30	36	93
70—74	195	94	101	80	27	14	74
75 & over	182	58	124	46	33	12	91
Age not stated
URBAN							
All Ages	4,103	1,502	2,601	722	1,305	780	1,296
Under 1 year	138	...	138	...	70	...	68
1—4	454	...	454	...	231	...	223
5—9	529	...	529	...	254	...	275
10—14	448	2	446	1	240	1	206
15—19	429	47	382	6	196	41	186
20—24	404	173	231	32	157	141	74
25—29	341	241	100	94	68	147	32
30—34	276	232	44	114	26	118	18
35—39	234	203	31	99	13	104	18
40—44	221	191	30	119	7	72	23
45—49	141	117	24	59	5	58	19
50—54	148	105	43	66	10	39	33
55—59	104	63	41	40	6	23	35
60—64	107	64	43	46	8	18	35
65—69	51	26	25	17	5	9	20
70—74	38	17	21	14	3	3	18
75 & over	40	21	19	15	6	6	13
Age not stated

V—Number of Births in Sample Households by Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

State & District	TOTAL NUMBER OF BIRTHS											
	Number of Mothers				Persons				Males			
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12

TRAVANCORE-COCHIN—

Total . . .	1,013	255	193	562	1,013	255	193	562	503	121	85
Rural . . .	873	248	187	435	873	248	187	435	431	120	82
Urban . . .	140	7	6	127	140	7	6	127	72	1	3

Trichur—

T . . .	1,013	255	193	562	1,013	255	193	562	503	121	85
R . . .	873	248	187	435	873	248	187	435	431	120	82
U . . .	140	7	6	127	140	7	6	127	72	1	3

State & District	TOTAL NUMBER OF BIRTHS—(concl.)					WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED						
	Males—(concl.)		Females			Yes		No		Not known		
	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Fe- males	Males	Fe- males	Males	Fe- males	
	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23

TRAVANCORE-COCHIN—

Total . . .	295	510	134	108	267	101	117	376	371	26	22
Rural . . .	227	442	128	105	208	74	89	335	333	22	20
Urban . . .	68	68	6	3	59	27	28	41	38	4	2

Trichur—

T . . .	295	510	134	108	267	101	117	376	371	26	22
R . . .	227	442	128	105	208	74	89	335	333	22	20
U . . .	68	68	6	3	59	27	28	41	38	4	2

NOTE : Columns 2, 6, 10 and 14 include figures for unspecified Livelihood Classes also.

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

Order of Birth	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	1,013	3,553	2,971	2	4	4	419	764	685
1st	237	237	230	205	205	198
2nd	187	374	338	2	4	4	119	238	210
3rd	169	507	457	67	201	181
4th	126	504	428	20	80	68
5th	103	515	433	8	40	28
6th	71	426	336
7th & above	120	990	749
RURAL									
All Orders	873	3,030	2,514	368	657	589
1st	210	210	203	184	184	177
2nd	163	326	295	106	212	188
3rd	144	432	390	57	171	154
4th	108	432	366	15	60	51
5th	87	435	360	6	30	19
6th	61	366	287
7th & above	100	829	613
URBAN									
All Orders	140	523	457	2	4	4	51	107	96
1st	27	27	27	21	21	21
2nd	24	48	43	2	4	4	13	26	22
3rd	25	75	67	10	30	27
4th	18	72	62	5	20	17
5th	16	80	73	2	10	9
6th	10	60	49
7th & above	20	161	136

Households of Travancore-Cochin State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother

OF MOTHER

25-34			35-44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
428	1,751	1,450	160	1,008	814	2	21	13	2	5	5
30	30	30	2	2	2
61	122	115	4	8	7	1	2	2
95	285	256	6	18	17	1	3	3
82	328	270	24	96	90
73	365	309	22	110	96
43	258	199	28	168	137
44	363	271	74	606	465	2	21	13
361	1,472	1,209	140	875	698	2	21	13	2	5	5
25	25	25	1	1	1
52	104	98	4	8	7	1	2	2
81	243	218	5	15	15	1	3	3
70	280	229	23	92	86
62	310	260	19	95	81
35	210	161	26	156	126
36	300	218	62	508	382	2	21	13
67	279	241	20	133	116
5	5	5	1	1	1
9	18	17
14	42	38	1	3	2
12	48	41	1	4	4
11	55	49	3	15	15
8	48	38	2	12	11
8	63	53	12	98	83

**VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic Groups
and their Registration by Districts, 1952**

TOTAL NUMBER OF DEATHS									
State & District	Persons				Males				Females
	Total	L. C. I, II, & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TRAVANCORE-COCHIN—									
Total	304	74	63	166	164	42	34	87	140
Rural	265	72	61	131	145	41	33	70	120
Urban	39	2	2	35	19	1	1	17	20
Trichur—									
T	304	74	63	166	164	42	34	87	140
R	265	72	61	131	145	41	33	70	120
U	39	2	2	35	19	1	1	17	20

TOTAL NUMBER OF DEATHS—(concl'd.)				WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED					
State & District	Females—(concl'd.)			Yes		No		Not known	
	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
1	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
TRAVANCORE-COCHIN—									
Total	32	29	79	32	30	126	106	6	4
Rural	31	28	61	26	23	113	93	6	4
Urban	1	1	18	6	7	13	13
Trichur—									
T	32	29	79	32	30	126	106	6	4
R	31	28	61	26	23	113	93	6	4
U	1	1	18	6	7	13	13

NOTE : Columns 2, 6 & 10 include figures for unspecified Livelihood Classes also.

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Travancore-Cochin State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1952**

NUMBER OF DEATHS										
Age Group	Total			Rural			Urban			
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
All Ages	304	164	140	265	145	120	39	19	20	
Under 1 year	60	30	30	58	28	30	2	2	...	
1—4	63	40	23	54	35	19	9	5	4	
5—9	11	2	9	11	2	9	
10—14	4	3	1	3	2	1	1	1	...	
15—19	8	5	3	6	4	2	2	1	1	
20—24	11	4	7	10	3	7	1	1	...	
25—29	5	2	3	3	1	2	2	1	1	
30—34	5	...	5	5	...	5	
35—39	15	8	7	12	6	6	3	2	1	
40—44	13	6	7	12	6	6	1	...	1	
45—49	11	9	2	9	8	1	2	1	1	
50—54	9	7	2	9	7	2	
55—59	8	5	3	6	4	2	2	1	1	
60—64	15	11	4	15	11	4	
65—69	17	8	9	15	7	8	2	1	1	
70—74	18	6	12	14	6	8	4	...	4	
75 & over	30	17	13	22	14	8	8	3	5	
Age not stated	1	1	...	1	1	

BOMBAY, SAURASHTRA & KUTCH

Report on the Sample Census of Births and Deaths in Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch

By

(SHRI J. B. BOWMAN, I. C. S., *Superintendent of Census Operations*)

The question of Improvement of Population Data formed the subject of correspondence between the Government of India and the State Governments. At the suggestion of the Registrar General, India, I was appointed an Officer on Special Duty in the Political and Services Department by the Government of Bombay, under Government Resolution Political and Services Department No 308/52, dated 10th September, 1952.

* * * * *

Review of existing organisation and methods of registration of births and deaths, as well as the submission of returns and compilation of statistics based thereon.

Bombay State has an area of 111,434 square miles. The population of the State at the 1951 Census was 35,956,150. 68.9 per cent. of the population lived in rural areas, and 31.1 per cent. in urban areas. The entire area is subject to registration of births and deaths. In the rural areas the village police patel is entrusted with the work of reporting births and deaths occurring in his village to the taluka office.

At the beginning of every month the village officer sends extracts of the Births and Deaths Register for the previous month to the taluka office, and the mamlatdar, in turn, forwards them to the Assistant Director of Public Health. In urban areas where there are municipalities reporting of births and deaths to the regional Assistant Director, Public Health is the responsibility of the municipalities which have in some cases prescribed their own forms for the registration of births and deaths to enable them to get more details. In non-municipal urban areas the work of registering births and deaths is done by the police patel as in the case of rural areas.

Compilation of vital statistics is done in the offices of the Assistant Director of Public Health at Ahmedabad, Poona and Belgaum. Compilation is done in the Assistant Director's office separately for rural and urban areas. Areas are treated as rural or urban according to the classification they have been given at the previous censuses. The number of town circles (i.e. urban areas) has increased greatly since 1941. Consolidated returns for districts are supplied by the Assistant Directors to the Director of Public Health, who publishes the data in his annual Report.

I saw the compilation work being done in the office of the Assistant Director of Public Health in Poona on 10th April, 1953. Most of the returns that were being

compiled had been standardised in accordance with the requirements of the Director General of Health Services, Government of India.

There is a proposal to centralise and mechanise the tabulation of the data in Bombay State. The Director of Public Health is working out the details of the Scheme. The Bureau of Economics and Statistics of the Bombay Government would probably be able to advise on the technical aspect of the Scheme. Such mechanisation would mark a very desirable advance. However, more important than the treatment of the data is the collection of accurate data to treat. The Experimental Census was designed to find out the extent to which births and deaths are omitted from normal registration.

II. Analysis of the results of the "Experimental Census of Births and Deaths in Sample Households, 1952".

With a view to arriving at a more accurate estimate of the true birth and death rates than those provided by the statistics of registered births and deaths, which are known to be defective by reason of the omissions that occur, an Experimental Census of Births and Deaths occurring during a period of one year in sample households was held in Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch with the concurrence of the three Governments concerned. The general scheme and the information to be collected were laid down by the Registrar General, India. The drawing up of instructions to enumerators and the implementation of the scheme were left to Superintendents of Census Operations.

The reference date of the Experimental Census in Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch was Diwali Day, 18th October 1952. Enumerators were asked to find out the number of births and deaths that had taken place in the sample households during the year. The period Diwali to Diwali was selected in preference to the calendar year as the calendar year has not a great deal of meaning for most people. The Experimental Census was held between 3rd November 1952 and 10th November 1952. During that week the enumerators visited the selected households and recorded the information. They filled up a Birth Slip in the case of a birth occurring in a sample household and a Death Slip in the case of a death occurring in a sample household. For every household in the sample they filled up a Household Verification Slip, showing the number of the members of the household, according to sex, age group, and marital status.

The census was intended to cover approximately 10 per cent. of the households in the areas selected. The

areas in which the census was held have been shown below. The 1951 census population of these areas, and the popu-

lation of these areas that came in the Experimental Census enumeration have also been shown :

District	Taluka/Peta	1951 Census Population	Experimental Census Population
1	2	3	4
BOMBAY STATE			
Kanara	Ankola	48,181	4,936
	Karwar	78,725	8,135
Kolhapur	Bavada	52,922	5,296
	Hatkanangale	169,700	17,326
	Karvir	129,464	13,050
Poona	Bhor	73,711	7,505
	Mulshi	68,884	6,998
	Sirur	103,108	10,740
	Vele	27,391	2,686
Surat	Bulsar	137,958	14,280
	Chikhli	123,872	12,213
	Chorasi	125,850	12,204
	Navsari	199,165	19,579
Sabarkantha	Malpur	28,129	2,718
	Meghraj	35,718	3,320
	Modasa	89,924	8,544
		1,492,702	148,930
SAURASHTRA STATE			
Sorath	Junagadh	121,079	12,170
	Mendarda	17,329	2,560
	Vanthali	58,156	5,176
		196,564	19,906
KUTCH STATE			
Kutch	Bhuj	87,130	8,511

148,930 persons in Bombay State, 19,906 persons in Saurashtra State, and 8,511 persons in Kutch State were covered by the Experimental Census of Births and Deaths. They constituted 2.4 and 2.0 per cent. respectively of the population of the selected districts in the States of Bombay and Saurashtra where the Experimental Census was held, and 0.41, 0.48 and 1.50 per cent. respectively of the total population (1951 Census) of each of the three States of Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch.

Households were selected for the Experimental Census of Births and Deaths from the copies of the National Registers of Citizens prepared at the time of the Census of 1951. These Registers contained a list of the persons found in the households, and their replies to the more

important census questions. For purposes of abstracting the sample households for the Experimental Census compilers were specially sent to Baroda, Ahmednagar and Belgaum where copies of the National Registers were kept after the Census Tabulation at these centres was completed. They had been given necessary instructions on how to take out the sample with the assistance of copyists. The Collector of Sorath in Saurashtra and the Collector of Kutch arranged for the taking out of the sample pertaining to their areas, from the National Registers which were sent to them from Bhavnagar.

It was intended that the sample should be abstracted on strictly uniform principles. At the same time it was appreciated that some households might have changed since the population census and that some house-

holds might not be traceable by the enumerators at the Experimental Census because of imperfections in the writing up of the National Register, or other causes. In order to prevent the size of the sample being unduly deflated by the operation of these causes latitude was given to enumerators to accept the new household that had come in the place of the sample household where the sample household had changed, and to substitute the household nearest to the sample household in cases where the house containing the sample household was empty or could not be traced. As a result, the size of the sample was kept at roughly ten per cent. of the households in the selected areas at the time of the 1951 population census. The actual percentage was 9.57 per cent.

Printed instructions to enumerators were issued in Marathi, Gujarati and Kannada. A copy of these instructions is attached (Appendix 2). Every district in which the Experimental Census was held, excepting Sabarkantha and Kanara, was visited by the Officer on Special Duty and the instructions were explained to the mamlatdars, municipal officers and other concerned at training classes. The taking of the Experimental Census was in the general charge of the mamlatdar of the taluka concerned.

Births are registered in the areas where they occur. It is, however, customary in the area for a wife to go to her parent's house for her first confinement. It was apprehended that this would cause difficulties at the Experimental Census and that in such cases births would tend either to be counted twice or else omitted entirely. Instructions were therefore issued that a birth in the case of a wife who had gone to her parent's house for her confinement should be treated as having occurred in the wife's husband's household and not in the parents' house. Similarly births and deaths that took place in hospitals and elsewhere were to be treated as having occurred in the sample households. This meant a *de jure* reckoning of households, an idea that was simple to put across, and was reported to have been easily grasped by

enumerators.

How representative was the sample :

How good a sample of the general population was the 10 per cent. sample at the Experimental Census? The question can best be answered by comparing the characteristics of the Experimental Census population with those of the population of the areas as returned at the 1951 Census.

We may compare :

- (1) The livelihood class structure
- (2) The sex ratio
- (3) The age structure
- (4) The marital status ratios
- (5) The average household size figures

In practically every case we find a very close correspondence.

The livelihood class structure :

In tabulating the result of the census of 1951 the population was divided into eight livelihood classes—four agricultural and four non-agricultural. In tabulating the results of the Experimental Census it was considered unnecessary and unwise to maintain such an elaborate classification. The only refinement made was to separate agricultural labourers (Livelihood Class III) from the other agricultural livelihood classes. There was thus a three-fold division into the agricultural classes (other than labourers), agricultural labourers, and the non-agricultural classes. The following table shows how closely the livelihood class break-up of the Experimental Census sample population agreed with that of the population of these areas at the Census of 1951. At the Experimental Census the livelihood class of the head of the household determined the livelihood class of the members of the family. At the General Census of 1951 the basis of classification was the individual. In practice the differences due to this cause would not be great.

DISTRIBUTION OF 10,000 PERSONS AT THE 1951 CENSUS AND THE EXPERIMENTAL CENSUS IN BOMBAY STATE

	Livelihood Classes I, II and IV (Agricultural Classes excepting labourers)		Livelihood Class III (Cultivating labourers)		Livelihood Classes V—VIII (Non-agricultural Classes)	
	1951 Census	Experimental Census	1951 Census	Experimental Census	1951 Census	Experimental Census
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Total . . .	5,891	5,995	941	957	3,168	3,048
Rural . . .	6,529	6,660	1,024	1,042	2,447	2,298
Urban . . .	2,076	2,096	442	462	7,482	7,442

Sex ratios :

In the area of Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch at the census of 1951 there were only 938 females per 1,000 males in the population as a whole. It was

in the cities and the larger urban areas that the shortage of women was most acute, and these areas were excluded from the Experimental Census. There was very little difference between the sex ratio in the sample population of the areas where the Experimental Census was

held in 1952 and the sex ratio in the general population it was a good sample. The following table compares of those areas at the census of 1951. In other words the position :

NUMBER OF FEMALES PER 1,000 MALES

State		1951 Census	Experimental Census
1		2	3
Bombay	Total	1,008	994
	Rural	1,014	996
	Urban	970	987
Saurashtra	T	980	969
	R	966	964
	U	996	975
Kutch	T	1,086	1,109
	R	1,113	1,122
	U	1,051	1,091

Note : The total, rural and urban population figures refer to the areas in the State where the Experimental Census was held and not to the general population of the entire State.

Age structure :

The age structure of the Experimental Census population resembled very closely the age structure

of the 10 per cent. sample population of those areas at the 1951 Census. The table below exhibits the position in Bombay State :

DISTRIBUTION OF 10,000 PERSONS ACCORDING TO AGE IN 1951 CENSUS (SAMPLE) POPULATION AND EXPERIMENTAL CENSUS POPULATION

Age group	Males		Females	
	1951 Census	Experimental Census	1951 Census	Experimental Census
1	2	3	4	5
0	165	170	159	165
1—4	542	519	535	531
5—14	1,327	1,363	1,257	1,277
15—24	850	855	874	869
25—34	771	710	777	763
35—44	604	577	559	570
45—54	423	434	385	403
55—64	252	255	244	261
65—74	92	97	110	108
75 & over	32	34	41	39
Age not stated	1

Marital status ratios:

At the general Census of 1951 enumerators were asked to record the "Civil condition" or "Marital status" of every person i.e. whether they were married, unmarried, widowed or divorced. At the Experimental Census there was a simple division into "married" and "others." By "married" was meant those persons who were at present married. A "widowed person" would consequently belong to the "Others" category.

Somewhat surprisingly a telegram was received from one district (Kolhapur) shortly before the census began seeking clarification on whether a widowed person should be shown under "Married" or "Others." This

was the first indication that the question might cause confusion. Subsequently, however, when the slips of Kanara district were being sorted it became clear that some widowed persons had been returned as married, presumably because they had once been married. There would be a death slip, but the surviving partner of the marriage would be shown on the household verification slip as married. As a result the proportion of married persons was slightly overstated at the Experimental Census, particularly in Kanara district.

The following table shows the distribution of 10,000 females according to Civil condition (Married or not married) at the general Census of 1951 and at the Experimental Census in Bombay State:

Age group	Married		Others	
	1951 Census*	Experimental Census	1951 Census*	Experimental Census
1	2	3	4	5
Under 15	84	68	1,867	1,904
15—24	706	689	168	179
25—34	712	712	65	52
35—44	447	483	113	87
45—54	220	279	165	124
55 & over	90	171	304	237
Age not stated	1	...

The table shows that a higher proportion of women aged 35 and over were returned as married at the Experimental Census than at the General census and that the population of Others (primarily widows) was correspondingly reduced.

The mistakes were not sufficiently numerous to affect the sample greatly but because of the detected confusion in Kanara district and the possibility of confusion having also occurred elsewhere it is probably better when work-

ing out such data as child-woman ratios to take the total number of women in the reproductive age groups rather than the number of married women in the reproductive age groups.

Average size of households at 1951 Census and Experimental Census:

In the areas where the Experimental Census was held the average size of a household at the 1951 Census in Bombay State was 5.01 persons, while

* Figures based on 10 per cent. sample population figures of the areas where the Experimental Census was held.

at the Experimental Census it was bigger—5.22 persons. The following table brings out the position :

AVERAGE SIZE OF A HOUSEHOLD

Area		1951 Census	Experimental Census
1		2	3
Bombay State	Total	5.01	5.22
	Rural	5.00	5.23
	Urban	5.01	5.17
Saurashtra district (Saurashtra)	T	5.04	5.40
	R	5.40	5.62
	U	4.68	5.18
Kutch	T	4.41	4.42
	R	4.25	4.29
	U	4.68	4.63

In every district in Bombay State the average household at the Experimental Census was bigger than at the General Census of 1951. It is rather difficult to see why this should be so. Growth of population between the 1951 Census and the Experimental Census would not be a complete explanation because as population grows new households are formed. When the 10 per cent. sample for the Experimental Census was extracted from the National Registers those in charge of the work were specifically warned to take every tenth household, even if it was a one-man household. Enumerators were, however, given liberty to substitute. "If the sample household is empty or cannot be traced the next occupied house on the left, or if there is no occupied house on the left, then the next occupied house on the right of the selected household should be taken in its place." Out of 34,237 households selected as sample households for the Experimental Census in Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch as many as 2,309 could not be verified. To make up the deficiency other households were substituted in most cases, though in 87 cases no substitute households were selected by enumerators. This process of substituting households may have tended to increase the average household size at the Experimental Census, since the households that could not be found may have been smaller than the average. On the other hand if this is the correct explanation it is difficult to see why the average size of the household in Kutch at the Experimental Census should not also have been higher than at the General Census of 1951, since the percentage of selected sample households there that could not be verified was higher than in Bombay State.

Under registration of births :

What proportion of the births that occur are not registered?

The Experimental Census constituted the first objective check of the extent of under-reporting of births in selected areas. It was not the first occasion, however, on which an attempt had been made to estimate not only birth rates but also the extent of under-registration of births. Using census data, vital statistics, and life table data Professor Kingsley Davis estimated that in Bombay Province during the years 1926—30 only 77.2 per cent. of

the births that occurred were registered.* "In areas of pre-partition India nominally under registration the percentage of births registered was certainly....less than 75 per cent.....Necessarily the adequacy of registration varies greatly from district to district and from province to province.Such variations in the degree of registration necessarily put us on guard against using reported rates for comparison of different areas."

The birth rates registered under normal registration conditions in the Experimental Census areas from 1st November 1951 to 31st October 1952 were 34.3 in Bombay State, 24.7 in Saurashtra and 18.9 in Kutch. The birth rates in these areas recorded at the Experimental Census as having taken place from 18th October 1951 to 18 October 1952 (Diwali to Diwali) were 34.8 in Bombay State, 34.6 in Saurashtra and 35.2 in Kutch. These figures suggest that very few of the births that occurred were omitted from registration in Bombay State. They suggest that in Bombay State 98.6 per cent. of the births that occurred were registered, in Saurashtra 71.4 per cent., and in Kutch 53.7 per cent.

Unfortunately, however, the true position was less happy. In the case of a birth that came in the sample at the Experimental Census the enumerator was asked to record whether it had been registered or not registered and where it was not known whether it had been registered or not, "not known", was to be written. At the Experimental Census in Bombay State 14.2 per cent. of the births that came in the sample were *not registered* and in respect of 5.5 per cent. it was *not known* whether they had been registered or not. The number of unregistered births per 100 registered births was 17.7 in Bombay State, 88.9 in Saurashtra and 55.5 in Kutch. The number of "not known" cases per 100 registered births was 6.8 per cent. in Bombay State, 7.4 in Saurashtra and 9.3 in Kutch.

On the assumption that the birth rates at the Experimental Census did not reveal the true birth rates correction factors might be obtained by taking the proportion of "not registered" and "not known" to "registered births" and adding them to the birth rates recorded under nor-

* "The Population of India and Pakistan" by Kingsley Davis pps. 244-245. He estimated by the Reverse Survival Method that the birth rate in Bombay Province during 1926—30 was 44.46, and by the Successive Approximation Method that it was 41.75.

mal registration conditions. If the registration history of the births recorded at the Experimental Census were the same as that of all births that took place in those areas

then these two correction factors would give us respectively the lower and upper limits of the true birth rates. The following table brings out the position :

BIRTHS

State & District (Experimental Census areas)		Birth rate recorded at the experimental Census Be	Birth rate recorded under normal registration conditions Br	Number of unregistered births per 100 registered births P	Number of 'not known' cases per 100 registered births Q	Estimated true birth rate	
						Lower limits Br (1+P/100)	Upper limits Br $\left(1 + \frac{P+Q}{100}\right)$
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
Bombay State	Total	34.8	34.3	17.7	6.8	40.4	42.7
	Rural	35.3	34.6	16.3	6.9	40.2	42.6
	Urban	31.9	32.6	27.7	6.4	41.6	43.7
Kanara	T	31.5	32.6	25.5	12.8	40.9	45.1
	R	33.5	35.3	19.6	10.2	42.2	45.8
	U	25.4	25.1	60.5	27.9	40.3	47.3
Kolhapur	T	36.0	38.0	11.5	7.3	42.4	45.1
	R	35.9	40.5	4.8	7.5	42.4	45.5
	U	36.2	27.2	54.1	6.2	41.9	43.6
Poona	T	37.1	29.7	15.6	13.1	34.3	38.2
	R	37.1	30.2	15.9	13.6	35.0	39.1
	U	37.1	23.2	13.0	7.2	26.2	27.9
Surat	T	33.0	36.1	19.6	3.0	43.2	44.3
	R	33.7	35.3	20.7	3.0	42.6	43.7
	U	28.7	40.7	12.6	3.3	45.8	47.2
Sabarkantha	T	38.2	28.6	24.8	4.2	35.7	36.9
	R	38.1	27.5	27.0	4.6	34.9	36.3
	U	39.1	42.8	4.7	...	44.8	44.8
Saurashtra State	Total	34.6	24.7	88.9	7.4	46.7	48.5
	Rural	38.0	27.2	66.2	4.8	45.2	46.5
	Urban	30.9	21.8	132.5	12.5	50.7	53.4
Kutch State	Total	35.2	18.9	55.5	9.3	29.4	31.1
	Rural	37.7	17.6	53.1	14.2	26.9	29.4
	Urban	31.7	20.6	59.4	1.4	32.8	33.1

These estimated true birth rates may or may not be correct. If the birth rates recorded under normal registration conditions were accurate, or if at the Experimental Census a full record of births had been obtained the occasion for using correction factors would not have arisen. In using correction factors we are in effect using one set of inaccurate data to correct another set of inaccurate data.

The closer the correspondence between the birth rate recorded at the Experimental Census and the birth rate recorded under normal registration conditions the more accurately one would expect the correction factors to depict the true birth rates. Where, on the other hand, as in Saurashtra and Kutch there was a wide discrepancy between the birth rate recorded at the

Be=Birth rate recorded at the Experimental Census.

Br=Birth rate under normal registration conditions.

P = Number of unregistered births according to Experimental Census

Q = Number of registered births according to Experimental Census $\times 100$

Q = Number of 'not known' cases in the Experimental Census

Number of registered births according to Experimental Census $\times 100$

Experimental Census and that recorded under normal registration conditions the correction factors could not effectively reflect the true birth rates; they merely amplified an existing distortion. The birth rates recorded at the Experimental Census in Saurashtra and Kutch may be regarded as more accurate than the estimated true birth rates shown in columns 6 and 7 of the table.

"Not knowns" would arise among births because births are registered where they occur, and that place might have been different from the place of enumeration at the Experimental Census. An attempt was made after the Experimental Census to find out definitely in such cases whether the "not knowns" had in fact been registered. The "not known" slips were checked against the appropriate birth registers where the births took place in another village but in the same taluka. The attempt was not completely successful. More important, however, was the fact that in general the householder's word was accepted about whether the birth had been registered or not. In areas where normal registration was bad the householder would be less likely to be accurately informed about whether the birth was registered or not. It seems that in Saurashtra the extent of under-registration of births was greatly exaggerated, resulting in estimated birth rates in columns 6 and 7 far above the rates recorded at the Experimental Census. In Kutch the extent of under-registration seems on the other hand to have been minimised, with the result that the estimated birth rates in columns 6 and 7 are even lower than those recorded at the Experimental Census.

Varying standard of efficiency of registration of births in rural and urban areas :

Is the standard of efficiency of registration of births better in urban areas than in rural? The following table shows by rural and urban areas the number of unregistered births per 100 registered births:

NUMBER OF UNREGISTERED BIRTHS PER 100 REGISTERED BIRTHS

	Bombay State	Saurashtra	Kutch
	1	2	3
Total . . .	17.7	88.9	55.5
Rural . . .	16.3	66.2	53.1
Urban . . .	27.7	132.5	59.4

In every case the proportion of unregistered to registered births was higher in the urban areas than in the rural. This suggests that registration of births is better in rural areas than in urban.

However, in the case of Bombay State only small urban areas were included in the Experimental Census areas. 14.5 per cent. of the Experimental Census population were urban, while in the State as a whole at the Census of 1951 as much as 31.1 per cent. were urban. No city areas were covered by the Experimental Census, but at the Census of 1951, 14.1 per cent. of the population of Bombay State lived in its eight cities and 17.0 per cent. in non-city urban areas. The urban areas covered by

the Experimental Census in Bombay State consisted of the following areas :

Name of the district	Urban areas
1	2
Kanara	Karwar Municipality Chitkula Majali
Kolhapur	Alte Kasaba Hupari Ichalkaranji Municipality Kumbhoj Pattan Kodoli Rukadi Vadgaon Kasaba Municipality
Poona	Bhor Municipality
Surat	Chorasi Municipality Variare Municipality Navasari Municipality
Sabarkantha	Modasa Municipality

These areas are fairly representative of the small urban areas in the State, municipal and non-municipal. It therefore seems that the standard of registration of births in the smaller urban areas in Bombay State leaves much to be desired.

In Saurashtra 47.7 per cent. of the population covered by the Experimental Census were urban; in Kutch 41.8 per cent. At the 1951 Census only 33.7 per cent. of the population of Saurashtra and 20.0 per cent. of the population of Kutch were urban. The Experimental Census in Saurashtra and Kutch had therefore a heavy urban population bias. The following urban areas of Saurashtra and Kutch were covered by the Experimental Census :

Name of the district	Urban areas
1	2
Sorath (Saurashtra)	Junagadh Municipality Bilkha Municipality Mendarda Municipality Vanthali Municipality Shahpur Municipality
Kutch	Bhuj Municipality Madhapur

In the Experimental Census areas in Saurashtra and Kutch as in Bombay State chances of a birth being registered were better in rural areas than in urban. In contrast with Bombay State, normal births registration in Saurashtra and Kutch was extremely defective even in the rural areas.

The application of correction factors suggested higher birth rates in urban areas than in rural in Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch. This result may be discarded as absurd, and seems to have been due principally to the fact that in urban areas householders tended to report births

as not having been registered while in fact they had been.

Urban-Rural differential in fertility :

One conventional independent way of assessing fertility in the absence of reliable births data is to measure the ratio of children aged 0—4 to women in the reproductive ages (15—45). This gives a fair index of relative fertility in rural and urban

areas. The results of the general census and the Experimental Census suggested that there was very little difference in fertility between the rural and urban areas.

The table below shows the position at the Experimental Census in each of the three States of Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch. Column 4 shows the position in Bombay State at the 1951 census in the areas where the Experimental Census was now held :

CHILDREN 0—4 PER 1,000 WOMEN 15—45 AT THE EXPERIMENTAL CENSUS

	Bombay State	Saurashtra	Kutch	Bombay State (1951 Census)
	1	2	3	4
Total	629	617	536	638
Rural	639	683	560	648
Urban	573	549	503	610

The lower ratio in Kutch by comparison with Bombay and Saurashtra might have been due to infant and child mortality there being higher.

Under-registration of deaths :

It is commonly believed that under normal registration conditions deaths are better recorded than births because the formalities that attend the disposal of a dead body are more likely to im-

press themselves on the registration authorities. However, if the information given to enumerators at the Experimental Census was correct, the proportion of unregistered deaths per 100 registered deaths was only slightly lower than in the case of births. The following table shows what percentage of the deaths were omitted. These figures should be compared with those for births at page 176.

NUMBER OF UNREGISTERED DEATHS PER 100 REGISTERED DEATHS

	Bombay	Saurashtra	Kutch
	1	2	3
Total	16.4	101.4	47.2
Rural	14.5	64.1	60.9
Urban	30.3	172.9	23.1

The proportion of "not known" cases per 100 registered deaths was lower than in the case of births. This is what one would expect. Some births belonging to the sample households at the Experimental Census would

actually have taken place elsewhere, because of the custom of a wife going to her parent's house for her first confinement. Where that house was in another village it would not always be known whether it had been registered.

As in the case of births, the proportions of "unregistered" and "not known" cases were used as correction

factors to arrive at an estimate of the true death rate, with the results as shown in the following table:

DEATHS

State & District (Experimental Census)		Death rate recorded at the Experimental Census	Death rate recorded under normal registra- tion conditions	Number of un- registered deaths per 100 registered deaths	Number of 'not known' cases per 100 registered deaths	Estimated true death rate	
						Lower limits	Upper limits
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
Bombay State	Total	13.2	14.7	16.4	4.2	17.1	17.7
	Rural	13.4	15.0	14.5	4.2	17.1	17.8
	Urban	12.1	12.5	30.3	4.1	16.3	16.8
Kanara	T	9.5	13.3	15.7	5.9	15.4	16.2
	R	9.7	14.2	7.1	5.9	15.2	16.0
	U	8.8	10.9	58.8	5.9	17.3	18.0
Kolhapur	T	16.5	16.5	11.6	4.1	18.4	19.1
	R	16.5	17.9	5.4	4.1	18.9	19.6
	U	16.3	10.2	52.2	4.5	15.5	16.0
Poona	T	14.2	14.8	11.4	5.4	16.5	17.3
	R	14.0	15.0	12.5	6.1	16.9	17.8
	U	17.0	12.3	2.7	...	12.6	...
Surat	T	11.8	14.6	23.7	3.7	18.1	18.6
	R	12.3	14.7	24.1	3.4	18.2	18.7
	U	9.2	14.0	20.6	6.3	16.9	17.8
Sabarkantha	T	12.4	11.5	17.9	2.0	13.6	13.8
	R	12.7	10.9	19.3	2.1	13.0	13.2
	U	9.6	11.5
Saurashtra State	Total	14.3	16.1	101.4	2.1	32.4	32.8
	Rural	14.7	18.2	64.1	2.2	29.9	30.3
	Urban	13.9	13.7	172.9	2.1	37.4	37.7
Kutch State	Total	19.4	15.1	47.2	5.6	22.2	23.1
	Rural	23.3	15.0	60.9	8.7	24.1	25.4
	Urban	13.7	15.2	23.1	...	18.7	...

The estimated true death rate shown in columns 6 and 7 for Bombay State is low. The population of Bombay State has increased more rapidly since 1921 than the population of most Part 'A' States. This is partly due to the fact that it is an area which attracts migrants. But probably the death rate is lower in Bombay State and the survival rate better than in most other States in India. There is also nothing improbable about the death rate for Kutch shown in columns 6 and 7. The incredibly high death rate for Saurashtra in columns 6 and 7 can only be due to the fact that the information given to enumerators about whether deaths had been registered was very inaccurate. (The same result was obtained with the births figures.)

Is the urban death rate lower than the rural ?

The death rate, like the birth rate, was lower in urban than in rural areas. The normal registration data and the Experimental Census data corroborated each other on this point so far as Bombay and Saurashtra States were concerned. The urban death rate among the sample population at the Experimental Census in Kutch was much lower than the rural death rate. Elsewhere the differences were fairly small. Probably the difference in the death rate between a rural area and a small urban area are not great. The much lower death rate in the urban as compared with the rural sample population in Kutch, if real, may have been due to medical facilities being restricted to Bhuj and the towns and virtually absent in the rural areas.

Varying standard of efficiency of registration of deaths in rural and urban areas :

Subsidiary Table II-A shows by rural and urban areas the number of unregistered deaths per 100 registered deaths recorded at the Experimental Census. In every district in Bombay State (except Poona and Surat), a higher proportion of deaths was omitted from normal registration in the urban areas than in the rural. Saurashtra also exhibited the same feature. Registration of both births and deaths was worse in the urban areas than in the rural, except in Kutch. The usual expectation has always been that registration of deaths, at least, is better in the urban areas than in the rural, but the Experimental Census did not corroborate this. However most of the urban areas at the Experi-

mental Census were small ones. In the larger towns and in the cities the registration of deaths probably reaches a very high level and is probably as effective as births registration. The reason for the lower percentage of omission of deaths in Kutch probably lies in the fact that 34.7 per cent. of the Experimental Census population in Kutch were in Bhuj municipal area* where the registration of deaths is probably better than elsewhere in Kutch.

Reasons for omissions of deaths from registration :

The younger the deceased the greater the chance of the death not being registered. The figures for Bombay State bring out this trend very clearly (*vide* Subsidiary Table II-Part B) :

NUMBER OF UNREGISTERED DEATHS PER 100 REGISTERED DEATHS IN BOMBAY STATE

Age group	
0—4	25.4
5—14	22.8
15—34	13.7
35—54	11.6
55 & over	6.1
All ages	16.4

The birth of an infant would seem to stand a better chance of being registered than the death of an infant or a child or less than 5. (Only in the urban areas of Kutch was urban deaths registration better than births registration.)

The 0—4 age group comprises infants below one year of age, and young children aged 1—4. A separate sorting of these slips suggested that the percentage of omissions in the 0 age group and in the 1—4 age group in Bombay State were very nearly equal.

The death of an old person means in a village where everybody knows everybody else the removal from the scene of a well-known landmark. The death of an infant on the other hand is less likely to be noticed. It is customary to bury and not cremate very small children. It is not known whether the difference in the method of disposal of the body would make any difference to the chances of registration. Perhaps not; but it would probably hold good as a general rule everywhere that the death of an infant or a very small child would be principally of interest to the immediate family, while the death of older persons would be known to a wider circle. Adult deaths therefore stand an excellent chance of being registered where the arrangements for registration are reasonably effective, while infant deaths would tend to be missed.

A comparison of the pattern of mortality at the Experimental Census with the pattern in the same areas under normal registration :

The death rate recorded at the Experimental Census in the areas in Bombay State where the Census was held was 13.2 per 1,000. The death rate recorded under normal registration in those areas during the same period was 14.7 per 1,000. The lower death rate at the Experimental Census must be obviously wrong. The registered figure must represent the minimum figure.

What caused the lower death rate in the sample population? Was it due to the fact that a lesser number of deaths had occurred in the 10 per cent. sample households by comparison with the total number of households, or had the deaths occurred in the sample households but been missed by the enumerators?

The pattern of mortality according to age groups in the Experimental Census population approximated very closely to that recorded in the districts concerned under normal registration. The latter figures refer to the entire areas of the districts in which the Experimental Census was held (i.e. Kanara, Kolhapur, Poona, Surat and Sabarkantha) and relate to the period 1st November 1951 to 3rd October 1952. About one-fifth of the total deaths recorded under normal registration were of infants below one year of age and one-fifth were

*At the 1951 Census the percentage was 35.6.

in the age group 1—4. The Experimental Census suggested similar ratios, as the following table shows :

BOMBAY STATE

Age period	Registered under normal registration		Reported in Experimental Census	
	Number of deaths	Percentage of total mortality	Number of deaths	Percentage of total mortality
1	2	3	4	5
Under 1 year	21,880	23.6	242	21.5
1—4	18,765	20.3	399	20.3
5—9	4,188	4.5	86	4.4
10—14	1,872	2.0	31	1.6
15—19	2,188	2.4	59	3.0
20—24	3,221	3.5	73	3.7
25—29	2,894	3.1	55	2.8
30—34	3,073	3.3	56	2.8
35—39	2,746	3.0	50	2.5
40—44	2,806	3.0	50	2.5
45—49	2,896	3.1	51	2.6
50—54	3,291	3.6	79	4.0
55—59	4,096	4.4	66	3.4
60—64	4,538	4.9	101	5.1
65—69	3,632	3.9	90	4.6
70 & above	6,228	6.7	207	10.5
Age unspecified	14	0.7
Total	92,614	...	1,970	...

Whatever the lower death rate recorded at the Experimental Census was due to, it was not due to the fact that deaths among some age groups were omitted to a much greater extent at the Experimental Census than they were under normal registration.

Cause of death :

The cause of death is recorded when a death is registered and these causes are subsequently analysed in the annual report of the Director of Public Health, according to the following eight main causes :

- (1) Cholera
- (2) Small-pox
- (3) Plague
- (4) Fevers
- (5) Dysentery and diarrhoea
- (6) Respiratory diseases
- (7) Injuries
- (8) Other causes

The residuary category "Other causes" accounts every year for more than half the registered deaths. The

result is that no one can be sure of what the most important half dozen causes of deaths in Bombay State are.

Cause of death was one of the questions on the death slip at the Experimental Census. When the cause of death could not be definitely specified at the Experimental Census the enumerator was asked to write the symptoms. It was hoped to have these causes evaluated by a doctor who would have assigned on the basis of the symptoms the probable cause of death. Unfortunately the causes of death returned at the Experimental Census were about as defective as those under normal registration. Among the causes of death specified on the slips were "swelling on head", "run mad", "fever", "illness" and "long illness". The "fever" and "illness" entries were sufficiently numerous to offset the cases where the cause had been specified, accurately or otherwise, as "T. B.", "cancer", "dropsy", "typhoid", "tetanus", "anaemia", "malaria" and "heart failure". It was, therefore, decided not to attempt to classify the deaths according to causes after the Experimental Census.

It is often difficult enough for doctors to say what the cause of death was. For lay police patels it is generally

impossible. The Director of Public Health proposes to publish a brief pamphlet to help registration authorities to identify causes of death. That should be useful. The second stage should be to have home visits from vaccinators or other staff with some medical background. They could record the symptoms for subsequent evaluation by doctors. A sample enquiry of this type might prove useful. The pattern obtained by it could be compared with that obtained under normal registration. However improvement in the level of births and deaths reporting is perhaps more important than evaluation of the causes of death. Extension of medical facilities will be necessary before any real improvement in the reporting of causes of death can be expected.

Maternity Data :

Although it seems doubtful whether all the births that took place during the year in the sample households were reported at the Experimental Census those that were reported probably constitute a fair representative sample of the mothers to whom births take place every year. Every woman who gave birth to a child during the year was asked how many live-born children she had given birth to in her lifetime, (including the present birth), the number of children alive at present and now resident in the household, the number living elsewhere and the number who had died. The questions were brief and uncomplicated. They were not sufficiently detailed to get a complete picture of the maternity pattern, but as many mothers tend to be only approximate about their own present ages, to have attempted to collect elaborate data about their age at marriage, etc. would have been to invite inaccuracy in the returns.

Even in regard to the data that were obtained there is no guarantee of complete accuracy. It seems quite possible that mothers might have failed to recollect or mention cases where live born children had died a few hours or days after birth. It is believed that quite a number of such neo-natal deaths are omitted from normal

registration. If this be so, it is not inconceivable that such cases failed to be recalled to memory at the Experimental Census. Another factor making for possible inaccuracy is that maternity information was probably not always obtained directly from the mother but from the husband or other member of the household.

The Experimental Census did not tell us how many of the married women in the Experimental Census population had borne no children, because enquiries were not made of all married women but only of those who gave birth to children during the year. At the general census of 1951 Madhya Pradesh among other States attempted to gather information on this subject. The results suggested that about 30 per cent. of married women in Madhya Pradesh had borne no children. A similar enquiry in West Bengal before the 1951 census suggested that 26 per cent. of married women in the Plains in West Bengal were issueless. These percentages seem extraordinarily high, but they are probably quite authentic. The results of the Bengal enquiry suggested that only 8 per cent. of women in the plains bore a child within 2 years of marriage. The key to the riddle of why such a high proportion of married women in Madhya Pradesh and West Bengal had no children probably lies primarily in the early age of marriage. The Bengal enquiry suggested that in Darjeeling (where the age of marriage is higher) only 14 per cent. of the married women were without issue.

Though the Experimental Census in Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch yielded no data on the subject of "mathematical sterility" among married women true physiological sterility is something different it did yield very interesting data on the maternity pattern.

Of the births that were reported in the Experimental Census population in Bombay State more were to mothers aged 25—34 than to mothers aged 15—24. The following table shows the percentage of the total births according to the reported age groups of the mothers.

PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL NUMBER OF MOTHERS WHO GAVE BIRTH TO CHILDREN DURING
THE YEAR WHO WERE AGED

State	14 & less	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over
1	2	3	4	5	6
Bombay	0.18	39.25	44.69	14.68	1.20
Saurashtra	0.15	39.53	42.46	17.28	0.58
Kutch	35.91	47.32	15.77	1.00

Number of children per mother :

The women in Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch who became mothers during the year to which the

Experimental Census related reported that they had an average of 3.64 children and that an average of 2.9 children were surviving. The following table brings out the position :

	Bombay, Saurashtra & Kutch	Bombay	Saurashtra	Kutch
1	2	3	4	5
Number of mothers	6,123	5,142	683	298
Number of children born to them	22,325	18,654	2,558	1,113
Number of surviving children	18,178	15,152	2,165	861
Average number of children born per mother	3.64	3.6	3.7	3.7
Children surviving per 1,000 born	817.5	812.3	846.4	773.5
Average number of surviving children per mother	2.97	2.95	3.17	2.89

It is interesting that at the Experimental Census of Births and Deaths in Madhya Pradesh the average number of children reported as born per mother was 3.64 (as in Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch), but only 712.8 children per 1,000 born survived and the average number of surviving children per mother was only 2.59.

In Bombay State at the Experimental Census the 9 mothers less than 14 years of age had had an average of one child each, the 2,018 mothers aged 15—24 had had an average of 2 children each, the 2,298 mothers aged 25—34 had had an average of 4.1 children each, the 755 mothers

aged 35—44 had had an average of 6.2 children each and the 62 mothers aged 45 and over had had an average of 7.7 children each. Table VI shows the pattern of the births and according to the age of the mothers.

The younger the mother the higher the percentage of the children born who had survived. This was natural because the younger mothers had had less children to expose to the risks of living. The percentage of children born who were reported as surviving was highest in Saurashtra and lowest in Kutch as the following table shows :—

PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN WHO HAD DIED TO TOTAL NUMBER OF CHILDREN BORN

Age of mother	Total no. of children born to mothers in column 1	Total no. of children out of 2 who had died	Percentage of column 3 to column 2
1	2	3	4
14 & less—			
Bombay	9	1	11.11
Saurashtra	1
Kutch
15—24—			
Bombay	3,981	605	15.20
Saurashtra	539	66	12.24
Kutch	228	37	16.23
25—34—			
Bombay	9,531	1,828	19.18
Saurashtra	1,223	194	15.86
Kutch	579	129	22.28
35—44—			
Bombay	4,653	962	20.67
Saurashtra	760	126	16.58
Kutch	281	79	28.11
45 & over—			
Bombay	480	106	22.08
Saurashtra	35	7	20.00
Kutch	25	7	28.00
All Ages—			
Bombay	18,654	3,562	19.77
Saurashtra	2,558	393	15.36
Kutch	1,113	252	22.64

Chances of a child being male or female :

The sex ratio in India is very heavily masculine. In the past it used to be thought that this was because a higher proportion of the births in India were male births by comparison with other countries where the sex ratio was more evenly balanced. In fact more boys are born than girls in every country for which there are records. In North America and most of Europe the ratio for many years has been about 105·5 boys to 100 girls. It may vary slightly but always with an excess of boys. At the Experimental Census there were 104 boys to every 100 girls born. In Greater Bombay (where births registration is believed to be almost complete) the ratio was 106 to every 100 girls in 1951.

The male baby is much more susceptible to defect or death on the road to birth. Among still-born babies

the average in North America and Europe has been about 125 boys to 100 girls. At the Experimental Census in Bombay, Saurashtra and Kutch there were 129 still-born boys to 100 girls. Males not females are biologically the weaker sex.

Observations elsewhere have suggested the interesting fact that where pre-natal conditions are most favourable, the chances of a boy's achieving birth are better. Young healthy mothers on the average produce a considerably higher ratio of boys than do older mothers. An enquiry conducted by the Superintendent of Census Operations, West Bengal, before the 1951 Census also suggested that proportionately more male children are born to younger mothers than older ones. Out of interest the slips for Surat district were sorted and this pattern was also observed there as the following table shows :

STATEMENT SHOWING THE NUMBER OF MALE AND FEMALE CHILDREN BORN TO MOTHERS
IN DIFFERENT AGE GROUPS IN THE SAMPLE HOUSEHOLDS OF SURAT DISTRICT
AND THE NUMBER OF MALE CHILDREN PER 100 FEMALE CHILDREN

Age of the mother	Number of children born		Number of male children per 100 female children
	Males	Females	
1	2	3	4
TOTAL			
Upto 19	83	65	128
20—29	524	508	103
30—39	317	301	105
40 & over	40	52	77
RURAL			
Upto 19	73	56	130
20—29	464	436	106
30—39	281	261	108
40 & over	33	46	72
URBAN			
Upto 19	10	9	111
20—29	60	72	83
30—39	36	40	90
40 & over	7	6	117

The Survival Rate :

The most important demographic fact is the rate at which population is growing. Normally births exceed deaths and the birth rate minus the death rate gives us the survival rate. If the true annual birth rate were 40 per 1,000 and the true death rate 25 per 1,000 then the survival rate would be 15 per 1,000 and the population in that year would increase by 1·5 per cent.

During the past decade the population of Bombay

State increased by 22·3 per cent. The Census actuary, Shri Jain, calculated that the birth rate during the past decade was about 41·0 or 41·8 per thousand, and the death rate about 24·9 per thousand. He made his estimates by two methods—the method of "Differencing" and the "Reverse Survival" method.

The method of "differencing" proceeds from the fact that growth of population is caused by excess of births over deaths. In general deaths are believed to

be more completely recorded than births. If, therefore, the recorded annual average death rate in an area was 25 per 1,000 and the population of the area increased during the decade by 15 per cent., then the birth rate must have been 40 per 1,000. This is a simplification of the formula because migration is the third factor that, with births and deaths, affects population growth.

The "reverse survival" method takes the number of children recorded at the census between the ages of 0—9 and using values obtained from the life tables, works out the annual number of births—and hence the birth rate—that would have been necessary to give rise to the recorded number of children of a particular age.

The birth rate recorded among the sample population at the Experimental Census in Bombay State was only slightly higher than the registered birth rate of the general population of these areas, while the death rate recorded among the sample population was even lower than the registered death rate, a result which is clear evidence of error, since the registered death rate would represent the true death rate only if no deaths whatsoever were omitted from registration.

Births and deaths under normal registration conditions are reported and recorded shortly after they occur. If, therefore, births and deaths under normal registration conditions were fairly evenly distributed among the months that comprise the year, while at the experimental census an unusual number of births were reported during the six months preceding the census there would be good grounds for inferring that the further back in time one went the greater the chance of a birth or death not being reported at the Experimental Census and that this factor had affected the result of the Experimental Census.

To test this possibility the births and deaths recorded at the Experimental Census were plotted according to months and the pattern was compared with that obtained under normal registration. The October births and deaths at the Experimental Census related to the period from 18th to 31st October 1951 and 1st to 18th October 1952 (Diwali to Diwali), while the October births and deaths under normal registration related to the month of October 1952. The other months were common. The following table shows the position for Bombay State:

BOMBAY STATE

BIRTHS

Recorded month of occurrence	Number of births recorded		Percentage of recorded annual births that took place in the month	
	Under ordinary registration	At the Experimental Census	Ordinary registration	Experimental Census
1	2	3	4	5
November	4,834	337	9.29	6.49
December	4,322	322	8.31	6.21
January	4,080	368	7.84	7.09
February	3,743	376	7.19	7.25
March	4,407	450	8.47	8.67
April	4,049	389	7.78	7.50
May	4,098	459	7.88	8.85
June	4,158	452	7.99	8.71
July	4,317	433	8.30	8.34
August	4,683	487	9.00	9.39
September	4,425	478	8.50	9.21
October	4,917	448	9.45	8.63
Month not stated	...	190	...	3.66
Total	52,033	5,189

BOMBAY STATE

DEATHS

Recorded month of occurrence	Number of deaths recorded		Percentage of recorded annual deaths that took place in the month	
	Under ordinary registration	At the Experimental Census	Ordinary registration	Experimental Census
	1	2	3	4
November	1,744	94	7.74	4.77
December	1,845	140	8.19	7.11
January	1,757	113	7.80	5.74
February	1,574	121	6.93	6.14
March	2,074	146	9.22	7.41
April	1,889	156	8.39	7.92
May	1,754	177	7.79	9.98
June	1,794	159	7.97	8.07
July	1,846	175	8.20	8.88
August	2,134	216	9.48	10.96
September	2,036	230	9.04	11.68
October	2,069	205	9.19	10.41
Month not stated	...	38	...	1.93
Total	22,518	1,970

The information contained in the table is expressed below in another way. It shows what percentage of the annual births and deaths recorded under normal registration and at the Experimental Census related to the 3 months of November and December 1951 and January

1952, the 4 months of February, March, April and May 1952 and the 4 months of June, July, August and September 1952. October has been shown separately. In a small percentage of cases the month of birth and death at the Experimental Census was not stated:

BOMBAY STATE

Period	Births			Deaths		
	Percentage of total annual births that related to period shown in column 1			Percentage of total annual deaths that related to period shown in column 1		
	Ordinary registration	Experimental Census	Difference	Ordinary registration	Experimental Census	Difference
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3 months November, December and January	25.44	19.79	-5.65	23.74	17.62	-6.12
4 months February, March	31.32	32.27	+0.95	32.39	30.45	-1.94
4 months June	33.79	35.65	+1.86	34.68	39.59	+4.91
1 month of October	9.45	8.63	...	9.19	10.41	...
Month not stated	...	3.66	1.93	...

There is thus some evidence that the births and deaths that occurred further back in time were not remembered at the Experimental Census as well as those that were of recent occurrence. This may have been one of the factors causing birth and death rates to be recorded at the Experimental Census which were lower than the true rates. The figures for Saurashtra and Kutch have not been shown but they exhibited a similar trend.

It has also been suggested that one reason why the death rate was lower at the Experimental Census than that recorded under normal registration is that consciously or otherwise people suppressed the fact of a death having occurred*.

Additional proof that the Experimental Census missed out deaths is provided by the infant mortality figures. The infant mortality rate (deaths per 1,000 live births) was only 81·7 at the Experimental Census in Bombay State, while under normal registration conditions the recorded rate in the State was 114·6 and even this latter figure probably understates the true rate,

though the registered infant mortality rate has fallen fairly steeply in recent years.

In attempting to work out the survival rate or rate of natural increase of the population in the areas where the Experimental Census was held we are guided by the past history of their growth and following sets of figure:

- (1) The birth and death rates recorded under normal Registration in the Experimental Census areas
- (2) The birth and death rates recorded at the Experimental Census and
- (3) The estimated true birth and death rates in the Experimental Census areas arrived at by using the reported extent of omissions to correct the figures recorded under normal registration.

In the case of Bombay State we have also the birth and death rates for the State as a whole for the period from 1st September 1951 to 31st August 1952, roughly equivalent to the period of the Experimental Census.

Summary :

The following summarises the position for Bombay State :

Source of data	Birth rate	Death rate	Natural increase (BR—DR)
1	2	3	4
1. Normal Registration for entire area of Bombay State (1st September 1951 to 31st August 1952)	33·6	16·4	17·2
2. Normal Registration in Experimental Census areas of Bombay State (1st November 1951 to 31st October 1952)	34·3	14·7	19·6
3. Experimental Census figures (18th October 1951 to 18th October 1952)	34·8	13·2	21·6
4. Estimated true rates using Experimental Census data and correcting according to extent of reported omissions†	41·6	17·4	24·2
5. Census actuary's estimate of rates during 1941—50	41·8	24·9	16·9

All these figures suggest a very high rate of natural increase and the fact that since 1921 Bombay State has recorded sizable and accelerating increases in population at successive censuses suggests that the survival rate must be improving. Even allowing for migration the survival rate in Bombay State must clearly be higher than in those States like Madhya Pradesh where the decennial increases in population recorded at the census are more moderate.

The registration of births and deaths, though not complete, is fairly adequate in Bombay State. Recent figures of registered births and deaths in Bombay State suggest that the number of registered deaths every

year is about half the number of registered births. This new ratio has been established in recent years. It is inconceivable that this ratio is the result of a sudden deterioration in the recording of deaths by comparison with births because most of the evidence suggests that deaths are recorded at least as well as births and generally better†. The birth rate may have declined slightly in recent decades, but the death rate has declined even faster. If the number of births is twice the number of deaths then it does not make a great deal of difference whether the birth rate was 40 and the death rate 20, or the birth rate 34 and the death rate 17. In either event continued large increases in population may be expected. The

*At all enquiries much of the response depends on the enumerator's skill or lack of it. If an enumerator asked bluntly "Did anyone die in this house during the past year?" It is quite possible that the enquiry might have been countered and terminated by a blunt negative.

†In working out these rates it has been assumed that half of the "not known" births and deaths were registered and half were not registered.

‡In Kutch, for instance, the registered birth rate was only 19 but the registered death rate was 15. When registration of births and deaths is absolutely bad it seems that it is the birth rate that suffers most.

number of births and deaths registered in Bombay State since 1947 has been shown below. The increase from 1949 onwards by comparison with 1947 and 1948 is due to the merger of the former Indian States :

BOMBAY STATE

Year	Births	Deaths
1	2	3
1947	747,064	568,044
1948	764,421	494,581
1949	1,025,611	643,890
1950	1,102,413	615,687
1951	1,231,729	576,643
1952	1,227,772	618,681

Saurashtra and Kutch :

In the case of Saurashtra and Kutch no figures of registered birth and death rates for the entire State were available, nor did the Census Actuary attempt after the 1951 Census to work out separate birth and death rates for these two States. He assumed their pattern followed Bombay State. We

have thus only 3 sets of figures to work with:

- (1) The birth and death rates registered under normal registration conditions in the areas where the Experimental Census was held,
- (2) The birth and death rates recorded at the Experimental Census and
- (3) The estimated true rates.

The following table shows the position :

Area	Normal registration			Experimental Census			Estimated true Rates*		
	Birth rate	Death rate	Survival rate	Birth rate	Death rate	Survival rate	Birth rate	Death rate	Survival rate
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Saurashtra—									
Total	24.7	16.1	8.6	34.6	14.3	20.3	47.6	32.6	15.0
Rural	27.2	18.2	9.0	38.0	14.7	23.3	45.9	30.1	15.8
Urban	21.8	13.7	8.1	30.9	13.4	17.0	52.1	37.6	14.5
Kutch—									
Total	18.9	15.1	3.8	35.2	19.4	15.8	30.3	22.7	7.6
Rural	17.6	15.0	2.6	37.7	23.3	14.4	28.2	24.8	3.4
Urban	20.6	15.2	5.4	31.7	13.7	18.0	33.0	18.7	14.3

There is such a wide range of difference between the three sets of figures that it would be rash to draw conclusions from them. It makes a great deal of difference whether the survival rate in Kutch is 15.8 (Experimental Census) or 7.6 (Estimated true rate). The writer believes the Experimental Census result in Kutch is probably closer to the truth. Saurashtra, probably, has a survival rate better than Kutch but less than Bombay, that one cannot be sure.

Conclusions :

What has the Experimental Census proved? Negatively it has proved that the method of a 1/10th sample census by village officers and teachers did not reveal the true birth and death rates. It may be that the enumerators were not up to it ; it may

be that the persons enumerated did not respond as well as they ought to have ; it may be that in practice there was a bigger sampling error than mathematical theory would forecast as probable. This is a disappointment but not a tragedy. The Census was called an Experimental Census advisedly and few experiments are completely successful at the first attempt.

Positively, the Census has given some clue to the extent of omissions of births and deaths from registration. It is clear that in Saurashtra and Kutch registration is most unsatisfactory. It will be an achievement even if it is brought up to the Bombay level there and certainly the Experimental Census results constitute a challenge which the administrations of Saurashtra and Kutch will doubtless accept. The fact that some areas have very poor registration arrangements means

*In working out these rates it has been assumed that half of the "not known" births and deaths were registered and half were not registered.

that the figures of registered births and deaths published in international year books are highly misleading*, a position which it is essential to correct as soon as possible.

The existing level of registration of births and deaths is fairly adequate in Bombay State, but very poor in Saurashtra and Kutch. So far as Bombay State is concerned it is doubtful whether any radical changes in the existing system are necessary or desirable. As to whether the registration of births and deaths should be made legally compulsory on householders a legal compulsion without adequate administrative registration arrangements would be useless. The writer is not in favour of imposing such a legal obligation at this stage in Saurashtra and Kutch. If, however, registration were to be made compulsory in Bombay State nothing would be lost, provided precautions were taken to see that the registration agency did not relax because the obligation had been transferred from its shoulders to the public. In Saurashtra and Kutch a strenuous process of public education should precede introduction of compulsory registration. The assembly of information about the annual number of registered births and deaths must be undertaken there now. Both Saurashtra and Kutch have adopted the Bombay system of registration, but there is no collation of the data by the Public Health Department there. Until this is done I suggest collectors in Saurashtra be made responsible for work-

ing out figures of registered births and deaths for their districts. The appropriate Department of Government at Rajkot can direct this work. The advice of Shri Trivedi, Collector of Sorath, might be availed of by the Government of Saurashtra. Shri Trivedi has experience of Census work and also of the recent Experimental Census of births and deaths.

Some Collectors have suggested that in areas where there are village panchayats they might be made responsible for the registration of births and deaths in their areas. There would be no objection to this being done on an Experimental basis in those areas where Collectors are satisfied that panchayats will give the matter due attention.

The primary responsibility for the improvement of vital statistics must be on the Public Health Department. The Director of Public Health is the officer best equipped to examine births and deaths returns and to say where registration arrangements seem to be functioning badly and need improvement.

If registration is to be improved the Director of Public Health must arrange for returns of births and deaths to be scrutinised intelligently and Collectors and Districts Health Officers asked to improve registration in those areas where the level of births and deaths registration is clearly low. The registered birth and death rates for 1951 and 1952 for Bombay districts have been assembled and are shown below:

BOMBAY STATE

District	Birth rate		Death rate	
	1951	1952	1951	1952
1	2	3	4	5
Greater Bombay—	27.92	27.84	13.62	13.53
Bombay Gujarat Division—				
Banaskantha	28.48	25.46	14.87	15.58
Sabarkantha	23.88	23.92	8.49	12.04
Mehsana	31.53	31.18	14.08	19.01
Ahmedabad	36.32	34.23	16.63	20.88
Kaira	33.74	32.62	15.92	20.53
Panch Mahals	36.48	27.90	10.91	15.81
Baroda	32.15	30.74	12.40	14.69
Broach	44.06	39.89	18.25	20.64
Surat	36.82	36.71	15.56	16.49
Amreli	39.44	33.50	15.41	16.08
Bombay Deccan Northern Division—				
West Khandesh	42.93	41.41	20.40	18.47
East Khandesh	42.28	45.72	22.80	19.03
Dangs	40.60	36.40	17.30	15.85
Nasik	34.97	34.72	17.49	15.52
Ahmednagar	33.06	33.13	15.52	14.01
Poona	30.52	29.16	14.63	13.77
Satara North	36.73	37.14	18.30	19.74
Satara South	31.48	31.10	15.17	15.55
Kolhapur	37.71	36.06	16.26	16.66
Sholapur	37.25	39.20	18.73	18.33
Bombay Deccan Southern Division —				
Belgaum	37.95	37.57	18.58	18.96
Bijapur	39.39	37.79	18.07	19.96
Dharwar	38.11	38.93	18.46	18.95
Bombay Konkan Division—				
Thana	18.89	20.92	8.46	9.09
Kolaba	30.41	30.52	17.02	17.12
Ratnagiri	30.55	27.59	17.20	18.05
Kanara	36.16	36.52	13.77	13.86
BOMBAY STATE—	34.03	33.28	15.91	16.77

*A writer in the Economist, April 11, 1953 page 82 stated "In 1947 the crude birth rate of the United States was equal to that of India". He had failed to take into account the gross inaccuracy of the registered births and deaths figures in India.

It seems clear that the level of registration of births and deaths in Thana district is absolutely bad. In the districts of Banaskantha, Sabarkantha, Kolaba, Ratnagiri and Satara South there is clearly great room for improvement.

I would suggest that as soon as possible after 31st December each year the Director of Public Health should inform the Chief Secretary to the Government of the birth and death rates registered in each district, during the year, the mean birth and death rates registered during the previous five years, and also convey his views on whether registration in particular districts is improving or deteriorating.

It is also necessary that he informs Collectors and District Health Officers similarly so that they can concentrate on improving registration in those areas where the arrangements seem to be slackening. Full registration of births and deaths is not something that can be secured overnight, but if there is greater consciousness of the importance of the subject in the mind of administrators as well as the public a most important advance will have been made.

Experimental Censuses of births and deaths might be undertaken in future, though it is doubtful whether it is desirable to make them an annual feature. Meanwhile the Director of Public Health has worked out the following scheme which has great potentialities. The matter has been extracted from Dr. Vishwanathan's letter D. O. No. 44-2-11, 533-B, dated 6th April, 1953 :

"Para 4."—In this connection, I furnish below an outline of the scheme, which I propose to implement during the current financial year.

We have about 600 vaccinators in Bombay State roughly at the rate of 20 per district. Each vaccinator has a population of 40,000 to 60,000 living in 40-60 villages. In order to improve the vaccination programme, I have instructed that primary vaccination, i.e. of infants normally below 12 months age, should be carried out between the months of April to December. For this purpose, each vaccinator will visit, every week, a village with more than 1,000 population and another village with about 500 population. Thus he will visit all the villages in his beat at least once between April and December. In the case of large villages with 2,000 and more population he may have to visit them either in consecutive weeks or at intervals of 4-5 months. I have also outlined a programme of revaccination of adult persons to be carried out between the months of January and March. This revaccination programme is spread over a period of 5 years. Hence each year 20 per cent. of the population will be revaccinated. Instead, however,

of taking up 20 per cent. of the population of each village for revaccination, I have instructed that 20 per cent. of the villages should be selected each year and the entire population of those villages revaccinated during the year itself. Thus, from January to March, each Vaccinator would visit about 8 to 12 villages, or about 3-4 villages each month or one village per week. When he visits the village, he is required to stay there for 3-4 days consecutively. He is expected to visit every household and during such visit I propose to have a check made of vital statistics. He will be given a register in which he will record Census House Number, Name of the head of the family, names of other members of the family with age, sex, their vaccinal condition, and in addition he will also record whether there were any births or deaths between the last Dewali and the Dewali of the preceding year as these are perhaps most significant dates for the householder to remember than the calendar year. With regard to each birth, he would only record the sex of the child and the age of the mother. With regard to each death besides recording the name, sex and the age, he is also required to write on a slip of paper the salient symptoms of the disease which ended fatally. For this purpose, with respect to 50 main causes of death listed by the World Health Organisation, I intend to issue a small handbook listing the symptoms of each disease. On completion of the visit of the village, the vaccinator should send his detailed report to the District Health Officer who will arrive at a reasonably correct cause of the death from the symptoms recorded by the vaccinator. The data collected from 20 per cent. of the villages in this manner will be used as a yard stick to assess the record of vital statistics from the district as a whole. This process that I have now planned will not in any manner interfere with the existing system of recording the vital statistics, its compilation and consolidation. The District Health Officer and the Sanitary Inspectors will be specially required, during the period of 3 months between January and March when this intensive checking will take place, to visit as many villages as possible at all events at least one or two villages in each vaccinator's charge to see that the duties assigned to them are properly carried out."

If this scheme is implemented, about 1/5th of the rural area of each district will be covered every year. The number of houses and households is obtainable from the District Census Handbooks. The house to house visits will provide data for population forecasts by comparing the 1951 Census population and the population at the time of visit. It might be better to collect information about births and deaths that took place in the previous calendar year rather than from Diwali to Diwali. If successful this Scheme will provide a continuous record of population growth.

TABLES

									POPULATION		
State & District									Persons		
1									2	3	4
BOMBAY	Total	111,434	7,144,091	35,956,150
								Rural		4,863,769	24,785,810
								Urban		2,280,322	11,170,340
Greater Bombay	T	111	568,862	2,839,270
								R	
								U		568,862	2,839,270
Banaskantha	T	4,395	148,677	748,796
								R		136,288	691,954
								U		12,389	56,842
Sabarkantha	T	2,845	140,310	684,017
								R		129,075	635,005
								U		11,235	49,012
Mehsana	T	4,312	312,551	1,471,662
								R		238,237	1,148,431
								U		74,314	323,231
Ahmedabad	T	3,529	368,411	1,685,630
								R		147,212	689,445
								U		221,199	996,185
Kaira	T	2,542	346,204	1,612,426
								R		246,294	1,156,891
								U		99,910	455,535
Panch Mahals	T	3,500	219,974	1,148,432
								R		189,882	1,011,285
								U		30,092	137,147
Baroda	T	2,969	240,843	1,194,746
								R		177,236	897,528
								U		63,607	297,218
Broach	T	2,911	138,195	706,035
								R		110,992	577,030
								U		27,203	129,005
Surat	T	4,499	354,346	1,827,842
								R		279,434	1,439,191
								U		74,912	388,651
Amerli	T	1,634	61,036	317,203
								R		42,819	230,767
								U		18,217	86,436
West Khandesh	T	5,391	202,490	1,146,024
								R		164,963	950,553
								U		37,527	195,471
East Khandesh	T	4,535	304,935	1,471,351
								R		209,392	1,003,918
								U		95,543	467,433
Dangs	T	658	9,784	47,282
								R		9,784	47,282
								U	
Nasik	T	6,035	268,818	1,429,916
								R		196,562	1,059,966
								U		72,256	369,950
Ahmednagar	T	6,602	267,929	1,410,873
								R		227,477	1,196,041
								U		40,452	214,832
Poona	T	6,028	383,691	1,950,976
								R		216,250	1,116,253
								U		167,441	834,722

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
18,614,862	17,341,288	36,886,616	33.3	16.8
12,469,744	12,316,066	25,316,164	34.3	17.5
6,145,118	5,025,222	11,570,452	31.0	15.3
1,779,259	1,060,011	2,982,196	27.9	13.5
1,779,259	1,060,011	2,982,196	27.9	13.5
384,082	364,714	766,294	25.5	15.6
354,532	337,422	707,989	26.1	15.5
29,550	27,292	58,305	18.1	16.8
346,774	337,243	699,091	23.9	12.0
321,247	313,758	647,642	23.6	11.8
25,527	23,485	51,449	28.5	15.2
738,528	733,134	1,512,068	31.2	19.0
575,955	572,476	1,182,744	29.9	18.1
162,573	160,658	329,324	35.9	22.2
911,869	773,761	1,743,097	34.2	20.9
355,005	334,440	708,863	27.3	17.8
556,864	439,321	1,034,234	38.9	23.0
843,250	769,176	1,663,909	32.6	20.5
604,360	552,531	1,198,244	31.6	20.1
238,890	216,645	465,665	35.2	21.6
593,521	554,911	1,174,883	27.9	15.8
521,930	489,355	1,032,649	27.5	16.4
71,591	65,556	142,234	30.5	11.9
624,359	570,387	1,228,387	30.7	14.7
466,135	431,393	920,743	27.3	13.7
158,224	138,994	307,644	40.8	17.5
363,145	342,890	713,728	39.9	20.6
296,360	280,670	583,259	39.1	21.1
66,785	62,220	130,469	43.5	18.8
918,277	909,565	1,889,462	36.7	16.5
717,943	721,248	1,477,462	36.0	15.8
200,334	188,317	412,000	39.2	19.0
160,974	156,229	348,019	33.5	16.1
117,416	113,351	259,765	32.7	16.3
43,558	42,878	88,254	35.9	15.4
581,140	564,884	1,177,200	41.3	18.5
480,516	470,037	975,504	43.4	19.4
100,624	94,847	201,696	31.4	14.1
746,452	724,899	1,490,501	45.7	19.0
505,946	497,972	1,012,036	50.6	21.1
240,506	226,927	478,465	35.5	14.7
25,196	22,086	48,185	36.4	15.9
25,196	22,086	48,185	36.4	15.9
...
730,927	698,989	1,455,997	34.7	15.5
536,935	523,031	1,085,380	37.7	16.6
193,992	175,958	370,617	26.0	12.2
715,763	695,110	1,437,211	33.1	14.0
603,918	592,123	1,224,004	33.4	14.4
111,845	102,987	213,207	31.4	11.6
1,006,201	944,775	1,993,806	29.1	13.8
559,782	556,471	1,132,816	30.8	14.7
446,419	388,304	860,990	26.9	12.5

I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered

		POPU.		
State & District		Area (in square miles)	Number of households	Persons
1		2	3	4
Satara North	T R U	4,034	233,752 201,742 32,010	1,175,309 1,014,970 160,339
Satara South	T R U	3,434	188,415 133,686 54,729	1,000,141 713,211 286,930
Kolhapur	T R U	2,756	234,761 180,304 54,457	1,227,547 950,090 277,457
Sholapur	T R U	5,682	301,194 200,541 100,653	1,505,316 1,035,098 470,218
Belgaum	T R U	5,524	330,124 250,098 80,026	1,726,908 1,309,239 417,669
Bijapur	T R U	6,601	271,880 210,607 61,273	1,396,185 1,074,731 321,454
Dharwar	T R U	5,305	301,576 208,922 92,654	1,575,386 1,082,582 492,804
Thana	T R U	3,895	303,250 190,570 112,680	1,518,050 993,216 524,834
Kolaba	T R U	2,715	189,468 170,097 19,371	909,083 813,055 96,028
Ratnagiri	T R U	5,021	348,393 315,760 32,633	1,711,964 1,553,858 158,106
Kanara	T R U	3,971	104,222 79,545 24,677	517,780 394,220 123,560
SAURASHTRA	Total Rural Urban	21,451	793,364 511,548 281,816	4,137,359 2,744,198 1,393,161
Halar	T R U	3,890	108,241 69,469 38,772	574,472 385,324 189,148
Madhya Saurashtra	T R U	4,660	197,118 116,471 80,647	1,045,675 636,453 409,222
Zalawad	T R U	4,425	98,781 67,667 31,114	495,928 345,251 150,677
Gohilwad	T R U	4,675	199,093 134,953 64,140	1,020,130 704,681 315,449
Sorath	T R U	3,801	190,131 122,988 67,143	1,001,154 672,489 328,665
KUTCH	Total Rural Urban	16,724	128,972 104,194 24,778	567,606 453,832 113,774

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951—(concl.)

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
572,967	602,342	1,197,115	37.1	19.7
491,360	523,610	1,032,750	37.8	20.5
81,607	78,732	164,365	32.9	15.2
508,240	491,901	1,025,921	31.1	15.5
361,151	352,060	731,936	33.4	16.3
147,089	139,841	293,985	25.3	13.8
623,421	604,126	1,257,348	36.1	16.7
479,416	470,674	970,949	36.8	17.4
144,005	133,452	286,399	33.6	14.2
773,809	731,507	1,541,364	39.2	18.3
530,470	504,628	1,056,933	38.8	18.0
243,339	226,879	484,431	40.0	19.0
882,278	844,630	1,756,221	37.6	19.0
667,319	641,920	1,331,457	39.4	20.2
214,959	202,710	424,764	31.8	15.2
705,526	690,659	1,425,510	37.8	20.0
543,072	531,659	1,095,301	39.2	20.7
162,454	159,000	330,209	33.1	17.3
804,476	770,910	1,605,536	38.9	18.9
551,297	531,285	1,098,968	43.5	20.7
253,179	239,625	506,568	29.1	15.1
796,007	722,043	1,580,515	20.9	9.1
509,125	484,091	1,015,284	24.8	11.3
286,882	237,952	565,231	14.0	5.0
445,580	463,503	921,797	30.5	17.1
396,206	416,849	823,518	31.1	17.7
49,374	46,654	98,279	25.6	11.9
769,635	942,329	1,723,261	27.6	18.0
694,113	859,745	1,559,351	27.7	18.7
75,522	82,584	163,910	26.6	11.6
263,206	254,574	527,994	36.5	13.9
203,039	191,181	402,432	38.8	14.3
60,167	63,393	125,562	29.2	12.6
2,094,442	2,042,917			
1,397,621	1,346,577			
696,821	696,340			
288,766	285,706	E	E	E
193,975	191,349	L	L	L
94,791	94,357	B	B	B
526,391	519,284	A	A	A
322,942	313,511	L	L	L
203,449	205,773	I	I	I
259,186	242,742	A	A	A
178,088	167,163	V	V	V
75,098	75,579	A	A	A
520,734	499,396	I	I	I
360,549	344,132	A	A	A
160,185	155,264	V	V	V
505,365	495,789	A	A	A
342,067	330,422			
163,298	163,367			
272,977	294,629	T	T	T
217,687	236,165	O	O	O
55,290	58,464	N	N	N

NOTE: Registration data (columns 7, 8 & 9) relates to the year, 1952.

I-B—Registered Deaths in Bombay State by Age and Sex, 1952

Registered Deaths

Age Group										Persons	Males	Females
										2	3	4
										TOTAL		
All Ages	618,681	319,481	299,200
Under 1 year	142,843	76,736	66,107
1—4	145,199	71,604	73,595
5—9	22,644	11,107	11,537
10—14	13,502	6,747	6,755
15—19	14,587	6,537	8,060
20—29	39,664	17,676	21,988
30—39	38,627	19,705	18,922
40—49	38,364	22,279	16,085
50—59	49,550	28,720	20,830
60 & over	113,701	58,370	55,331
										RURAL		
All Ages	441,970	227,642	214,328
Under 1 year	99,094	53,604	45,490
1—4	106,286	52,779	53,507
5—9	16,860	8,319	8,541
10—14	9,872	4,964	4,908
15—19	10,126	4,599	5,527
20—29	27,146	12,012	15,134
30—39	27,199	13,683	13,516
40—49	27,007	15,304	11,703
50—59	36,147	20,355	15,792
60 & over	82,233	42,023	40,210
										URBAN		
All Ages	176,711	91,839	84,872
Under 1 year	43,749	23,132	20,617
1—4	38,913	18,825	20,088
5—9	5,784	2,788	2,996
10—14	3,630	1,783	1,847
15—19	4,461	1,938	2,523
20—29	12,518	5,664	6,854
30—39	11,428	6,022	5,406
40—49	11,357	6,975	4,382
50—59	13,403	8,363	5,038
60 & over	31,468	16,347	15,121

I-B—Registered Deaths in Kutch State by Age and Sex, 1952

										Registered Deaths			
Age Group										Persons	Males	Females	
1										2	3	4	
										TOTAL			
All Ages	2,095	1,152	943	
Under 1 year	214	119	95	
1—4	263	145	118	
5—9	213	119	94	
10—14	151	79	72	
15—19	187	98	89	
20—29	232	119	113	
30—39	239	137	102	
40—49	160	96	64	
50—59	205	113	92	
60 & over	231	127	104	
										RURAL			
All Ages	
Under 1 year	
1—4	
5—9	
10—14	
15—19	
20—29	
30—39	
40—49	
50—59	
60 & over	
										URBAN			
All Ages	2,095	1,152	943	
Under 1 year	214	119	95	
1—4	263	145	118	
5—9	213	119	94	
10—14	151	79	72	
15—19	187	98	89	
20—29	232	119	113	
30—39	239	137	102	
40—49	160	96	64	
50—59	205	113	92	
60 & over	231	127	104	

II—Distribution of Population in Bombay

		Persons				Males
Age Group		Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
1		2	3	4	5	6
						TOTAL
All Ages	. . .	3,560,195	1,592,631	1,664,181	303,383	1,844,542
Under 1 year	. . .	115,726	...	115,726	...	58,443
1—4	. . .	374,566	...	374,566	...	190,844
5—14	. . .	908,117	57,436	849,526	1,155	468,874
15—24	. . .	642,646	376,926	256,181	9,539	329,717
25—34	. . .	571,960	497,741	45,321	28,898	298,816
35—44	. . .	415,823	349,560	11,761	54,502	223,673
45—54	. . .	277,237	194,899	5,472	76,866	148,542
55—64	. . .	165,169	84,907	3,638	76,624	84,177
65—74	. . .	64,811	24,347	1,227	39,237	30,354
75 & over	. . .	23,531	6,624	416	16,491	10,809
Age not stated	. . .	609	191	347	71	293
						RURAL
All Ages	. . .	2,472,229	1,109,949	1,143,622	218,658	1,246,090
Under 1 year	. . .	81,598	...	81,598	...	41,352
1—4	. . .	269,814	...	269,814	...	137,367
5—14	. . .	661,389	48,702	611,723	964	341,136
15—24	. . .	416,448	261,885	147,609	6,954	203,768
25—34	. . .	377,475	336,317	20,709	20,449	184,364
35—44	. . .	283,370	239,408	5,733	38,229	145,139
45—54	. . .	194,941	137,812	3,188	53,941	101,216
55—64	. . .	120,930	62,585	2,189	56,156	61,158
65—74	. . .	48,403	18,073	603	29,727	22,313
75 & over	. . .	17,434	5,040	212	12,182	8,092
Age not stated	. . .	427	127	244	56	185
						URBAN
All Ages	. . .	1,087,966	482,682	520,559	84,725	598,452
Under 1 year	. . .	34,128	...	34,128	...	17,091
1—4	. . .	104,752	...	104,752	...	53,477
5—14	. . .	246,728	8,734	237,803	191	127,738
15—24	. . .	226,198	115,041	108,572	2,585	125,949
25—34	. . .	194,485	161,424	24,612	8,449	114,452
35—44	. . .	132,453	110,152	6,028	16,273	78,534
45—54	. . .	82,296	57,087	2,284	22,925	47,326
55—64	. . .	44,239	22,322	1,449	20,468	23,019
65—74	. . .	16,408	6,274	624	9,510	8,041
75 & over	. . .	6,097	1,584	204	4,309	2,717
Age not stated	. . .	182	64	103	15	108

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females						
Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
799,791	967,592	77,159	1,715,653	792,840	696,589	226,224
...	58,443	...	57,283	...	57,283	...
...	190,844	...	183,722	...	183,722	...
9,484	459,126	264	439,243	47,952	390,400	891
121,764	205,525	2,428	312,929	255,162	50,656	7,111
253,222	37,105	8,489	273,144	244,519	8,216	20,409
201,591	8,787	13,295	192,150	147,969	2,974	41,207
125,914	4,190	18,438	128,695	68,985	1,282	58,428
62,718	2,440	19,019	80,992	22,189	1,198	57,605
19,437	712	10,205	34,457	4,910	515	29,032
5,574	242	4,993	12,722	1,050	174	11,498
87	178	28	316	104	169	43
532,986	658,533	54,571	1,226,139	576,963	485,089	164,087
...	41,352	...	40,246	...	40,246	...
...	137,367	...	132,447	...	132,447	...
8,183	332,734	219	320,253	40,519	278,989	745
82,255	119,825	1,688	212,680	179,630	27,784	5,266
160,869	17,919	5,576	193,111	175,448	2,790	14,873
131,506	4,592	9,041	138,231	107,902	1,141	29,188
85,954	2,392	12,870	93,725	51,858	796	41,071
45,626	1,651	13,881	59,772	16,959	538	42,275
14,293	449	7,571	26,090	3,780	154	22,156
4,241	147	3,704	9,342	799	65	8,478
59	105	21	242	68	139	35
266,803	309,059	22,588	489,514	215,877	211,500	62,137
...	17,091	...	17,037	...	17,037	...
...	53,477	...	51,275	...	51,275	...
1,301	126,392	45	118,990	7,433	111,411	146
39,509	85,700	740	100,249	75,532	22,872	1,845
92,353	19,186	2,913	80,033	69,071	5,426	5,536
70,085	4,195	4,254	53,919	40,067	1,833	12,019
39,960	1,798	5,568	34,970	17,127	486	17,357
17,092	789	5,138	21,220	5,230	660	15,330
5,144	263	2,634	8,367	1,130	361	6,876
1,333	95	1,289	3,380	251	109	3,020
28	73	7	74	36	30	8

II—Distribution of Population in Saurashtra

Age Group	Persons				Males
	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
	1	2	3	4	5
					6
					TOTAL
All Ages	408,223	176,612	199,495	32,116	207,207
Under 1 year	13,384	...	13,384	...	6,608
1—4	44,052	...	44,052	...	22,245
5—14	112,453	7,602	104,719	132	58,513
15—24	79,780	47,156	31,620	1,004	40,526
25—34	59,435	53,279	3,398	2,758	30,123
35—44	43,265	36,561	915	5,789	22,349
45—54	27,196	19,167	443	7,586	13,594
55—64	18,616	9,526	326	8,764	8,788
65—74	6,697	2,496	88	4,113	3,147
75 & over	2,744	697	84	1,963	1,145
Age not stated	601	128	466	7	169
					RURAL
All Ages	274,459	122,218	131,709	20,532	140,199
Under 1 year	8,972	...	8,972	...	4,567
1—4	30,190	...	30,190	...	15,210
5—14	76,480	6,170	70,197	113	39,822
15—24	52,447	32,844	18,959	644	26,771
25—34	40,106	36,472	1,903	1,731	20,568
35—44	28,771	24,678	541	3,552	14,971
45—54	18,032	13,047	279	4,706	9,126
55—64	12,582	6,677	227	5,678	6,046
65—74	4,649	1,747	60	2,842	2,201
75 & over	1,770	470	36	1,264	768
Age not stated	460	113	345	2	149
					URBAN
All Ages	133,764	54,394	67,786	11,584	67,008
Under 1 year	4,412	...	4,412	...	2,041
1—4	13,862	...	13,862	...	7,035
5—14	35,973	1,432	34,522	19	18,691
15—24	27,333	14,312	12,661	360	13,755
25—34	19,329	16,807	1,495	1,027	9,555
35—44	14,494	11,883	374	2,237	7,378
45—54	9,164	6,120	164	2,880	4,468
55—64	6,034	2,849	99	3,086	2,742
65—74	2,048	749	28	1,271	946
75 & over	974	227	48	699	377
Age not stated	141	15	121	5	20

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females

Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
86,732	111,967	8,508	201,016	89,880	87,528	23,608
...	6,608	...	6,776	...	6,776	...
...	22,245	...	21,807	...	21,807	...
2,839	55,616	58	53,940	4,763	49,103	74
17,273	22,912	341	39,254	29,883	8,708	663
26,295	2,948	880	29,312	26,984	450	1,878
20,176	770	1,403	20,916	16,385	145	4,386
11,402	381	1,811	13,602	7,765	62	5,775
6,218	296	2,274	9,828	3,308	30	6,490
1,868	71	1,208	3,550	628	17	2,905
542	74	529	1,599	155	10	1,434
119	46	4	432	9	420	3
60,275	73,828	6,096	134,260	61,943	57,881	14,436
...	4,567	...	4,405	...	4,405	...
...	15,210	...	14,980	...	14,980	...
2,309	37,463	50	36,658	3,861	32,734	...
12,631	13,878	262	25,676	20,213	5,081	382
18,219	1,685	664	19,538	18,253	218	1,067
13,532	466	973	13,800	11,146	75	2,579
7,586	233	1,307	8,906	5,461	46	3,399
4,236	208	1,602	6,536	2,441	19	4,076
1,287	49	865	2,448	460	11	1,977
365	32	371	1,002	105	4	893
110	37	2	311	3	308	...
26,457	38,139	2,412	66,756	27,937	29,647	9,172
...	2,041	...	2,371	...	2,371	...
...	7,035	...	6,827	...	6,827	...
530	18,153	8	17,282	902	16,369	11
4,642	9,034	79	13,578	9,670	3,627	201
8,076	1,263	216	9,774	8,731	232	811
6,644	304	430	7,116	5,239	70	1,807
3,816	148	504	4,696	2,304	16	2,376
1,982	88	672	3,292	867	11	2,414
581	22	343	1,102	168	6	928
177	42	158	597	50	6	541
9	9	2	121	6	112	3

II—Distribution of Population in Kutch

Persons					Males
Age Group	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6
					TOTAL
All Ages	55,819	23,682	25,962	6,175	27,127
Under 1 year	1,803	...	1,803	...	936
1—4	5,908	...	5,908	...	3,038
5—14	14,451	1,181	13,254	16	7,422
15—24	9,289	5,125	3,967	197	4,420
25—34	8,550	7,313	644	593	4,041
35—44	6,789	5,348	245	1,196	3,290
45—54	4,201	2,747	73	1,381	1,962
55—64	3,016	1,421	45	1,550	1,295
65—74	1,240	429	9	802	524
75 & over	566	118	8	440	197
Age not stated	6	...	6	...	2
					RURAL
All Ages	45,070	19,350	20,738	4,982	21,965
Under 1 year	1,451	...	1,451	...	743
1—4	4,848	...	4,848	...	2,474
5—14	11,725	1,085	10,628	12	6,068
15—24	7,254	4,120	2,985	149	3,432
25—34	6,908	5,956	488	464	3,268
35—44	5,536	4,354	216	966	2,674
45—54	3,384	2,434	61	1,089	1,598
55—64	2,452	1,146	40	1,266	1,090
65—74	1,051	361	8	682	455
75 & over	455	94	7	354	161
Age not stated	6	...	6	...	2
					URBAN
All Ages	10,749	4,332	5,224	1,193	5,162
Under 1 year	352	...	352	...	193
1—4	1,060	...	1,060	...	564
5—14	2,726	96	2,626	4	1,354
15—24	2,035	1,005	982	48	988
25—34	1,642	1,357	156	129	773
35—44	1,253	994	29	230	616
45—54	817	513	12	292	364
55—64	564	275	5	284	205
65—74	189	68	1	120	69
75 & over	111	24	1	86	36
Age not stated

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

				Females		
Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
11,105	14,566	1,456	22,692	12,577	11,396	4,719
...	936	...	867	...	867	...
...	3,038	...	2,870	...	2,870	...
452	6,964	6	7,029	729	6,290	10
1,651	2,726	43	4,869	3,474	1,241	154
3,279	584	178	4,509	4,034	60	415
2,839	193	258	3,499	2,509	52	938
1,581	68	313	2,239	1,166	5	1,068
893	42	360	1,721	528	3	1,190
317	6	201	716	112	3	601
93	7	97	369	25	1	343
...	2	...	4	...	4	...
9,122	11,612	1,231	23,105	10,228	9,126	3,751
...	743	...	708	...	708	...
...	2,474	...	2,374	...	2,374	...
422	5,640	6	5,657	663	4,988	6
1,364	2,034	34	3,822	2,756	951	115
2,676	443	149	3,640	3,280	45	315
2,289	169	216	2,862	2,065	47	750
1,280	56	262	1,786	954	5	827
748	38	304	1,362	398	2	962
269	6	180	596	92	2	502
74	7	80	294	20	...	274
...	2	...	4	...	4	...
1,363	2,954	225	5,587	2,349	2,270	968
...	193	...	159	...	159	...
...	564	...	496	...	496	...
30	1,324	...	1,372	66	1,302	4
287	692	9	1,047	718	290	39
603	141	29	869	754	15	100
550	24	42	637	444	5	188
301	12	51	453	212	...	241
145	4	56	359	130	1	228
48	...	21	120	20	1	99
19	...	17	75	5	1	69
...

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of

					POPUL.	
Number of Sample Households					All	
State & District	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	Persons	
					Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
BOMBAY—						
Total	28,541	15,990	3,232	9,319	67,720	81,210
Rural	24,341	15,195	2,999	6,147	58,275	68,951
Urban	4,200	795	233	3,172	9,445	12,259
Kanara—						
T	2,640	1,246	281	1,113	5,724	7,347
R	1,981	1,100	259	622	4,357	5,530
U	659	146	22	491	1,367	1,817
Kolhapur—						
T	6,577	4,385	802	1,390	15,665	20,007
R	5,378	3,957	632	789	12,757	16,457
U	1,199	428	170	601	2,908	3,550
Poona—						
T	5,016	4,001	150	865	13,298	14,031
R	4,616	3,884	135	597	12,378	12,714
U	400	117	15	268	920	1,317
Surat—						
T	11,510	4,295	1,968	5,247	26,704	31,572
R	9,816	4,213	1,942	3,661	22,944	26,658
U	1,694	82	26	1,586	3,760	4,914
Sabarkantha—						
T	2,798	2,063	31	704	6,329	8,253
R	2,550	2,041	31	478	5,839	7,592
U	248	22	...	226	490	661
SAURASHTRA—						
Total	3,684	1,119	89	2,476	8,875	11,031
Rural	1,850	980	74	796	4,789	5,615
Urban	1,834	139	15	1,680	4,086	5,416
Sorath—						
T	3,684	1,119	89	2,476	8,875	11,031
R	1,850	980	74	796	4,789	5,615
U	1,834	139	15	1,680	4,086	5,416
KUTCH—						
Total	1,925	491	116	1,318	3,830	4,681
Rural	1,169	462	112	595	2,382	2,629
Urban	756	29	4	723	1,448	2,052

Sample Household Population by Districts, 1951-52

LATION									
Classes									
Males		Females		Livelihood Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V—VIII	
Married	Others	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
31,948	42,726	35,772	38,484	45,145	44,139	6,917	7,340	22,612	22,777
27,467	36,286	30,808	32,665	42,901	41,833	6,424	6,831	14,428	14,809
4,481	6,440	4,964	5,819	2,244	2,306	493	509	8,184	7,968
2,496	3,904	3,228	3,443	3,348	3,387	445	536	2,607	2,748
1,933	2,939	2,424	2,591	2,994	2,974	416	500	1,462	1,541
563	965	804	852	354	413	29	36	1,145	1,207
7,564	10,641	8,101	9,366	12,696	12,158	1,802	1,822	3,707	3,487
6,150	8,696	6,607	7,761	11,463	10,975	1,425	1,439	1,958	1,954
1,414	1,945	1,494	1,605	1,233	1,183	377	383	1,749	1,533
6,273	7,499	7,025	6,532	11,209	11,067	340	321	2,223	2,169
5,820	6,819	6,558	5,895	10,838	10,678	316	291	1,485	1,484
453	680	467	637	371	389	24	30	738	685
12,500	16,241	14,204	15,331	11,878	12,114	4,280	4,600	12,583	12,821
10,687	13,732	12,257	12,926	11,652	11,848	4,217	4,540	8,550	8,795
1,813	2,509	1,947	2,405	226	266	63	60	4,033	4,026
3,115	4,441	3,214	3,812	6,014	5,413	50	61	1,492	1,552
2,877	4,100	2,962	3,492	5,954	5,358	50	61	973	1,035
238	341	252	320	60	55	519	517
4,301	5,808	4,574	5,223	3,650	3,484	182	202	6,277	6,111
2,326	2,971	2,463	2,644	3,177	3,055	162	173	1,958	1,879
1,975	2,837	2,111	2,579	473	429	20	29	4,319	4,232
4,301	5,808	4,574	5,223	3,650	3,484	182	202	6,277	6,111
2,326	2,971	2,463	2,644	3,177	3,055	162	173	1,958	1,879
1,975	2,837	2,111	2,579	473	429	20	29	4,319	4,232
1,759	2,277	2,071	2,404	1,184	1,258	149	202	2,703	3,015
1,093	1,269	1,289	1,360	1,112	1,163	143	196	1,107	1,290
666	1,008	782	1,044	72	95	6	6	1,596	1,725

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Bombay State, 1951-52

POPULATION								
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females		
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
TOTAL								
All Ages	148,930	67,720	81,210	31,948	42,726	35,772	38,484	
Under 1 year	4,986	...	4,986	...	2,529	...	2,457	
1-4	15,645	...	15,645	...	7,736	...	7,909	
5-9	20,456	146	20,310	36	10,320	110	9,990	
10-14	18,852	1,041	17,811	135	9,806	906	8,005	
15-19	13,476	5,169	8,307	882	6,082	4,287	2,225	
20-24	12,191	9,229	2,962	3,252	2,515	5,977	447	
25-29	11,706	10,513	1,193	4,823	841	5,690	352	
30-34	10,237	9,461	776	4,552	358	4,909	418	
35-39	9,154	8,314	840	4,406	296	3,908	544	
40-44	7,934	6,881	1,053	3,597	300	3,284	753	
45-49	6,522	5,470	1,052	3,111	253	2,359	799	
50-54	5,945	4,478	1,467	2,681	415	1,797	1,052	
55-59	3,914	2,714	1,200	1,715	320	999	880	
60-64	3,776	2,164	1,612	1,380	386	784	1,226	
65-69	1,778	999	779	642	206	357	573	
70-74	1,280	655	625	408	192	247	433	
75 & over	1,078	486	592	328	171	158	421	
Age not stated	
RURAL								
All Ages	127,226	58,275	68,951	27,467	36,286	30,808	32,665	
Under 1 year	4,329	...	4,329	...	2,201	...	2,128	
1-4	13,479	...	13,479	...	6,683	...	6,796	
5-9	17,511	140	17,371	33	8,810	107	8,561	
10-14	16,089	978	15,111	128	8,355	850	6,756	
15-19	11,379	4,535	6,844	821	5,086	3,714	1,758	
20-24	10,346	8,012	2,334	2,839	2,014	5,173	320	
25-29	9,968	9,018	950	4,163	664	4,855	286	
30-34	8,757	8,099	658	3,933	302	4,166	356	
35-39	7,719	7,017	702	3,686	250	3,331	452	
40-44	6,735	5,846	889	3,024	249	2,822	640	
45-49	5,605	4,719	886	2,675	209	2,044	677	
50-54	5,116	3,859	1,257	2,294	362	1,565	895	
55-59	3,378	2,336	1,042	1,477	274	859	768	
60-64	3,264	1,868	1,396	1,205	337	663	1,059	
65-69	1,548	864	684	560	185	304	499	
70-74	1,085	566	519	344	157	222	362	
75 & over	918	418	500	285	148	133	352	
Age not stated	
URBAN								
All Ages	21,704	9,445	12,259	4,481	6,440	4,964	5,819	
Under 1 year	657	...	657	...	328	...	329	
1-4	2,166	...	2,166	...	1,053	...	1,113	
5-9	2,945	6	2,939	3	1,510	3	1,429	
10-14	2,763	63	2,700	7	1,451	56	1,249	
15-19	2,097	634	1,463	61	996	573	467	
20-24	1,845	1,217	628	413	501	804	127	
25-29	1,738	1,495	243	660	177	835	66	
30-34	1,480	1,362	118	619	56	743	62	
35-39	1,435	1,297	138	720	46	577	92	
40-44	1,199	1,035	164	573	51	462	113	
45-49	917	751	166	436	44	315	122	
50-54	829	619	210	387	33	232	157	
55-59	536	378	158	238	46	140	112	
60-64	512	296	216	175	49	121	167	
65-69	230	135	95	82	21	53	74	
70-74	195	89	106	64	35	25	71	
75 & over	160	68	92	43	23	25	69	
Age not stated	

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Saurashtra State, 1951-52

POPULATION								
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females		
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
TOTAL								
All Ages	19,906	8,875	11,031	4,301	5,808	4,574	5,223	
Under 1 year	644	...	644	...	326	...	318	
1-4	2,166	...	2,166	...	1,080	...	1,086	
5-9	2,640	12	2,628	7	1,361	5	1,267	
10-14	2,594	106	2,488	26	1,326	80	1,162	
15-19	2,188	861	1,327	213	875	648	452	
20-24	1,903	1,476	427	587	344	889	83	
25-29	1,542	1,417	125	667	81	750	44	
30-34	1,299	1,194	105	600	58	594	47	
35-39	1,160	1,066	94	567	39	499	55	
40-44	1,056	884	172	510	56	374	116	
45-49	671	547	124	326	46	221	78	
50-54	728	517	211	297	60	220	151	
55-59	372	272	100	166	32	106	68	
60-64	524	305	219	190	60	115	159	
65-69	186	122	64	83	21	39	43	
70-74	136	59	77	40	17	19	60	
75 & over	97	37	60	22	26	15	34	
Age not stated	
RURAL								
All Ages	10,404	4,789	5,615	2,326	2,971	2,463	2,644	
Under 1 year	370	...	370	...	191	...	179	
1-4	1,212	...	1,212	...	581	...	631	
5-9	1,437	11	1,426	6	751	5	675	
10-14	1,380	90	1,290	20	703	70	587	
15-19	1,096	529	567	150	385	379	182	
20-24	976	822	154	362	123	460	31	
25-29	768	723	45	372	29	351	16	
30-34	667	614	53	313	32	301	21	
35-39	605	567	38	287	15	280	23	
40-44	541	463	78	244	25	219	53	
45-49	363	302	61	180	28	122	33	
50-54	353	262	91	143	33	119	58	
55-59	197	148	49	91	17	57	32	
60-64	258	167	91	160	27	67	64	
65-69	84	51	33	33	12	18	21	
70-74	63	29	34	20	3	9	31	
75 & over	34	11	23	5	16	6	7	
Age not stated	
URBAN								
All Ages	9,502	4,086	5,416	1,975	2,837	2,111	2,579	
Under 1 year	274	...	274	...	135	...	139	
1-4	954	...	954	...	499	...	455	
5-9	1,203	1	1,202	1	610	...	592	
10-14	1,214	16	1,198	6	623	10	575	
15-19	1,092	332	760	63	490	269	270	
20-24	927	654	273	225	221	429	52	
25-29	774	694	80	295	52	399	28	
30-34	632	580	52	287	26	293	26	
35-39	555	499	56	280	24	219	32	
40-44	515	421	94	266	31	155	63	
45-49	308	245	63	146	18	99	45	
50-54	375	255	120	154	27	101	93	
55-59	175	124	51	75	15	49	36	
60-64	266	138	128	90	33	48	95	
65-69	102	71	31	50	9	21	22	
70-74	73	30	43	20	14	10	29	
75 & over	63	26	37	17	10	9	27	
Age not stated	

**IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household
Population of Kutch State 1951-52**

POPULATION								
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females		
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
TOTAL								
All Ages	8,511	3,830	4,681	1,759	2,277	2,071	2,404	
Under 1 year	279	...	279	...	133	...	146	
1-4	820	...	820	...	399	...	421	
5-9	1,117	36	1,081	12	539	24	542	
10-14	1,071	137	934	53	495	84	439	
15-19	857	361	496	105	310	256	186	
20-24	708	532	176	194	140	338	36	
25-29	646	573	73	239	48	334	25	
30-34	627	556	71	262	31	294	40	
35-39	528	457	71	232	22	225	49	
40-44	509	405	104	211	32	194	72	
45-49	274	211	63	117	15	94	48	
50-54	363	252	111	137	25	115	86	
55-59	182	103	79	60	14	43	65	
60-64	272	118	154	74	33	44	121	
65-69	108	51	57	39	10	12	47	
70-74	70	21	49	11	14	10	35	
75 & over	80	17	63	13	17	4	46	
Age not stated	
RURAL								
All Ages	5,011	2,382	2,629	1,093	1,269	1,289	1,360	
Under 1 year	174	...	174	...	85	...	89	
1-4	498	...	498	...	238	...	260	
5-9	684	27	657	11	330	16	327	
10-14	612	99	513	39	278	60	235	
15-19	487	263	224	89	144	174	80	
20-24	383	321	62	125	51	196	11	
25-29	373	340	33	143	24	197	9	
30-34	370	331	39	154	15	177	24	
35-39	310	268	42	130	10	138	32	
40-44	290	239	51	114	14	125	37	
45-49	166	137	29	68	7	69	22	
50-54	242	175	67	97	16	78	51	
55-59	112	71	41	45	9	26	32	
60-64	170	66	104	45	21	21	83	
65-69	58	28	30	21	8	7	22	
70-74	44	10	34	7	11	3	23	
75 & over	38	7	31	5	8	2	23	
Age not stated	
URBAN								
All Ages	3,500	1,448	2,052	666	1,008	782	1,044	
Under 1 year	105	...	105	...	48	...	57	
1-4	322	...	322	...	161	...	161	
5-9	433	9	424	1	209	8	215	
10-14	459	38	421	14	217	24	204	
15-19	370	98	272	16	166	82	106	
20-24	325	211	114	69	89	142	25	
25-29	273	233	40	96	24	137	16	
30-34	257	225	32	108	16	117	16	
35-39	218	189	29	102	12	87	17	
40-44	219	166	53	97	18	69	35	
45-49	108	74	34	49	8	25	26	
50-54	121	77	44	40	9	37	35	
55-59	70	32	38	15	5	17	33	
60-64	102	52	50	29	12	23	38	
65-69	50	23	27	18	2	5	25	
70-74	26	11	15	4	3	7	12	
75 & over	42	10	32	8	9	2	23	
Age not stated	

V—Number of Births in Sample Households by

						TOTAL			
		Number of Mothers				Persons			
State & District		Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
BOMBAY—									
Total	.	5,142	3,194	478	1,470	5,189	3,225	486	1,478
Rural	.	4,458	3,025	445	988	4,497	3,056	451	990
Urban	.	684	169	33	482	692	169	35	488
Kanara—									
T	.	410	224	32	154	412	225	32	155
R	.	329	207	31	91	331	208	31	92
U	.	81	17	1	63	81	17	1	63
Kolhapur—									
T	.	1,273	888	131	254	1,283	893	135	255
R	.	1,042	792	111	139	1,049	797	113	139
U	.	231	96	20	115	234	96	22	116
Poona—									
T	.	998	817	16	165	1,014	832	16	166
R	.	916	787	13	116	931	802	13	116
U	.	82	30	3	49	83	30	3	50
Surat—									
T	.	1,907	836	297	774	1,923	843	301	779
R	.	1,662	817	288	557	1,674	824	292	558
U	.	245	19	9	217	249	19	9	221
Sabarkantha—									
T	.	554	429	2	123	557	432	2	123
R	.	509	422	2	85	512	425	2	85
U	.	45	7	...	38	45	7	...	38
SAURASHTRA—									
Total	.	684	278	21	385	689	281	21	387
Rural	.	392	248	18	126	395	250	18	127
Urban	.	292	30	3	259	294	31	3	260
Sorath—									
T	.	684	278	21	385	689	281	21	387
R	.	392	248	18	126	395	250	18	127
U	.	292	30	3	259	294	31	3	260
KUTCH—									
Total	.	293	99	10	189	300	99	11	190
Rural	.	187	94	9	84	189	94	10	85
Urban	.	111	5	1	105	111	5	1	105

Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1951-52

NUMBER OF BIRTHS								WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED					
Males				Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
2,641	1,650	236	755	2,548	1,575	250	723	2,152	2,015	342	395	147	138
2,293	1,574	216	503	2,204	1,482	235	487	1,890	1,761	275	319	128	124
348	76	20	252	344	93	15	236	262	254	67	76	19	14
216	133	15	68	196	92	17	87	165	133	32	44	19	19
175	123	14	38	156	85	17	54	141	114	21	29	13	13
41	10	1	30	40	7	...	33	24	19	11	15	6	6
662	445	75	142	621	448	60	113	555	525	64	60	43	36
544	410	64	70	505	387	49	69	486	448	22	23	36	34
118	35	11	72	116	61	11	44	69	77	42	37	7	2
497	398	9	90	517	434	7	76	393	395	52	71	52	51
447	383	7	57	484	419	6	59	350	369	49	65	48	50
50	15	2	33	33	15	1	17	43	26	3	6	4	1
983	449	135	399	940	394	166	380	811	758	144	163	28	19
861	436	129	296	813	388	163	262	701	653	134	146	26	14
122	13	6	103	127	6	3	118	110	105	10	17	2	5
283	225	2	56	274	207	...	67	228	204	50	57	5	13
266	222	2	42	246	203	...	43	212	177	49	56	5	13
17	3	...	14	28	4	...	24	16	27	1	1
359	147	12	200	330	134	9	187	186	165	163	149	10	16
212	127	10	75	183	123	8	52	127	104	82	71	3	8
147	20	2	125	147	11	1	135	59	61	81	78	7	8
359	147	12	200	330	134	9	187	186	165	163	149	10	16
212	127	10	75	183	123	8	52	127	104	82	71	3	8
147	20	2	125	147	11	1	135	59	61	81	78	7	8
147	52	7	88	153	47	4	102	83	99	50	51	14	3
97	50	6	41	92	44	4	44	51	62	32	28	14	2
50	2	1	47	61	3	...	58	32	37	18	23	...	1

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

Order of Birth	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	5,142	18,654	15,152	9	9	8	2,018	3,981	3,376
1st	1,090	1,090	1,030	9	9	8	893	893	849
2nd	922	1,844	1,606	594	1,188	1,026
3rd	851	2,553	2,187	328	984	811
4th	687	2,748	2,315	137	548	437
5th	540	2,700	2,195	38	190	126
6th	422	2,532	2,041	21	126	92
7th & above	630	5,187	3,778	7	52	35
RURAL									
All Orders	4,458	16,062	13,051	9	9	8	1,733	3,408	2,892
1st	951	951	900	9	9	8	766	766	730
2nd	809	1,618	1,406	511	1,022	877
3rd	743	2,229	1,906	285	855	709
4th	582	2,328	1,956	118	472	377
5th	470	2,350	1,906	32	160	108
6th	373	2,238	1,800	16	96	69
7th & above	530	4,348	3,177	5	37	22
URBAN									
All Orders	684	2,592	2,101	285	573	484
1st	139	139	130	127	127	119
2nd	113	226	200	83	166	149
3rd	108	324	281	43	129	102
4th	105	420	359	19	76	60
5th	70	350	289	6	30	18
6th	49	294	241	5	30	23
7th & above	100	839	601	2	15	13

Households of Bombay State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1951-52

OF MOTHER											
25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
2,298	9,531	7,703	755	4,653	3,691	62	480	374
175	175	162	12	12	10	1	1	1
295	590	525	31	62	52	2	4	3
463	1,389	1,223	59	177	150	1	3	3
465	1,860	1,569	81	324	293	4	16	16
374	1,870	1,519	121	605	517	7	35	33
262	1,572	1,245	133	798	673	6	36	31
264	2,075	1,460	318	2,675	1,996	41	385	287
1,995	8,161	6,594	665	4,048	3,215	56	436	342
163	163	151	12	12	10	1	1	1
268	536	479	28	56	47	2	4	3
398	1,194	1,044	59	177	150	1	3	3
395	1,580	1,329	65	260	234	4	16	16
326	1,630	1,322	106	530	448	6	30	28
227	1,362	1,072	125	750	634	5	30	25
218	1,696	1,197	270	2,263	1,692	37	352	266
303	1,370	1,109	90	605	476	6	44	32
12	12	11
27	54	46	3	6	5
65	195	179
70	280	240	16	64	59
48	240	197	15	75	69	1	5	5
35	210	173	8	48	39	1	6	6
46	379	263	48	412	304	4	33	21

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
Order of Birth	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders . .	684	2,558	2,165	1	1	1	270	539	473
1st . .	138	138	132	1	1	1	119	119	114
2nd . .	121	242	219	80	160	142
3rd . .	116	348	314	39	117	99
4th . .	80	320	287	22	88	73
5th . .	81	405	358	6	30	26
6th . .	55	330	281	3	18	15
7th & above . .	93	775	574	1	7	4
RURAL									
All Orders . .	392	1,412	1,269	146	304	270
1st . .	66	66	65	55	55	54
2nd . .	79	158	144	51	102	91
3rd . .	75	225	204	23	69	58
4th . .	43	172	157	10	40	36
5th . .	54	270	247	4	20	16
6th . .	38	228	210	3	18	15
7th & above . .	37	293	242
URBAN									
All Orders . .	292	1,146	896	1	1	1	124	235	203
1st . .	72	72	67	1	1	1	64	64	60
2nd . .	42	84	75	29	58	51
3rd . .	41	123	110	16	48	41
4th . .	37	148	130	12	48	37
5th . .	27	135	111	2	10	10
6th . .	17	102	71
7th & above . .	56	482	332	1	7	4

Households of Saurashtra State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1951-52

OF MOTHER											
25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
290	1,223	1,029	118	760	634	5	35	28
17	17	16	1	1	1
37	74	69	4	8	8
68	204	190	9	27	25
47	188	171	11	44	43
55	275	243	19	95	84	1	5	5
26	156	126	26	156	140
40	309	214	48	429	333	4	30	23
166	645	590	76	441	390	4	22	19
10	10	10	1	1	1
25	50	47	3	6	6
44	132	123	8	24	23
26	104	94	7	28	27
33	165	155	16	80	71	1	5	5
16	96	89	19	114	106
12	88	72	22	188	156	3	17	14
124	578	439	42	319	244	1	13	9
7	7	6
12	24	22	1	2	2
24	72	67	1	3	2
21	84	77	4	16	16
22	110	88	3	15	13
10	60	37	7	42	34
28	221	142	26	241	177	1	13	9

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

Order of Birth	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	298	1,113	861	107	228	191
1st	53	53	51	39	39	37
2nd	56	112	103	35	70	64
3rd	55	165	134	20	60	41
4th	44	176	155	7	28	26
5th	23	115	89	5	25	19
6th	24	144	102	1	6	4
7th & above	43	348	227
RURAL									
All Orders	187	665	524	62	115	101
1st	44	44	43	30	30	29
2nd	31	62	58	18	36	34
3rd	31	93	76	9	27	19
4th	28	112	97	4	16	15
5th	13	65	54
6th	17	102	65	1	6	4
7th & above	23	187	131
URBAN									
All Orders	111	448	337	45	113	90
1st	9	9	8	9	9	8
2nd	25	50	45	17	34	30
3rd	24	72	58	11	33	22
4th	16	64	58	3	12	11
5th	10	50	35	5	25	19
6th	7	42	37
7th & above	20	161	96

Households of Kutch State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1951-52

OF MOTHER											
25-34			35-44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
141	579	450	47	281	202	3	25	18
13	13	13	1	1	1
20	40	37	1	2	2
32	96	85	3	9	8
28	112	100	9	36	29
14	70	53	4	20	17
13	78	58	10	60	40
21	170	104	19	153	105	3	25	18
86	325	254	37	210	159	2	15	10
13	13	13	1	1	1
12	24	22	1	2	2
19	57	49	3	9	8
16	64	56	8	32	26
9	45	37	4	20	17
8	48	32	8	48	29
9	74	45	12	98	76	2	15	10
55	254	196	10	71	43	1	10	8
...
8	16	15
13	39	36
12	48	44	1	4	3
5	25	16
5	30	26	2	12	11
12	96	59	7	55	29	1	10	8

VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic

TOTAL NUMBER									
State & District	Persons				Males				
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
BOMBAY—									
Total	1,970	1,205	228	537	987	590	115	282	
Rural	1,708	1,134	206	368	858	533	105	200	
Urban	262	71	22	169	129	37	10	82	
Kanara—									
T	124	64	10	50	62	29	5	28	
R	96	54	9	33	43	21	4	18	
U	28	10	1	17	19	8	1	10	
Kolhapur—									
T	588	429	66	93	280	209	31	40	
R	483	383	50	50	232	185	24	23	
U	105	46	16	43	48	24	7	17	
Poona—									
T	389	294	12	83	204	145	5	54	
R	351	281	10	60	184	141	4	39	
U	38	13	2	23	20	4	1	15	
Surat—									
T	688	284	140	264	352	139	74	139	
R	608	282	137	189	316	138	73	105	
U	80	2	3	75	36	1	1	34	
Sabarkantha—									
T	181	134	...	47	89	68	...	21	
R	170	134	...	36	83	68	...	15	
U	11	11	6	6	
SAURASHTRA—									
Total	285	104	9	172	170	69	4	97	
Rural	153	84	7	62	95	55	2	38	
Urban	132	20	2	110	75	14	2	59	
Sorath—									
T	285	104	9	172	170	69	4	97	
R	153	84	7	62	95	55	2	38	
U	132	20	2	110	75	14	2	59	
KUTCH—									
Total	165	57	7	101	80	27	4	49	
Rural	117	51	7	59	56	25	4	27	
Urban	48	6	...	42	24	2	...	22	

Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1951-52

OF DEATHS				WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED					
Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
983	615	113	255	816	818	135	133	36	32
850	581	101	168	724	715	102	107	32	28
133	34	12	87	92	103	33	26	4	4
62	35	5	22	50	52	11	5	1	5
53	33	5	15	39	46	3	3	1	4
9	2	...	7	11	6	8	2	...	1
308	220	35	53	238	270	33	26	9	12
251	198	26	27	210	231	14	10	8	10
57	22	9	26	28	39	19	16	1	2
185	149	7	29	177	156	17	21	10	8
167	140	6	21	157	139	17	20	10	8
18	9	1	8	20	17	...	1
336	145	66	125	275	265	62	66	15	5
292	144	64	84	248	229	56	59	12	4
44	1	2	41	27	36	6	7	3	1
92	66	...	26	76	75	12	15	1	2
87	66	...	21	70	70	12	15	1	2
5	5	6	5
115	35	5	75	88	52	82	60	...	3
58	29	5	24	58	34	37	22	...	2
57	6	...	51	30	18	45	38	...	1
115	35	5	75	88	52	82	60	...	3
58	29	5	24	58	34	37	22	...	2
57	6	...	51	30	18	45	38	...	1
85	30	3	52	55	53	25	26	...	6
61	26	3	32	34	35	22	20	...	6
24	4	...	20	21	18	3	6

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Bombay State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1951-52**

NUMBER OF DEATHS										
Age Group	Total			Rural			Urban			
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
All Ages	1,970	987	983	1,708	858	850	262	129	133	
Under 1 year	424	219	205	363	185	178	61	34	27	
1—4	399	180	219	352	166	186	47	14	33	
5—9	86	35	51	76	32	44	10	3	7	
10—14	31	13	18	28	10	18	3	3	...	
15—19	59	27	32	51	22	29	8	5	3	
20—24	73	32	41	63	27	36	10	5	5	
25—29	55	22	33	47	21	26	8	1	7	
30—34	56	20	36	48	16	32	8	4	4	
35—39	50	22	28	47	21	26	3	1	2	
40—44	50	31	19	44	29	15	6	2	4	
45—49	51	34	17	44	28	16	7	6	1	
50—54	79	54	25	62	43	19	17	11	6	
55—59	66	44	22	60	40	20	6	4	2	
60—64	101	56	45	91	50	41	10	6	4	
65—69	90	57	33	73	45	28	17	12	5	
70—74	79	40	39	67	37	30	12	3	9	
75 & over	207	91	116	181	78	103	26	13	13	
Age not stated	14	10	4	11	8	3	3	2	1	

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Saurashtra State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1951-52**

NUMBER OF DEATHS										
Age Group	Total			Rural			Urban			
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
All Ages	285	170	115	153	95	58	132	75	57	
Under 1 year	70	43	27	37	25	12	33	18	15	
1—4	85	46	39	50	31	19	35	15	20	
5—9	18	14	4	8	6	2	10	8	2	
10—14	7	4	3	3	1	2	4	3	1	
15—19	8	3	5	4	2	2	4	1	3	
20—24	7	3	4	4	2	2	3	1	2	
25—29	7	4	3	3	3	...	4	1	3	
30—34	3	2	1	3	2	1	
35—39	7	5	2	4	3	1	3	2	1	
40—44	8	4	4	2	1	1	6	3	3	
45—49	10	6	4	5	3	2	5	3	2	
50—54	9	5	4	5	2	3	4	3	1	
55—59	3	3	...	2	2	...	1	1	...	
60—64	9	7	2	5	4	1	4	3	1	
65—69	9	4	5	6	2	4	3	2	1	
70—74	8	5	3	5	3	2	3	2	1	
75 & over	16	11	5	6	2	4	10	9	1	
Age not stated	1	1	...	1	1	

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Kutch State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1951-52**

NUMBER OF DEATHS										
Age Group	Total			Rural			Urban			
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
All Ages	165	80	85	117	56	61	48	24	24	
Under 1 year	29	18	11	21	14	7	8	4	4	
1—4	47	23	24	31	15	16	16	8	8	
5—9	14	7	7	6	3	3	8	4	4	
10—14	7	2	5	5	1	4	2	1	1	
15—19	2	...	2	2	...	2	
20—24	6	2	4	5	2	3	1	...	1	
25—29	4	1	3	2	...	2	2	1	1	
30—34	3	2	1	3	2	1	
35—39	9	4	5	7	4	3	2	...	2	
40—44	7	4	3	5	2	3	2	2	...	
45—49	2	1	1	1	...	1	1	1	...	
50—54	7	4	3	7	4	3	
55—59	1	1	...	1	1	
60—64	10	6	4	8	5	3	2	1	1	
65—69	3	1	2	3	1	2	
70—74	5	2	3	5	2	3	
75 & over	7	...	7	5	...	5	2	...	2	
Age not stated	2	2	2	2	...	

MADHYA PRADESH

Report on the Census of Births and Deaths in Sample Households in Madhya Pradesh

By

(SHRI H. N. BANERJEE, *Superintendent of Census Operations, Madhya Pradesh & Officer on Special Duty, General Administration Department, Madhya Pradesh.*)

The decision of the Madhya Pradesh Government to accept the operative proposals of the Registrar General for the year 1952-53 was conveyed to the Registrar General in General Administration Department letter No. 3251-480-II, dated the 30th June 1952 on the subject of improvement of population data.

2. A combined review of the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls and a census of births and deaths in sample households were carried out in the following five Tahsils of Madhya Pradesh from the 1st December 1952 to the 15th December 1952.

Serial no.	District	Tahsil
1	2	3
1	Sagar	Sagar
2	Chhindwara	Chhindwara
3	Raipur	Raipur
4	Bhandara	Bhandara
5	Amravati	Amravati

The Central Government declared its intention to take a census of births and deaths in the aforesaid areas during the first fortnight of December 1952 in Ministry of Home Affairs' Notification No. 21-/52-Public dated the 14th October 1952 in the Gazette of India.

Administrative Set-up:

3. The Superintendent of Census Operations, Madhya Pradesh, was appointed Officer on Special Duty in the General Administration Department for carrying out the above operations vide Memorandum No. 4276/2256-II dated the 29th August 1952 from the Additional Secretary to the Government of Madhya Pradesh, General Administration Department, to all Deputy Commissioners.

The responsibility for carrying out the combined review of the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls and the census of sample households in the selected Tahsils in accordance with the instructions issued by the Officer on Special Duty from time to time, rested with the Deputy Commissioners, Chief Executive Officers and Tahsildars.

The Deputy Commissioners of the selected districts were the ex-officio Chief Registration Officers for purposes of conducting the review and the sample census in their districts.

The Chief Executive Officer of the Janapada Sabha of each selected Tahsil, who was responsible to the Deputy Commissioner for the proper compliance of all the instructions in the matter, was the ex-officio Registration Officer. The Tahsildar of the selected Tahsil was the ex-officio Deputy Registration Officer.

Each selected Tahsil was divided by the Chief Registration Officer with the help of the Registration and Deputy Registration Officer into suitable charges, each under the direction of a Registration Supervisor. As far as possible, the division of the Tahsils into charges

was closely modelled on that of the 1951 Census and the services of the Charge Superintendents of the last census were secured for appointment as Registration Supervisors. Each charge was similarly divided into a number of circles, each containing not more than 2,000 houses. Circles were placed under Deputy Registration Supervisors and, where possible, Circle Supervisors of the last census were appointed Deputy Registration Supervisors. Each circle was further divided into registration areas, each under a Registrar. The following instructions were issued to the Deputy Commissioners in regard to the constitution of registration areas and appointment of Registrars.

"The formation of the registration areas and appointment of the Registrars is an important part of the scheme and the Deputy Commissioners must themselves scrutinise the proposals of the Tahsildars and the Chief Executive Officers before finally approving them.

These registration areas should be formed keeping in mind the following basic principles:

- (a) It is probable that eventually the registration area in charge of the Registrar will be a permanent institution for recording births, deaths and other data about migratory movements of people which would be necessary to keep the Electoral Rolls up-to-date and for providing basic information for improvement of population data.
- (b) The registration area should, therefore, be such that the registration centre is as close to the people of the area, for whom it is meant, as possible so that the Registrar may be readily accessible in rural areas. Normally, therefore, the registration area should not be larger than a village or a very few contiguous villages with a total population of say about 2,000 persons. The births and deaths occurring in such a small community would be about a dozen per month normally and a school teacher, a Panchayat or Janapada employee, a resident patwari or any other public servant stationed in the locality would be able to carry out this small permanent work if it is to be eventually done.
- (c) The Registrar to be appointed and the registration area for which he is to be appointed should, therefore, be selected carefully for purposes of undertaking the combined review of the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls. The Registrar may be given temporary assistance during the actual review work by appointing additional Registrars who may be school masters or other public servants. As the Registrar will have only a population of about 2,000 to deal with, he would be required to visit only about 400 houses for purposes of the combined review and he can himself complete the work in about two weeks by visiting about 30 houses a day. In suitable cases, however, there

need be no objection in appointing an additional Registrar as pointed out above, and as only one-fifth of the area of the district would be covered each year for the review, the Deputy Commissioner might not find it difficult to get temporary help for a couple of weeks or so from

the adjoining tahsils if it is at all needed in any particular area."

4. The following statement shows the number of Registration Supervisors, Deputy Registration Supervisors and the Registrars appointed in each selected tahsil :

Serial no.	District	Tahsil	Number of		
			Registration Supervisors	Deputy Registration Supervisors	Registrars
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Sagar	Sagar	9	65	290
2	Chhindwara	Chhindwara	7	122	366
3	Raipur	Raipur	9	172	310
4	Bhandara	Bhandara	6	35	171
5	Amravati	Amravati	3	108	606

The Registrars were mostly drawn from among the primary school teachers in villages and towns. In backward areas having no schools, the Patwari performed the functions of both, the Registrar and Deputy Registration Supervisor. In the towns the headmasters of primary schools were generally appointed Deputy Registration Supervisors while in villages the Patwaris were so appointed to that office. The Revenue Inspectors and Secretaries of the Municipal Committees were appointed Registration Supervisors for the rural and urban areas respectively. In the case of forest villages, officials of the Forest Department were appointed Registrars etc., the Range Officer being made the Registration Supervisor. In the railway and military areas, the railway and military officials were appointed Registrars, Deputy Registration Supervisors and Registration Supervisors.

There was some difficulty in getting the operations done in the urban areas of Amravati Tahsil, where the Deputy Commissioner had ultimately to sanction prosecution under the Indian Census Act against 12 persons who not only refused to act as Registrars but also tried to prevent others from working as such. The officials of Raipur Tahsil had to bear more than their ordinary share of the burden on account of a bye-election to the House of People which came off exactly during the period when the combined review of the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls and the Census of Sample Households were in progress.

Training of the staff :

5. Training of the staff commenced in October 1952. It was an important part of the operations and was organized on the same lines as at the last census. Training classes were first organized by the Registration Officers, Deputy Registration Officers and Naib Tahsildars for imparting instructions to the Registration Supervisors and as many Deputy Registration Supervisors as possible. Once the Registration Supervisors were thoroughly trained they set themselves the task of drilling the Deputy Registration Supervisors and as large a number of Registrars as possible in the work. The Deputy Registration Supervisors were, in their turn, made responsible for training intensively the Registrars under them. The official

holding the training class generally adopted the following procedure for bringing home to the trainees the instructions regarding the method of interrogating the householders and filling in the National Register of Citizens and the sample census slips. He asked the trainees to put the various questions to him that they would be required to put to the householders while carrying out the operations and he gave different replies to them and wanted them to tell him how they would record the replies in the National Register of Citizens and the census slips. Sufficient practice on black boards, slates and rough paper was given to the staff. Systematic training of the staff by district officers by means of conferences and by continued personal instruction and inspection during their tours went on till the end. In the course of my tours, I had tested the proficiency of the staff at the Tahsil headquarters and in the interior alike, and found it to be fairly high almost everywhere. At some places quite a number of Deputy Registration Supervisors were found to have acquired the ability to fill in the census slips even a fortnight before the actual census.

Combined Review of the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls :

6. House-to-house visits were undertaken by the Registrars and Additional Registrars, if any, from the 1st to the 15th December 1952 for purposes of carrying out the necessary corrections in the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls. The following instructions were issued to them for carrying out the review :

"The Registrar should visit each house with the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls and should carry out the following simple instructions very carefully :

- (a) He should read out the entries relating to each household to the head of the household or to some other available and responsible member of the household from the National Register of Citizens. All entries in all the columns should be carefully read out.

- (b) He should ascertain whether there have been any changes due to birth or death or by permanent change in the address of any of the members of the household.
- (c) If the Registrar finds that certain persons, whose names are recorded in the National Register of Citizens, have died or have permanently left the household, he should score out the relevant entries from the Register by drawing a horizontal line right across the entry and making a brief note of the event in the remarks column.
- (d) If the Registrar finds that some children are born in the household or that some other persons have permanently become members of the household, he should make fresh entries about such children or persons in the Register after the last entry pertaining to the household and if sufficient space is not available after the last entry, the fresh entries be made at the end of the Register after making a note of the page where the entries are made in the remarks column of the last original entry in the Register. When fresh entries are made at the end of the Register on fresh forms or blank paper, the serial number of the household, the house number and the householdwise serial number of the citizens should all be carefully given and in the remarks column the page of the original entries should also be noted. The remaining columns of the Register in respect of the new entries should also be carefully filled in following the printed instructions given on the cover of the National Register of Citizens.
- (e) After cancelling the entries relating to dead persons or persons who have left the household permanently and after entering the particular of new members of that household as explained above, the Registrar should proceed to make corrections, where necessary, in particular columns in respect of the remaining entries. Changes in age, marital status, means of livelihood, etc., should all be carefully noted.
- (f) The National Register need not be brought up-to-date in respect of persons enumerated at the 1951 Census either as inmates of hospitals, asylums or jails, etc., or as houseless persons.
- (g) Persons, who are not permanent residents of the household in a particular house and who are merely visitors, need not be recorded in the National Register. Permanent residents of a household are those persons who declare that they are members of the household and are not casual visitors. If a particular member of a household has temporarily gone out for some work, but is otherwise a permanent resident of the household, his name should be recorded in the National Register.
- (h) After making the corrections in the National Register as mentioned above, the Registrar should proceed to correct the Electoral Rolls. Entries relating to dead persons should be scored out. Similarly, entries in respect of persons, who have attained the age of 21 years on the 1st of March 1952, must be made into

the Electoral Rolls from the National Register of Citizens if they are not already there.

- (i) In correcting the Electoral Rolls, care should be taken to see that a person, who is otherwise qualified to be a voter, is registered at the address where he has the residential qualification during the qualifying period under section 21 of the Representation of the People Act, 1950 (Act No. XLIII of 1950). Under section 19 of the Act, the condition of registration is that he should have been ordinarily resident in a constituency for not less than 180 days during the qualifying period, i.e., the year 1951. Thus, if a person has the residential qualification at his former place of residence and not at the place to which he has moved at the time of combined review of the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls, his name should not be entered in the Electoral Rolls of that place.

It is possible that at the time of verifying the entries in the National Register of Citizens of a particular household it might be found that a new household has come in place of the old one. In such a case all the entries relating to the old household will have to be scored out from the National Register of Citizens and fresh entries in respect of the new household will have to be entered at the end of the register in the manner described above.

As the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls were prepared at different times and perhaps by different persons, it is also likely that in some cases the entries in the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls might widely differ. In such cases first of all the National Register entries should be all brought up-to-date by actual verification in the particular house and subsequently the Electoral Rolls must be corrected in accordance with the duly corrected entries in the National Register of Citizens.

After the verification work is completed and all necessary corrections are made in the National Register, the Registrar should prepare a neat and fair copy of the Register on blank forms to be supplied by the Tahsildar for the purpose. In making the fair copy care should be taken to bring all the entries relating to one household at the same place and to begin writing entries of the second household leaving space of about one inch to make corrections in future."

Supervision and check on the work of Registrars :

7. Adequate arrangements were made by the Chief Registration Officers for ensuring effective supervision and check on the work of revision of the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls. Not only did the Registration Officers, Deputy Registration Officers, Naib Tahsildars, Registration Supervisors and Deputy Registration Supervisors intensively check the work of Registrars on the spot but also the Revenue Officers of the neighbouring Tahsils were appointed Checking Officers by the Deputy Commissioner and made responsible for verifying on the spot the accuracy of the work of Registrars. With a view to ensuring a thorough check, the Chief Registration Officers prescribed an additional period of one week from the 16th to the 23rd December 1952 for intensive check by all concerned. As the Electoral Rolls prepared in the past contained many omissions, the need of ensuring very close supervision and systematic

check on the revision of the National Register of Citizens and Electoral Rolls was particularly impressed on all the Chief Registration Officers by the State Government.

8. The nature and extent of corrections, which had to be carried out in the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls in the course of the review, varied from Tahsil to Tahsil.

In Sagar Tahsil fresh entries were made and existing entries deleted in the National Register of Citizens in respect of 57,426 persons. Of them, 10,583 were newly born children and 8,406 persons had died. A rather pronounced change was noticed in the returns of the means of livelihood in certain parts in that a large number of persons formerly engaged in agriculture had since drifted to *bidi* making industry. In places where the displaced persons had settled and in the military area of Sagar Town, a large number of changes were necessitated on account of whole families having left the place and new families having come in their place. As regards the corrections in the Electoral Rolls of Sagar Tahsil, about 3 per cent. of them were on account of deaths and permanent migrations and another 3 per cent. on account of certain persons attaining the prescribed age. Exchange of houses within the village or town by families accounted for a large number of corrections in the Electoral Rolls.

In Chhindwara Tahsil, fresh entries had to be made in the National Register of Citizens on account of 39,811 new arrivals and 15,947 new births while the existing entries in them had to be scored out in respect of 47,210 migrants and 14,364 deceased persons. Names of 42,390 persons were added and those of 41,053 struck off from the Electoral Rolls of Chhindwara Tahsil. The number of voters in the Electoral Rolls of the Tahsil was found to have risen from 158,427 to 159,764. The total population of the Tahsil was found to have registered a decrease from 332,530 (1951 Census) to 326,714.

In the rural areas of Raipur Tahsil, entries were made and deleted for 46,777 and 45,647 persons respectively in the National Register of Citizens and 34,048 and 31,840 persons respectively in the Electoral Rolls. The names originally entered in the National Register of Citizens and Electoral Rolls numbered 297,820 and 161,752 respectively. The actual account of the number of

changes made in the Electoral Rolls of Raipur town could not be obtained. The Tahsildar, however, reported that the number of additions and deletions was about 70 per cent. of the total number of names originally entered in the Rolls.

In the National Register of Citizens of Bhandara Tahsil, fresh entries were made in respect of 13,279 new arrivals and 1,689 new births while entries were scored out in respect of 17,968 persons who had permanently left their original residence and 13,708 persons who had died. In the Electoral Rolls of the Tahsil, 9,147 names of deceased persons were deleted while names of 3,116 persons were scored out as they had ceased to fulfil the prescribed condition regarding residence. Again 13,884 fresh names were entered in the Rolls, of which 6,259 were of those who had attained the age of 21 years during 1952 while 7,625 were of those who had since acquired the necessary residential qualification.

The total number of names added and scored out in the National Register of Citizens of Amravati Tahsil was 17,127. The detailed account of the alteration carried out in the Electoral Rolls of the Tahsil could not be furnished by the Tahsildar.

Census of Sample Households :

9. A census of births and deaths was also undertaken side by side with the review in certain randomly chosen households in the selected Tahsils by the Deputy Registration Supervisors. The Deputy Registration Supervisors carried out the census while going from house to house for purposes of checking the work of Registrars and Additional Registrars relating to the combined review of the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls. The size of the sample was kept at about one per cent. of the total number of households in the district. The reference date of the census was the sunrise of the 1st December 1952. Births and deaths occurring during a period of one year prior to that date were recorded.

* * * * *

10. The following Table shows the number of sample households chosen in each selected Tahsil for purposes of carrying out the census:

Name of District	Name of Tahsil	No. of sample households chosen
1	2	3
Sagar	Sagar	2,472
Chhindwara	Chhindwara	2,435
Raipur	Raipur	4,183
Bhandara	Bhandara	2,507
Amravati	Amravati	2,396

The total numbers of household verification slips,

birth slips and death slips filled in each selected Tahsil are given below :

District	Tahsil	Total number of		
		Household Verification Slips	Birth Slips	Death Slips
1	2	3	4	5
Sagar	Sagar	2,472	443	293
Chhindwara	Chhindwara	2,436	510	285
Raipur	Raipur	4,183	699	439
Bhandara	Bhandara	2,507	611	329
Amravati	Amravati	2,396	455	247

11. Considerable care was taken by the district officers to ensure that the census was carried through with the maximum degree of accuracy. Besides the Registration Officers, Deputy Registration Officers, Naib Tahsildars and Registration Supervisors, the revenue officers of the neighbouring Tahsils as well as touring officers of other departments stationed in the district were also entrusted with the duty of checking on the spot the correctness of the returns during their tours. A campaign of intensive checking, much on the same lines as at the last decennial census, was organized in the selected Tahsils from the 16th to the 23rd December 1952.

12. The slips began pouring in by about the first week of January 1953. A tabulation office was set up with the help of a few temporary clerks who had already acquired some experience of sorting and compilation during the 1951 Census tabulation operations.

Preliminary Checking of Slips :

13. The slips were first subjected to thorough preliminary scrutiny in the Tabulation Office in order to supply omissions, correct obvious mistakes in them, etc. The instructions issued by the Registrar General in regard to the preliminary checking of the slips are as reproduced below :

"The most important preliminary is to examine all the slips, very careful checking for errors and omissions. If there is an entry of a child under 1 year in the household slip there *must* be a corresponding birth slip. The sex of the child on the birth slip must tally with the sex of the child on the household slip. The age of the mother if omitted from the birth slip can be deduced generally from the 'married female' column on the household slip.

If there is a birth slip for a household but no corresponding entry in the household slip of a child under 1 year probably it will be found that there is a death slip for the child. This should be verified.

In cases of still births there should only be a birth slip. It will be found that some enumerators have also prepared death slips and given the cause of death as 'still-born'. Such death slips should be removed, because still-births are not cases of infant deaths. These slips should not be allowed to swell the normal death totals.

Cases of still-births will be fairly frequent more so than cases of multiple birth. Still-births do not figure

in any of the tables. Therefore at the initial stage of scrutiny of the slips still-birth slips should be removed and should be classified by economic groups and the number in each economic group should be given in the fly-leaf to Table V.

Cases of multiple births will be infrequent, but it is possible that by mistake more than one slip has been prepared by the enumerator in cases of multiple births. This mistake has to be corrected. Since it is desirable to gather information about multiple births such multiple birth slips should be taken out at the stage of initial scrutiny. They will figure in the main body of Tables V and VI. The relevant information can be inserted in Tables V and VI after the main sorting is over. A note on the fly-leaf of Table VI should stage how many multiple births there were by the age of the mother."

* * * *

14. As many as 209 birth slips and 153 death slips were rejected. No household verification slip was rejected. Rejection of all of the birth and death slips was due to the fact that they had been prepared in respect of births and deaths not taking place within the prescribed 12-month period. While rejecting the birth slips and death slips relating to vital events occurring after the 1st. December 1952, changes were also simultaneously made in column (4) of the relevant household verification slip in order that the latter might give the population of the household just as it was at the reference date. In a few cases, only one birth slip or death slip had been prepared in respect of two or more births or deaths occurring in the household. A separate birth slip or death slip was prepared for each vital event in such cases.

* * * *

Representativeness of the Sample :

15. Before proceeding to analyse the data furnished by the census, the degree of representativeness of the sample chosen for carrying out the census was tested. The following characteristics of the Experimental Census population were, therefore, compared with those of the population of the areas as returned at the 1951 Census :

(a) The Livelihood Class Structure :

The following Table shows that the livelihood class break-up of the Experimental Census population closely agreed with that of the population of the area at the

Census of 1951. At the Experimental Census the livelihood class of every individual was taken to be the same as that of the head of the household. At the 1951 Census, on the other hand, the livelihood class of each person was

separately determined. Although the methods adopted for livelihood classification at the two censuses differed from each other, the net difference due to the cause is not likely to be appreciable.

**DISTRIBUTION OF 10,000 PERSONS AT THE 1951 CENSUS AND AT THE EXPERIMENTAL CENSUS IN THE
AREAS IN MADHYA PRADESH WHERE THE EXPERIMENTAL CENSUS WAS HELD**

	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV (Agricultural Classes excepting culti- vating labourers)		Livelihood Class III (Cultivating labourers)		Livelihood Classes V—VIII (Non- agricultural Classes)	
	1951 Census	Experimental Census	1951 Census	Experimental Census	1951 Census	Experimental Census
	1	2	3	4	5	6
Total	4,217	4,736	1,856	1,452	3,927	3,812
Rural	5,270	6,023	2,342	1,682	2,388	2,095
Urban	845	926	299	181	8,856	8,893

(b) Sex Ratios:

It will be seen from the table below that the sex-

ratios in the sample population and the general population in the areas of the State covered by the Experimental Census are practically the same.

NUMBER OF FEMALES PER 1,000 MALES

		Females per 1,000 males in the Experimental Census area according to	
		1951 Census 1	Experimental Census data 2
Total		977	985
Rural		998	1,000
Urban		909	942

(c) Age Structure :

A reference to the following table shows that the age

structure of the Experimental Census population resembled closely the age structure of the 10 per cent. sample population of the selected areas at the 1951 Census.

**DISTRIBUTION OF 10,000 PERSONS ACCORDING TO AGE IN 1951 CENSUS (SAMPLE) POPULATION
AND EXPERIMENTAL CENSUS POPULATION**

Age group	Males		Females	
	1951 Census	Experimental Census	1951 Census	Experimental Census
1	2	3	4	5
0	172	169	170	174
1—4	504	579	492	581
5—14	1,234	1,195	1,178	1,158
15—24	811	880	805	835
25—34	801	801	864	804
35—44	689	647	604	602
45—54	425	431	419	399
55—64	223	222	276	261
65—74	98	87	137	118
75 & over	37	28	52	35
Age not stated	3	...	6	...

(d) Marital Status Ratio :

The following table shows the distribution of 10,000 females in the Experimental Census area into 'Married' and 'Others' at the 1951 Census and the Experimental

Census. The figures for 1951 Census are those relating to the 10 per cent. sample population. It will be noticed that there is a striking resemblance between the corresponding marital status ratio figures of the two censuses.

DISTRIBUTION OF 10,000 FEMALES ACCORDING TO CIVIL CONDITION (MARRIED AND OTHERS)
AT THE 1951 CENSUS AND THE EXPERIMENTAL CENSUS

Age group	Males		Others	
	1951 Census	Experimental Census	1951 Census	Experimental Census
1	2	3	4	5
Under 15 years	345	345	3,331	3,512
15—24	1,323	1,463	287	219
25—34	1,469	1,480	259	140
35—44	962	943	245	272
45—54	485	421	352	372
55 & over	314	208	616	625
Age not stated	8	...	4	...

(e) Average size of households at 1951 Census and Experimental Census :

The following table shows that in the areas of Madhya Pradesh where the Experimental Census was held, the average size of a household as ascertained at the 1951 Census (4.43) was slightly less than that revealed by the Experimental Census (4.70).

AVERAGE SIZE OF A HOUSEHOLD

	1951 Census	Experimental Census
	1	2
Total	4.43	4.70
Rural	4.42	4.69
Urban	4.46	4.73

16. The aim of the Experimental Census was to ascertain as clearly as possible the extent of under-registration of births and deaths in the selected Tahsils.

Births:

17. It will appear that in the areas of Madhya Pradesh covered by the Experimental Census, the birth rate calculated from the data furnished by the Experimental Census was 38.1, while that worked out from the

number of births registered in the areas from the 1st December 1951 to the 30th November 1952 was 38.0. These figures tend to show that about 99.7 per cent. of the births get registered i.e. registration of births in the State is almost complete. Actually, however, this is not the case.

A glance at the Subsidiary Table (i) in Appendix II shows that the total number of births verified in the entire Experimental Census area was 2,507. Of these, 8.9 per cent. were reported as 'not registered' while in the case of 4.2 per cent. it was not known whether they had been registered or not. It will be further seen that the number of unregistered births per 100 registered births that came in the sample in Madhya Pradesh, was 10.3 while the corresponding percentage of 'not known' births was 4.8.

The true birth rates can be estimated by applying correction factors to the birth rates recorded under normal registration conditions, as described below. The proportion of 'not registered' and 'not known' births to 'registered births' can be worked out and by adding the former to the birth rates recorded under normal registration conditions, the lower limits of the true birth rates can be estimated, while by adding the proportional figures of both, the 'not registered' and 'not known' births, to the birth rates calculated from the registration data, the upper limits of the true birth rates can be estimated.

Columns (6) and (7) of the following Table give the lower and upper limits respectively of the true birth rates :

State & District (Experimental Census)		Birth rate re- corded at the Experimental Census	Birth rate re- corded under normal regis- tration condi- tions	Number of unregistered births per 100 registered births	Number of 'not known' cases per 100 registered births	Estimated true birth rate	
						Lower limit	Upper limit
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
Madhya Pradesh	Total	38.1	38.0	10.3	4.8	42.0	43.8
	Rural	40.9	40.0	6.6	4.2	43.5	45.2
	Urban	30.0	31.4	28.8	7.6	39.0	41.4
Sagar	T	38.0	37.8	5.9	3.0	40.2	41.3
	R	42.4	45.3	3.0	3.0	43.8	45.2
	U	29.7	22.7	14.7	2.9	33.0	33.7
Chhindwara	T	39.3	37.1	13.0	2.6	44.1	45.1
	R	39.6	37.0	12.6	2.5	44.3	45.2
	U	36.8	37.8	17.2	3.4	43.3	44.6
Raipur	T	34.9	31.4	20.8	8.4	41.4	44.0
	R	35.5	34.8	7.8	6.4	38.2	40.4
	U	33.3	21.3	94.8	20.8	53.5	57.9
Bhandara	T	44.5	43.0	4.2	3.3	46.3	47.7
	R	46.0	42.8	3.6	3.3	47.5	48.9
	U	37.1	43.5	8.6	2.9	40.8	42.1
Amravati	T	35.3	41.6	5.9	5.9	37.8	40.3
	R	44.4	43.9	5.6	5.6	46.9	49.4
	U	21.9	37.9	6.9	6.9	24.5	27.1

The estimated true birth rates given above cannot be regarded as quite correct. The greater the divergence between the birth rates yielded by the registration data and the Experimental Census, the lesser the accuracy of the estimated true birth rate. In fact, in the case of Amravati district, where the disparity between the figures in columns (2) and (3) is by far the most pronounced, the birth rates recorded under normal registration conditions are more reliable than the estimated true birth rates.

Deaths :

18. In the Experimental Census area of the State, the death rate worked out from the Experimental Census figures was 21.9 while that derived from the normal registration data of the area for the 12-month period preceding the 1st December 1952 was 24.4. That the

death rate recorded at the Experimental Census was lower than that recorded under normal registration conditions, clearly proved that the former was wrong because the registered figure is obviously the minimum.

The total number of deaths verified in the Experimental Census areas was 1,440, of which 11.7 per cent. were reported as 'not registered' and 5.8 per cent. as 'not known'. It will also be seen from columns (6) and (12) of the Table that the proportions of 'unregistered' deaths and 'not known' deaths per 100 registered deaths reported at the Experimental Census were 14.2 and 7.1 respectively.

The true death rates can be estimated in the same manner as the true birth rates by the application of the correction factors to the death rates calculated from the registration data. The following statement shows the

upper and lower limits of the estimated true death rates for the area covered by the Experimental Census:

State and Districts (Experimental Census)		Death rate re- corded at the Experimental Census	Death rate re- corded under normal regis- tration condi- tions	Number of unregistered deaths per 100 registered deaths	Number of 'not known' cases per 100 registered deaths	Estimated true death rate	
						Lower limits	Upper limits
						6	7
1		2	3	4	5		
Madhya Pradesh	Total	21.9	24.4	14.2	7.1	25.4	27.1
	Rural	23.8	26.5	10.1	5.8	26.5	28.0
	Urban	16.4	17.7	37.4	14.5	23.0	25.6
Sagar	T	25.0	26.4	11.2	5.2	28.0	29.4
	R	26.6	32.4	11.9	2.2	30.5	31.2
	U	22.0	14.6	9.6	12.3	23.4	25.2
Chhindwara	T	21.1	26.5	12.3	5.4	24.4	25.8
	R	22.0	26.7	12.4	5.7	25.3	26.8
	U	11.6	24.8	10.0	...	14.1	14.1
Raipur	T	21.2	22.2	26.2	9.7	27.0	29.2
	R	22.9	25.8	10.2	6.9	25.5	27.3
	U	16.6	11.7	217.4	43.5	42.0	47.1
Bhandara	T	23.4	23.7	10.2	4.7	25.8	26.9
	R	24.8	24.5	9.3	4.8	27.1	28.3
	U	16.7	19.4	17.2	3.4	20.0	20.7
Amravati	T	18.9	24.0	6.6	10.5	20.5	23.0
	R	23.7	25.2	5.8	9.5	25.2	27.6
	U	11.9	22.2	9.1	13.6	13.9	16.9

As in the case of birth, the estimated true death rates cannot be assumed to be accurate. In the case of Chhindwara and Amravati districts, the death rates recorded under normal registration are obviously nearer the correct figures than the estimated true death rates. The estimated true death rates depict the correct position more accurately in the case of Bhandara district than in the case of any other, since the difference between the death rates recorded at the Experimental Census and under normal registration conditions is the least for that district.

Another conclusion of the data collected is that higher the age-group of death, the lower is the proportion of unregistered deaths per 100 registered deaths. This is what may be expected for the likelihood of the death of an elderly person missing registration is much less than that in the case of an infant.

It will be seen that in the case of Chhindwara district and the urban area of Sagar district, the proportion of unregistered deaths per 100 registered deaths is invariably higher than the corresponding proportion in respect of births. If the information collected at the Experimental Census be taken as correct, conclusion is warranted that among vital occurrences, deaths miss registration to a greater extent than births. *Prima facie*, this conclusion appears to be erroneous, because normally there are far more chances of a birth at a place passing unnoticed than death.

Rural/Urban Characteristics :

19. The proportion of unregistered births per 100 registered births in each district is lower in rural than

in urban areas. It also appears that the corresponding proportion in respect of deaths is generally lower in the case of rural areas. It proves that the general standard of registration of births and deaths in rural areas is better than that in towns.

The birth rates and death rates, recorded at the Experimental Census as well as under normal registration conditions are higher in rural than in urban areas. That the infant mortality rates in rural areas are far in excess of those in urban areas will be evident from the figures in column (20) of the Subsidiary Table (IV).

Maternity Data :

20. A perusal of the table below shows that of the total number of births reported at the Experimental Census in the State, the mothers in the age-group 25—34 accounted for the largest percentage (50.10 per cent.) of births:

	Age-group of mothers				
	14 or less	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over
	1	2	3	4	5
Madhya Pradesh	0.11	23.22	50.10	24.27	2.30

The following Table compiled from the data furnished by the Experimental Census reveals that 2,491 mothers gave birth to 9,083 children during the 12-month period preceding the reference date of the census. The average number of children born per mother was 3.65, the average number of children surviving per mother

was 2·60 and the number of children surviving per 1,000 born was 712·8 :

NUMBER OF CHILDREN PER MOTHER

Number of mothers	2,491
Number of children born to them	9,083
Number of surviving children	6,474
Average number of children born per mother	3·65
Children surviving per 1,000 born	712·8
Average number of surviving children per mother	2·60

At the Experimental Census in Madhya Pradesh, 10 mothers less than 14 years of age had had an average of 1 child each, 1,071 mothers aged 15—24 had had an average of 1·97 children each, 1,042 mothers aged 25—34 gave birth to an average of 4·36 children each, 341 mothers aged 35—44 gave birth to an average of 6·46 children each and 27 mothers aged 45 and over accounted for an average of 7·74 children each.

It will be seen from the Table below that the proportion of children surviving to the total born is the highest in the case of children born to mothers aged 14 or less. In fact, the proportion in question steadily decreases as the age-group of mothers increases. This is natural as younger the mother, lesser the number of children born to her and thus smaller the number of children actually exposed to the risk of death.

Age of mother	Number of children surviving per 1,000 born
1	2
14 or less	90·00
15—24	77·29
25—34	70·31
35—44	68·06
45 & over	64·59

The survival rate :

21. The statistics collected at the Experimental Census gave a survival rate of 38·1 minus 21·9 i.e. 16·2. The registration data of the area covered by the Experimental Census for the 12-month period preceding the 1st December 1952 gave a survival rate of 38·0 minus 24·4 i.e. 13·6. The survival rate as worked out from the normal registration data of the whole of Madhya Pradesh for the calendar year 1951 was 9. The decennial rate of natural increase (registered) for the decade 1941—50 for Madhya Pradesh was 37·0 minus 30·3 i.e. 6·7.

Concluding remarks :

22. All the births and deaths occurring within the prescribed 12-month period in the selected Tahsils were not recorded at the Experimental Census. It is clear that if a complete record of vital occurrences had been obtained at the Census, better estimates of true birth rates and true death rates could have been secured.

The question is how to ensure a complete cover of all births and deaths in a sample inquiry of such a type. Success of any inquiry of this kind, doubtless, depends on the extent of co-operation received from the respondents. This point was realized at the outset and very adequate publicity was undertaken in the Experimental Census areas with a view to enlisting wholehearted co-operation of the public. But education of public opinion takes time. As public consciousness grows and people become more and more alive to their civic responsibilities, much better results may be expected in such inquiries in future.

Every precaution was taken in each selected Tahsil to ensure that the standard of training impart to the census officials was really high and that the arrangements made for the systematic checking on the spot of the work of Deputy Registration Supervisors left nothing to be desired. As the staff gains more experience in conducting such inquiries, improvement in the quality of work is bound to be achieved.

TABLES

								POPULATION			
State & District								Area (in square miles)	Number of households	Persons	
1								2	3	4	
MADHYA PRADESH								Total	130,272	4,886,049	21,247,533
								Rural		4,259,677	18,370,194
								Urban		626,372	2,877,339
Sagar	T R U	6,772	230,113 193,036 37,077	993,654 826,590 167,064
Jabalpur	T R U	3,926	245,413 174,871 70,542	1,045,596 746,785 298,811
Hoshangabad	T R U	5,864	197,363 166,428 30,935	847,898 714,762 133,136
Nimar	T R U	4,142	127,269 102,994 24,275	523,496 401,490 122,006
Mandla	T R U	5,122	111,223 108,071 3,152	547,620 533,377 14,243
Betul	T R U	3,886	95,252 87,276 7,976	451,655 417,901 33,754
Chhindwara	T R U	7,933	244,013 226,767 17,246	1,080,491 1,007,012 73,479
Raipur	T R U	8,205	402,161 370,698 31,463	1,640,006 1,514,076 125,930
Bilaspur	T R U	7,551	354,775 339,345 15,430	1,679,637 1,614,801 64,836
Durg	T R U	7,580	514,961 497,690 17,271	1,481,756 1,403,377 78,379
Bastar	T R U	15,091	166,304 161,831 4,473	913,746 895,029 18,717
Raigarh	T R U	5,093	181,865 169,611 12,254	919,520 864,624 54,896
Surguja	T R U	8,613	158,671 152,052 6,619	822,041 800,775 21,266
Chanda	T R U	9,223	205,814 185,365 20,449	977,618 885,140 92,478
Bhandara	T R U	3,580	249,328 229,772 19,556	1,071,657 979,692 91,965

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951

LATION

MALES	FEMALES	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
10,662,812	10,584,721	18,131,647	33.5	24.8
9,167,850	9,202,344	15,430,245	34.1	25.7
1,494,962	1,382,377	2,701,402	30.6	19.8
509,584	484,070	995,243	26.9	21.5
422,859	403,731	835,544	27.3	22.7
86,725	80,339	159,699	25.0	14.9
538,739	506,857	1,050,094	36.6	23.5
376,690	370,095	768,075	41.0	27.2
162,049	136,762	282,019	24.6	13.6
432,386	415,512	848,709	42.9	31.5
362,714	352,048	714,660	45.5	34.1
69,672	63,464	134,049	29.1	17.6
268,762	254,734	523,836	40.6	26.2
204,965	196,525	400,846	43.9	29.0
63,797	58,209	122,990	32.0	17.2
269,122	278,498	549,055	32.4	23.5
261,737	271,640	534,744	31.9	23.0
7,385	6,858	14,311	51.2	42.6
226,175	225,480	452,099	34.0	26.1
208,446	209,455	417,967	34.5	26.9
17,729	16,025	34,132	27.5	16.5
539,410	541,081	1,082,039	34.9	26.8
500,950	506,062	1,008,174	34.6	26.9
38,460	35,019	73,865	38.7	24.4
802,555	837,451	1,644,116	33.0	22.3
736,566	777,510	1,517,130	34.0	23.2
65,989	59,941	126,986	21.4	11.6
825,571	854,066	1,683,976	30.7	23.5
792,544	822,257	1,618,931	30.8	23.6
33,027	31,809	65,045	27.5	21.6
720,392	761,364	980,855	37.1	27.5
681,541	721,836	960,490	37.0	27.6
38,851	39,528	20,365	41.1	24.7
458,014	455,732	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
448,532	446,497	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
9,482	9,235	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
456,142	463,378	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
428,405	436,219	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
27,737	27,159	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
420,608	401,433	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
409,110	391,665	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
11,498	9,768	N. A.	N. A.	N. A.
489,620	487,998	981,095	31.0	23.8
442,956	442,184	888,182	29.6	23.1
46,664	45,814	92,913	43.9	30.1
534,589	537,068	1,075,272	36.1	24.7
486,916	492,776	982,474	36.3	25.2
47,673	44,292	92,798	33.8	20.4

I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered

										POPU-		
State & District										Area (in square miles)	Number of households	Persons
1										2	3	4
Balaghat	T R U	3,614	144,273 136,745 7,528	693,379 659,002 34,377
Wardha	T R U	2,429	127,836 99,661 28,175	538,903 413,051 125,852
Nagpur	T R U	3,842	259,046 146,102 112,944	1,234,556 656,906 577,650
Amravati	T R U	4,715	235,145 176,672 58,473	1,031,160 748,221 282,939
Akola	T R U	4,093	211,722 169,463 42,259	950,994 740,762 210,232
Buldana	T R U	3,760	212,156 181,423 30,733	870,168 726,571 143,597
Yeatmal	T R U	5,238	211,346 183,804 27,542	931,982 820,250 111,732

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951—(concl'd.)

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
348,689	344,690	695,075	20.6	19.6
331,223	327,779	668,970	20.7	20.0
17,466	16,911	26,105	15.6	10.0
271,751	267,152	539,556	26.2	20.5
207,228	205,823	412,855	23.6	20.3
64,523	61,329	126,701	34.7	21.4
631,199	603,357	1,240,375	27.1	22.3
333,777	323,129	662,945	30.3	25.3
297,422	280,228	577,430	23.5	19.0
526,593	504,567	1,032,548	37.2	28.3
379,479	368,742	748,903	38.4	30.8
147,114	135,825	283,645	33.8	21.8
485,119	465,875	952,436	40.6	26.2
375,536	365,226	740,927	39.2	26.2
109,583	100,649	211,509	45.7	26.3
439,270	430,898	871,811	36.7	25.0
365,013	361,558	727,380	37.1	25.5
74,257	69,340	144,431	34.9	22.6
468,522	463,460	933,457	33.7	30.7
410,663	409,587	821,048	33.4	31.5
57,859	53,873	112,409	35.7	24.8

NOTE : Registration data (columns 7, 8 & 9) relates to the year, 1952.

**I-B—Registered Deaths in Madhya Pradesh State
by age and Sex, 1952**

										Registered Deaths		
Age Group										Persons	Males	Females
1										2	3	4
										TOTAL		
All Ages	450,367	239,062	211,305
Under 1 year	117,908	64,152	53,756
1—4	89,183	47,961	41,222
5—9	27,310	14,793	12,517
10—14	20,079	10,763	9,316
15—19	20,087	10,245	9,842
20—29	29,290	14,161	15,129
30—39	28,539	15,000	13,539
40—49	26,964	14,911	12,053
50—59	27,141	14,680	12,461
60 & over	63,866	32,396	31,470
										RURAL		
All Ages	396,940	211,115	185,825
Under 1 year	104,446	56,792	47,654
1—4	78,159	42,331	35,828
5—9	24,124	13,164	10,960
10—14	17,568	9,413	8,155
15—19	17,410	8,991	8,419
20—29	25,470	12,422	13,048
30—39	25,183	13,257	11,926
40—49	23,854	13,193	10,661
50—59	24,174	13,018	11,156
60 & over	56,552	28,534	28,018
										URBAN		
All Ages	53,427	27,947	25,480
Under 1 year	13,462	7,360	6,102
1—4	11,024	5,630	5,394
5—9	3,186	1,629	1,557
10—14	2,511	1,350	1,161
15—19	2,677	1,254	1,423
20—29	3,820	1,739	2,081
30—39	3,356	1,743	1,613
40—49	3,110	1,718	1,392
50—59	2,967	1,662	1,305
60 & over	7,314	3,862	3,452

II—Distribution of Population in Madhya Pradesh

Persons					Males
Age Group	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
1	2	3	4	4	6
					TOTAL
All Ages	2,141,780	1,059,526	891,259	190,995	1,080,580
Under 1 year	72,990	...	72,990	...	37,510
1—4	223,315	...	223,315	...	112,810
5—14	524,671	63,806	457,794	3,071	268,536
15—24	346,741	237,901	100,523	8,317	175,890
25—34	347,897	306,574	20,784	20,539	173,717
35—44	269,877	230,539	6,551	32,787	140,707
45—54	182,074	132,726	4,608	44,740	92,562
55—64	104,957	58,875	2,504	43,578	48,211
65—74	47,953	20,819	1,221	25,913	20,826
75 & over	19,395	7,158	376	11,861	8,705
Age not stated	1,910	1,128	593	189	1,106
					RURAL
All Ages	1,859,381	928,679	763,651	167,051	932,341
Under 1 year	63,226	...	63,226	...	32,172
1—4	195,554	...	195,554	...	98,780
5—14	458,806	59,998	395,927	2,881	234,490
15—24	292,229	206,216	78,531	7,482	146,257
25—34	303,688	268,570	16,822	18,296	150,465
35—44	236,040	201,765	5,463	28,812	122,444
45—54	157,995	115,218	3,895	38,882	79,409
55—64	91,362	51,340	2,224	37,798	41,768
65—74	41,948	18,208	1,150	22,590	18,014
75 & over	16,759	6,298	321	10,140	7,515
Age not stated	1,774	1,066	538	170	1,027
					URBAN
All Ages	282,399	130,847	127,608	23,944	148,239
Under 1 year	9,764	...	9,764	...	5,338
1—4	27,761	...	27,761	...	14,030
5—14	65,865	3,808	61,867	190	34,046
15—24	54,512	31,685	21,992	835	29,633
25—34	44,209	38,004	3,962	2,243	23,252
35—44	33,837	28,774	1,088	3,975	18,263
45—54	24,079	17,508	713	5,858	13,153
55—64	13,595	7,535	280	5,780	6,443
65—74	6,005	2,611	71	3,323	2,812
75 & over	2,636	860	55	1,721	1,190
Age not stated	136	62	55	19	79

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females						
Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
534,191	493,959	52,430	1,061,200	525,335	397,300	138,565
...	37,510	...	35,480	...	35,480	...
...	112,810	...	110,505	...	110,505	...
22,908	244,646	982	256,135	40,898	213,148	2,089
95,563	77,263	3,064	170,851	142,338	23,260	5,253
152,211	13,639	7,867	174,180	154,363	7,145	12,672
126,674	3,899	10,134	129,170	103,865	2,652	22,653
79,096	2,271	11,195	89,512	53,630	2,337	33,545
37,641	1,005	9,565	56,746	21,234	1,499	34,013
14,277	360	6,189	27,127	6,542	861	19,724
5,156	183	3,366	10,690	2,002	193	8,495
665	373	68	801	463	220	121
466,946	419,640	45,755	927,040	461,733	344,011	121,296
...	32,172	...	31,054	...	31,054	...
...	98,780	...	96,774	...	96,774	...
22,073	211,480	937	224,316	37,925	184,447	1,944
83,183	60,176	2,898	145,972	123,033	18,355	4,584
133,089	10,327	7,049	153,223	135,481	6,495	11,247
110,297	3,249	8,898	113,596	91,468	2,214	19,914
67,987	1,845	9,577	78,586	47,231	2,050	29,305
32,668	843	8,257	49,594	18,672	1,381	29,541
12,477	298	5,239	23,934	5,731	852	17,351
4,540	134	2,841	9,244	1,758	187	7,299
632	336	59	747	434	202	111
67,245	74,319	6,675	134,160	63,602	53,289	17,269
...	5,338	...	4,426	...	4,426	...
...	14,030	...	13,731	...	13,731	...
835	33,166	45	31,819	2,973	28,701	145
12,380	17,087	166	24,879	19,305	4,905	669
19,122	3,312	818	20,957	18,882	650	1,425
16,377	650	1,236	15,574	12,397	438	2,739
11,109	426	1,618	10,926	6,399	287	4,240
4,973	162	1,308	7,152	2,562	118	4,472
1,800	62	950	3,193	811	9	2,373
616	49	525	1,446	244	6	1,196
33	37	9	57	29	18	10

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of

State & District	Number of Sample Households				POPUL.	
	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	All	
					Persons	
					Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
MADHYA PRADESH—						
Total	13,993	6,054	2,432	5,507	31,854	33,897
Rural	10,480	5,786	2,352	2,342	24,388	24,758
Urban	3,513	268	80	3,165	7,466	9,139
Sagar—						
T	2,472	880	421	1,171	5,142	6,465
R	1,628	831	416	381	3,408	4,157
U	844	49	5	790	1,734	2,308
Chhindwara—						
T	2,435	1,367	217	851	5,223	6,115
R	2,226	1,353	216	657	4,774	5,613
U	209	14	1	194	449	502
Raipur—						
T	4,183	2,025	674	1,484	10,320	8,740
R	3,039	1,953	657	429	7,922	6,152
U	1,144	72	17	1,055	2,398	2,588
Bhandara—						
T	2,507	1,144	342	1,021	6,263	6,285
R	2,102	1,088	320	694	5,333	5,114
U	405	56	22	327	930	1,171
Amravati—						
T	2,396	638	778	980	4,906	6,292
R	1,485	561	743	181	2,951	3,722
U	911	77	35	799	1,955	2,570

Sample Household Population by Districts, 1952

LATION									
Classes									
Males		Females		Livelihood Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V—VIII	
Married	Others	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
15,998	17,131	15,856	16,766	15,441	15,699	4,792	4,758	12,896	12,163
12,193	12,385	12,195	12,373	14,622	14,981	4,648	4,601	5,308	4,986
3,805	4,746	3,661	4,393	819	718	144	157	7,588	7,179
2,602	3,445	2,540	3,020	2,350	2,174	857	773	2,840	2,613
1,700	2,211	1,708	1,946	2,178	2,033	846	767	887	854
902	1,234	832	1,074	172	141	11	6	1,953	1,759
2,606	3,146	2,617	2,969	3,507	3,543	367	417	1,878	1,626
2,375	2,886	2,399	2,727	3,473	3,515	366	416	1,422	1,195
231	260	218	242	34	28	1	1	456	431
5,230	4,054	5,090	4,686	4,774	5,112	1,259	1,382	3,251	3,282
4,006	2,791	3,916	3,361	4,588	4,924	1,238	1,354	971	999
1,224	1,263	1,174	1,325	186	188	21	28	2,280	2,283
3,133	3,148	3,130	3,137	3,102	3,185	733	705	2,446	2,377
2,680	2,543	2,653	2,571	2,906	3,004	692	654	1,625	1,566
453	605	477	566	196	181	41	51	821	811
2,427	3,338	2,479	2,954	1,708	1,685	1,576	1,481	2,481	2,267
1,432	1,954	1,519	1,768	1,477	1,505	1,506	1,410	403	372
995	1,384	960	1,186	231	180	70	71	2,078	1,895

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Madhya Pradesh State, 1952

POPULATION

Age Group	Persons			Males		Females	
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TOTAL							
All Ages	65,751	31,854	33,897	15,998	17,131	15,856	16,766
Under 1 year	2,256	...	2,256	...	1,114	...	1,142
1—4	7,626	...	7,626	...	3,805	...	3,821
5—9	8,247	257	7,990	82	3,976	175	4,014
10—14	7,228	1,310	5,918	361	3,439	949	2,479
15—19	5,857	3,323	2,534	1,094	1,982	2,229	552
20—24	5,414	4,446	968	1,901	805	2,545	163
25—29	5,572	5,029	543	2,428	351	2,601	192
30—34	4,978	4,469	509	2,242	245	2,227	264
35—39	4,351	3,767	584	2,077	201	1,690	383
40—44	3,871	3,162	709	1,777	205	1,385	504
45—49	2,837	2,119	718	1,325	203	794	515
50—54	2,583	1,669	914	1,088	217	581	697
55—59	1,543	908	635	598	137	310	498
60—64	1,632	731	901	515	208	216	693
65—69	770	337	433	253	99	84	334
70—74	569	190	379	142	73	48	306
75 & over	417	137	280	115	71	22	209
Age not stated

RURAL

All Ages	49,146	24,388	24,758	12,193	12,385	12,195	12,373
Under 1 year	1,771	...	1,771	...	864	...	907
1—4	5,633	...	5,633	...	2,831	...	2,802
5—9	6,187	237	5,950	73	2,978	164	2,972
10—14	5,391	1,158	4,233	326	2,512	832	1,721
15—19	4,180	2,604	1,576	925	1,248	1,679	328
20—24	3,907	3,358	549	1,470	453	1,888	96
25—29	4,188	3,800	388	1,829	244	1,971	144
30—34	3,775	3,409	366	1,706	168	1,703	198
35—39	3,306	2,851	455	1,562	155	1,289	300
40—44	2,871	2,342	529	1,298	153	1,044	376
45—49	2,169	1,609	560	1,002	162	607	398
50—54	1,946	1,239	707	768	170	471	537
55—59	1,206	699	507	457	104	242	403
60—64	1,262	564	698	386	157	178	541
65—69	614	267	347	194	78	73	269
70—74	429	143	286	106	53	37	233
75 & over	311	108	203	91	55	17	148
Age not stated

URBAN

All Ages	16,605	7,466	9,139	3,805	4,746	3,661	4,393
Under 1 year	485	...	485	...	250	...	235
1—4	1,993	...	1,993	...	974	...	1,019
5—9	2,060	20	2,040	9	998	11	1,042
10—14	1,837	152	1,685	35	927	117	758
15—19	1,677	719	958	169	734	550	224
20—24	1,507	1,088	419	431	352	657	67
25—29	1,384	1,229	155	599	107	630	48
30—34	1,203	1,060	143	536	77	524	66
35—39	1,045	916	129	515	46	401	83
40—44	1,000	820	180	479	52	341	128
45—49	668	510	158	323	41	187	117
50—54	637	430	207	320	47	110	160
55—59	337	209	128	141	33	68	95
60—64	370	167	203	129	51	38	152
65—69	156	70	86	59	21	11	65
70—74	140	47	93	36	20	11	73
75 & over	106	29	77	24	16	5	61
Age not stated

V—Number of Births in Sample Households by

State & District		Number of Mothers				Persons				TOTAL
		Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
MADHYA PRADESH—										
Total	.	2,491	1,260	371	860	2,507	1,271	373	863	
Rural	.	1,994	1,215	362	417	2,009	1,226	364	419	
Urban	.	497	45	9	443	498	45	9	444	
Sagar—										
T	.	438	190	60	188	441	191	60	190	
R	.	319	181	60	78	321	182	60	79	
U	.	119	9	...	110	120	9	...	111	
Chhindwara—										
T	.	444	273	30	141	446	274	30	142	
R	.	409	271	30	108	411	272	30	109	
U	.	35	2	...	33	35	2	...	33	
Raipur—										
T	.	661	361	97	203	666	365	98	203	
R	.	495	348	93	54	500	352	94	54	
U	.	166	13	4	149	166	13	4	149	
Bhandara—										
T	.	556	286	59	211	559	289	59	211	
R	.	478	274	58	146	481	277	58	146	
U	.	78	12	1	65	78	12	1	65	
Amravati—										
T	.	392	150	125	117	395	152	126	117	
R	.	293	141	121	31	296	143	122	31	
U	.	99	9	4	86	99	9	4	86	

Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

NUMBER OF BIRTHS								WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED					
Males				Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
1,251	603	193	455	1,256	668	180	408	1,087	1,092	102	122	62	42
993	587	184	222	1,016	639	180	197	894	920	55	64	44	32
258	16	9	233	240	29	...	211	193	172	47	58	18	10
221	90	37	94	220	101	23	96	203	202	11	13	7	5
167	88	37	42	154	94	23	37	157	146	5	4	5	4
54	2	...	52	66	7	...	59	46	56	6	9	2	1
227	125	17	85	219	149	13	57	190	196	30	20	7	3
210	124	17	69	201	148	13	40	176	181	27	18	7	2
17	1	...	16	18	1	...	17	14	15	3	2	...	1
317	164	50	103	349	201	48	100	250	265	40	67	27	17
227	162	46	19	273	190	48	35	203	235	10	24	14	14
90	2	4	84	76	11	...	65	47	30	30	43	13	3
283	151	21	111	276	138	38	100	264	256	10	12	9	8
240	143	20	77	241	134	38	69	226	224	5	11	9	6
43	8	1	34	35	4	...	31	38	32	5	1	...	2
203	73	68	62	192	79	58	55	180	173	11	10	12	9
149	70	64	15	147	73	58	16	132	134	8	7	9	6
54	3	4	47	45	6	...	39	48	39	3	3	3	3

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

Order of Birth	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	2,491	9,083	6,474	10	10	9	1,071	2,109	1,630
1st	520	520	466	10	10	9	447	447	399
2nd	492	984	802	342	684	544
3rd	390	1,170	898	182	546	399
4th	323	1,292	934	70	280	193
5th	249	1,245	895	28	140	88
6th	190	1,140	791	2	12	7
7th & above	327	2,732	1,688
RURAL									
All Orders	1,994	7,248	4,988	7	7	6	845	1,639	1,232
1st	419	419	366	7	7	6	357	357	310
2nd	403	806	641	277	554	428
3rd	313	939	698	140	420	295
4th	253	1,012	712	49	196	132
5th	190	950	653	20	100	60
6th	152	912	604	2	12	7
7th & above	264	2,210	1,314
URBAN									
All Orders	497	1,835	1,486	3	3	3	226	470	398
1st	101	101	100	3	3	3	90	90	89
2nd	89	178	161	65	130	116
3rd	77	231	200	42	126	104
4th	70	280	222	21	84	61
5th	59	295	242	8	40	28
6th	38	228	187
7th & above	63	522	374

Households of Madhya Pradesh State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1952

OF MOTHER											
25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1,042	4,551	3,200	341	2,204	1,500	27	209	135
61	61	56	2	2	2
137	274	237	13	26	21
182	546	434	25	75	62	1	3	3
212	848	612	40	160	126	1	4	3
173	865	617	47	235	185	1	5	5
124	744	517	58	348	245	6	36	22
153	1,213	727	156	1,358	859	18	161	102
854	3,705	2,511	267	1,742	1,147	21	155	92
53	53	48	2	2	2
116	232	197	10	20	16
154	462	357	18	54	43	1	3	3
171	684	480	32	128	97	1	4	3
138	690	471	31	155	117	1	5	5
99	594	391	46	276	187	5	30	19
123	990	567	128	1,107	685	13	113	62
188	846	689	74	462	353	6	54	43
8	8	8
21	42	40	3	6	5
28	84	77	7	21	19
41	164	132	8	32	29
35	175	146	16	80	68
25	150	126	12	72	58	1	6	3
30	223	160	28	251	174	5	48	40

VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic

		TOTAL NUMBER							
		Persons				Malas			
State & District		Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MADHYA PRADESH—									
Total	.	1,440	728	255	457	724	372	131	221
Rural	.	1,168	704	249	215	598	362	129	107
Urban	.	272	24	6	242	126	10	2	114
Sagar—									
T	.	290	107	43	140	137	50	26	61
R	.	201	103	43	55	100	49	26	25
U	.	89	4	...	85	37	1	...	36
Chhindwara—									
T	.	239	162	21	56	122	82	7	33
R	.	228	161	21	46	115	81	7	27
U	.	11	1	...	10	7	1	...	6
Raipur—									
T	.	405	230	66	109	202	118	31	53
R	.	322	222	64	36	161	115	30	16
U	.	83	8	2	73	41	3	1	37
Bhandara—									
T	.	294	167	37	90	148	82	17	49
R	.	259	162	33	64	132	79	16	37
U	.	35	5	4	26	16	3	1	12
Amravati—									
T	.	212	62	88	62	115	40	50	25
R	.	158	56	88	14	90	38	50	2
U	.	54	6	...	48	25	2	...	23

Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

OF DEATHS				WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED					
Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
716	356	124	236	596	591	83	86	45	39
570	342	120	108	522	486	49	53	27	31
146	14	4	128	74	105	34	33	18	8
153	57	17	79	117	132	12	16	8	5
101	54	17	30	89	87	9	12	2	2
52	3	...	49	28	45	3	4	6	3
117	80	14	23	103	100	13	12	6	5
113	80	14	19	97	96	12	12	6	5
4	4	6	4	1
203	112	35	56	149	149	40	38	13	16
161	107	34	20	141	134	14	14	6	13
42	5	1	36	8	15	26	24	7	3
146	85	20	41	127	129	15	11	6	6
127	83	17	27	115	112	11	10	6	5
19	2	3	14	12	17	4	1	...	1
97	22	38	37	100	81	3	9	12	7
68	18	38	12	80	57	3	5	7	6
29	4	...	25	20	24	...	4	5	1

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Madhya Pradesh State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1952**

NUMBER OF DEATHS												
Total				Rural			Urban					
Age Group	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10			
All Ages	1,440	724	716	1,168	598	570	272	126	146			
Under 1 year	251	137	114	238	129	109	13	8	5			
1—4	358	178	180	277	134	143	81	44	37			
5—9	76	43	33	61	34	27	15	9	6			
10—14	29	18	11	20	14	6	9	4	5			
15—19	47	16	31	34	13	21	13	3	10			
20—24	59	20	39	45	17	28	14	3	11			
25—29	48	15	33	38	12	26	10	3	7			
30—34	48	19	29	35	14	21	13	5	8			
35—39	46	22	24	38	21	17	8	1	7			
40—44	52	27	25	40	23	17	12	4	8			
45—49	37	24	13	29	19	10	8	5	3			
50—54	72	44	28	55	37	18	17	7	10			
55—59	42	25	17	32	19	13	10	6	4			
60—64	97	46	51	77	38	39	20	8	12			
65—69	45	24	21	39	19	20	6	5	1			
70—74	54	27	27	44	24	20	10	3	7			
75 & over	76	38	38	63	30	33	13	8	5			
Age not stated	3	1	2	3	1	2			

MADHYA BHARAT

**Report on Operations connected with the Improvement of Population Data,
Madhya Bharat, 1952**

By

(SHRI RANG LAL, *Superintendent of Census Operations*)

This Report is in two parts. Part I reviews briefly the existing methods of registration of births and deaths and sets out specific proposals for the reorganization of the system of Registration of vital occurrences. Part II reviews briefly the manner in which the Experimental Census of births and deaths was carried out and exhibits in Tabular form the results of that Census.

PART I

REGISTRATION OF VITAL OCCURRENCES :

2. It appears from the Report of the Director of Health Services that the existing system of registration of births and deaths is not universally enforced throughout the State and that even in registration areas the arrangements for the collection of births and deaths statistics are not satisfactory. In Rural areas the village Chowkidar is required to report all vital occurrences. The reports are made to the Patwari who maintains a register of births and deaths and sends a monthly return to the Deputy Collector of the district through the Tahsildar concerned. The Deputy Collector prepares a consolidated statement and sends it every month to the Deputy Director of Health Services of the Division.

3. In towns different systems and different bye-laws are in force. In some the original reporting agency in the case of births is a parent while in others the midwife or sweeper or both. The arrangements for the registration of births and deaths are unsatisfactory even in large Municipal towns. The Director's Report as to the agency responsible for the reporting of deaths in urban areas is silent. All that is known is that generally the responsibility has been laid either on members of the family of the deceased or on sweepers or on both. Municipalities with a population of 30,000 and over submit their returns of births and deaths every week and smaller Municipalities every month to the Deputy Director of Health Services send every month consolidated statements of births and deaths for their Division to the Director of Health Services.

4. The statistics of births and deaths are not published by the Health Department, only those of towns having a population of 30,000 and over are sent to the Director General of Health Services, Government of India and are published by him along with the figures for the other States in the weekly report on vital statistics. Monthly statements of vital statistics are also sent to the Economic and Development Adviser, Madhya Bharat who publishes the information in the Statistical Bulletins of his Department.

5. The Sample inquiry recently carried out by me and the statistics actually collected for the last three years by the Health Department show that the standard of registration in Madhya Bharat is very low and that the statistics produced are entirely unreliable and tantalisingly deficient at critical points.

Existing Provisions :

6. Provisions for the collection of vital statistics in rural areas have been made in the 'the Madhya Bharat Panchayat Act' (Act XXXXVIII of 1949). Section 33 of this Act provides that it shall be the duty of every 'Kendra Panchayat' to arrange for the registration of births, deaths and marriages in the villages within its jurisdiction *provided that it can find adequate funds for the purpose*. Section 35 of the same Act imposes a similar duty on a 'Mandal (District) Panchayat' in respect of the collection of essential statistical data. But in this case also the performance of this duty is subject to the availability of funds. Panchayats established under this Act started functioning in 1950-51 but no Panchayat has so far been able to do anything in this direction.

7. For the registration of births and deaths in urban areas provisions have been made in the bye-laws of some Municipalities but nowhere except perhaps in the Indore city are these provisions rigorously enforced. In the new Municipalities Bill which is about to become Law the following provisions have been made:

I

"It shall be the duty of every Municipality to make reasonable and adequate provisions (i) for the following matters within the Municipal limits under its authority, namely :

* * * *

(b) Registering births, marriages and deaths."

* * * *

[Section 65]

II

"A Municipality may from time to time with the previous sanction of the Government in the case of a city Municipality and of the Inspector General of Municipalities in the case of a I or II class Municipality make bye-laws not inconsistent with this Act, and may from time to time alter or rescind them:

* * * *

(h) For the registration of births, deaths and marriages within the Municipality and for enforcing the supply of such information as may be necessary to make such registration effective;

* * * *

provided always that the Municipality may with the previous sanction of the Government, prescribe a fine not exceeding Rs. 500 for every infringement of any such bye-law as well as for recurring infringement of any one bye-law by a particular individual or party."

[Section 46 (i)]

8. The provisions made in the Panchayat Act are of a permissive and hesitant character and are therefore inadequate for securing complete registration of births

and deaths. Provisions made in the Municipal Bill are also defective because even if all the Municipalities do all they can to secure complete registration of vital events the system will not be uniform and the data collected will vary from place to place as regards details. The first thing to do, therefore, is to give the whole subject of vital registration a standing by enacting a separate law for the purpose as has been done by many other States. Such Act should provide among other things for :

- (a) Compulsory reporting of vital occurrences by certain persons,
- (b) Penalties for failures to report by such persons,
- (c) Establishment of Registration Offices at convenient places,
- (d) Appointment of Registration Officers and
- (e) Prescribing forms for the collection of required data on births and deaths.

9. The draft of a Bill is appended for the consideration of the Government. It is recommended that in rural areas the unit of Registration should be the area within the jurisdiction of a village Panchayat, that the Registrar should be the Secretary of the village Panchayat and that the Secretary of the *Kendra* Panchayat should be the Supervising Registrar. The latter officer should be required to supervise the work of the Registrar and to prepare and submit to the Chief Registration Officer of the district all statements required by him. The Chief Registration Officer should be the Electoral Registra-

tion Officer of the district appointed under Section 22 of the Representation of the People Act, 1950.

10. The Chief Electoral Officer of the State should be the Deputy Registrar General and he should be empowered to exercise such powers and functions as the Government may, from time to time, delegate to him. This officer should also be the Ex-officio Secretary to the Government and should be authorised to correspond direct with the Registrar General, India.

PART II

RESULTS OF EXPERIMENTAL CENSUS OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS

11. With a view to arriving at some estimate of the birth and death rates about which we know next to nothing at present an Experimental Census of births and deaths was taken on a sample basis in conjunction with the preparation of Electoral Rolls and the revision of the National Register of Citizens. All these three operations were carried out under my supervision by the staff appointed for the preparation of the Electoral Rolls.

12. The Census of births and deaths was confined to areas selected for the intensive revision of Rolls, that is to say, to areas in which Rolls were prepared *de novo* by means of house-to-house enquiry. For such revision of the Rolls, Government selected one-fifth area of each district in addition to the towns of Gwalior, Indore, Ujjain and Ratlam. The areas selected were these :

II. Other Areas

I. Town Areas	Constituency	District
1	2	3
(1) Lashkar Constituency	(1) Pichhore-Bhander	Gird
(2) Gwalior Constituency	(2) Ghatigaon	Gird
(3) Morar Constituency	(3) Bhind	Bhind
(4) Indore City "A" Constituency	(4) Morena	Morena
(5) Indore City "B" Constituency	(5) Karera	Shivpuri
(6) Indore City "C" Constituency	(6) Goona	Goona
(7) Indore City "D" Constituency	(7) Rajgarh	Rajgarh
(8) Ujjain City Constituency	(8) Basoda	Bhilsa
(9) Ujjain Tehsil Constituency and	(9) Shajapur	Shajapur
(10) Ratlam City Constituency	(10) Bagli	Dewas
	(11) Sitamau	Mandsaur
	(12) Sardarpur	Dhar
	(13) Jhabua	Jhabua
	(14) Khargone	Nimar
	(15) Banwani	Nimar

13. The Census covered approximately one per cent. of the households in the areas selected. The households were selected on a random basis from the copies of the National Register of Citizens prepared at the time of the 1951 population Census. Instructions in regard to the abstraction of sample households were issued to the Deputy Collectors who were made responsible for the Experimental Census of births and deaths. In the case of cities every 100th house came under this sample and in rural areas the sample included every 20th village and every 5th household of each village selected. For purposes of this Census the twelve months between-Diwali period was fixed. The Census thus covered the period from 30/10/51 to 18/10/1952 and every householder was required to state the number of births or deaths that had taken place during this period. It was explained to the Enumerators that for purposes of the Experimental Census a birth in the case of a wife who had gone to her parent's house or elsewhere for confinement should be treated as having occurred in the wife's husband's household. Similarly deaths that took place in hospitals or elsewhere were to be treated as occurring in the sample households. In order to prevent the size of the sample from being unduly diminished changes in residence of households were ignored and new household that had come in place of the sample household were treated as sample household for the purposes of the Census. Similarly in case the house containing the sample household

was found to be empty at the time of the Census the house next to the sample household was substituted. Thus the size of the sample was kept at roughly 1 per cent. of the households in the selected areas at the time of the 1951 Census. Actually one household in every 368 came under the sample.

14. For the presentation of the results of the Census 8 Tables were prescribed by the Registrar General, India. It will appear from the Tables that, of the 733 births occurring in the sample household only 189 and of the 419 deaths occurring in the sample households only 116 are reported to have been registered.

15. The size of the sample is small and the areas selected are not representative of the whole district and therefore any conclusions drawn from the figures as to particular details such as birth and death rates for small areas must be highly speculative.

16. According to the results of the Experimental Census, the birth and death rates for the State as a whole and its Natural Divisions are as shown in the Table below. This Table also shows the birth and death rates calculated on the basis of the figures supplied by the Health Department. The period covered by the Census is from 30/10/1951 to 18/10/1952 and that for which figures have been supplied by the Health Department is from 1/11/1951 to 31/10/1952.

Birth and death rates per 1,000 of population calculated on the basis of

State & Natural Division	Results of the Experimental Census		Figures supplied by the Health Department	
	Birth	Death	Birth	Death
1	2	3	4	5
State	33.0	18.8	10.1	6.5
Lowland	28.3	13.5	7.9	3.1
Plateau	34.7	22.0	12.0	8.1
Hills	36.2	19.7	8.7	5.7

The Madhya Bharat Births and Deaths Registration Act, 1953

AN ACT FOR REGISTERING BIRTHS AND DEATHS

PREAMBLE :

WHEREAS it is expedient to provide the means for a complete register of births and deaths ;

It is hereby enacted as follows :

Power to direct registration of births and deaths and define area :

1. The Government may at any time, by a notification published in the Official Gazette, direct that all births and deaths, or all births, or all deaths, occurring within the limits of any area after a certain date to be named in such notification shall be registered, and for that purpose may define the limits of such area.

From and after such date this Act shall apply to the whole of the area so defined.

Magistrate may divide area into registration units and may appoint registrars :

2. The Magistrate of the district may, for the purpose of such registration, divide any such area into such and so many registration units as he may think fit, and may appoint one or more persons to be registrars of births or of deaths, or of births and deaths, within such registration unit, and may at any time for sufficient reason dismiss any such registrar and may fill up any vacancy in the office of registrar.

Magistrate to publish list of registrars :

The Magistrate shall cause to be published a list containing the name and place of office of every registrar in the area, and specifying the hours of the day during which such registrar shall attend at his office for the purpose of registration.

Every registrar to have an office within his registration unit :

3. Every registrar shall have an office within the registration unit of which he is appointed registrar, and shall cause his name with the addition of registrar of births (or of deaths, or of births and deaths according to his appointment) for the registration unit for which he is so appointed, and notice of the hours during which he will attend for the purpose of registration, to be affixed in some conspicuous place on or near the outer door of his office.

Magistrate to have register books prepared and numbered :

4. The Magistrate shall cause to be prepared a sufficient number of register-books formaking entries of all births or deaths or both, according to such forms as the Government may from time to time sanction : and the pages of such books shall be numbered progressively from the beginning to the end : and every place of entry shall be also numbered progressively from the beginning to the end of the book, and every entry shall be divided from the following entry by a line.

Registrar to inform himself of, and register, births and deaths :

5. Every registrar shall inform himself carefully of every birth, or of every death, or of both according

to his appointment, which shall happen in his registration unit, and shall register, as soon as conveniently may be after the event, without fee or reward, the particulars required to be registered, according to the forms mentioned in the last preceding section, touching every such birth or every such death, as the case may be which shall not have been already registered.

Chaukidar to obtain particulars and to report to registrar :

6. Every chaukidar or other village-watchman in any area to which this Act shall apply or where there is no chaukidar or other village-watchman, such person as the Magistrate may appoint, shall be required to report every birth or death occurring within his beat to such registrar and at such periods as the Magistrate may direct.

He shall obtain in writing, if possible, and if it is impossible for him to obtain in writing he shall obtain verbally, from any person who is bound to give information of the birth or death, all particulars which are required to be known and registered and he shall report such particulars to the registrar.

Penalty for neglect :

Any chaukidar or other village-watchman or other person so appointed who wilfully or negligently refuses or omits to produce such writing, if any, or to report such birth or death, shall be punishable at the discretion of the Magistrate with fine which may extend to two rupees.

Persons bound to give information of birth :

7. The father or mother of every child born within such area, or in case of the death, illness, absence or inability* of the father and mother, the midwife assisting at the birth of such child, shall within eight days next after the day of every such birth, give information, either personally or in writing to the registrar of the registration unit, or by means of the chaukidar or other village watchman, or other person as provided in the last preceding section, according to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, of the several particulars hereby required to be known and registered touching the birth of such child.

Penalty for neglect :

Any person who refuses or neglects to give any information which it is his duty to give under this section, shall be punishable at the discretion of the Magistrate with fine which may extend to five rupees :

Provided that not more than one person shall be punishable at the discretion of the Magistrate for such refusal or neglect to give information.

Persons bound to give information of death :

8. The nearest male relative of the deceased present at the death, or in attendance during the last illness of any person dying, within such area, or in the absence of any such relative, the occupier of the

house, or, if the occupier be the person who shall have died, some male inmate of the house in which such death shall have happened, shall, within eight days next after the day of such death, give information either personally or in writing to the registrar of the registration unit, or by means of the chaukidar or other village-watchman or other person as provided in section 6, according to the best of his knowledge and belief, of the several particulars hereby required to be known and registered touching the death of such persons.

Provided that no persons shall be bound to give the name of any female relative.

Penalty for neglect :

Any person who refuses or neglects to give any information, which it is his duty to give under this section, shall be punishable at the discretion of the Magistrate with fine which may extend to five rupees :

Provided that not more than one person shall be punishable for such refusal or neglect to give information.

Penalty for registrar refusing to register :

9. Any registrar who refuses or neglects to register any birth or death occurring within his registration unit, which he is bound to register, within a reasonable time after he shall have been duly informed thereof, or demands or accepts any fee or reward or other gratification as a consideration for making such registry, shall be punishable at the discretion of the Magistrate with fine which may extend to fifty rupees for each such refusal or neglect.

Penalty for wilfully giving false information :

10. Whoever wilfully makes or causes to be made, for the purpose of being inserted in any register of births or deaths, any false statement touching any of the particulars required to be known and registered, shall be punishable at the discretion of the Magistrate with a fine not exceeding fifty rupees.

Municipality under Madhya Bharat Municipalities Act, may arrange for keeping register of births or deaths or both :

11. In any place to which the Madhya Bharat Municipalities Act, shall have been extended, the Municipal Commissioner or the Chief Executive Officer (as the case may be) arrange for keeping a register of all births or of all deaths or of all births and deaths, occurring within the Municipality.

With effect from the date fixed by the Commissioner or the Chief Executive Officer (as the case may be) of a Municipality, all the provisions of this Act shall be deemed to apply to the Municipality concerned and the Municipal Commissioner or the Chief Executive Officer (as the case may be) of such Municipality shall be authorised to provide out of the Municipal funds for the employment of a sufficient number of Registrars and for the expenditure necessary for the maintenance of such registers and shall exercise all the powers of a Magistrate under this Act.

Magistrate may depute subordinate Magistrate to discharge his functions :

12. The Magistrate of a registration unit may depute any subordinate Magistrate to exercise the powers and to perform the duties vested in the Magistrate by this Act within such registration unit or any part thereof.

Report on the Experimental Census of Births and Deaths, Madhya Bharat, 1953

By

(SHRI, DAYA RAM GUPTA, *Deputy Chief Electoral Officer*)

Purpose of the enquiry :

1. This enquiry is a part of the scheme of the Improvement of Population Data and was first conducted in the year 1952 on the recommendation of the Government of India. One of the purpose of the enquiry was to arrive at some estimate of the birth and death rates about which we have no authentic statistics so far due to incomplete registration of vital events. The report on the 1952 enquiry was submitted to the Registrar General, India and the Chief Secretary, Madhya Bharat Government on 30th September, 1953 by Shri Rang Lal who was appointed as the Officer on Special Duty for the purpose of

carrying out the enquiry. The enquiry to which the report relates is second in succession and was undertaken under the orders of the Madhya Bharat Government communicated through the Law Department's letter No. 1899-VI-341/53 dated the 16th September, 1953.

Scope of the enquiry :

2. (a) As on the previous occasion the 1953 enquiry was also confined to the areas selected for the intensive revision of the electoral rolls. These were the following :

Exclusively Urban Areas	Other Areas	
	Constituency	District
1	2	3
1 Lashkar Constituency	1 Labar	Bhind
2 Gwalior Constituency	2 Joura	Morena
3 Morar Constituency (Urban)	3 Pichhore North	Shivpuri
4 Ujjain City Constituency	4 Chachaura	Goona
5 Ujjain Tahsil Constituency (Urban portion)	5 Kurwai	Bhilsa
6 Indore City "A" }	6 Narsingarh	Rajgarh
7 Indore City "B" }	7 Shajapur	Shajapur
8 Indore City "C" }	8 Khategaon	Dewas
9 Indore City "D" }	9 Barnagar	Ujjain
Constituencies (Urban portion only)		10 Mhow
		Indore
		11 Neemuch
		Mandsaur
		12 Mandsaur South
		Mandsaur
		13 Ratlam Tahsil
		Ratlam
		14 Sailana
		Ratlam
		15 Dhar-Badnawar
		Dhar
		16 Jobat
		Jhabua
		17 Sendhwa
		Nimar

Thus out of 79 Constituencies this Census was carried out in 20 Constituencies and parts of 6 Constituencies.

(b) The Census as in 1952 was taken on random sample basis but its scope was widened in the 1953 enquiry by extending the size of the sample by five times i.e. from 1/100 to 1/20. This enlargement of the sample was considered necessary because the former sample was too small to give any reliable result for smaller units i.e. Districts etc. and for all the characters investigated e.g. causes of deaths etc. As a result of this expansion of

the sample one household out of every 77 households in the State came in under the present enquiry as compared with 1 in 368 in the year 1952.

Method of the enquiry :

3. The enquiry was conducted by the staff of the District Election Office by visiting every household coming into the sample and recording the particulars about the inhabitants of the households and the births and deaths which had occurred in the sample households during the mid-Dewali period i.e. between 18th October, 1952 and

8th November, 1953. Comprehensive and detailed instructions for drawing the sample, filling in of the enumeration forms and the tabulation and compilation of the statistics were issued from the Election and Electoral Department together with necessary forms required for the purpose.

The Statistics :

4. (a) The results of the enquiry have been tabulated and compiled in the following seven tables attached to this report :

Table I Total number of Births and Deaths by Social Groups.

Table II Age, Sex and Marital Status of the Sample Household Population.

Table III Number of Births in Sample Households by Social Groups and their Registration.

Table IV Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Social Groups and Registration.

Table V Number of children born and surviving to Mothers in Sample Households by order of Births and present age of Mothers.

Table VI Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Sex and Age of the Deceased.

Table VII Deaths classified according to the Causes of Death.

(b) The above Tables differ considerably from those prepared in 1952. The change in the Table form has

been made with a view to make the best use of all the information collected and also with the intention that some important statistics may be exhibited social-group-wise i.e. for the Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes and the rest.

Accuracy of Statistics :

5. Before proceeding to discuss the statistics of this Experimental Census a word of caution seems to be necessary about the accuracy of the statistics. As is obvious the results cannot be cent per cent. accurate, firstly because the sample was not wholly random because the Constituencies in which the enquiry was conducted were not selected by random process but were chosen from the administrative point of view, and secondly even in random samples there are the usual sampling errors. Another factor affecting the accuracy of the statistics was that this enquiry was voluntary and there was no legal obligation on the persons under investigation to give true and accurate information. There are also certain other sources of inaccuracy such as inadvertent mistakes in giving and recording information, ignorance of the informants and lack of proper care on the part of the enumerating agency.

Household and Household Population which has been investigated :

6. According to the 1951 Census there are in Madhya Bharat 1,594,584 households and 7,870,735 household population. The number of households, and the population which came under investigation are 20,746 and 106,755 respectively which comes to about 1·3 and 1·4 per cent. respectively. The following table shows the investigated population of each social group and its ratio to the total State Population of that group :—

TABLE—1

Social Group	Population in 1951	Population investigated	Percentage of investigated population to total
1	2	3	5
Scheduled Castes	1,323,881	16,642	1·3
Scheduled Tribes	1,060,812	19,157	1·8
Others	5,569,461	70,956	1·3
Total	7,954,154	106,755	1·3

Thus it will be seen that the sample is fairly representative of all the populations except that of Scheduled Tribes which has an excess representation owing to the inclusion of three Tribal constituencies in the sample.

Crude Birth and Death-rates :

7. (a) In the 106,755 sample population there have occurred 3,265 Births and 1,651 Deaths during the mid-Dewali period of about a year and there-

fore the crude Birth and Death-rates come to 30·6 and 15·5 per thousand respectively as compared with 33·0 and 18·8 per thousand respectively in the year 1952. Further analysis of the figures discloses that there is considerable difference between the figures of exclusively urban areas and those of the other areas, and also between those of the different social groups. The table given below exhibits these differences :—

TABLE—2

Rates	Social Groups				
	Scheduled Castes	Scheduled Tribes	Others	Other areas	Urban areas
1	2	3	4	5	6
Birth Rate	34·4	35·5	28·3	34·9	21·8
Death Rate	16·5	18·7	14·4	18·9	7·2

From these figures we can draw two broad conclusions viz. (1) that both Birth and Death-rates are high in the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes in comparison with others; (2) that in the city areas both these rates are considerably low.

(b) Here it may be mentioned that the Birth and Death-rates are lower in cities everywhere due to low proportion of females, better medical facilities, etc.

(c) The Birth and Death-rates in other areas are considerably high in comparison with the urban areas. Birth-rate in other areas being 34.9 per thousand and Death-rate 18.9.

(d) If we examine the figures district-wise then it is found that the highest Birth-rate of 52.9 per thousand is found in Pichhore North Constituency of Shivpuri District followed by 50.5 per thousand in Dhar-Badnawar Constituency of Dhar District. Ratlam Tahsil and Sailana Constituencies also have a Birth-rate of above 40 per thousand.

(e) The Death-rate is highest in Kurwai Constituency of Bilhisa District being 31.5 per thousand. Next comes Shujalpur Constituency of Shajapur District with 30.5 per thousand.

Multiple Births and Still Births :

8. The number of mothers who gave births to twins was 26. Out of 52 children born to these 26 mothers 21 were males and 31 females. Out of 26 cases of multiple births the age of the mothers in 7 cases was between 15 to 24 years, in 14 cases between 25 to 34 years and in 5 cases between 35 to 44 years. The reported cases of still births are only 4 and it seems that the information in this respect has been suppressed.

Births by Sex :

9. Out of 3,265 births recorded in sample households 1,634 were boys and 1,631 girls. In other words there were 1,002 male births to 1000 female births. This indicates that at birth the number of girls is almost equal to the number of boys and it is in subsequent years that owing to high Death-rate in the female children their ratio declines. The result of this enquiry and the observation made above is supported also by the 1951 Census figures and the age return of the sample population of this experimental census which show that in the first year of age the ratio of male and female children is almost equal and that the female ratio begins to decline after the fourth year.

Births by Sex and Social Groups :

10. If we examine the figures of births by social groups we find that in Scheduled Castes there were 287 male births against 284 female births; in Scheduled Tribes 339 against 338 and in other Castes the number of male and female births was 1008 and 1,009 respectively.

Registration of Births :

11. In this enquiry a question was put to every householder having a birth or death requiring him to state whether such birth or death had been registered with the authority concerned. The reply was required in "Yes" or "No" or "Not known". The tabulation of these replies shows that out of 3,265

reported births only 1,276 or 39 per cent. have been registered. In 1,826 or 56 per cent. cases the birth was not got registered and in 163 or 5 per cent. cases the respondents were not sure whether the registration had been effected or not. This clearly indicates that the registration is defective to the extent of more than 60 per cent.

Deaths by Sex :

12. Out of 1,651 deaths occurring in sample households 830 were male deaths and 821 female deaths. Though the absolute figures of female deaths are fewer by 9 than the male deaths yet in fact the Death-rate is higher among women than in men. In the sample household population there are 55,321 males and 51,434 females and therefore the Death-rate per thousand of population in the case of males comes to 15 while that of females to 16. Female Death-rate is highest among the Scheduled Tribes where there are 184 female deaths as against 175 male deaths.

Registration of Deaths :

13. As in the case of births, registration of deaths is also defective and to the same extent. Here also the registration is only 39 per cent.

Deaths by Age :

14. (a) Table VI appended to this report gives the details as regards the age of the persons deceased. The information contained therein is very important and therefore require a detailed study. From this Table it will be seen at a glance that of all the deaths a little less than half, or to be more exact 46 per cent deaths occur in the age group 0 to 4 i.e. among children of under 5 years of age. Death-rate is also high beyond the age of 60.

(b) We also find that out of every 16 babies born, one dies before completing first year of its age. Next age period i. e. of 1 to 4 years also has a very high rate of mortality and 1 child out of every twenty dies in this period.

(c) When we take male and female death figures separately it is noticed that the Death-rate is higher in the females between the ages 5—34 in comparison with the male Death-rate. As regards the adult females the reason of this high death-rate is obviously the deaths occurring in child-births.

Causes of Death :

15. (a) An attempt was, this time, made to compile the statistics relating to the causes of death, but the information collected in this respect cannot be accepted on its face value because it has been found very difficult in certain cases to diagnose the real cause of death. In rural areas in a very large number of cases the cause is given in very vague terms.

(b) A reference to Table VII will show that the largest number of deaths have been due to malarial and other fevers. The next largest diseases are Pneumonia and Tuberculosis. Of the epidemics Cholera has taken a toll of 54 lives and Small-pox 77. Women dying in Child-birth and due to Septic fever number 26. Accidents are responsible for only 11 deaths.

(c) The following summary table gives the number

of deaths due to a particular cause and the percentage of such deaths to total deaths :—

TABLE—

Name of Disease	No. of deaths	Percentage of total deaths
1	2	3
1 Fever ...	393	23.8
2 Pneumonia ...	229	13.9
3 Malaria ...	132	8.0
4 Rickets ...	83	5.0
5 Tuberculosis ...	83	5.0
6 Small-pox ...	77	4.7
7 Typhoid ...	65	3.9
8 Asthama ...	65	3.9
9 Cholera ...	54	3.3
10 Dysentery ...	49	3.0
11 Old-age ...	47	2.8
12 Fever and Cough ...	44	2.7
13 Broncho Pneumonia ...	39	2.4
14 Diarrhoea ...	24	1.5
15 Delivery Cases ...	18	1.0
16 Fever and Dysentery ...	17	1.0
17 Influenza ...	15	0.9

From this it will be clear that the above 17 causes were responsible for more than 87 per cent. of all the deaths.

(d) From the above figures and Table VII appended to this report it will also be seen that various infectious diseases take a very heavy toll of life. High number of child deaths from Rickets and Small-pox and women deaths during child birth are also note-worthy.

Total number of Children Born and Surviving :

16. (a) During the present Census a few questions were asked in respect of all the mothers who had given birth to a child during the period under enquiry. These questions were (1) Age of the mother when the child was born; (2) Number of children born alive to mother (including present birth); (3) Number of children alive at present and (4) number of those who have died. The result of this enquiry have been compiled and are contained in Table V appended herewith. The information contained therein is very important.

(b) The most significant fact which has come out of this enquiry is that the married women who remain alive upto the age of 45 years give birth to 6.5 children during whole of their child bearing period. Out of these children 1.9 or say 2 predecease their mother and 4.6 remain alive. The results of a similar enquiry conducted in Madhya Pradesh and Travancore-Cochin at the time of the last Census as contained in the 1951 Census Report

of India, (Census of India, 1951, Volume I Part I-A Page 85) are given below for the sake of comparison :

TABLE—4

State & Division	Child birth index	Child survived index	Child loss index
1	2	3	4
Madhya Bharat . . .	6.5	4.6	1.9
Travancore-Cochin . . .	6.6	4.6	2.0
East Madhya Pradesh . . .	6.1	3.6	2.5
North-West Madhya Pradesh . . .	6.3	3.6	2.7
South-West Madhya Pradesh . . .	6.6	3.6	3.0

These figures indicate that with a slight difference the child birth index is the same in all the three States and it is the loss and survival index that differs considerably from that in Madhya Pradesh.

(c) Viewed from the point of highest number of children born to a mother it is found that a mother aged 45 years and over has given birth to 17 children of whom 9 are alive. Next are two mothers one each in age group 35—44 and 45 and over who have given births to 15 children each. But in one case merely two children survived while in the other, the number of surviving children is five.

(d) Other interesting features of the enquiry are :—

- There are 16 mothers who have given birth to their first child at the age of 14 years or less.
- There is a mother below 25 years of age who has given birth to 9 children of whom 6 are surviving.
- There is a mother aged 31 years to whom 12 children have been born and all but one are alive.
- There is another mother aged 32 giving birth to 10 children and has lost none.
- On the other hand there are cases in which 10 or more children have been born to a mother but only one or two are alive.

Conclusions :

17. Broadly speaking the conclusions which we can draw from this enquiry are :—

(a) That both Birth and Death rates are on a decline, and latter more rapidly than the former, and consequently the population is increasing at a faster rate than heretofore. The annual rate of natural increase is estimated to be between 1.4 and 1.6 per cent.

(b) That early steps are needed to improve the registration of Births and Deaths which is defective to the extent of about 60 per cent. at present and is therefore not very useful.

TABLES, 1952

									POPULATION			
State & District									Area (in square miles)	Number of households	Persons	
1									2	3	4	
MADHYA BHARAT									Total	46,478	1,594,584	7,934,154
									Rural		1,300,565	6,512,923
									Urban		294,019	1,441,231
Bhind	T	1,684	99,460	527,978	
								R		94,593	503,918	
								U		4,867	24,060	
Gird	T	2,027	103,922	530,299	
								R		54,457	282,341	
								U		49,465	247,958	
Morena	T	4,450	122,465	633,581	
								R		114,200	595,068	
								U		8,265	38,513	
Shivpuri	T	4,041	97,245	476,092	
								R		92,755	454,205	
								U		4,490	21,887	
Goona	T	4,264	97,994	478,810	
								R		86,438	422,354	
								U		11,556	56,456	
Bhilsa	T	1,976	63,187	293,023	
								R		56,910	264,968	
								U		6,277	28,055	
Rajgarh	T	2,377	90,784	427,523	
								R		81,778	386,373	
								U		9,006	41,150	
Shajapur	T	2,325	93,224	433,216	
								R		86,159	398,448	
								U		7,065	34,768	
Ujjain	T	2,313	113,608	544,260	
								R		77,286	368,029	
								U		36,322	176,231	
Indore	T	1,563	121,654	596,622	
								R		47,583	236,069	
								U		74,071	360,553	
Dewas	T	2,761	74,440	345,306	
								R		67,512	311,817	
								U		6,928	33,489	
Mandsaur	T	4,160	127,375	636,915	
								R		105,475	515,241	
								U		21,900	121,674	
Ratlam	T	1,686	78,197	383,894	
								R		54,649	271,601	
								U		23,548	112,293	
Dhar	T	3,183	100,261	505,268	
								R		91,047	462,361	
								U		9,214	42,907	
Jhabua	T	2,535	67,632	382,673	
								R		66,069	374,934	
								U		1,563	7,739	
Nimar	T	5,193	143,136	758,694	
								R		123,634	665,196	
								U		19,482	93,488	

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
4,133,075	3,821,079	7,878,735	10.4	6.5
3,376,348	3,136,575	6,443,357	8.5	5.5
756,727	684,504	1,427,378	19.0	11.3
286,499	241,479	524,757	5.6	2.5
273,325	230,593	501,197	5.1	2.2
13,174	10,886	23,560	16.9	8.5
280,416	249,883	526,085	10.7	3.2
149,451	132,890	280,760	6.3	2.6
130,965	116,993	245,325	15.8	3.9
342,594	290,987	628,861	7.5	3.6
321,936	273,132	590,769	5.6	3.0
20,658	17,855	38,092	37.6	13.4
249,468	226,624	473,660	9.2	4.5
238,063	216,142	452,184	8.1	4.3
11,405	10,482	21,476	31.6	8.9
249,491	229,319	475,708	10.7	7.9
220,281	202,073	419,715	9.3	7.6
29,210	27,246	55,993	21.7	10.1
152,687	140,336	290,230	11.9	8.2
137,929	127,039	262,349	11.3	8.4
14,758	13,297	27,881	17.6	6.1
222,228	205,295	424,842	12.9	8.1
201,322	185,051	384,070	12.4	7.7
20,906	20,244	40,772	18.1	12.2
220,316	212,900	430,352	18.1	10.3
202,571	195,877	395,777	17.4	9.8
17,745	17,023	34,575	26.3	15.0
278,833	265,427	534,861	4.5	4.1
186,367	181,662	360,155	3.5	2.8
92,466	83,765	174,706	6.7	6.9
314,069	282,553	587,984	20.8	14.4
119,838	116,231	230,585	15.7	12.4
194,231	166,322	357,399	24.0	15.7
176,143	169,163	340,872	8.1	4.5
158,962	152,855	307,456	5.4	3.4
17,181	16,308	33,416	32.9	15.0
326,395	310,520	627,837	12.2	8.8
264,191	251,050	507,068	12.1	8.4
62,204	59,470	120,769	13.0	10.4
195,221	188,673	379,532	8.7	7.7
137,246	134,355	268,360	4.7	3.3
57,975	54,318	111,172	18.6	18.3
257,455	247,813	490,078	3.0	2.2
235,319	227,042	447,918	1.3	1.0
22,136	20,771	42,160	20.9	14.6
196,327	186,346	381,742	10.1	8.0
192,360	182,574	374,154	9.7	7.7
3,967	3,772	7,588	33.6	26.4
384,933	373,761	753,334	11.6	6.8
337,187	328,009	660,840	10.6	6.1
47,746	45,752	92,494	18.9	11.7

II—Distribution of Population in Madhya Bharat

Age Group	Persons				Males
	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
	1	2	3	4	5
TOTAL					
All Ages	787,857	385,098	333,793	68,966	410,579
Under 1 year	26,952	...	26,952	...	13,530
1—4	77,888	...	77,888	...	38,475
5—14	199,160	22,789	175,872	499	103,360
15—24	143,543	102,579	38,325	2,639	72,378
25—34	132,806	117,182	8,152	7,472	69,766
35—44	94,380	77,868	2,829	13,683	52,765
45—54	59,688	40,605	1,532	17,551	33,635
55—64	33,623	17,091	748	15,784	17,252
65—74	12,967	5,169	223	7,575	6,300
75 & over	5,543	1,682	130	3,731	2,562
Age not stated	1,307	133	1,142	32	556
RURAL					
All Ages	649,979	320,359	272,734	56,886	338,343
Under 1 year	23,425	...	23,425	...	11,647
1—4	64,139	...	64,139	...	31,486
5—14	165,604	20,601	144,557	446	86,034
15—24	116,670	85,693	28,782	2,195	58,685
25—34	110,017	96,998	6,671	6,348	57,582
35—44	77,706	63,770	2,387	11,549	43,326
45—54	49,277	33,438	1,379	14,460	27,756
55—64	27,563	14,161	639	12,763	14,282
65—74	10,527	4,242	184	6,101	5,137
75 & over	4,436	1,354	79	3,003	2,037
Age not stated	615	102	492	21	371
URBAN					
All Ages	137,878	64,739	61,059	12,080	72,236
Under 1 year	3,527	...	3,527	...	1,883
1—4	13,749	...	13,749	...	6,989
5—14	33,556	2,188	31,315	53	17,326
15—24	26,873	16,886	9,543	444	13,693
25—34	22,789	20,184	1,481	1,124	12,184
35—44	16,674	14,098	442	2,134	9,439
45—54	10,411	7,167	153	3,091	5,879
55—64	6,060	2,930	109	3,021	2,970
65—74	2,440	927	39	1,474	1,163
75 & over	1,107	328	51	728	525
Age not stated	692	31	650	11	185

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

				Females		
Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
193,330	195,629	21,620	377,278	191,768	138,164	47,346
...	13,530	...	13,422	...	13,422	...
...	38,475	...	39,413	...	39,413	...
5,530	97,600	230	95,800	17,259	78,272	269
37,560	33,777	1,041	71,165	65,019	4,548	1,598
59,837	7,027	2,902	63,040	57,345	1,125	4,570
46,093	2,489	4,183	41,615	31,775	340	9,500
27,039	1,306	5,290	26,053	13,566	226	12,261
12,282	656	4,314	16,371	4,809	92	11,470
3,701	186	2,413	6,667	1,468	37	5,162
1,204	118	1,240	2,981	478	12	2,491
84	465	7	751	49	677	25
160,686	159,825	17,832	311,636	159,673	112,909	39,054
...	11,647	...	11,778	...	11,778	...
...	31,486	...	32,653	...	32,653	...
5,087	80,751	196	79,570	15,514	63,806	250
31,864	25,965	856	57,985	53,829	2,817	1,339
49,558	5,637	2,387	52,435	47,440	1,034	3,961
37,760	2,088	3,458	34,380	25,990	299	8,091
22,291	1,169	4,296	21,521	11,147	210	10,164
10,115	562	3,605	13,281	4,046	77	9,158
2,978	157	2,002	5,390	1,264	27	4,099
944	68	1,025	2,399	410	11	1,978
69	295	7	244	33	197	14
32,644	35,804	3,788	65,642	32,095	25,255	8,292
...	1,883	...	1,644	...	1,644	...
...	6,989	...	6,760	...	6,760	...
443	16,849	34	16,230	1,745	14,466	19
5,696	7,812	185	13,180	11,190	1,731	259
10,279	1,390	515	10,605	9,905	91	609
8,313	401	725	7,235	5,785	41	1,409
4,748	137	994	4,532	2,419	16	2,097
2,167	94	709	3,090	763	15	2,312
723	29	411	1,277	204	10	1,063
260	50	215	582	68	1	513
15	170	...	507	16	480	11

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of

POPUL.						
Number of Sample Households						
State & District	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	Persons	
					Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
MADHYA BHARAT—						
Total	4,329	1,982	298	1,985	10,429	11,867
Rural	2,683	1,879	295	480	6,595	7,286
Urban	1,646	103	3	1,505	3,834	4,581
Bhind—						
T	148	62	...	74	315	386
R	49	40	...	8	104	120
U	99	22	...	66	211	266
Gird—						
T	984	408	35	521	2,466	2,797
R	510	367	35	92	1,280	1,427
U	474	41	...	429	1,186	1,370
Morena—						
T	287	235	8	40	749	947
R	287	235	8	40	749	947
U
Shivpuri—						
T	171	122	8	39	369	473
R	171	122	8	39	369	473
U
Goona—						
T	137	76	11	50	334	383
R	99	70	11	18	253	276
U	38	6	...	32	81	107
Bhilsa—						
T	188	93	35	60	401	477
R	168	84	35	49	365	431
U	20	9	...	11	36	46
Rajgarh—						
T	95	78	7	10	243	217
R	95	78	7	10	243	217
U
Shajapur—						
T	200	111	34	55	453	427
R	200	111	34	55	453	427
U
Ujjain—						
T	434	109	57	268	1,073	1,135
R	172	98	56	18	411	404
U	262	11	1	250	662	729
Indore—						
T	630	7	2	597	1,394	1,693
R	71	3	2	60	161	137
U	559	4	...	537	1,233	1,556
Dewas—						
T	160	99	41	20	375	360
R	160	99	41	20	375	360
U
Mandsaur—						
T	230	186	10	34	610	643
R	230	186	10	34	610	643
U
Ratlam—						
T	151	21	6	124	324	415
R	29	21	4	4	72	80
U	122	...	2	120	252	335
Dhar—						
T	88	78	8	2	252	275
R	88	78	8	2	252	275
U
Jhabua—						
T	130	129	...	1	310	418
R	130	129	...	1	310	418
U
Nimar—						
T	296	168	36	90	761	829
R	224	158	36	30	588	651
U	72	10	...	60	173	178

Sample Household Population by Districts, 1952

LATION

Classes

Males		Females		Livelihood Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V—VIII	
Married	Others	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
5,200	6,508	5,229	5,359	5,760	5,274	643	580	5,164	4,639
3,258	4,009	3,337	3,277	5,436	4,984	634	573	1,111	996
1,942	2,499	1,892	2,082	324	290	9	7	4,053	3,643
159	209	156	171	166	139	195	180
51	74	53	46	104	83	21	15
108	135	103	125	62	56	174	165
1,241	1,537	1,225	1,260	1,268	1,100	75	75	1,383	1,268
636	810	644	617	1,120	968	75	75	207	185
605	727	581	643	148	132	1,176	1,083
371	545	378	402	769	649	19	18	111	100
371	545	378	402	769	649	19	18	111	100
...
179	246	190	227	333	306	13	13	74	95
179	246	190	227	333	306	13	13	74	95
...
168	209	166	174	229	204	28	22	120	114
126	150	127	126	209	185	28	22	39	46
42	59	39	48	20	19	81	68
201	256	200	221	256	240	77	63	124	118
183	227	182	204	229	222	77	63	104	101
18	29	18	17	27	18	20	17
117	120	126	97	200	192	10	10	27	21
117	120	126	97	200	192	10	10	27	21
...
227	227	226	200	277	270	62	65	115	91
227	227	226	200	277	270	62	65	115	91
...
524	629	549	504	281	288	132	112	740	653
200	217	211	187	245	256	128	110	44	32
324	412	338	317	36	32	4	2	696	621
713	957	681	736	22	21	4	3	1,587	1,367
84	89	77	48	13	12	4	3	136	99
629	868	604	688	9	9	1,451	1,268
181	205	194	155	260	231	77	76	49	42
181	205	194	155	260	231	77	76	49	42
...
307	333	303	310	537	506	14	19	89	88
307	333	303	310	537	506	14	19	89	88
...
162	222	162	193	61	56	14	12	309	287
36	45	36	35	61	56	9	7	11	8
126	177	126	158	5	5	298	279
118	138	134	137	234	255	20	14	2	2
118	138	134	137	234	255	20	14	2	2
...
154	213	156	205	367	358	3
154	213	156	205	367	358	3
...
378	462	383	367	500	459	98	78	239	210
288	370	300	281	478	435	98	78	82	68
90	92	83	86	22	24	157	142

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Madhya Bharat State, 1952

POPULATION								
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females		
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
TOTAL								
All Ages	22,296	10,429	11,867	5,200	6,508	5,229	5,359	
Under 1 Year	648	...	648	...	355	...	293	
1—4	2,635	...	2,635	...	1,346	...	1,289	
5—9	2,958	51	2,907	6	1,564	45	1,343	
10—14	2,604	405	2,199	96	1,341	309	858	
15—19	2,156	1,276	880	367	725	909	155	
20—24	2,022	1,662	360	695	303	967	57	
25—29	1,985	1,768	217	844	156	924	61	
30—34	1,766	1,573	193	832	104	741	89	
35—39	1,318	1,136	182	654	80	482	102	
40—44	1,226	950	276	582	93	368	183	
45—49	856	601	255	399	106	202	149	
50—54	761	471	290	321	93	150	197	
55—59	376	188	188	124	62	64	126	
60—64	517	198	319	157	80	41	239	
65—69	195	71	124	58	36	13	88	
70—74	147	44	103	37	40	7	63	
75 & over	126	35	91	28	24	7	67	
Age not stated	
RURAL								
All Ages	13,881	6,595	7,286	3,258	4,009	3,337	3,277	
Under 1 Year	472	...	472	...	262	...	210	
1—4	1,667	...	1,667	...	849	...	818	
5—9	1,917	48	1,869	4	1,009	44	860	
10—14	1,620	352	1,268	86	818	266	450	
15—19	1,295	864	431	290	378	574	53	
20—24	1,200	1,036	164	453	138	583	26	
25—29	1,225	1,101	124	512	92	589	32	
30—34	1,112	969	143	522	69	447	74	
35—39	834	700	134	387	51	313	83	
40—44	773	587	186	364	65	223	121	
45—49	538	370	168	244	72	126	96	
50—54	420	249	171	167	60	82	111	
55—59	226	114	112	70	43	44	69	
60—64	305	115	190	85	47	30	143	
65—69	112	39	73	34	19	5	54	
70—74	85	27	58	22	20	5	38	
75 & over	80	24	56	18	17	6	39	
Age not stated	
URBAN								
All Ages	8,415	3,834	4,581	1,942	2,499	1,892	2,082	
Under 1 Year	176	...	176	...	93	...	83	
1—4	968	...	968	...	497	...	471	
5—9	1,041	3	1,038	2	555	1	483	
10—14	984	53	931	10	523	43	408	
15—19	861	412	449	77	347	335	102	
20—24	822	626	196	242	165	384	31	
25—29	760	667	93	332	64	335	29	
30—34	654	604	50	310	35	294	15	
35—39	484	436	48	267	29	169	19	
40—44	453	363	90	218	28	145	62	
45—49	318	231	87	155	34	76	53	
50—54	341	222	119	154	33	63	86	
55—59	150	74	76	54	19	20	57	
60—64	212	83	129	72	33	11	96	
65—69	83	32	51	24	17	8	34	
70—74	62	17	45	15	20	2	25	
75 & over	46	11	35	10	7	1	28	
Age not stated	

V—Number of Births in Sample Households by

TOTAL									
State & District	Number of Mothers				Persons				TOTAL
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
MADHYA BHARAT—									
Total	733	433	49	244	736	436	49	244	
Rural	526	410	49	74	538	412	49	74	
Urban	197	23	...	170	193	24	...	170	
Bhind—									
T	21	13	...	8	21	13	...	8	
R	12	11	...	1	12	11	...	1	
U	9	2	...	7	9	2	...	7	
Gird—									
T	119	80	5	34	120	81	5	34	
R	81	66	5	10	81	66	5	10	
U	38	14	...	24	39	15	...	24	
Morena—									
T	76	60	3	12	76	60	3	12	
R	76	60	3	12	76	60	3	12	
U	
Shivpuri—									
T	39	32	1	6	39	32	1	6	
R	39	32	1	6	39	32	1	6	
U	
Goona—									
T	35	21	5	8	35	21	5	8	
R	26	19	5	2	26	19	5	2	
U	9	2	...	6	9	2	...	6	
Bhilsa—									
T	32	19	2	11	32	19	2	11	
R	30	18	2	10	30	18	2	10	
U	2	1	...	1	2	1	...	1	
Rajgarh—									
T	22	19	1	2	23	20	1	2	
R	22	19	1	2	23	20	1	2	
U	
Shajapur—									
T	36	23	4	9	36	23	4	9	
R	36	23	4	9	36	23	4	9	
U	
Ujjain—									
T	90	27	11	52	90	27	11	52	
R	40	24	11	5	40	24	11	5	
U	50	3	...	47	50	3	...	47	
Indore—									
T	76	1	...	71	76	1	...	71	
R	5	4	5	4	
U	71	1	...	67	71	1	...	67	
Dewas—									
T	27	18	5	3	27	18	5	3	
R	27	18	5	3	27	18	5	3	
U	
Mandsaur—									
T	39	36	...	3	39	36	...	3	
R	39	36	...	3	39	36	...	3	
U	
Ratlam—									
T	19	3	1	15	19	3	1	15	
R	5	3	1	1	5	3	1	1	
U	14	14	14	14	
Dhar—									
T	28	26	2	...	28	26	2	...	
R	28	26	2	...	28	26	2	...	
U	
Jhabua—									
T	20	20	20	20	
R	20	20	20	20	
U	
Nimar—									
T	54	35	9	10	55	36	9	10	
R	50	35	9	6	51	36	9	6	
U	4	4	4	4	

NOTE : Columns 2, 6, 10 & 14 include figures for unspecified Livelihood Classes also

Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

NUMBER OF BIRTHS								WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED					
Males				Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Fe- males	Males	Fe- males	Males	Fe- males
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
414	252	29	127	322	184	20	117	107	82	254	173	59	61
310	234	29	45	228	178	20	29	58	44	208	142	46	40
104	18	...	82	94	6	...	88	49	38	46	31	13	21
14	9	...	5	7	4	...	3	...	1	4	4	10	2
9	8	...	1	3	3	3	2	6	1
5	1	...	4	4	1	...	3	...	1	1	2	4	1
72	55	4	13	48	26	1	21	7	3	44	26	21	19
52	44	4	4	29	22	1	6	6	2	28	18	18	9
20	11	...	9	19	4	...	15	1	1	16	8	3	10
39	29	2	7	37	31	1	5	6	5	25	20	8	12
39	29	2	7	37	31	1	5	6	5	25	20	8	12
...
22	18	...	4	17	14	1	2	...	1	22	16
22	18	...	4	17	14	1	2	...	1	22	16
...
22	13	5	3	13	8	...	5	6	3	16	6	...	4
16	11	5	...	10	8	...	2	16	6	...	4
6	2	...	3	3	3	6	3
22	12	1	9	10	7	1	2	4	...	16	5	3	4
20	11	1	8	10	7	1	2	2	...	16	5	3	4
2	1	...	1	2
12	10	1	1	11	10	...	1	6	5	3	5	3	1
12	10	1	1	11	10	...	1	6	5	3	5	3	1
...
25	16	1	8	11	7	3	1	...	2	23	7	3	1
25	16	1	8	11	7	3	1	...	2	23	7	3	1
...
48	15	6	27	42	12	5	25	13	12	31	20	6	8
22	13	6	3	18	11	5	2	4	7	18	11
26	2	...	24	24	1	...	23	9	5	13	9	6	8
40	1	...	35	36	36	27	20	15	12	...	2
4	3	1	1	2	...	2	1
36	1	...	32	35	35	25	20	13	11	...	2
15	10	3	2	12	8	2	1	11	6	4	6
15	10	3	2	12	8	2	1	11	6	4	6
...
21	19	...	2	18	17	...	1	9	5	10	13	2	...
21	19	...	2	18	17	...	1	9	5	10	13	2	...
...
8	...	1	7	11	3	...	8	6	8	2	3
2	...	1	1	3	3	2	3
6	6	8	8	6	8
12	12	16	14	2	...	5	7	7	9
12	12	16	14	2	...	5	7	7	9
...
7	7	13	13	6	8	1	5
7	7	13	13	6	8	1	5
...
35	26	5	4	20	10	4	6	7	4	28	16
32	26	5	1	19	10	4	5	7	4	25	15
9	3	1	1	3	1

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

Order of Birth	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	733	2,619	1,964	3	4	4	335	772	606
1st	148	148	136	2	2	2	125	125	114
2nd	121	212	205	1	2	2	90	180	150
3rd	132	396	304	63	189	140
4th	119	476	374	31	124	92
5th	87	435	329	14	70	55
6th	53	318	234	6	36	26
7th & above	73	604	382	6	48	29
RURAL									
All Orders	536	1,888	1,398	3	4	4	239	543	415
1st	108	108	96	2	2	2	88	88	77
2nd	93	186	154	1	2	2	66	132	107
3rd	96	283	213	47	141	99
4th	82	328	253	22	88	69
5th	69	315	266	8	40	31
6th	40	240	172	4	24	15
7th & above	48	394	244	4	30	17
URBAN									
All Orders	197	731	566	96	229	191
1st	40	40	40	37	37	37
2nd	28	56	51	24	48	43
3rd	36	108	91	16	48	41
4th	37	148	121	9	36	23
5th	18	90	63	6	30	24
6th	13	78	62	2	12	11
7th & above	25	211	138	2	18	12

Households of Madhya Bharat State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1952

OF MOTHER

25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
293	1,245	921	85	515	366	10	57	45	7	26	22
18	18	17	2	2	2	1	1	1
27	54	48	1	2	2	2	4	3
61	183	145	5	15	12	3	9	7
73	292	235	13	52	41	2	8	6
53	265	196	19	95	77	1	5	1
31	186	136	13	78	56	2	12	10	1	6	6
30	247	144	32	271	176	4	31	27	1	7	6
218	895	660	65	391	279	6	37	26	5	18	14
15	15	14	2	2	2	1	1	1
24	48	42	1	2	2	1	2	1
47	141	111	1	3	2	1	3	1
49	196	151	9	36	27	2	8	6
41	205	157	19	95	77	1	5	1
24	144	103	11	66	49	1	6	5
18	146	82	22	187	120	3	23	19	1	7	6
75	350	261	20	124	87	4	20	19	2	8	8
3	3	3
3	6	6	1	2	2
14	42	34	4	12	10	2	6	6
24	96	84	4	16	14
12	60	39
7	42	33	2	12	7	1	6	5	1	6	6
12	101	62	10	84	56	1	8	8

VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic

TOTAL NUMBER									
State & District	Persons					Males			
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
MADHYA BHARAT—									
Total	419	242	25	142	224	127	18	76	
Rural	315	234	25	52	173	122	18	32	
Urban	104	8	...	90	51	5	...	44	
Bhind—									
T	9	6	...	1	4	3	...	1	
R	6	5	2	2	
U	3	1	...	1	2	1	...	1	
Gird—									
T	61	36	1	23	33	21	1	10	
R	41	34	1	6	23	20	1	2	
U	20	2	...	17	10	1	...	8	
Morena									
T	34	24	...	8	18	14	...	3	
R	34	24	...	8	18	14	...	3	
U	
Shivpuri									
T	30	22	...	7	19	12	...	7	
R	30	22	...	7	19	12	...	7	
U	
Goona—									
T	29	21	2	6	14	11	1	2	
R	24	20	2	2	12	10	1	1	
U	5	1	...	4	2	1	...	1	
Bhilsa—									
T	21	9	2	10	13	5	1	7	
R	17	7	2	8	10	3	1	6	
U	4	2	...	2	3	2	...	1	
Rajgarh—									
T	11	8	1	2	8	5	1	2	
R	11	8	1	2	8	5	1	2	
U	
Shajapur—									
T	24	14	5	5	14	8	3	3	
R	24	14	5	5	14	8	3	3	
U	
Ujjain—									
T	51	21	6	24	25	7	5	13	
R	29	19	6	4	14	7	5	2	
U	22	2	...	20	11	11	
Indore—									
T	34	30	16	15	
R	
U	34	30	16	15	
Dewas—									
T	14	6	3	5	10	6	2	2	
R	14	6	3	5	10	6	2	2	
U	
Mandsaur—									
T	28	25	1	2	17	14	1	2	
R	28	25	1	2	17	14	1	2	
U	
Ratlam—									
T	17	4	...	13	7	2	...	5	
R	4	4	2	2	
U	13	13	5	5	
Dhar—									
T	15	13	2	...	6	5	1	...	
R	15	13	2	...	6	5	1	...	
U	
Jhabua—									
T	17	17	7	7	
R	17	17	7	7	
U	
Nimar—									
T	24	16	2	6	13	7	2	4	
R	21	16	2	3	11	7	2	2	
U	3	3	2	2	

NOTE : Columns 2, 6 and 10 include figures for unspecified Livelihood Classes also.

Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

OF DEATHS				WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED					
Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
195	115	7	66	66	50	119	106	39	39
142	112	7	20	41	22	106	96	26	24
53	3	...	46	25	28	13	10	13	15
5	3	3	4	1	1
4	3	2	4
1	1	...	1	1
28	15	...	13	8	2	14	12	11	14
18	14	...	4	7	1	9	9	7	8
10	1	...	9	1	1	5	3	4	6
16	10	...	5	4	2	7	10	7	4
16	10	...	5	4	2	7	10	7	4
...
11	10	19	11
11	10	19	11
...
15	10	1	4	2	1	12	14
12	10	1	1	12	12
3	3	2	1	...	2
8	4	1	3	3	1	8	3	2	4
7	4	1	2	1	1	8	3	1	3
1	1	2	1	1
3	3	5	1	3	2
3	3	5	1	3	2
...
10	6	2	2	2	1	10	9	2	...
10	6	2	2	2	1	10	9	2	...
...
26	14	1	11	8	7	11	15	6	4
15	12	1	2	7	4	7	11
11	2	...	9	1	3	4	4	6	4
18	15	14	16	1	...	1	2
...
18	15	14	16	1	...	1	2
4	...	1	3	5	2	5	2
4	...	1	3	5	2	5	2
...
11	11	5	2	8	7	4	2
11	11	5	2	8	7	4	2
...
10	2	...	8	5	7	2	3
2	2	2	2
8	8	5	7	1
9	8	1	...	3	4	3	5
9	8	1	...	3	4	3	5
...
10	10	4	5	3	5
10	10	4	5	3	5
...
11	9	...	2	2	4	11	7
10	9	...	1	2	4	9	6
1	1	2	1

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Madhya Bharat State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1952**

NUMBER OF DEATHS										
Age Group	Total			Rural			Urban			
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
All Ages	419	224	195	315	173	142	104	51	53	
Under 1 year	59	38	21	46	31	15	13	7	6	
1—4	126	60	66	104	51	53	22	9	13	
5—9	35	23	12	28	18	10	7	5	2	
10—14	8	1	7	5	1	4	3	...	3	
15—19	13	5	8	7	3	4	6	2	4	
20—24	15	6	9	8	4	4	7	2	5	
25—29	18	9	9	12	7	5	6	2	4	
30—34	15	8	7	9	5	4	6	3	3	
35—39	11	5	6	8	4	4	3	1	2	
40—44	12	9	3	10	7	3	2	2	...	
45—49	12	8	4	10	7	3	2	1	1	
50—54	18	13	5	10	8	2	8	5	3	
55—59	13	5	8	9	3	6	4	2	2	
60—64	19	12	7	12	7	5	7	5	2	
65—69	14	8	6	12	6	6	2	2	...	
70—74	11	3	8	10	3	7	1	...	1	
75 & over	19	10	9	14	7	7	5	3	2	
Age not stated	1	1	...	1	1	

TABLES, 1953

I—Number of Births and Deaths by

Name of District	Name of Constituency	TOTAL				Households of Scheduled Castes	
		No. of households	Household population	Total births	Total deaths	No. of households	Household population
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
MADHYA BHARAT STATE (TOTAL)		20,746	106,755	3,265	1,651	3,291	16,642
EXCLUSIVELY URBAN AREAS		6,279	31,464	635	225	743	3,805
Gird	Lashkar	828	4,347	64	22	94	512
	Gwalior	935	4,936	88	20	177	976
	Morar	454	2,417	68	19	133	753
Ujjain	Ujjain City	740	3,755	88	48	59	261
	Ujjain Tahsil	503	2,497	47	28	111	500
Indore	Indore City A	641	2,720	25	6	54	241
	Indore City B	732	3,660	71	24	64	330
	Indore City C	666	3,307	87	27	18	66
	Indore City D	780	3,825	97	31	33	166
OTHER AREAS		14,467	75,291	2,630	1,426	2,548	12,837
Bhind	Lahar	1,420	6,561	161	80	327	1,649
Morena	Joura	472	2,861	115	52	124	754
Shivpuri	Pichhore North	855	4,361	221	89	140	768
Goona	Chachaura	689	3,300	127	57	95	478
Bhilsa	Kurwai	841	4,213	129	133	217	1,112
Rajgarh	Narsingarh	1,089	5,144	164	122	276	1,277
Shajapur	Shujalpur	454	2,258	72	69	93	430
Dewas	Khatagaon	568	2,649	100	64	102	464
Ujjain	Barnagar	849	4,235	152	50	218	1,078
Indore	Mhow	918	4,760	121	53	250	1,307
Mandsaur	Neemuch	655	3,387	113	61	119	608
	Mandsaur South	1,030	5,255	163	107	228	1,115
Ratlam	Ratlam Tahsil	479	2,386	97	54	72	339
	Sailana	716	4,074	166	60	20	93
Dhar	Dhar-Badnawar	1,367	6,765	342	150	164	757
Jhabua	Jobat	808	5,101	156	64	5	25
Nimar	Sendhwa	1,257	7,981	231	161	98	583

Constituencies, Districts and Social Groups

Households of Scheduled Tribes						Other Households			
Total births	Total deaths	No. of households	Household population	Total no. of births	Total no. of deaths	No. of households	Household population	Total births	Total deaths
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
571	274	3,186	19,157	677	359	14,269	70,956	2,017	1,018
92	34	7	34	5,529	27,625	543	191
11	3	1	4	733	3,831	53	19
23	4	3	7	755	3,953	65	16
20	7	2	13	319	1,651	48	12
5	6	681	3,494	83	42
14	6	1	10	391	1,987	33	22
3	587	2,479	22	6
8	2	668	3,330	63	22
1	4	648	3,241	86	23
7	2	747	3,659	90	29
479	240	3,179	19,123	677	359	8,740	43,331	1,474	827
26	21	1,093	4,912	135	59
30	13	4	23	2	...	344	2,084	83	39
48	13	39	183	10	10	676	3,410	163	66
23	10	94	479	13	8	500	2,343	91	39
49	27	42	196	9	9	582	2,905	71	97
43	25	28	102	1	5	785	3,765	120	92
19	18	361	1,828	53	51
23	17	150	772	34	22	316	1,413	43	25
37	11	631	3,157	115	39
52	7	668	3,453	69	46
23	11	18	75	1	1	518	2,704	89	49
32	25	31	163	4	4	771	3,977	127	78
14	9	91	478	22	12	316	1,569	61	33
8	5	537	3,137	121	40	159	844	37	15
41	23	450	2,412	123	53	753	3,596	178	74
...	...	801	5,070	156	64	2	6
11	5	894	6,033	181	131	265	1,365	39	25

II—Distribution of Sample Household Population in

					TOTAL			UNMARRIED	
Age Group					Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males
1					2	3	4	5	6
All Ages	106,755	55,321	51,434	46,571	26,945
Under 1 year	3,090	1,545	1,545	3,090	1,545
1—4	11,535	5,770	5,765	11,516	5,766
5—9	13,886	7,050	6,936	13,665	6,999
10—14	11,971	6,347	5,624	10,215	5,908
15—19	9,594	4,993	4,601	4,607	3,540
20—24	10,463	5,047	5,416	1,028	1,614
25—29	9,392	4,783	4,609	647	607
30—34	9,251	4,923	4,328	347	334
35—39	6,786	3,832	2,954	232	227
40—44	6,354	3,444	2,910	165	161
45—49	3,869	2,200	1,669	86	80
50—54	4,189	2,277	1,912	103	100
55—59	1,861	1,006	855	18	16
60—64	2,400	1,089	1,311	30	27
65—69	918	447	471	12	11
70—74	655	330	325	10	10
75 & over	541	238	303

Madhya Bharat State by Age, Sex and Marital Status

MARRIED			WIDOWED INCLUDING DIVORCED			
Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
19,626	50,476	25,192	25,284	9,708	3,184	6,524
1,545
5,750	19	4	15
6,666	218	49	169	3	2	1
4,307	1,738	433	1,305	18	6	12
1,067	4,876	1,415	3,461	111	38	73
214	8,301	3,279	5,022	334	154	180
40	8,258	3,922	4,336	487	254	233
13	8,180	4,281	3,899	724	308	416
5	5,767	3,319	2,448	787	286	501
4	4,966	2,924	2,042	1,223	359	864
6	2,800	1,792	1,008	983	328	655
3	2,583	1,777	806	1,503	400	1,103
2	1,046	745	301	797	245	552
3	1,011	737	274	1,359	325	1,034
1	321	235	86	585	201	384
...	227	176	51	418	144	274
...	165	104	61	376	134	242

III—Number of Births in Sample Households

		NUMBER OF MOTHERS							TOTAL				
		Persons											
District	Constituency				Total	Sched- uled castes	Sched- uled tribes	Others	Total	Sched- uled castes	Sched- uled tribes	Others	
1	2				3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
MADHYA BHARAT		3,239	570	669	2,000	3,265	571	677	2,017
EXCLUSIVELY URBAN AREAS		631	92	...	539	635	92	...	543
Gird	Lashkar	64	11	...	53	64	11	...	53
	Gwalior	87	23	...	64	88	23	...	65
	Morar	67	20	...	47	68	20	...	48
Ujjain	Ujjain City	88	5	...	83	88	5	...	83
	Ujjain Tahsil (Urban)	47	14	...	33	47	14	...	33
Indore	Indore City A	25	3	...	22	25	3	...	22
	Indore City B	71	8	...	63	71	8	...	63
	Indore City C	86	1	...	85	87	1	...	86
	Indore City D	96	7	...	89	97	7	...	90
OTHER AREAS		2,608	478	669	1,461	2,630	479	677	1,474
Bhind	Lahar	160	26	...	134	161	26	...	135
Morena	Joura	113	30	2	81	115	30	2	83
Shivpuri	Pichhore North	221	48	10	163	221	48	10	163
Goona	Chachaura	127	23	13	91	127	23	13	91
Bhilsa	Kurwai	129	49	9	71	129	49	9	71
Rajgarh	Narsingarh	163	43	1	119	164	43	1	120
Shajapur	Shujalpur	71	19	...	52	72	19	...	53
Dewas	Khatgaon	100	23	34	43	100	23	34	43
Ujjain	Barnagar	148	37	...	111	152	37	...	115
Indore	Mhow	121	52	...	69	121	52	...	69
Mandsaur	Necmuchi	112	23	1	88	113	23	1	89
	Mandsaur North	161	31	4	126	163	32	4	127
Ratlam	Ratlam Tahsil	97	14	22	61	97	14	22	61
	Sailana	166	8	121	37	166	8	121	37
Dhar	Dhar-Badnawar	337	41	120	176	342	41	123	178
Jhabua	Jobat	151	...	151	...	156	.	156	...
Nimar	Sendhwa	231	11	181	39	231	11	181	39

by Social Groups and their Registration

NUMBER OF BIRTHS								WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED OR NOT					
Males				Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	Sched- uled castes	Sched- uled tribes	Others	Total	Sched- uled castes	Sched- uled tribes	Others	Males	Fe- males	Males	Fe- males	Males	Fe- males
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24
1,634	287	339	1,008	1,631	284	338	1,009	641	635	911	915	82	81
306	47	...	259	329	45	...	284	168	197	60	57	78	75
30	5	...	25	34	6	...	28	2	1	28	33
48	9	...	39	40	14	...	26	1	1	47	39
30	9	...	21	38	11	...	27	28	38	2
46	2	...	44	42	3	...	39	18	14	28	28
22	11	...	11	25	3	...	22	9	13	13	12
9	1	...	8	16	2	...	14	3	7	6	9
24	5	...	19	47	3	...	44	16	42	6	4	2	1
46	1	...	45	41	41	43	39	2	2	1	...
51	4	...	47	46	3	...	43	49	44	2	2
1,328	240	339	749	1,302	239	338	725	473	438	851	858	4	6
93	13	...	80	68	13	...	55	3	8	90	60
56	15	...	41	59	15	2	42	1	...	55	59
93	17	4	72	128	31	6	91	4	6	89	122
74	18	5	51	53	5	8	40	10	7	64	46
58	19	3	36	71	30	6	35	7	4	51	67
88	25	1	62	76	18	...	58	62	53	26	23
34	12	...	22	38	7	...	31	...	5	34	33
63	16	22	25	37	7	12	18	54	33	9	4
79	15	...	64	73	22	...	51	1	2	78	71
59	30	...	29	62	22	...	40	36	27	19	31	4	4
57	7	...	50	56	16	1	39	36	33	21	23
85	18	3	64	78	14	1	63	17	17	68	61
44	7	8	29	53	7	14	32	20	20	24	31	...	2
77	2	62	13	89	6	59	24	12	18	65	71
178	21	62	95	164	20	61	83	160	151	18	13
85	...	85	...	71	...	71	...	38	37	47	34
105	5	84	16	126	6	97	23	12	17	93	109

IV—Number of Deaths in Sample Households

						TOTAL	
		Persons				Malas	
District	Constituency	Total	Scheduled castes	Scheduled tribes	Others	Total	Scheduled castes
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
MADHYA BHARAT	.	1,651	274	359	1,018	830	144
EXCLUSIVELY URBAN AREAS	.	225	34	...	191	101	15
Gird	Lashkar	22	3	...	19	9	2
	Gwalior	20	4	...	16	10	3
	Morar	19	7	...	12	6	2
Ujjain	Ujjain City	48	6	...	42	21	2
	Ujjain Tahsil (Urban)	28	6	...	22	11	2
Indore	Indore City A	6	6	3	...
	Indore City B	24	2	...	22	8	1
	Indore City C	27	4	...	23	16	2
	Indore City D	31	2	...	29	17	1
OTHER AREAS	.	1,426	240	359	827	729	129
Bhind	Lahar	80	21	...	59	47	14
Morena	Joura	52	13	...	39	28	12
Shivpuri	Pichhore North	89	13	10	66	51	6
Goona	Chachaura	57	10	8	39	30	7
Bhilsa	Kurwai	133	27	9	97	65	15
Rajgarh	Narsingarh	122	25	5	92	65	10
Shajapur	Shujalpur	69	18	...	51	33	6
Dewas	Khategaon	64	17	22	25	40	11
Ujjain	Barnagar	50	11	...	39	25	5
Indore	Mhow	53	7	...	46	22	3
Mandsaur	Neemuch	61	11	1	49	28	6
	Mandsaur South	107	25	4	78	51	13
Ratlam	Ratlam Tahsil	54	9	12	33	22	5
	Sailana	60	5	40	15	34	3
Dhar	Dhar-Badnawar	150	23	53	74	80	10
Jhabua	Jobat	64	...	64	...	30	...
Nimar	Sendhwa	161	5	131	25	78	3

by Social Groups and their Registration

NUMBER OF DEATHS						WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED OR NOT					
						Yes		No		Not known	
		Females									
Scheduled tribes	Others	Total	Scheduled castes	Scheduled tribes	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
175	511	821	130	184	507	333	316	491	502	6	3
...	86	124	19	...	105	51	56	46	67	4	1
...	7	13	1	...	12	9	13
...	7	10	1	...	9	10	10
...	4	13	5	...	8	1	...	5	13
...	19	27	4	...	23	4	7	17	20
...	9	17	4	...	13	8	9	3	8
...	3	3	3	3	3
...	7	16	1	...	15	7	15	1	1
...	14	11	2	...	9	14	10	...	1	2	...
...	16	14	1	...	13	14	12	1	1	2	1
175	425	697	111	184	402	282	260	445	435	2	2
...	33	33	7	...	26	6	6	41	27
...	16	24	1	...	23	1	2	27	22
5	40	38	7	5	26	4	4	46	34	1	...
3	20	27	3	5	19	6	2	24	25
3	47	68	12	6	50	13	10	52	58
1	54	57	15	4	38	49	38	16	19
...	27	36	12	...	24	1	1	32	35
14	15	24	6	8	10	31	19	6	4	...	1
...	20	25	6	...	19	4	2	21	23
...	19	31	4	...	27	14	18	8	12	...	1
...	22	33	5	1	27	14	21	14	12
2	36	56	12	2	42	8	7	43	49
6	11	32	4	6	22	12	18	9	14	1	...
23	8	26	2	17	7	6	4	28	22
26	44	70	13	27	30	73	65	7	5
30	...	34	...	34	...	18	24	12	10
62	13	83	2	69	12	19	19	59	64

V—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample Households

						PRESENT AGE						
						All ages			14 & less		15--24	
Order of Birth						No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
All Orders	3,239	11,481	8,788	16	16	15	1,392	3,078	2,436			
1st	646	646	614	16	16	15	514	514	489			
2nd	618	1,236	1,051	412	824	699			
3rd	554	1,662	1,358	262	786	603			
4th	478	1,912	1,504	122	488	332			
5th	351	1,755	1,332	49	245	175			
6th	254	1,524	1,108	17	102	73			
7th	140	980	704	10	70	50			
8th	108	864	574	5	40	20			
9th	44	396	253	1	9	6			
10th & over	46	506	290			

of Madhya Bharat State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother

OF MOTHER

25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
1,467	6,294	4,793	327	1,854	1,374	37	239	170
105	105	101	11	11	10
187	374	329	15	30	28	4	8	5
258	774	665	31	93	83	3	9	7
308	1,232	1,008	46	184	156	2	8	8
233	1,165	880	62	310	244	7	35	33
176	1,056	767	57	342	249	4	24	19
92	644	449	32	224	175	6	42	30
61	488	319	38	304	215	4	32	20
28	252	158	12	108	68	3	27	21
19	204	117	23	248	146	4	54	27

**VI—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Madhya Bharat State
by Sex and Age of the Deceased**

											TOTAL NUMBER OF DEATHS			
Age Group											Persons	Males	Females	
1											2	3	4	
All Ages											1,651	830	821	
Under 1 year											194	100	94	
1-4											564	289	275	
5-9											136	64	72	
10-14											44	17	27	
15-19											40	18	22	
20-24											48	16	32	
25-29											56	24	32	
30-34											54	14	40	
35-39											36	24	12	
40-44											73	42	31	
45-49											53	36	17	
50-54											69	43	26	
55-59											53	30	23	
60-64											102	47	55	
65-69											27	11	16	
70-74											33	19	14	
75 and over											65	35	30	
Age not stated											4	1	3	

VII—Deaths Classified According to the Cause of Death

Cause of Death	NUMBER OF DEATH		
	Total	Males	Females
	2	3	4
MADHYA BHARAT	1,651	830	821
Fever	393	184	209
Pneumonia	229	122	107
Malaria	132	64	68
Rickets	83	43	40
Tuberculosis	83	34	49
Small Pox (including measles)	77	42	35
Typhoid	65	35	30
Asthma	65	46	19
Cholera	54	26	28
Dysentery	49	27	22
Old age	47	20	27
Fever with Cough	44	22	22
Broncho Pneumonia	39	25	14
Diarrhoea	24	10	14
Ordinary illness	23	12	11
Delivery Cases	18	...	18
Fever & Dysentery	17	9	8
Influenza	15	9	6
Accidental Deaths	11	6	5
Sprue	9	6	3
Fever after Delivery	8	...	8
Swelling	8	3	5
Heart Failure	8	2	6
Anaemia	8	2	6
Cancer	8	6	2
Diphtheria	7	3	4
Paralysis	6	3	3
Tetanus	6	4	2
Colic	6	4	2
Abdominal T. B.	6	...	6
Liver	5	2	3
Roils	5	3	2
Dropsy	5	3	2
Jaundice	4	2	2
Sudden Death	4	2	2
Cough	4	3	1
Hysteria	4	4	...
Spleen Fever	3	3	...
Teething (Dentition)	3	2	1
Snake Bite	3	1	2
Drowning	3	1	2
Guinea Worm	3	3	...
Typhus	2	2	...
Piles	2	2	...
Stomach Pain	2	2	...
Ill Health	2	2	...
Tonsils	2	...	2
Appendicitis	2	1	1
Lunacy (Insanity)	2	...	2
Rabies	2	2	...
Hiccups	2	2	...
Cerebral Malaria	1	...	1
Swelling and Fever	1	...	1
Diabetes	1	...	1
For want of mothers' milk	1	1	...
B. C. G. Inoculation	1	1	...
Scorpion Bite	1	...	1
Sytica	1	1	...
Headache	1	...	1
Blood Pressure	1	1	...
Septic (Septicimia)	1	...	1
Epilepsy	1	...	1
Gonorrhoea	1	1	...
Glandular T. B.	1	1	...
Heart Disease	1	1	...
Shock	1	1	...
Meningitis	1	...	1
Other Miscellaneous and undefined causes	25	11	12

VINDHYA PRADESH

**Extracts from the Report on the Experimental Census of Births and Deaths,
Vindhya Pradesh, 1952.**

By

(SHRI N. K. DUBE, *Superintendent of Census Operations*)

With a view to improve the Population Data, an Experimental Census was taken in 1952.

According to the detailed instructions issued by the Registrar General, India, a plan of operation was

drawn up, for carrying out the Experimental Census of births and deaths occurring in every one household out of 20 households during a period of one year in one-fifth area of the eight districts of Vindhya Pradesh as given below:

Name of district	Area where Experimental Census was held
1	2
1 Datia	1 Tehsil Datia 2 Municipal Town Datia
2 Tikamgarh	1 Tehsil Tikamgarh 2 Municipal Town Tikamgarh
3 Chhatarpur	1 Tehsil Chhatarpur 2 Municipal Town Chhatarpur 3 Municipal Town Nowgong 4 Municipal Town Mahrajpur
4 Panna	1 Tehsil Panna 2 Municipal Town Panna
5 Satna	1 Tehsil Raghurajnagar 2 Tehsil Nagod 3 Municipal Town Satna 4 Municipal Town Maihar
6 Rewa	1 Tehsil Huzur 2 Tehsil Teothar 3 Municipal Town Rewa
7 Sidhi	1 Sidhi Marbas
8 Shahdol	1 Tehsil Sohagpur 2 Municipal Town Shahdol 3 Municipal Town Umaria

The field work relating to the Experimental Census was carried out through the agency of the permanent staff available in the mofussil viz. Kanungoes, Patwaris and school teachers etc. in rural areas and municipal staff in urban areas where there is a municipality.

* * * * *

In Vindhya Pradesh there is no system of collecting, compiling or maintaining any vital statistics. The information that is attempted to be collected is about the seizures and deaths from the three major epidemic diseases—small-pox, cholera and plague, according to the Director, Medical and Health Services Department, Vindhya Pradesh. But even in this case there is no fixed agency on whom is laid the obligation of providing the

initial information nor the authority to whom such information is to be furnished. The result therefore is that no information whatever is available regarding such important matters as births, deaths, infant mortality, general mortality, fluctuation of population in between census years, etc. It is, therefore, necessary to set up an agency for reporting, collecting and compiling of vital statistics.

* * * * *

Information collected by the Experimental Census varies widely with the information collected by the Census of 1951 and so does not appear to be reliable. No proper organization nor supervision was provided to carry it on. So it is not recommended for trial again.

TABLES

I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered

									POPU.		
State & District									Area in (square miles)	Number of households	Persons
1									2	3	4
VINDHYA PRADESH									23,603	760,676	3,574,690
										688,255	3,268,780
										72,421	305,910
Datia									733	34,175	164,314
										26,318	126,589
										7,857	37,725
Tikamgarh									1,948	81,718	366,165
										77,066	345,923
										4,652	20,242
Chhattarpur									3,389	105,970	481,140
										94,935	431,990
										11,035	49,150
Panna									2,789	58,745	258,703
										53,555	236,606
										5,190	22,097
Satna									2,740	121,813	555,603
										105,705	494,238
										16,108	61,365
Rewa									2,513	131,828	633,706
										116,972	572,931
										14,856	60,775
Sidhi									4,072	89,827	464,302
										89,827	464,302
									
Shahdol									5,419	136,600	650,757
										123,877	596,201
										12,723	54,556

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
1,832,940	1,741,750			
1,673,635	1,595,145			
159,305	146,605			
86,398	77,916			
67,002	59,587			
19,396	18,329			
191,610	174,555	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E
181,224	164,699			
10,386	9,856			
254,163	226,977	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E
228,380	203,610			
25,783	23,367			
133,275	125,428	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E
121,862	114,744			
11,413	10,684			
281,201	274,402	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E
249,516	244,722			
31,685	29,680			
320,181	313,525	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E
287,728	285,203			
32,453	28,322			
235,616	228,686	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E
235,616	228,686			
...	...			
330,496	320,261	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E	N O T A V A I L A B L E
302,307	293,894			
28,189	26,367			

II—Distribution of Population in Vindhya Pradesh

Age Group	Persons				Males
	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
	1	2	3	4	5
					6
All Ages					TOTAL
	357,215	192,520	131,705	32,990	185,407
Under 1 year	8,150	...	8,150	...	3,923
1—4	34,187	...	34,187	...	17,507
5—14	91,928	23,015	68,049	864	50,072
15—24	57,302	42,836	12,493	1,973	30,646
25—34	59,991	51,134	4,399	4,458	31,050
35—44	18,458	39,788	2,151	6,519	25,210
45—54	30,631	22,292	985	7,354	15,571
55—64	16,513	9,311	497	6,705	7,677
65—74	6,557	2,939	243	3,375	2,448
75 & over	2,886	1,127	63	1,696	1,010
Age not stated	612	78	488	46	293
					RURAL
All Ages	326,946	176,587	119,963	30,396	169,423
Under 1 year	7,108	...	7,408	...	3,522
1—4	31,353	...	31,353	...	16,055
5—14	84,784	21,800	62,150	834	46,307
15—24	51,925	38,968	11,065	1,892	27,772
25—34	54,815	46,610	4,033	4,172	28,323
35—44	44,499	36,518	1,965	6,016	23,075
45—54	27,967	20,400	897	6,670	14,076
55—64	15,102	8,553	446	6,103	6,987
65—74	5,948	2,640	214	3,094	2,191
75 & over	2,665	1,030	57	1,578	892
Age not stated	480	68	375	37	223
					URBAN
All Ages	30,269	15,933	11,742	2,594	15,984
Under 1 year	742	...	742	...	401
1—4	2,834	...	2,834	...	1,452
5—14	7,144	1,215	5,899	30	3,765
15—24	5,377	3,868	1,428	81	2,874
25—34	5,176	4,524	366	286	2,727
35—44	3,959	3,270	186	503	2,135
45—54	2,664	1,892	88	684	1,495
55—64	1,411	758	51	602	690
65—74	609	299	29	281	257
75 & over	221	97	6	118	118
Age not stated	132	10	113	9	70

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females						
Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
96,959	77,520	10,928	171,808	95,561	54,185	22,062
...	3,923	...	4,227	...	4,227	...
...	17,507	...	16,680	...	16,680	...
10,079	39,602	391	41,856	12,936	28,447	473
19,734	9,937	975	26,656	23,102	2,556	998
25,755	3,380	1,915	28,941	25,379	1,019	2,543
21,375	1,696	2,139	23,248	18,413	455	4,380
12,564	726	2,281	15,060	9,728	259	5,073
5,421	360	1,896	8,336	3,890	137	4,809
1,472	107	869	4,109	1,467	136	2,506
531	33	446	1,876	596	30	1,250
28	249	16	319	50	239	30
88,582	70,655	10,186	157,523	88,005	49,308	20,210
...	3,522	...	3,886	...	3,886	...
...	16,055	...	15,298	...	15,298	...
9,558	36,370	379	38,477	12,242	25,780	455
18,075	8,747	950	24,153	20,893	2,318	942
23,389	3,104	1,830	26,492	23,221	929	2,342
19,509	1,568	1,998	21,424	17,009	397	4,018
11,325	656	2,095	13,891	9,075	241	4,575
4,918	325	1,744	8,115	3,635	121	4,359
1,314	96	781	3,757	1,326	118	2,313
467	29	396	1,773	563	28	1,182
27	183	13	257	41	192	24
8,377	6,865	742	14,285	7,556	4,877	1,852
...	401	...	341	...	341	...
...	1,452	...	1,382	...	1,382	...
521	3,232	12	3,379	694	2,667	18
1,659	1,190	25	2,503	2,209	238	56
2,366	276	85	2,449	2,158	90	201
1,866	128	141	1,824	1,404	58	362
1,239	70	186	1,169	653	18	498
503	35	152	721	255	16	450
158	11	88	352	141	18	193
64	4	50	103	33	2	68
1	66	3	62	9	47	6

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of

						POPUL.
Number of Sample Households						All
State & District	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	Persons	
					Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
VINDHYA PRADESH—						
Total	9,141	5,029	487	3,625	24,854	17,833
Rural	7,768	4,917	468	2,383	21,448	15,238
Urban	1,373	112	19	1,242	3,406	2,595
Datia—						
T	651	346	19	286	1,681	1,357
R	371	312	12	47	878	870
U	280	34	7	239	803	487
Tikamgarh—						
T	1,198	716	9	473	2,671	2,618
R	1,030	700	8	322	2,342	2,234
U	168	16	1	151	329	384
Chhattarpur—						
T	1,384	631	31	722	3,240	2,980
R	1,052	590	26	436	2,527	2,401
U	332	41	5	286	713	579
Panna—						
T	1,039	518	79	442	2,126	2,473
R	895	506	73	316	1,827	2,122
U	144	12	6	126	299	351
Satna—						
T	2,521	1,336	81	1,104	7,846	4,661
R	2,165	1,330	81	754	6,835	4,052
U	356	6	...	350	1,011	609
Sidhi—						
T	1,583	1,036	53	494	5,197	2,287
R	1,583	1,036	53	494	5,197	2,287
U
Shahdol—						
T	765	446	215	104	2,093	1,457
R	672	443	215	14	1,842	1,272
U	93	3	...	90	251	185

Sample Household Population by Districts, 1952

LATION									
Classes									
Males		Females		Livelihood Classes I, II, & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V—VIII	
Married	Others	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
12,211	9,747	12,643	8,086	12,775	11,892	741	596	8,442	8,241
10,518	8,407	10,930	6,831	12,519	11,642	695	558	5,711	5,561
1,693	1,340	1,713	1,255	256	250	46	38	2,731	2,680
864	731	817	626	906	763	44	41	645	639
459	481	419	389	822	684	30	27	88	97
405	250	398	237	84	79	14	14	357	512
1,351	1,379	1,320	1,239	1,717	1,571	20	25	993	963
1,199	1,202	1,143	1,032	1,677	1,525	17	23	707	627
152	177	177	207	40	46	3	2	286	336
1,640	1,601	1,600	1,379	1,723	1,493	73	57	1,445	1,429
1,266	1,318	1,261	1,083	1,635	1,422	61	48	888	874
374	283	339	296	88	71	12	9	557	555
1,046	1,300	1,080	1,173	1,173	1,128	182	169	991	956
899	1,123	928	999	1,143	1,096	165	156	714	675
147	177	152	174	30	32	17	13	277	281
3,836	2,585	4,010	2,076	3,640	3,472	246	129	2,535	2,485
3,343	2,227	3,492	1,825	3,629	3,456	246	129	1,695	1,732
493	358	518	251	11	16	840	753
2,472	1,362	2,725	925	2,556	2,434	142	135	1,136	1,081
2,472	1,362	2,725	925	2,556	2,434	142	135	1,136	1,081
...
1,002	789	1,091	668	1,060	1,031	34	40	697	688
880	694	962	578	1,057	1,025	34	40	483	475
122	95	129	90	3	6	214	213

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Vindhya Pradesh State, 1952

POPULATION

		Persons			Males		Females	
Age Group		Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TOTAL								
All Ages	.	42,687	24,854	17,833	12,211	9,747	12,643	8,086
Under 1 year	.	658	...	658	...	380	...	278
1-4	.	3,552	...	3,552	...	1,792	...	1,760
5-9	.	4,048	465	3,583	183	1,940	282	1,643
10-14	.	3,538	1,109	2,429	435	1,439	674	990
15-19	.	3,708	2,407	1,301	969	956	1,438	345
20-24	.	3,330	2,763	567	1,261	420	1,502	147
25-29	.	3,598	3,200	398	1,584	262	1,616	136
30-34	.	3,414	2,991	423	1,451	269	1,540	154
35-39	.	3,022	2,594	428	1,360	207	1,234	221
40-44	.	2,898	2,321	577	1,217	270	1,104	307
45-49	.	2,355	1,804	551	921	306	883	245
50-54	.	2,442	1,887	555	1,027	249	860	306
55-59	.	1,857	1,373	484	744	243	629	241
60-64	.	1,696	996	700	536	275	460	425
65-69	.	1,182	571	611	326	271	245	340
70-74	.	741	201	540	102	242	99	298
75 & over	.	620	165	455	90	217	75	238
Age not stated	.	28	7	21	5	9	2	12
RURAL								
All Ages	.	36,686	21,448	15,238	10,518	8,407	10,930	6,831
Under 1 year	.	561	...	561	...	326	...	235
1-4	.	3,050	...	3,050	...	1,529	...	1,521
5-9	.	3,480	406	3,074	154	1,687	252	1,387
10-14	.	3,000	987	2,013	389	1,211	598	802
15-19	.	3,212	2,096	1,116	848	821	1,248	295
20-24	.	2,843	2,372	471	1,100	352	1,272	119
25-29	.	3,129	2,786	343	1,381	223	1,405	120
30-34	.	2,990	2,614	376	1,284	238	1,330	138
35-39	.	2,573	2,219	354	1,168	179	1,051	175
40-44	.	2,470	1,972	498	1,014	242	958	256
45-49	.	2,020	1,532	488	766	287	766	201
50-54	.	2,063	1,588	475	849	216	739	259
55-59	.	1,563	1,149	414	628	200	521	214
60-64	.	1,500	903	597	481	242	422	355
65-69	.	1,073	522	551	298	243	224	308
70-74	.	621	163	458	82	213	81	245
75 & over	.	514	132	382	71	189	61	193
Age not stated	.	24	7	17	5	9	2	8
URBAN								
All Ages	.	6,001	3,406	2,595	1,693	1,340	1,713	1,255
Under 1 year	.	97	...	97	...	54	...	43
1-4	.	502	...	502	...	263	...	239
5-9	.	568	59	509	29	253	30	256
10-14	.	538	122	416	46	228	76	188
15-19	.	496	311	185	121	135	190	50
20-24	.	487	391	96	161	68	230	28
25-29	.	469	414	55	203	39	211	16
30-34	.	424	377	47	167	31	210	16
35-39	.	449	375	74	192	28	183	46
40-44	.	428	349	79	203	28	146	51
45-49	.	335	272	63	155	19	117	44
50-54	.	379	299	80	178	33	121	47
55-59	.	294	224	70	116	43	108	27
60-64	.	196	93	103	55	33	38	70
65-69	.	109	49	60	28	28	21	32
70-74	.	120	38	82	20	29	18	53
75 & over	.	106	33	73	19	28	14	45
Age not stated	.	4	...	4

V—Number of Births in Sample Households by

TOTAL									
State & District	Number of Mothers				Persons				
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
VINDHYA PRADESH—									
Total . . .	694	367	21	306	694	367	21	306	
Rural . . .	592	363	19	210	592	363	19	210	
Urban . . .	102	4	2	96	102	4	2	96	
Datia—									
T . . .	69	42	3	24	69	42	3	24	
R . . .	52	41	2	9	52	41	2	9	
U . . .	17	1	1	15	17	1	1	15	
Tikargarh—									
T . . .	92	56	2	34	92	56	2	34	
R . . .	73	55	1	17	73	55	1	17	
U . . .	19	1	1	17	19	1	1	17	
Chhattarpur—									
T . . .	98	54	2	42	98	54	2	42	
R . . .	89	53	2	34	89	53	2	34	
U . . .	9	1	...	8	9	1	...	8	
Panna—									
T . . .	56	31	7	18	56	31	7	18	
R . . .	45	31	7	7	45	31	7	7	
U . . .	11	11	11	11	
Satna—									
T . . .	267	115	5	147	267	115	5	147	
R . . .	226	114	5	107	226	114	5	107	
U . . .	41	1	..	40	41	1	...	40	
Sidhi—									
T . . .	63	44	...	19	63	44	...	19	
R . . .	63	44	...	19	63	44	...	19	
U	
Shahdol—									
T . . .	49	25	2	22	49	25	2	22	
R . . .	44	25	2	17	44	25	2	17	
U . . .	5	5	5	5	

Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

NUMBER OF BIRTHS								WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED					
Males				Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
399	208	13	178	295	159	8	128	110	85	252	166	37	44
344	206	12	126	248	157	7	84	92	66	218	142	34	40
55	2	1	52	47	2	1	44	18	19	34	24	3	4
43	28	1	14	26	14	2	10	15	11	25	13	3	2
34	28	1	5	18	13	1	4	9	6	24	11	1	1
9	9	8	1	1	6	6	5	1	2	2	1
43	27	1	15	49	29	1	19	32	36	4	5	7	8
37	27	...	10	36	28	1	7	27	24	4	5	6	7
6	...	1	5	13	1	...	12	5	12	1	1
46	23	1	22	52	31	1	20	10	11	28	31	8	10
40	22	1	17	49	31	1	17	9	11	23	30	8	8
6	1	...	5	3	3	1	...	5	1	...	2
35	17	6	12	21	14	1	6	24	13	11	5	...	3
27	17	6	4	18	14	1	3	20	12	7	3	...	3
8	8	3	3	4	1	4	2
157	67	2	88	110	48	3	59	25	14	117	76	15	20
133	66	2	65	93	48	3	42	23	13	95	60	15	20
24	1	...	23	17	17	2	1	22	16
47	32	...	15	16	12	...	4	1	...	42	15	4	1
47	32	...	15	16	12	...	4	1	...	42	15	4	1
...
28	14	2	12	21	11	...	10	3	...	25	21
26	14	2	10	18	11	...	7	3	...	23	18
2	2	3	3	2	3

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

Order of Birth		PRESENT AGE								
		All ages			14 & less			15—24		
		No. of mothers	No. of Children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL										
All Orders	.	694	2,049	1,687	2			265		
1st	.	181	181	174	2			135		
2nd	.	150	300	273	...			64		
3rd	.	152	456	392	...			44		
4th	.	82	328	273	...			13		
5th	.	64	320	231	...			8		
6th	.	28	168	132	...			1		
7th & above	.	37	296	212		
RURAL										
All Orders	.	592	1,733	1,444	1	E	E	221	E	E
1st	.	151	151	146	1	B	B	112	B	B
2nd	.	134	268	242	...	A	A	54	A	A
3rd	.	133	399	345	...	L	L	37	L	L
4th	.	70	280	235	...	I	I	11	I	I
5th	.	50	250	191	...	A	A	6	A	A
6th	.	24	144	111	...	V	V	1	V	V
7th & above	.	30	241	174	...	A	A	...	A	A
URBAN										
All Orders	.	102	316	243	1	T	T	44	T	T
1st	.	30	30	28	1	N	N	23	N	N
2nd	.	16	32	31	...			10		
3rd	.	19	57	47	...			7		
4th	.	12	48	38	...			2		
5th	.	14	70	40	...			2		
6th	.	4	24	21		
7th & above	.	7	55	38		

Households of Vindhya Pradesh State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1952

OF MOTHER

25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
292			105			13			17		
27.			7			1			9		
75			5			4			2		
79			24			3			2		
49			16			1			3		
33			20			3			..		
14	E	E	13	E	E	..	E	E	..	E	E
15	B L	B L	20	B L	B L	1	B L	B L	1	B L	B L
251	A B	A B	93	A B	A B	11	A B	A B	15	A B	A B
24	L A	L A	6	L A	L A	1	L A	L A	7	L A	L A
69	I I	I I	5	I I	I I	4	I I	I I	2	I I	I I
69	V A	V A	23	V A	V A	2	V A	V A	2	V A	V A
42	A V	A V	13	A V	A V	1	A V	A V	3	A V	A V
26			16			2			..		
10	T	T	13	T	T	..	T	T	..	T	T
11	N O	N O	17	N O	N O	1	N O	N O	1	N O	N O
41			12			2			2		
3			1			..			2		
6				
10			1			1			..		
7			3				
7			4			1			..		
4				
4			3				

VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic

		TOTAL NUMBER							
		Persons				Males			
State & District		Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
VINDHYA PRADESH—									
Total	.	772	468	34	270	428	270	19	139
Rural	.	636	455	28	153	366	263	17	86
Urban	.	136	13	6	117	62	7	2	53
Datia—									
T	.	64	36	5	23	32	19	2	11
R	.	40	30	...	10	22	16	...	6
U	.	24	6	5	13	10	3	2	5
Tikamgarh—									
T	.	96	67	3	26	47	31	1	15
R	.	84	66	3	15	40	30	1	9
U	.	12	1	...	11	7	1	...	6
Chhatarpur—									
T	.	82	48	2	32	47	30	1	16
R	.	68	46	2	20	41	29	1	11
U	.	14	2	...	12	6	1	...	5
Panna—									
T	.	49	24	9	16	26	9	5	12
R	.	37	23	9	5	16	8	5	3
U	.	12	1	...	11	10	1	...	9
Satna—									
T	.	289	144	9	136	166	95	5	66
R	.	217	141	8	68	137	94	5	38
U	.	72	3	1	68	29	1	...	28
Sidhi—									
T	.	163	127	5	31	98	76	4	18
R	.	163	127	5	31	98	76	4	18
U
Shahdol—									
T	.	29	22	1	6	12	10	1	1
R	.	27	22	1	4	12	10	1	1
U	.	2	2

Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

OF DEATHS				WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED					
Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
344	198	15	131	103	91	282	168	43	85
270	192	11	67	77	75	258	121	31	74
74	6	4	64	26	16	24	47	12	11
32	17	3	12	16	14	15	15	1	3
18	14	...	4	6	6	15	12	1	...
14	3	3	8	10	8	...	3	...	3
49	36	2	11	38	37	1	5	8	7
44	36	2	6	31	33	1	5	8	6
5	5	7	4	1
35	18	1	16	6	6	35	25	6	4
27	17	1	9	3	5	33	21	5	1
8	1	...	7	3	1	2	4	1	3
23	15	4	4	17	21	8	1	1	1
21	15	4	2	13	19	2	1	1	1
2	2	4	2	6
123	49	4	70	25	12	116	106	25	5
80	47	3	30	23	11	100	68	14	1
43	2	1	40	2	1	16	38	11	4
65	51	1	13	1	...	95	1	2	64
65	51	1	13	1	...	95	1	2	64
...
17	12	...	5	...	1	12	15	...	1
15	12	...	3	...	1	12	13	...	1
2	2	2

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Vindhya Pradesh State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1952**

NUMBER OF DEATHS										
Age Group	Total			Rural			Urban			
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
All Ages	772	428	344	636	366	270	136	62	74	
Under 1 year	40	22	18	31	18	13	9	4	5	
1—4	102	51	51	73	39	34	29	12	17	
5—9	59	32	27	50	28	22	9	4	5	
10—14	23	13	10	20	12	8	3	1	2	
15—19	25	11	14	19	10	9	6	1	5	
20—24	24	11	13	15	7	8	9	4	5	
25—29	32	14	18	27	13	14	5	1	4	
30—34	34	17	17	31	15	16	3	2	1	
35—39	38	22	16	34	19	15	4	3	1	
40—44	51	31	20	44	28	16	7	3	4	
45—49	56	37	19	50	36	14	6	1	5	
50—54	57	30	27	53	27	26	4	3	1	
55—59	41	24	17	36	21	15	5	3	2	
60—64	68	32	36	58	27	31	10	5	5	
65—69	22	16	6	20	15	5	2	1	1	
70—74	44	28	16	38	26	12	6	2	4	
75 & over	40	27	13	33	23	10	7	4	3	
Age not stated	16	10	6	4	2	2	12	8	4	

RAJASTHAN

Report on the Sample Census of Births and Deaths, Rajasthan, 1952

By

(SHRI YAMUNA LAL DASHORA, *Superintendent of Census Operations*)

The work with regard to the Improvement of Population Data Scheme was undertaken by way of sample in compliance with letter No. 4/22/52-RG dated the 3rd March, 1952 from the Registrar General, India (copy enclosed Ex. I). The Report on this work has been prepared in two parts. Part I reviews briefly the existing methods of Registration of births and deaths and sets out some broad proposals for the reorganisation of the system. Part II reviews briefly the results of the experimental census of Births and Deaths.

PART I

The organisation etc. as existing in Rajasthan in July, 1952 has been summed up by the Director of Medical and Health Services, Government of Rajasthan, in his letter No. 5528/S/H dated the 29th July, 1952 (vide Appendix). Copy of draft Act referred to therein was also forwarded to the Registrar General, India. The tentative plan referred to there is given in Appendix, the approval of which was communicated by the Rajasthan Government per their letter No. F. 13(23) M. H. 50 dated the 7th June 1952 (vide Appendix). The views of the Director of Medical and Health Services Rajasthan, regarding the Registrar General's proposals contained in Appendix I to his letter referred to above are contained in Appendix. Letters were issued by the Rajasthan Government to all Commissioners for compliance of the tentative plan.

In spite of the above orders, the work has not yet started. The main difficulties reported by the district officers in carrying out the instructions centre round the following points :

(1) Printed forms have not yet been supplied for reporting and for registration. The Director of Medical and Health Services on being requested about this has expressed his inability to supply printed forms for want of funds which have not yet been sanctioned by the Rajasthan Government. He has only supplied specimen proforma and requested District Officers for supplying the information on those forms on ordinary paper but the District Officers complained of the shortage of stationery even for complying with this request.

(2) There is no inducement for the Patwaris or Tehsil clerks to whom this work is entrusted as no honorarium has been sanctioned.

(3) Detailed instructions for filling in the necessary forms have not been supplied in sufficient number to enable each Patwari to keep the booklet of instructions with him, study them and supply them.

(4) In some places, specially in Jagir areas, there are no Government Patwaris nor any other suitable agency for carrying out this work.

In March, 1953, I contacted a good many of the District Officers by visiting the Divisional Headquarters. My proposals based on suggestions of such of the local officers as I could contact are summarised below :

(1) The Superintendent of Census Operations should

be ex-officio Director of Population Records under whose supervision this work should be started.

(2) Legislation : There should be a Legislation fixing the responsibility of reporting births and deaths on the heads of households etc. The draft prepared by the Director of Medical and Health Services can be finalised by making some amendments after discussing details. This Act should be made applicable for the first year to the cities with a population of 50,000 and over. In the next year, it should be extended to the towns with a population having 20,000 and over, in the third year to all Municipal Towns and in the fourth year throughout the State.

(3) Breaches of the law should be strictly dealt with. The powers of trial of such cases may be delegated to the village Panchayats wherever they exist or to the ordinary Magistrates where Panchayats do not exist.

(4) Apart from the legislation, executive orders should also be issued fixing the responsibility of reporting, on the municipal staff in municipal towns i.e. scavengers etc. and in other places on revenue machinery, namely, Lambardars (Headmen of the village) or Sehnaas or Patwaris of the villages. Some sort of honorarium and allowance should be fixed for the municipal or revenue staff and strict disciplinary action should be taken against such staff in case of default.

(5) In the municipal towns, any senior clerk of the municipality should be the Registrar. The scavengers etc. should be given the proforma which they should be requested to get filled in by any literate person in the neighbourhood of the house where the events take place. From these reporting forms, the Registrar should make entries in the registers, the proforma of which should be something like the slips prescribed for the experimental census of births and deaths. They should be in triplicate with perforations so that every month or every week, one copy might be torn off and sent to the office of the Superintendent of Census Operations and ex-officio Director of Population Records, another can be given to the householder by way of a certificate. There should be no tallying or compilation of the figures either at the tehsil level or at the district level. All compilation should be done in the office of the Director of Population Records. Some sort of remuneration should be fixed for the municipal clerks. In the office of the Director of Population Records, whole time compilation staff should be provided according to the amount and nature of work.

(6) In the rural areas where the Patwaris exist, reporting forms should be supplied to the Lambardars who can get them filled in by literate persons in the neighbourhood of the house where the event takes place and forward them to the Patwari who will submit them to the tehsil clerk where the information contained in the reporting form will be entered in a register which will be similar to the one maintained by the clerk of the municipality. From the tehsil, one copy of the slip should be forwarded direct to the Director of Population Records

for compilation. Some remuneration should be fixed for Patwaris and tehsil clerks.

(7) In place where Patwari system does not exist at present I have two suggestions to make (a) either we should not start the work at all until the Partwari system is established which will not take a very long time, (b) or we can make the Jagirdars responsible for the collection of the information through their staff maintained by them for the collection of revenue.

(8) Regarding the forms of registers, I find on consulting the District Officers that it will not be possible in all cases to enter house number and household number; and that age returns will also be unsatisfactory. But there is no harm in trying. There will be no difficulty in collecting other information regarding birth or death as recommended by the Registrar General.

(9) The Superintendent of Census Operations as suggested by the Registrar General, is the most suitable officer for appointment as Director of Population Records. In the beginning he should be given a small staff with some grant for contingencies, printing of forms, their distribution and travelling. He should prescribe forms of reporting and register, get them printed in sufficient number and distributed to the actual workers. It will also be his responsibility to draft detailed instructions in the local language for the filling of each form and explaining them to all concerned by personal contact as well as through special instructor-cum-inspectors appointed for this purpose. He should supervise the compilation in his own office and present the data in the form of tables which may be prescribed by Registrar General from time to time.

When the above broad items are finalised, details of every item will be worked out.

PART II

RESULTS OF THE EXPERIMENTAL CENSUS OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS

With a view to arriving at some estimate of the birth and death rates about which we know next to nothing at present, an experimental census of births and deaths was taken on a sample basis. This work was to be undertaken in conjunction with the preparation of electoral rolls and the revision of the National Register of Citizens; but before this scheme could be put into force, the work of preparation of the electoral rolls had been practically completed in the state; and therefore, this experimental census was undertaken quite separately through the agency of Patwaris, under the supervision of the Collector in case of Rajasthan and the Chief Electoral Officer in the case of Ajmer State. Side by side with this census, the National Register of Citizens prepared

at the 1951 Census for the above area were also revised and brought upto date.

The census of births and deaths was confined to selected areas. The intention was that one-fifth area of each of the states of Rajasthan and Ajmer be selected for the purpose. In case of Ajmer, experimental census of births and deaths was conducted in all the urban areas and four constituencies namely Pushkar North, Pushkar South, Srinagar and Gagwana of rural area. This covered about 20 per cent. of the population of the State. In Rajasthan, instead of five districts (one-fifth of the 25 districts), only the Kotah District was selected on financial grounds.

The households were selected on a random basis from the copies of the National Register of Citizens prepared at the time of the 1951 census. Instructions in regard to the abstraction of sample households were issued to the Tehsildars in case of rural areas and non-municipal towns, and the Chief Executive Officers in case of municipal towns or city who were made responsible for the experimental census of births and deaths. In the case of cities every 100th house came under this sample and in rural areas the sample included every 10th village and every 10th household of each village selected. Thus the census covered approximately one per cent. of the households in the areas selected. For purpose of this census a twelve months period was fixed. The census thus covered the period from January 1, 1951 to December 31, 1951 and every householder was required to state the number of births and deaths that had taken place during this period. It was explained to the enumerators that for purposes of the Experimental Census a birth in the case of a wife who had gone to her parent's house or elsewhere for confinement should be treated as having occurred in the wife's husband's household. Similarly deaths that took place in hospitals or elsewhere were to be treated as occurring in the sample households. In order to prevent the size of the sample from being unduly diminished changes in residence of households were ignored and new households that had come in place of the sample household were treated as sample households for the purposes of the Census. Similarly in case the house containing the sample household was found to be empty at the time of the Census the house next to the sample household was substituted. Thus the size of the sample was kept at roughly 1 per cent. of the households in the selected areas.

It will appear from the Tables that, of the 338 births in Kotah District of Rajasthan and 85 in Ajmer State occurring in the sample households only 73 in Kotah District of Rajasthan and 51 in Ajmer State are reported to have been registered. The latter shows that of the 195 deaths in Kotah District of Rajasthan State and 35 in Ajmer State, occurring in the sample households only 50 deaths in Kotah District and 21 deaths in Ajmer State are reported to have been registered.

APPENDICES

Copy of letter No. 5528/S/H dated the 29th July, 1952 from the Director of Medical and Health Services, Rajasthan, to the Secretary to Government, Local Self-Government and Public Health Department, Rajasthan.

SUBJECT: REGISTRATION OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS ETC.
IN RAJASTHAN.

In continuation of this office letter No. 2610/S/H dated 29th May, 1952, I am reviewing the present position of registration of births, deaths and marriages in Rajasthan and also giving below my suggestions for the improvement of the same till the Act is passed for the registration of births, deaths and marriages.

Present Position:

There is no Births and Deaths Registration Act for the whole of Rajasthan and draft of the same has been sent vide my letter No. 13447/S/H dated 2nd February, 1952. The matter is still pending and is under consideration of the Government. Pending this, the Government was moved to approve of a tentative plan for collecting these statistics through the staff of Local Bodies and revenue officials which has been approved by the Government vide letter No. F. 13 (23) MH/50 dated the 7th June, 1952. The success of the whole plan approved by the Government will depend upon finances and co-operation of the local bodies, revenue staff and other officials concerned.

Urban Areas:

Most of the Municipalities have some provision for the collection of births and deaths. In covenanting States like Jodhpur, Bikaner, Udaipur, Acts were enforced for the registration of births and deaths. They are still in force. Registrars were appointed to register births and deaths in their respective circles. They were all ex-officio State or Municipal employees. The noteworthy aspect of these Acts (The Marwar Registration of Births, Deaths & Marriages Act of 1945, the Births, Deaths & Marriages Act 1950 of Bikaner State) is that the onus of reporting of births and deaths has been laid on the parents and their relatives and they have to report either in writing or orally to the Registrars. But nevertheless, these Acts have not achieved their full purpose for which they were enacted and are still incomplete. In the former Jodhpur State a provision under Municipal Act of 1945 to register births and deaths was made and later on a separate Act was passed to improve the conditions but still the position is fluid. In this the onus of reporting the occurrence of births, deaths etc. has not been laid down on the parents, but on the Municipal staff and so in practice this has failed in its object. In Mewar State (Udaipur) the Registration of Births and Deaths Act was passed and a separate Revenue Department order was issued to collect particulars of births and deaths, but this has not worked satisfactorily. In Sirohi State there was a law (with bye-laws framed under it) empowering all the Municipalities in Sirohi State to register births and deaths but this also has not been reported to have worked satisfactorily. In the rest of the covenanting States, there were separate Municipal or other laws in each capital State under which there were provisions to register births, deaths and marriages and they were enforced in Kotah, Bundi, Jhalawar, Kushalgarh, Banswara, Dungarpur, Alwar, Bharatpur, Karauli, Dholpur etc. In all these towns there were different provisions

but the common aspect of all of them was that wherever there was Health staff viz. Health Officer or Sanitary Inspector, they were made responsible for this work. But where there was no Health staff, either a clerk or a Secretary of the Municipalities was allotted this work. In all these places, the reports were made by the sweepers to the Registrar or the persons incharge who kept the record, and so consequently the reports were incomplete. Thus in urban areas in nearly all the important towns there were some provisions to collect births and deaths and they have all been collecting them. But this has not been done well and has now become a chronic thing which either must be improved or must die its own course.

In all the covenanting States described above, arrangements were made in rural areas to collect birth and death figures. This was either done by enforcing Acts like the Marwar Registration of Births and Deaths Act or the departmental order like the revenue order of the Mewar State and these were made to collect statistics of births and deaths but the results were far from satisfactory. After 1947 and onward the Indian States and particularly Rajasthan had so many political changes and the newly formed Government could not organise their work as they were particularly preoccupied and nobody had the time to look to this aspect though it was of national importance. After the formation of the present Rajasthan, the first two years were spent in the integration of the old units and the formation of the new ones. In 1951 February when the new organisation of the Medical and Health Department came into force, a Vital Statistical Officer was appointed in this department to organise this work. Immediately on joining, an enquiry was made by him regarding the registration of births and deaths and the above is the summary of all the reports received. During these two years when the integration was being completed all affairs, where questions of statistics were concerned were dealt with by the Chief Statistical Officer who was designated at that time as Special Statistical Officer. What action he took during the course of these two years to form a uniform policy for the registration of births and deaths and to organise this work of national importance is not known to this department as we have not received any communication from him on this point as yet, although a Draft Act for births and deaths registration was sent to him as long ago as June, 1951 vide this office letter No. 3760-72/ML dated 25th June, 1951, to which an interim communication has been received in which he has stated that as the time is short at his disposal he will give his remarks in due course of time, which have not yet been communicated to this office though it is over 12 months.

A Draft Act for births, deaths and marriages registration has been made by the Vital Statistical Officer, attached to this department and has been circulated to the authorities concerned in the Provinces of the Indian Union and opinions have been invited. A draft was also submitted to the Rajasthan Government and it has reached the Registrar General, Government of India. Several opinions have been received and considered. The Draft Act is still under consideration of the Government.

From the perusal of the above note, it would be clear that the present state of affairs cannot be allowed to continue and they need immediate improvement. The Registrar General has also emphasised this and a

reference was made to this office vide your endorsement No. F. 1 (292) MH/50 dated the 3rd June, 1952, and the views of this department were sent vide this office No. 5171-72/ML dated the 9th June, 1952, to you. The following are my suggestions for the improvement of births, deaths and marriages registration till the Act comes into force :

Standardisation of Forms :

1. Forms should be standardised and similarly the registers. At present the different covenanting States are using different types of forms and different types of registers. It is essential that they must be standardised and all of them must use standardised forms so as to facilitate compilation work. This matter has already been taken up by this department and draft forms have been prepared but they could not be got printed as the budget provision for printing of this department has been drastically reduced and hence it has not been possible to get them printed within the budget allotment. However, copies of the standard forms have been cyclostyled and are being sent to authorities concerned to enable them to send the reports on a uniform basis. It will entirely depend upon the fresh grant which Rajasthan Government may give so that this printing can be taken in hand. The estimate cost will be found under the head "Financial implications".

Provision of staff at all levels :

2 At present there is no provision of suitable and sufficient clerical staff in all the municipalities, tehsils, districts or even in the offices of District Medical and Health Officers to register and compile this important data correctly and in time. At all these places proper staff should be provided. Allocation to carry out this work should be made to certain staff attached in these offices and part time allowances for this work should be given where full time staff is not provided. These allowances were given in former Jodhpur State and in some other States. With the integration of Rajasthan, these allowances were discontinued. The result has been that the work has come to a standstill. Tremendous change has taken place in the outlook of the staff working and no body wants to do any extra work without suitable remuneration and hence it is not possible to take up this extra work without paying suitable allowances. There has been similar experience in the census and election work, even after the Government had issued instructions and top priority was given to such work. The work of registration of births, deaths and marriages although of routine nature is of continued type requiring constant vigilance and not exactly like that of election and census departments which work temporarily. If suitable allowances are not given, nobody will care to pay special attention to get the requisite registration and compilation done punctually and in due time. Some money therefore, will have to be spent in order to improve this work and establish it on satisfactory footing.

Provision of Registrars :

3. The Registrars who will receive reports of births, deaths and marriages etc. from the reporters should be ex-officio and will do this work part-time except in big cities where there will be full time registrars. Part-time registrars should be paid some allowances. It has been proposed in our original scheme that there should be one Registrar for every 2,000 of the population.

Provision for punishment for defaulters :

4. The defaulters whether they are State servants or members of the public should receive adequate penalties for their non-reporting. This is necessary because the Registration authority without power behind it will be impotent. Unless strict discipline is maintained in the reporting staff of the Local Bodies, tehsil and revenue no correct reporting will be possible.

Technical supervision :

5. All the staff doing this work should be under the technical supervision and control of the Medical and Health Directorate who should be ultimately responsible for this work. This seems advisable, irrespective of different departments engaged in this work.

Under the above provisional scheme apparently no legislative measures will be required as it will not be compulsory and obligatory for the public to report but a Government order will be needed to direct all Local Bodies and revenue staff to cooperate and to comply with the instructions issued by this department from time to time in this connection. For this, it is requested that departmental instructions to the concerned heads of the departments be issued by the Government. Besides this, a Gazette Notification will be helpful in directing all the officers concerned and subordinate offices to cooperate and comply with the instructions issued by this Directorate. Without Government instructions, as suggested above, the work carried on by this department will neither be effective nor complete, as although at present this department has been trying to collect the data, the cooperation from the municipalities and other staff has been wanting. Hence it is requested that necessary Government orders may kindly be issued as quickly as possible, so that we may start collection and compilation at least from 1st September, 1952.

Extent to which this scheme will apply :

6. This scheme will apply to urban as well as rural areas. For the purpose of this scheme urban areas will comprise of towns. A town was defined as every Municipality, every cantonment or village which had a population of 5,000 or over in 1941 or any other village which was treated as a town for special reasons by the Census Superintendent. This has been defined by the Census Superintendent, Rajasthan. The list of towns and cities which will be considered as urban areas is enclosed as Appendix A. The rest will remain as rural areas.

Machinery for the enforcement of this scheme :

7. (a) *State Level:* This Directorate will be the Head Office for all work in this connection. There is already a Vital Statistical Section in this office which will be properly staffed. Additional staff required for the purpose is given in Appendix B. The expenditure for this additional staff can be met out of the budget head of this department and will not involve any extra financial commitment but will be filled up from 20 per cent. vacancies which have been kept vacant for which Government sanction is requested.

(b) *District Level:* Extra ministerial staff at district level will not be needed if Government approve of centralised collection and compilation of data which requires staff in the Directorate as mentioned above. But in case the Government does not agree with this view and the collection and compilation has to be done at the

district level, one extra clerk will have to be given to each D. M. & H. O. or a part time allowance of Rs. 10/- p.m. to one clerk doing this work.

(c) *Unit Level:* Every town given in Appendix A will be a unit. If there is a municipality, town board, notified area, cantonment etc. its authority will be responsible for the collection and compilation of this data. There may be some towns where the above referred Local Bodies may not exist. In such cases the Sub-Divisional Officer, Tehsildar or any other responsible officer present, will be made responsible for this work. Similarly for the rural areas, the Tehsildar will be responsible for this work. The clerk doing this work in the tehsil or S. D. O's. office will be given an allowance of Rs. 8/- p. m.

(d) *Primary Agencies:* In urban areas the sanitary and health inspectors, jamadars and sweepers etc. of the Local Bodies will collect the information for their respective area and the Executive Officer or the Secretary of the Local Body will arrange compilation of these for transmission to this office.

In rural areas the Tehsildars will utilise the services of Patwaris, Patels, Lambardars, Chowkidars etc. who ever exist on the spot. The whole machinery will be put in force and all information about the persons who is present at the spot will be obtained and necessary instructions will be issued to those who are required to do the job at a particular place.

Remuneration:

8. In the Head Office i.e. the office of the Director of Medical and Health Services, no question of remuneration will arise as the staff is full time. At the district level, certain remuneration has been suggested vide para No. 7 (b). In the Municipalities and Tehsil Offices, part time allowance or full time clerk as required by the local conditions and the amount of work will have to be provided. It is recommended that a uniform allowance of Rs. 5/- may be given in Tehsils and Municipalities with a population of 10,000 to 25,000. Above 25,000 full time staff will be required. This full time staff should be provided out of the budget of the offices concerned.

Supervising Agencies:

9. The officers of this department will supervise the work. In addition, the districts and tehsils, D. M. & H. Os., Health Officers, Assistant Health Officers, and Sanitary Inspectors will inspect the records when on tour. The Sanitary Inspectors of Local Bodies, Inspectors of Gram Panchayats, Co-operative Departments etc. should also inspect them when they are on tour. The Collectors when they are on tour may also like to inspect them.

Analysis and Abstracts:

10. This work will be done in the Medical and Health Directorate.

Periodical publishing of Statistics:

11. It is proposed that weekly bulletins will be issued by this office and will be circulated and supplied free of charge. To start with, they will be cyclostyled and no extra grant will be required for the time being till the whole scheme becomes regular, when fresh proposals for getting them printed will be submitted to the Government.

Publicity and Propaganda:

12. For the present no intensive publicity or propaganda is proposed until the compulsory registration system comes into force. Still it is suggested that some propaganda should be done. The Local Bodies will be requested to place sign-boards at prominent places requesting the public to volunteer for the supply of this information. Some cinema slides may be prepared, to be shown in the cinema houses permanently and cinema managements will be requested to show these slides free of charge. If funds permit, publicity will also be done in the local newspapers. When various exhibitions, health stalls, fairs, religious congregations etc. are held, this department will take all possible measures to do propaganda and publicity to this effect. For this purpose, it is proposed to spend upto Rs. 5,000/- which can be met from head "Fairs and Exhibition" under this departmental budget.

Printing of Cards and Registers:

13. The cards utilised for reporting will cost money. To begin with, this department will arrange for this printing, but afterwards it is desired that the Superintendent, Government Press, will keep the stock and supply directly to Local Bodies and others on requisition and direct payment. The specimen copies of the reporting cards are enclosed herewith.

Registers:

14. For the time being, the registers should be prepared locally and should be prepared with the same number and particulars of the columns as given in the statements except the first i.e. instead of giving the name of the town or tehsil, the data will be entered. The authorities concerned will open sub-head account for their respective circles in these registers for easy compilation.

15. Financial implications for Director of Medical & Health Services Office.

38. Medical	Recurring
A (Superintendence)	Nil
3 Other charges	
Other expenses :	
(a) Printing (Cards and Registers)	2,000/-
(b) Furniture (Boxes & Boards)	200/-
(c) Other Petty Expenses	200/-
4. Non-recurring	
Computing Electric machines	5,000/-
Total	7,400/-

39. Public Health

(a) District & Division Staff Allowances: 15 Clerks @ Rs.10/- p.m. 1800/-

16. Financial effect to be included in other departments.

(1) *Local Bodies:*

- (a) Full time clerk where population is above 25,000 grade L. D.
- (b) Allowance @ Rs. 8/ p.m. where population is 10,000 to 25,000.
- (c) Allowance @ Rs. 5/- p.m. where population is below 10,000.

(2) *Revenue Department:*

In each Tehsil allowance of Rs. 5/- p.m. (187 Tehsils) 11,220/-.

The Government may kindly consider this scheme and this may be sanctioned at an early date so that the compilation etc. could start from 1st September, 1952 as suggested above.

Enclosure:

PLAN

The plan will embrace both the areas—Urban as

well as Rural. The towns having municipalities, notified areas, town boards etc. will be treated as urban areas and rest of the areas will come under rural areas.

Urban area:

In Urban area three statements will be prepared by each local authority with the help of its own office staff on the basis of the reports received through its field staff like Inspectors, Jamadars, Sweepers etc.

The C Statement will be weekly :

TABLE C

Popula- tion in 1951 Census	Births	Birth rate	Deaths from								Total deaths	Death rate p. m. (Annual)	Infant deaths
			Cholera	Small-pox	Plague	Fever	Dysentery & Diarrhoea	Respiratory Diseases	Maternal Diseases	Other causes			
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14

This statement will be compiled on the basis of the information of one week i.e. from each Sunday to Saturday. All weeks will end on Saturday. No different weeks should be framed by the reporting authorities. This statement should be despatched before the next Thursday. This should strictly be adhered to. The birth and death rate will be calculated by this formula :

$$\text{Birth Rate} = \frac{\text{Births} \times 52}{\text{Midyear estimated population or actual population}}$$

The A & B Statements will be monthly:

A. Statement showing total number of births and deaths by sex in the.....Town/Tehsil
.....during the month.....

Name of month	Births			Still Births	Deaths			Maternal deaths
	Male	Female	Total		Male	Female	Total	

B. Statement showing the deaths by causes, age and sex in theTown/Tehsil.....
during the month.....

Cholera		Small-pox		Plague		Fever		Dysentery & Diarrhoea		Respiratory Diseases		Total	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F

Age

0 . .
1 . .
2 . .
3 . .
4 . .
5 . .
10 . .
15 . .
20 . .
25 . .
30 . .
35 . .
40 . .
45 . .
50 . .
55 . .
60 . .
65 . .
70 . .
75 . .
75 & over

The tehsildars will collect this information from their Tehsil areas through the field staff and will compile the following proformas in their own office for each month :

Statement A }
Statement B } As prescribed above.

The tehsildars and the authorities of municipalities and town areas will please send all the required statements to the District Medical & Health Officer of the concerned District. These statements should not be sent to this office.

Copy of letter No. F. 13 (23) M.H./50 dated the 7th June, 1952, from the Secretary to Local Self-Government, Medical & Public Health Deptt. (B. M. & H.), Rajasthan, Jaipur to the Director of Medical & Health Services, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

SUBJECT: PLAN FOR THE COLLECTION OF VITAL STATISTICS

Reference: Your Memo No. 2610 dated 29th May, 1952.

The undersigned is directed to inform you that the plan sent by you in your Memo. under reference is approved. The Revenue & L. S. G. Deptts. are being approached for rendering all possible help in reporting, compiling and sending the statements to you in time.

Copy of letter No. S/BD dated the June, 1952, from the Director of Medical & Health Services, Rajasthan, Jaipur to the Secretary to Government L. S. G. Medical & Public Health Department, Rajasthan, Jaipur.

SUBJECT: REGISTRATION OF BIRTHS, DEATHS AND MARRIAGES

Reference: Your end. No. F. 1(292) MH/50 dated 3rd June, 1952.

The scheme on the above subject prepared by the Registrar General, Government of India, sent by you under your endorsement referred to above, has been carefully considered in the light of the prevailing present conditions in Rajasthan as well as to develop the conditions in future. My views are given below in order of paras of the appendix one attached with your above referred endorsement:

Compulsory Registration in all Areas:

1. At present the birth and death registration is not compulsory in Rajasthan. This should be made compulsory throughout Rajasthan. The Registration Offices should be situated as near as possible to the place of occurrence so that the public can report the event conveniently. For this it is suggested that there should be one Registration Office per 2,000 of the population in general. The special conditions and circumstances of a particular locality requiring more Registration Offices can be considered on the merits of each case. The onus of primarily reporting the occurrence of births and deaths should be laid on the parent or guardian or near relation or in their absence on the occupier of the house or on the medical personnel attending the case. The field staff which has been referred to in the subsequent paras of this appendix should continue to report, as has been stated but the main purpose of their reports will be to check the correctness and completeness of the events rather than primary reporting. These reports will, therefore, naturally keep a second position.

Registrars and Additional Registrars:

2. We agree in principle that there should be no whole time Registrars, as it will saddle the State with the further financial burden which we are unable to bear for the time being. Therefore there should be ex-officio Registrars by virtue of their being in service of the State Government, Semi-Government Local Bodies or Central Government or Semi-Government institutions or private institutions. Preference, however, should be given to the Government employees. Among the State employees, preference should be given to those persons who are more favourably situated to register the events. The Registrar

General has suggested the Sanitary Inspectors or Health Inspectors of the Municipality to serve as Registrars. I differ with these recommendations with the following proviso:

The Registrars must have an office and that office must exhibit that the registration will be done there during such and such hours. During these working hours, the records, Registrars and registers should be available in the office. This will not be possible for the Inspectors because to this day in Rajasthan, they have no separate offices. Their offices are mainly situated either in the Municipal Board office premises or in the District Medical & Health Officers' office or in the dispensaries. Until these are separately fixed, arrangements to provide registration offices per 2,000 should be made. One Sanitary or Health Inspector also can serve as Registrar during working hours. In urban areas in addition to what has been said above, the hospitals, dispensaries, Ayurvedic Aushdhalayas, schools, police posts whichever are suitably placed in that locality can also be made to serve as a Registration Office.

Rural Areas:

In the rural areas some Agencies which have been mentioned for urban areas can be utilised e.g. schools, First Aid Posts, Police Out-posts. In addition to these, Post Offices, important Private firms etc. can be used as Registration Offices. The Revenue staff which has been mentioned in para 2A, B and C will be utilised as Reporting Agencies and as Registrars wherever possible.

Registration Supervisors:

3. There should be Registration Supervisors with definite areas under them, which should be visited by them regularly. They should be ex-officio Supervisors. It is suggested that Sanitary Inspectors and Vaccinators of this department can serve as Supervisors—firstly because they have definite circles, secondly they are expected to tour most of the time because touring is an important part of their normal duty; and thirdly when they do primary vaccinations, they are in a better position to check the birth records on the spot as well as in the Registration Office. They also care anti-epidemic measures in all rural and urban areas and can best check death records. Besides these the field staff of other departments viz. Inspectors of animal Husbandry and Vaccination Department can also be used as Inspecting Officers wherever possible.

Chief Registration Offices:

4. The Electoral Registration Officers as pointed out under section 22 of the Representation of Peoples Act 1950 may act as Chief Registration Officers. They will exercise general superintendence and control over Registration Supervisors, Registrars and Registration Offices. This work can be supplemented by other staff which is discussed below.

Standardisation of forms:

5. This department agrees to have standardised common forms for the whole country. Besides it is recommended that the printing and supply of these forms should be done by the Director direct to all offices concerned. This will lead to economy and efficiency. This will require enormous amount of money and the Central Government may be approached for financial assistance as this is a matter of national importance.

Population records and registrations:

6. This department agrees to keep 3 registers (population records) for every village or town or registration office. Registrars will keep for their areas and the Chief Registration Offices will consolidate them for towns and cities as required. Besides these, it is suggested that each registration office should also maintain one Despatch and Receipt Register as they will be required to issue certificate and for weekly reports of births and deaths.

Annual review of population records:

7. This department agrees with the recommendations made in Appendix I and suggests that in the beginning a country-wide propaganda through all the available sources should be made to create a psychological background in the mind of the masses. For this purpose, fairs, Health Exhibitions can be utilised which attract large masses.

Electoral roll revision operations:

8. This department agrees to these recommendations.

Annual Census of Sample Households:

9. This department agrees to these recommendations.

Analysis, compilation and study of Registration Returns and Census Data:

10. (i) This department has nothing to say about the creation of the post of Registrar General at the Centre and also agrees that there should be a Director of Population Records but we are of the view that the combination of both the posts of the Superintendent of Census Operations and the Director of Population Records will not be a workable proposal. The work of the Director of Population Records can very easily be carried out in this department without any heavy expenditure to the Government. We have a Vital Statistical Officer specially for the maintenance of vital statistics.

Compilation of results:

(ii) The compilation of results will be done on

the basis of regular reports and will be checked by the results of annual census of sample households. This will be done on the standardised forms.

Annual population reports:

11. This department agrees to these recommendations.

Conclusion related to the recommendation of the Bhore Committee and Vital Statistics Committee:

12. (a) This department is of the opinion that the recommendations of the Bhore Committee and the Vital Statistics Committee to enact a Central Act should be implemented. This will bring uniformity. The State Governments can frame the rules according to the local conditions. This view has been held by various authorities in India dealing in this sphere. A draft act has also been prepared by this Department and has been circulated to various State Governments inviting their opinions. It has also been sent to you and to the Registrar General.

NOTE: It will not be out of place to mention here that the Patwari system in Rajasthan is in its formation and there are large areas which have not Patwaris.

(b) Registration of Marriages:

This department holds the view that marriages should be registered as this will help in obtaining the data on many important issues.

(c) District Vital Statistics Organisation.

The Bhore Committee recommended a whole time organisation which cannot for the present be taken up but it can be reduced to part time affair i.e. the District Medical & Health Officers of this department can serve as ex-officio District Registrars and Medical Officers of fixed dispensaries can act as Assistant District Registrars, and under these Assistant District Registrars there will be Registrars as explained above.

This department agrees in toto with Appendix II.

TABLES

I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered

								POPUL.	
State & District								Area (in square miles)	Number of households
1								2	3
									Persons
									4
RAJASTHAN									
								Total	
								Rural	
								Urban	
								130,207	3,074,054
									2,505,273
									568,781
									15,290,797
									12,641,430
									2,649,367
Jaipur								6,295	1,656,097
									1,186,885
									469,212
Tonk								3,582	400,947
									332,268
									68,679
Sawaimadhopur								4,204	765,172
									668,943
									96,229
Bharatpur								3,133	907,399
									757,177
									150,222
Alwar								3,245	861,993
									763,155
									98,838
Jhuhjhunu								2,310	588,621
									448,295
									140,326
Sikar								2,942	677,782
									529,498
									148,284
Bhilwara								4,672	727,356
									659,851
									67,505
Bikaner								8,447	930,329
									181,341
									148,988
Churu								6,512	523,276
									338,174
									185,102
Ganganagar								8,225	630,130
									539,217
									90,913
Jodhpur								9,434	691,786
									463,399
									228,387

N O T A V A I L A B L E

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
7,961,673	7,329,124			
6,590,413	6,051,017			
1,371,260	1,278,107			
862,876	793,221			
617,668	569,217			
245,208	224,004			
208,287	192,660			
174,019	158,249			
34,268	34,411			
407,181	357,991			
357,037	311,906			
50,144	46,085			
494,430	412,969			
413,215	343,962			
81,215	69,007			
454,557	407,436			
402,109	361,046			
52,448	46,390			
300,937	287,684			
230,189	218,106			
70,748	69,578			
343,646	334,136			
270,912	258,586			
72,734	75,550			
376,106	351,250			
341,029	318,822			
35,077	32,428			
171,279	159,050			
95,175	86,166			
76,104	72,884			
269,850	253,426			
177,791	160,383			
92,059	93,043			
343,192	286,938			
292,010	247,207			
51,182	39,731			
364,383	327,403			
243,933	219,466			
120,450	107,937			

N O T A V A I L A B L E

N O T A V A I L A B L E

N O T A V A I L A B L E

I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered

									POPUL.		
State & District									Area (in square miles)	Number of households	Persons
1									2	3	4
Barmer	T R U	10,150		441,368 408,601 32,767
Jalore	T R U	4,924		459,467 431,416 28,051
Pali	T R U	4,751		660,856 570,727 90,129
Nagaur	T R U	6,899		763,829 664,250 99,579
Jaisalmer	T R U	15,967		102,743 94,717 8,026
Udaipur	T R U	6,958		1,191,232 1,043,253 147,979
Dungarpur	T R U	1,466		308,243 286,501 21,742
Banswara	T R U*	1,954		356,559 336,874 19,685
Sirohi	T R U	1,671		237,362 209,502 27,860
Chittorgarh	T R U	3,231		587,724 524,570 63,154
Kotah	T R U	4,785		766,198 639,230 126,968
Bundi	T R U	2,139		280,518 232,760 47,758
Jhalawar	T R U	2,311		373,810 330,826 42,984

N O T A V A I L A B L E

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951—(concl'd.)

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
236,160	205,208			
218,935	189,666			
17,225	15,542			
240,220	219,247			
225,940	205,476			
14,280	13,771			
339,626	321,230			
293,005	277,722			
46,621	43,508			
394,575	369,254			
344,419	319,831			
50,156	49,423			
56,668	46,075			
52,206	42,511			
4,462	3,564			
607,677	583,555			
531,089	512,164			
76,588	71,391			
153,912	154,331			
143,044	143,457			
10,868	10,874			
179,805	176,754			
169,780	167,094			
10,025	9,660			
120,300	117,062			
106,465	103,037			
13,835	14,025			
300,093	287,631			
267,503	257,067			
32,590	30,564			
397,783	368,415			
331,264	307,966			
66,519	60,449			
146,652	133,866			
122,170	110,590			
24,482	23,276			
191,478	182,332			
169,506	161,320			
21,972	21,012			

N O T A V A I L A B L E

N O T A V A I L A B L E

N O T A V A I L A B L E

II—Distribution of Population in Rajasthan

Age Group	Persons				Male
	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
	1	2	3	4	5
TOTAL					
All Ages	1,497,385	736,278	638,876	122,231	782,334
Under 1 year	53,968	...	53,968	...	27,537
1—4	160,133	...	160,133	...	81,360
5—14	380,810	47,506	332,585	719	200,739
15—24	251,950	180,865	66,369	4,716	129,229
25—34	233,905	206,874	14,395	12,636	117,363
35—44	176,330	148,911	5,370	22,049	94,496
45—54	118,323	87,931	2,686	27,706	64,708
55—64	74,461	43,570	1,849	29,042	40,098
65—74	33,840	15,709	852	17,279	19,126
75 & over	12,624	4,403	256	7,965	7,109
Age not stated	1,041	509	413	119	569
RURAL					
All Ages	1,244,971	603,267	538,813	102,891	650,851
Under 1 year	45,830	...	45,830	...	23,310
1—4	135,563	...	135,563	...	68,467
5—14	321,831	39,737	281,500	594	169,675
15—24	206,334	148,146	54,269	3,919	106,192
25—34	194,507	171,133	12,370	11,004	97,401
35—44	145,753	122,461	4,315	18,977	78,615
45—54	96,759	71,331	2,275	23,153	53,505
55—64	60,554	34,691	1,547	24,316	32,345
65—74	26,943	11,981	724	14,238	15,165
75 & over	10,240	3,443	197	6,600	5,851
Age not stated	657	344	223	90	325
URBAN					
All Ages	252,414	133,011	100,063	19,340	131,483
Under 1 year	8,138	...	8,138	...	4,227
1—4	24,570	...	24,570	...	12,893
5—14	58,979	7,769	51,085	125	31,064
15—24	45,616	32,719	12,100	797	23,037
25—34	39,398	35,741	2,025	1,632	19,962
35—44	30,577	26,450	1,055	3,072	15,881
45—54	21,564	16,600	411	4,553	11,203
55—64	13,907	8,879	302	4,726	7,753
65—74	6,897	3,728	128	3,041	3,961
75 & over	2,384	960	59	1,365	1,258
Age not stated	384	165	190	29	244

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females						
Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
368,961	369,118	44,255	715,051	367,317	269,758	77,976
...	27,537	...	26,431	...	26,431	...
...	81,360	...	78,773	...	78,773	...
15,492	184,953	294	180,071	32,014	147,632	425
73,960	53,295	1,974	122,721	106,905	13,074	2,742
100,888	12,087	4,388	116,542	105,986	2,308	8,248
82,256	4,701	7,539	81,834	66,655	669	14,510
53,258	2,449	9,001	53,615	34,673	237	18,705
28,432	1,527	10,139	34,363	15,138	322	18,903
11,145	781	7,200	14,714	4,564	71	10,079
3,225	218	3,666	5,515	1,178	38	4,299
305	210	54	472	204	203	65
302,338	310,491	38,022	594,120	300,929	228,322	64,869
...	23,310	...	22,520	...	22,520	...
...	68,467	...	67,096	...	67,096	...
12,892	156,528	255	152,156	26,845	124,972	339
60,692	43,822	1,678	100,142	87,454	10,447	2,241
83,212	10,240	3,949	97,106	87,921	2,130	7,055
68,252	3,811	6,552	67,138	54,209	504	12,425
43,694	2,070	7,741	43,254	27,637	205	15,412
22,444	1,281	8,620	28,209	12,247	266	15,696
8,376	680	6,109	11,778	3,605	44	8,129
2,594	176	3,081	4,389	849	21	3,519
182	106	37	332	162	117	53
66,623	58,627	6,233	120,931	66,388	41,436	13,107
...	4,227	...	3,911	...	3,911	...
...	12,893	...	11,677	...	11,677	...
2,600	28,425	39	27,915	5,169	22,660	86
13,268	9,473	296	22,579	19,451	2,627	501
17,676	1,847	439	19,436	18,065	178	1,193
14,004	890	987	14,696	12,446	165	2,085
9,564	379	1,260	10,361	7,036	32	3,293
5,988	246	1,519	6,154	2,891	56	3,207
2,769	101	1,091	2,936	959	27	1,950
631	42	585	1,126	329	17	780
123	104	17	140	42	86	12

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of Sample Household Population by Districts, 1951

State & District	POPULATION							
	Number of Sample Households				All Classes			
	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	Persons		Males	
					Married	Others	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
RAJASTHAN—								
Total . . .	1,829	950	203	676	4,035	4,707	2,007	2,540
Rural . . .	1,534	928	199	407	3,392	3,973	1,688	2,147
Urban . . .	295	22	4	269	643	734	319	393
Kotah—								
T . . .	1,829	950	203	676	4,035	4,707	2,007	2,540
R . . .	1,534	928	199	407	3,392	3,973	1,688	2,147
U . . .	295	22	4	269	643	734	319	393

POPULATION—(concl.)

State & District	All Classes—(concl.)							
	Females		Livelihood Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V—VIII	
	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
1	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
RAJASTHAN								
Total . . .	2,028	2,167	2,579	2,382	418	385	1,550	1,428
Rural . . .	1,704	1,826	2,525	2,323	412	381	898	826
Urban . . .	324	341	54	59	6	4	652	602
Kotah—								
T . . .	2,028	2,167	2,579	2,382	418	385	1,550	1,428
R . . .	1,704	1,826	2,525	2,323	412	381	898	826
U . . .	324	341	54	59	6	4	652	602

**IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household
Population of Rajasthan State, 1951**

POPULATION								
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females		
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
TOTAL								
All Ages	8,742	4,035	4,707	2,007	2,540	2,028	2,167	
Under 1 year	327	...	327	...	166	...	161	
1—4	980	...	980	...	516	...	464	
5—9	1,286	20	1,266	2	627	18	639	
10—14	1,041	177	864	35	559	142	305	
15—19	752	464	288	161	253	303	35	
20—24	704	601	103	233	87	368	16	
25—29	838	775	63	372	42	403	21	
30—34	698	627	71	332	44	295	27	
35—39	521	442	79	264	41	178	38	
40—44	477	351	126	204	47	147	79	
45—49	311	223	88	144	30	79	58	
50—54	336	178	158	129	41	49	117	
55—59	127	64	63	37	16	27	47	
60—64	199	69	130	56	37	13	93	
65—69	67	23	44	19	18	4	26	
70—74	48	18	30	16	9	2	21	
75 & over	30	3	27	3	7	...	20	
Age not stated	
RURAL								
All Ages	7,365	3,392	3,973	1,688	2,147	1,704	1,826	
Under 1 year	288	...	288	...	148	...	140	
1—4	825	...	825	...	438	...	387	
5—9	1,119	19	1,100	2	548	17	552	
10—14	894	159	735	34	480	125	255	
15—19	618	404	214	145	192	259	22	
20—24	562	486	76	195	62	291	14	
25—29	713	664	49	313	33	351	16	
30—34	596	531	65	283	40	248	25	
35—39	441	372	69	222	36	150	33	
40—44	391	287	104	163	39	124	65	
45—49	260	189	71	122	23	67	48	
50—54	271	142	129	106	35	36	94	
55—59	110	54	56	32	14	22	42	
60—64	162	55	107	45	28	10	79	
65—69	54	18	36	16	16	2	20	
70—74	38	11	27	9	9	2	18	
75 & over	23	1	22	1	6	...	16	
Age not stated	
URBAN								
All Ages	1,377	643	734	319	393	324	341	
Under 1 year	39	...	39	...	18	...	21	
1—4	155	...	155	...	78	...	77	
5—9	167	1	166	...	79	1	87	
10—14	147	18	129	1	79	17	50	
15—19	194	60	74	16	61	44	13	
20—24	142	115	27	38	25	77	2	
25—29	125	111	14	59	9	52	5	
30—34	102	96	6	49	4	47	2	
35—39	80	70	10	42	5	28	5	
40—44	86	64	22	41	8	23	14	
45—49	51	34	17	22	7	12	10	
50—54	65	36	29	23	6	13	23	
55—59	17	10	7	5	2	5	5	
60—64	37	14	23	11	9	3	14	
65—69	13	5	8	3	2	2	6	
70—74	10	7	3	7	3	
75 & over	7	2	5	2	1	...	4	
Age not stated	

**V—Number of Births in Sample Households by Economic Groups
and their Registration by Districts, 1951**

State & District	TOTAL NUMBER OF BIRTHS											
	Number of Mothers				Persons				Males			
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
RAJASTHAN—												
Total . . .	338	211	30	97	338	211	30	97	177	111	16	
Rural . . .	298	208	30	60	298	208	30	60	156	110	16	
Urban . . .	40	3	...	37	40	3	...	37	21	1	...	
Kotah—												
T . . .	338	211	30	97	338	211	30	97	177	111	16	
R . . .	298	208	30	60	298	208	30	60	156	110	16	
U . . .	40	3	...	37	40	3	...	37	21	1	...	

State & District	TOTAL NUMBER OF BIRTHS—(concl'd.)					WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED					
	Males—(concl'd.)		Females			Yes		No		Not known	
	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23

RAJASTHAN—

Total . . .	50	161	100	14	47	38	35	104	95	35	31
Rural . . .	30	142	98	14	30	24	23	98	91	34	28
Urban . . .	20	19	2	...	17	14	12	6	4	1	3

Kotah—

T . . .	50	161	100	14	47	38	35	104	95	35	31
R . . .	30	142	98	14	30	24	23	98	91	34	28
U . . .	20	19	2	...	17	14	12	6	4	1	3

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

PRESENT AGE									
Order of Birth	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	338	1,066	876	8	8	8	135	262	225
1st	92	92	86	8	8	8	62	62	58
2nd	75	150	136	42	84	75
3rd	44	132	119	13	39	32
4th	48	192	159	14	56	43
5th	29	145	116	3	15	12
6th	18	108	75	1	6	5
7th & above	32	247	185
RURAL									
All Orders	298	921	755	8	8	8	117	219	187
1st	83	83	79	8	8	8	55	55	52
2nd	68	136	123	37	74	66
3rd	41	123	110	13	39	32
4th	38	152	122	10	40	29
5th	26	130	105	1	5	3
6th	16	96	63	1	6	5
7th & above	26	201	153
URBAN									
All Orders	40	145	121	18	43	38
1st	9	9	7	7	7	6
2nd	7	14	13	5	10	9
3rd	3	9	9
4th	10	40	37	4	16	14
5th	3	15	11	2	10	9
6th	2	12	12
7th & above	6	46	32

Households of Rajasthan State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1951

OF MOTHER

25-34			35-44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
147	517	427	44	255	196	4	24	20
21	21	19	1	1	1
30	60	55	3	6	6
26	78	72	4	12	12	1	3	3
30	120	102	4	16	14
19	95	73	7	35	31
9	54	40	8	48	30
12	89	66	17	137	102	3	21	17
129	444	363	40	226	177	4	24	20
19	19	18	1	1	1
28	56	51	3	6	6
23	69	63	4	12	12	1	3	3
25	100	83	3	12	10
18	90	71	7	35	31
7	42	28	8	48	30
9	68	49	14	112	87	3	21	17
18	73	64	4	29	19
2	2	1
2	4	4
3	9	9
5	20	19	1	4	4
1	5	2
2	12	12
3	21	17	3	25	15

VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1954

TOTAL NUMBER OF DEATHS										
State & District	Persons				Males				Females	
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V & VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
RAJASTHAN—										
Total . . .	195	105	24	66	110	56	14	40	85	
Rural . . .	164	101	23	40	94	56	14	24	70	
Urban . . .	31	4	1	26	16	16	15	
Kotah—										
T . . .	195	105	24	66	110	56	14	40	85	
R . . .	164	101	23	40	94	56	14	24	70	
U . . .	31	4	1	26	16	16	15	

TOTAL NUMBER OF DEATHS—(concl'd.)										
State & District	Females—(concl'd.) *			WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED						
	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Yes		No		Not known		
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females		
1	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
RAJASTHAN—										
Total . . .	49	10	26	18	32	71	40	21	13	
Rural . . .	45	9	16	8	21	66	36	20	13	
Urban . . .	4	1	10	10	11	5	4	1	...	
Kotah—										
T . . .	49	10	26	18	32	71	40	21	13	
R . . .	45	9	16	8	21	66	36	20	13	
U . . .	4	1	10	10	11	5	4	1	...	

[illegible]

PUNJAB, PEPSU, HIMACHAL PRADESH & BILASPUR

**Extracts from the Report on the Improvement of Population Data, Punjab,
PEPSU, Himachal Pradesh and Bilaspur**

By

(CHAUDHRY RATTAN SINGH, *Joint Census Superintendent*)

I. * * * *

II. *Suggestions for re-organising the present system of registration of Births and Deaths:*

13. In this Census Sub-Zone, the State of Punjab, Pepsu, Himachal Pradesh and Bilaspur assented to give a trial to the scheme. Delhi State did not opt for it. All the first mentioned four States designated me (Joint Superintendent Census) as Officer on Special Duty.

* * * *

16. It would be worthwhile to give an account of the system working in the Punjab State, at present. In the rural areas, the village chowkidars are the sole agents for reporting births and deaths at the police stations. The Thana Moharrirs act as Registrars. The chowkidars who are provided with two books, one for births and the other for deaths, are supposed to get recorded therein all births and deaths occurring in their beats. They, in compliance with the rules framed under the Punjab Laws Act of 1872 and the Punjab Police rules of 1934, are duty bound to take these books, on their fortnightly visits (Varabandi) to their respective thanas. The Thana Moharrirs from these books and oral enquiries made from the chowkidars compile the birth and death registers maintained by them. Copies of the death registers and the abstracts of the information recorded in the birth registers are forwarded every fortnight from the police stations to the District Medical Officers of Health, through the Superintendents of Police. The District Medical Officers of Health pass these copies and abstracts to the Offices of the Civil Surgeons for consignment.

17. In the urban areas, most of the municipalities have adopted rules and bye-laws under the Punjab Municipal Act in 1911 in regard to the proper registration of births and deaths. In compliance with these rules and bye-laws, the head of the family or in his absence an adult member of the family and failing both, the midwife of the circle are supposed to make a report at the municipal office within four days of the occurrence. The Mohalla Sweeper and the Mohalladar (a responsible resident in the Mohalla) are jointly and separately responsible for reporting at the registry office any occurrence taking place in a household having no grown up male member. In towns where no such rules and bye-laws have been prescribed by the municipal committees and the watch and ward is done by the municipal police, the constables are responsible for reporting births and deaths occurring in their beats. The Municipal and Small Town Committees send consolidated weekly and monthly reports to the District Medical Officers of Health. The weekly returns are sent only by the committee having a population of 10,000 and above.

18. The compilation of statistics at district level is done in the office of the Civil Surgeon. But the overall control of this work is vested in the District Medical Officer of Health who is responsible for the submission

of monthly and annual returns to the Director of Health Services of the State. From the returns so received in the office of the Director of Health Services, consolidated monthly and annual returns are submitted to the Director General of Health Services, New Delhi.

19. Recording of births and deaths in Punjab and other States is apparently deficient for, as referred to above, 27,000 births and 10,000 deaths in Punjab State alone, were not registered in the year 1951. The lacuna lies somewhere and it needs to be spotted. Improvements can only be effected (i) either by tuning up the existing agency or by replacing it by some new suitable agency and (ii) by bringing some legislation on the statute book on uniform basis by the Central or the State Governments.

20. The functioning of the present agency has, in a way, resulted in failure so far as the recording of vital statistics is concerned and needs to be substituted by some other suitable agency. In order to (i) bring gradually the public in touch with the system (ii) replace the recording of the vital events on the part of the chowkidar and (iii) to treat the registration work only a by-product of one's normal duties, it is desirable that Thana Moharrir (the present registrar) be replaced by the village patwari and the latter be assisted by the Sarpanch of Panchayat where such an institution is functioning. The Patwari be designated as an ex-officio registrar and the Sarpanch as an ex-officio additional registrar. The main idea and back-ground of proposing the appointment of patwari and Sarpanch as an ex-officio registrar and an ex-officio additional registrar respectively is:

- (a) that full responsibility relating to the recording of vital events be shifted to the Panchayats gradually and eventually when these institutions commence functioning efficiently in the State, and
- (b) that the village Patwari and the Sarpanch on account of their local knowledge and daily dealings with the villagers can minimise, to a great extent, the present omissions in the registration of births and deaths and can also bring home to the common villager the idea that registration of births and deaths is beneficial to one and all in the State.

The main grounds to discontinue the appointment of the Thana Moharrir as registrar are:

- (1) the areas covered by the police stations are very large as registering units and some time rather unwieldy. The Police Officers on account of their being too busy with the discharge of their own duties find practically no time to supervise the registration of births and deaths, within their jurisdiction.
- (2) the village chowkidar at the time of Varabandi visits the thana primarily for reporting the births and deaths in his beat but unfortunately, at the

thana, he is detained for days together. The registration of births and deaths is assigned no importance and seldom an enquiry is made by the Thana Moharrir or the station House Officer as to why a particular chowkidar did turn up with his registers blank or with very few entries in them, and

- (3) it would be very inconvenient and distasteful to the common man to travel long distances for reporting the births and deaths at the thana when such registration is made compulsory under some statutory law brought in force by the Union or the State Governments.

21. The recording of the vital statistics by the Patwari, should be checked and supervised by the circle field Kanungo and Naib Tahsildars and Tahsildars in the course of their routine duties. The Field Kanungo may be designated as an ex-officio Registration Supervisor and the Naib Tahsildars and Tahsildars as ex-officio Registration Officers. Further the work of the Field Kanungo and Tahsildars may be supervised by the Revenue Assistants, Sub-Divisional Officers and District Magistrates. The Revenue Assistant and the Sub-Divisional Officers be designated as ex-officio District Population Records Officers and the District Magistrate as ex-officio Chief Registration Officers.

22. The Director of Health Services, Punjab has suggested that the District Health Staff should continue the supervision of births and deaths as heretofore. I am in full agreement with him in this respect.

23. The Registrar (patwari) assisted by an Additional Registrar (Sarpanch) should maintain the population records viz., register of births, register of deaths and National Register of Citizens. The chowkidar should make fortnightly reports to the Registrar or in his absence to the Additional Registrar on 2nd and 16th of each month. The copies of death register and abstracts from the birth register together with a report to the effect that necessary changes have been made in the National Register should be submitted by the Registrar to the Tahsil Head-quarters on the 4th and 8th of each month. The Tahsil Office Kanungo, with the help of an additional clerk (to be provided for the purpose) should consolidate the return for the tahsil (including urban areas) and in the interest of economy and efficiency may send these returns by the 15th of each month direct to the Director of Population Records, with a copy to the District Population Records Officer.

24. The verification of causes of death and control of infectious or epidemic diseases should continue to be the responsibility of the District Health Department. In case of infectious or epidemic diseases, the chowkidar instead of making a report at the Thana should do so to the Registrar or Additional Registrar who in turn should send intimation by post or telegram to the District Medical Officer of Health with a copy to the tahsildar.

25. The birth and death registers with the chowkidars, Additional Registrars/Registrars should be consigned in the record room of the Chief Registration Officer (District Magistrate) at the close of each financial year and in lieu thereof new ones be issued. Copies of entries from old record may be issued by the District Copying Agency with prior permission in writing of the District Population Records Officer. The rate of fee should be the same as prevalent at present.

26. The Revenue Agency is already functioning efficiently in the State and is in close touch with the rural public. The making over of recording of vital events to them would not add much to their normal duties and also would not prove any burden on Government exchequer.

27. A tentative proposal on the above lines for switching over the work of recording vital events to the Revenue Agency was drawn up and submitted to the State Governments concerned. But primarily the matter was pursued with the Punjab State Government with an idea that if this State which has in vogue a long standing system of recording births and deaths, could agree to the proposed change of agency (adoption of the Revenue agency in place of the present one) the other states which have a system of registration in name only would follow suit.

28. The Financial Commissioner and the Minister for Development, Punjab, have expressed their concurrence to utilise the Revenue Agency for the purpose and the matter is, at present, under the scrutiny of the Finance Department. The final decision in the matter is expected in the near future and further necessary steps can only be taken if it is decided that the work is to be made over to the Revenue Agency.

III. Combined Scheme of revision of N. R. C. & Sample Census of Births & Deaths:

* * * * *

29. Selection of districts—The Chief Commissioner, Bilaspur, was the first to respond and gave his assent on 24-4-1952 to conduct the experiment all over the State. He was followed by the Punjab Government who conveyed their concurrence on 7-5-1952 by selecting Simla, Ambala and Gurgaon districts for the purpose. The Pepsu Government selected Patiala district, while Mandi district was earmarked for the purpose by the Himachal Pradesh Government. Both these Governments conveyed their consent telephonically sometimes in July, 1952, but permission in writing was received on 18-9-52 and 25-10-52 respectively.

The combined review of National Registers and Electoral Rolls was to be carried out only in one out of five districts in each state and in 1/5th part of the selected district by making door to door enquiries. This 1/5th area of each district comprised roughly of one tahsil.

30. In the State of Punjab, the Election Department in the selected districts of Ambala and Gurgaon had selected the rural area of Jagadhri and Ferozepur Jhirka tahsil and the whole of the urban area, and Simla proper for the revision of the electoral rolls. A decision was only taken sometime in the end of June by the State Government that this verification work was to commence from 1st July, 1952, and terminate by the end of that month. The revision of the National Registers of Citizens was also supposed to be linked up and finalized with the verification of the electoral rolls.

31. In a meeting of the Census Superintendents held on 4th July, 1952, at New Delhi, under the presidency of the Registrar General, and also attended by Shri P. S. Subramanian, Secretary, Election Commission, India, the point of combining the revision of the National Registers and electoral rolls was reviewed. It was decided therein that there was no objection if any State could

conveniently join the operations in districts beyond those selected for conducting the Improvement of Population Data Scheme. This decision was conveyed to the Punjab State Government and as a precautionary measure, the National Registers belonging to those districts were despatched to the local bodies and tahsils.

32. In the selected districts of Gurgaon and Ambala the combined revisional operations were completed by the end of July, 1952, except in the case of Ambala City, Ambala Cantonment, Rewari (M. C.) and Ballabhgarh (M. C.). In the first two the delay occurred due to the fact that the election staff sat over the National Registers and in the latter two, arrangements regarding staff could not be made in time. In Simla proper also, the two operations could not be combined as the municipal authorities failed to take steps in time. In all these five places, the revision was conducted independently but finalized before the commencement of the sample census.

33. In case of other districts of the State, the Government only took up a decision on 24-7-1952 to combine the two operation just a week before the stipulated date for the completion of the revision of the Electoral Rolls. It was not, therefore, possible to join the two operations. But the State Government definitely stands to gain as in these districts, the revision of the existing National Registers and the preparation of duplicates of those burnt in fire at Jullundur Secretariat in 1951, have been completed by now in all the rural and urban areas selected for the verification of electoral rolls, except in a few local bodies.

34. In Pepsu, the verification of electoral rolls had been finalized by the Election Department before the Government took up decision regarding the selection of any district for carrying out the Improvement of Population Data Scheme. The revision of the National Registers of Citizens had to be taken up later on.

35. The Himachal Pradesh and Bilaspur State Governments could not take up any decision regarding the joining up of the two operations till September, 1952 and the revision of National Registers in the Mandi district of Himachal Pradesh and in Bilaspur State had to be got completed independently prior to the commencement of the sample census.

36. In the selected rural areas, the actual work of revision of National Registers and verification of electoral rolls was conducted by the patwaris, while in the urban areas, the revision of National Registers was carried out by the Municipal Agency, and the verification of electoral rolls by the temporary staff engaged by the Election Department.

37. The revisory staff in the course of their enquiry had to effect in the National Registers, the changes relating to :

- (i) Births
- (ii) Deaths
- (iii) Immigration
- (iv) Emigration
- (v) Split up of a family in different apartments in the same house or in different houses in the same locality
- (vi) Age
- (vii) Marital Status
- (viii) Livelihood

(ix) Literacy

(x) Omission of caste in case of backward classes and

(xi) Religion

38. The Registrar General, as a part of the scheme had suggested that a National Health Week, preferably week ending Dewali day to be celebrated throughout the country in order to check up registration of unregistered births and deaths and notification of migration. The week ending Dewali day was selected for the reason that it is very convenient to make enquiries regarding births and deaths from Dewali to Dewali, as this is easily remembered even by the illiterate people and the association of Dewali day with the health and Hygiene is deep-rooted in the minds of people.

39. It has been experienced that the common man and particularly the illiterate villager does not attach much importance to such selected 'weeks' celebrated now-a-days by various departments. So in order to draw the attention of the common man and ensure his co-operation and eventually make him realise the importance of the National Health Week, it was considered advisable that the celebration of this week be made attractive by arranging some variety programme in the form of tournaments, dramas etc.

40. A survey of the activities of the various departments in all the four states was made and it was found that only in the Punjab State the celebration of National Health Week could be arranged through the co-operation of the Panchayat Department who occasionally hold tournaments in each district through their physical training staff. The Director of Panchayats, Punjab, was accordingly approached in the matter to arrange panchayat tournaments in the week following Dewali days at some central places in Jagadhri tahsil of Ambala District and Ferozepur Jhirka tahsil of Gurgaon district. He was good enough to make arrangements regarding such tournaments on 21st and 22nd October, 1952, at village Panjton in Chhachhrauli Field Kanungo circle of Jagadhri tahsil and on 24th and 25th October, 1952, at village Singari in Pohnana Field Kanungo circle of Ferozepur Jhirka tahsil. He also issued instructions to this staff to collect as many people as possible of the villages of these two circles by arranging attractive items of competition and rewarding the winners and runners up with prizes.

41. The Lambardars, members of Panchayats, officials of various departments and the leading citizens of these two field Kanungo circles, were to be associated in these tournaments in larger number. The Patwaris of these circles were required to attend these tournaments equipped with birth, death and National Registers of Citizens, of the villages in their circle, in order to make enquiries regarding births, deaths immigration and emigration, which took place from Dewali 1951 to Dewali 1952 in those villages and collect the necessary data required by the Census Department. The work of the patwaris was to be supervised by the Field Kanungos and Tahsildars/Naib Tahsildars Halqa. The collection of this data by the revenue staff was merely to be kept as an incidental activity of the tournament. The Deputy Commissioners, Gurgaon and Ambala districts were requested to get the necessary publicity regarding these tournaments done, through their District Public Relations Officers and issue necessary instructions to the

Revenue Assistants and other Revenue Field staff to give full co-operation to the panchayat physical staff, to ensure the success of the tournaments.

42. The Revenue and the Panchayat staff took keen interest in the arrangements of these tournaments and a good crowd collected at both the places. 13 teams participated at village Panjton and 48 at village Singar. The Patwaris, under supervision of the Field Kanungos, made the necessary enquiries regarding births, deaths and migration in their circles from the people collected. As a result of these enquiries it was revealed that in Chhachhrauli circle of Jagadhri registration of births was found omitted to the extent of 6.0 per cent. and death 10.0 per cent. while in Ponhana circle of Ferozepur Jhirka tahsil, omission in the registration of births and deaths was to the extent of 7.5 per cent. and 11.9 per cent. respectively.

43. Census of Sample Households: The task of selecting the sample households was confined to those registration areas in selected districts in which the combined review of National Register of Citizens and Electoral Rolls had been undertaken, or the revision of National Registers and the electoral rolls had been conducted independently, by making door to door enquiries. One household of every 100 in the urban area and one out of every 50th in the 1/5th rural area of the selected district, were chosen as sample households, with the approval of the Registrar General, India.

44. In the rural areas, the territorial unit for the selection of sample household was a field Kanungo circle while in the urban areas, the registration circle comprised of a ward and if the ward was big enough then of a block or blocks of a ward. The samples were selected on random basis. In the rural areas, the Field Kanungos were to act as enumerators in their circles, and in the urban areas, the Sanitary Inspectors or Senior Clerks having experience of census work, had to conduct the enquiry. Dewali (18th October, 1952) was the date of reference, but the time limit within which the enquiries could be completed efficiently was spread over from 10th to 31st October, 1952.

45. The instructions to enumerators, along with birth, death, household verification slips, and verified extracts, were despatched to the enumerators well in advance through the proper channel. In view of the experience gained at the time of 1951 Census it was considered desirable that they should be given theoretical and practical training at the spot. Every effort was made to achieve this object by touring the selected areas and imparting training to the enumerators. Special difficulties had to be encountered in the case of Ambala Cantonment and rural area of Jagadhri tahsil. In Ambala Cantonment the work was first entrusted by the Executive Officer to his staff but was subsequently transferred to the Cantonment teachers who inspite of repeated instructions and appeal to sense of duty failed to appreciate their responsibility. In the rural areas of Jagadhri

tahsil, the revenue staff was very busy with the consolidation of holdings and implementation of community project scheme and the kanungos who had to act as enumerators were frequently transferred out of this tahsil during the enumeration days.

46. It was experienced that in spite of best efforts, the response from the public in all the selected areas, was not encouraging especially regarding the enquiries relating to occurrence of deaths between the two Dewalis.

47. The census slips were supposed to reach my office by the 15th of November, but in case of certain areas, they were not received till the end of that month. A cent per cent check of these slips was undertaken. Special precautions were taken to check that (i) the households selected for sample were picked up according to instructions, (ii) total of inmates given on the household slips tallied with the corresponding number on the extract (iii) the number of birth and death slips corresponded to the number of births and deaths noted on the extract (iv) slips (including multiple) for births below one year corresponded with entries in the age group below one year, noted on the household verification slips and the total number of children noted on the household verification slip did not fall short of the children given on the birth slip in answer to question No. 8, (v) the answer to question No. 9 on the birth slip was correctly recorded in 'Yes', 'No', or 'unknown', (vi) the economic and social classification of the head of the household was correctly noted on the slips, (vii) the births and deaths noted on the slips related to the period falling between Dewali 1951 and Dewali 1952, and (viii) that death slips prepared for any 'still birth' did not get mixed up with other death slips.

48. The necessary correction slips were sent to the local bodies and tahsildars concerned except tahsildar Jagadhri. To the latter the original record had to be returned as it was most defective and incorrectly prepared. The enumeration staff took over two months to send replies to the correction slips and in some cases the correction slips had to be referred back twice or thrice. The tahsildar Jagadhri in-ordinarily delayed matters by not despatching the record till the end of February, 1953.

49. Sorting and tabulation was undertaken in this office by experienced hands under the supervision and guidance of a Technical Assistant and the Statistician. The birth and death rates computed for Jagadhri rural area and Patiala Municipal Committee were found to be abnormally low as compared with the minimum estimated birth and death rates and so a census of births and deaths was conducted through my office staff in 4 of the villages of Jagadhri tahsil, and in Patiala town proper. The result obtained indicated that 6 births and 3 deaths in these four villages and 10 births and 1 death in Patiala town were omitted by the enumerators in the course of their enquiry.

TABLES

I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered

				POPU-	
State & District				Area (in square miles)	Persons
1				2	3
					4
PUNJAB				37,378	N. A.
					1,970,367
					N. A.
					12,641,205
					10,240,273
					2,400,932
Simla	.	.	.	T R U	9
	.	.	.		14,576
	.	.	.		46,150
	.	.	.		14,576
	.	.	.		46,150
Kangra	.	.	.	T R U	9,945
	.	.	.		232,834
	.	.	.		936,042
	.	.	.		221,629
	.	.	.		893,592
	.	.	.		11,205
	.	.	.		42,450
Hissar	.	.	.	T R U	5,389
	.	.	.		193,433
	.	.	.		1,045,645
	.	.	.		159,549
	.	.	.		877,945
	.	.	.		33,884
	.	.	.		167,700
Rohtak	.	.	.	T R U	2,329
	.	.	.		197,976
	.	.	.		1,122,046
	.	.	.		167,115
	.	.	.		970,987
	.	.	.		30,861
	.	.	.		151,059
Gurgaon	.	.	.	T R U	2,358
	.	.	.		187,666
	.	.	.		967,664
	.	.	.		157,548
	.	.	.		827,511
	.	.	.		30,118
	.	.	.		140,153
Karnal	.	.	.	T R U	3,077
	.	.	.		213,754
	.	.	.		1,079,379
	.	.	.		172,808
	.	.	.		876,067
	.	.	.		40,946
	.	.	.		203,312
Ambala	.	.	.	T R U	2,019
	.	.	.		182,368
	.	.	.		943,734
	.	.	.		131,042
	.	.	.		695,784
	.	.	.		51,326
	.	.	.		247,950
Hoshiarpur	.	.	.	T R U	2,227
	.	.	.		232,683
	.	.	.		1,091,986
	.	.	.		210,453
	.	.	.		986,962
	.	.	.		22,230
	.	.	.		105,024
Jullundur	.	.	.	T R U	1,331
	.	.	.		141,798
	.	.	.		1,055,600
	.	.	.		141,798
	.	.	.		761,202
	.	.	.		N. A.
	.	.	.		294,398
Ludhiana	.	.	.	T R U	1,279
	.	.	.		143,508
	.	.	.		808,105
	.	.	.		111,621
	.	.	.		602,218
	.	.	.		31,887
	.	.	.		205,887
Ferozepur	.	.	.	T R U	4,107
	.	.	.		245,241
	.	.	.		1,326,520
	.	.	.		199,410
	.	.	.		1,101,438
	.	.	.		45,831
	.	.	.		225,082
Amritsar	.	.	.	T R U	1,942
	.	.	.		173,509
	.	.	.		1,367,040
	.	.	.		173,509
	.	.	.		958,533
	.	.	.		N. A.
	.	.	.		408,507
Gurdaspur	.	.	.	T R U	1,366
	.	.	.		123,885
	.	.	.		851,294
	.	.	.		123,885
	.	.	.		688,034
	.	.	.		N. A.
	.	.	.		163,260

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
6,786,934	5,854,271	12,865,798	41.5	17.3
5,459,495	4,780,778	10,457,635	43.4	18.7
1,327,439	1,073,493	2,408,163	33.3	11.1
29,735	16,415	49,194	31.1	6.2
29,735	16,415	49,194	31.1	6.2
483,651	452,391	940,930	37.0	19.3
459,115	434,477	897,425	37.5	19.9
24,536	17,914	43,505	25.8	7.0
560,937	484,708	1,050,461	41.8	17.6
470,473	407,472	878,476	42.3	18.0
90,464	77,236	171,985	39.4	15.2
502,596	529,450	1,140,043	45.7	19.2
511,814	459,173	983,498	46.7	20.1
80,782	70,277	156,545	39.0	13.8
512,891	454,773	977,227	46.6	23.2
438,835	388,676	858,973	48.0	24.3
74,056	66,097	118,254	36.3	14.8
580,884	498,495	1,092,539	44.5	16.4
472,776	403,291	897,072	47.4	17.9
108,108	95,204	195,467	31.2	9.7
520,129	423,605	952,509	40.2	16.1
379,002	316,782	701,279	44.0	18.2
141,127	106,823	251,230	29.5	10.5
580,632	511,354	1,122,549	40.6	19.9
522,881	464,081	1,014,631	41.3	20.8
57,751	47,273	107,918	34.2	11.4
566,999	488,601	1,090,135	39.4	15.1
404,547	356,655	804,788	42.2	17.4
162,452	131,946	285,347	31.4	8.4
437,727	370,378	808,949	38.0	15.1
326,174	276,044	595,633	39.0	16.8
111,553	94,334	213,316	35.4	10.4
717,110	609,410	1,359,206	42.2	16.1
591,088	510,350	1,129,798	43.9	17.3
126,022	99,060	229,408	33.6	10.1
742,421	624,619	1,406,044	40.0	15.1
512,198	446,335	988,180	43.8	16.2
230,223	178,284	417,864	31.0	12.5
461,222	390,072	876,012	41.8	15.7
370,592	317,442	707,882	43.3	17.1
90,630	72,630	168,130	35.4	10.1

NOTE : (i) The urban figures in respect of Jullundur, Amritsar & Gurdaspur are not available as the record relating to these districts was destroyed in fire which broke in Jullundur Tabulation Office.
(ii) Registration data (columns 7, 8 & 9) relates to the year, 1952.

I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered

								POPU.	
State & District								Area (in square miles)	Number of households
1								2	3
									Persons
								4	
PEPSU									
								Total	3,493,685
								Rural	2,828,175
								Urban	665,510
Patiala									
								T	524,269
								R	350,634
								U	173,635
Barnala									
								T	536,728
								R	424,836
								U	111,892
Bhatinda									
								T	666,809
								R	541,073
								U	125,736
Kapurthala									
								T	295,071
								R	230,665
								U	64,406
Fatehgarh Sahib									
								T	237,397
								R	203,574
								U	33,823
Sangrur									
								T	642,934
								R	543,552
								U	99,382
Mohindergarh									
								T	443,074
								R	403,666
								U	39,408
Kohistan									
								T	147,403
								R	130,175
								U	17,228
HIMACHAL PRADESH									
								Total	983,367
								Rural	941,966
								Urban	41,401
Mahasu									
								T	330,614
								R	322,616
								U	7,998
Mandi									
								T	310,626
								R	294,458
								U	16,168
Chamba									
								T	176,050
								R	169,192
								U	6,858
Sirmoor									
								T	166,077
								R	155,700
								U	10,377
BILASPUR									
								Total	126,099
								Rural	122,354
								Urban	3,745

Birth and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951—(concl.)

LATION

Males	Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
5	6	7	8	9
1,894,844	1,598,841			
1,529,539	1,298,636			
365,305	300,205			
290,302	233,967			
192,892	157,742			
97,410	76,225			
293,511	243,217			
232,679	192,157			
60,832	51,060			
362,768	304,041			
294,164	246,909			
68,604	57,132			
156,921	138,150			
122,214	108,451			
34,707	29,699			
131,257	106,140			
112,600	90,974			
18,657	15,166			
351,110	291,824			
297,194	246,358			
53,916	45,466			
228,464	214,610			
208,070	195,596			
20,394	19,014			
80,511	66,892			
69,726	60,449			
10,785	6,443			
514,765	468,602			
491,216	450,750			
23,549	17,852			
172,075	158,539			
166,852	155,764			
5,223	2,775			
157,622	153,004			
149,025	145,433			
8,597	7,571			
92,797	83,253			
89,106	80,086			
3,691	3,167			
92,271	73,806			
86,233	69,467			
6,038	4,339			
64,738	61,361	126,099	34.9	17.4
62,687	59,667	122,354	35.1	17.7
2,051	1,694	3,745	29.4	10.4

NOTE: Registration data (columns 7, 8 & 9) relates to the year, 1952.

I-B—Registered Deaths in Punjab State by Age and Sex, 1952

										Registered Deaths			
Age Group										Persons	Males	Females	
1										2	3	4	
										TOTAL			
All Ages	222,695	118,513	104,182	
Under 1 year	67,621	37,211	30,410	
1—4	47,411	24,597	22,814	
5—9	9,220	4,890	4,330	
10—14	5,745	2,938	2,807	
15—19	5,964	2,709	3,255	
20—29	11,766	4,668	7,098	
30—39	10,275	4,643	5,632	
40—49	9,923	5,325	4,598	
50—59	12,572	7,219	5,353	
60 & over	42,198	24,313	17,885	
										RURAL			
All Ages	195,962	104,987	90,975	
Under 1 year	59,836	33,297	26,539	
1—4	42,177	22,178	19,999	
5—9	8,054	4,317	3,737	
10—14	4,934	2,561	2,373	
15—19	5,065	2,327	2,738	
20—29	10,233	4,046	6,187	
30—39	8,957	4,018	4,939	
40—49	8,587	4,576	4,011	
50—59	10,973	6,268	4,705	
60 & over	37,146	21,399	15,747	
										URBAN			
All Ages	26,733	13,526	13,207	
Under 1 year	7,785	3,914	3,871	
1—4	5,234	2,419	2,815	
5—9	1,166	573	593	
10—14	811	377	434	
15—19	899	382	517	
20—29	1,533	622	911	
30—39	1,318	625	693	
40—49	1,336	749	587	
50—59	1,599	951	648	
60 & over	5,052	2,914	2,138	

I-B—Registered Deaths in PEPSU State by Age and Sex, 1952

Registered Deaths

Age Group										Persons	Males	Females
1										2	3	4
										TOTAL		
All Ages	28,850	16,222	12,628
Under 1 year	5,951	3,472	2,479
1—4	4,443	2,558	1,885
5—9	1,767	1,065	702
10—14	1,399	771	628
15—19	1,401	758	643
20—29	2,001	931	1,070
30—39	2,162	1,170	992
40—49	1,924	1,030	894
50—59	3,308	1,891	1,417
60 & over	4,494	2,576	1,918
										RURAL		
All Ages	23,329	13,280	10,049
Under 1 year	4,658	2,778	1,880
1—4	3,588	2,132	1,456
5—9	1,460	890	570
10—14	1,191	660	531
15—19	1,228	669	559
20—29	1,641	755	886
30—39	1,714	975	739
40—49	1,557	819	738
50—59	2,720	1,518	1,202
60 & over	3,572	2,084	1,488
										URBAN		
All Ages	5,521	2,942	2,579
Under 1 year	1,293	694	599
1—4	855	426	429
5—9	307	175	132
10—14	208	111	97
15—19	173	89	84
20—29	360	176	184
30—39	448	195	253
40—49	367	211	156
50—59	588	373	215
60 & over	922	492	430

I-B—Registered Deaths in Himachal Pradesh State by Age and Sex, 1952

										Registered Deaths			
Age Group										Persons	Males	Females	
1										2	3	4	
										TOTAL			
All Ages	4,106	2,315	1,791	
Under 1 year	458	273	185	
1—4	438	255	183	
5—9	321	182	139	
10—14	305	162	143	
15—19	328	163	165	
20—29	365	170	195	
30—39	411	233	178	
40—49	429	263	166	
50—59	398	222	176	
60 & over	653	392	261	
										RURAL			
All Ages	3,934	2,210	1,724	
Under 1 year	428	256	172	
1—4	416	238	178	
5—9	314	179	135	
10—14	293	155	138	
15—19	316	156	160	
20—29	348	163	185	
30—39	403	229	174	
40—49	419	255	164	
50—59	378	208	170	
60 & over	619	371	248	
										URBAN			
All Ages	172	105	67	
Under 1 year	30	17	13	
1—4	22	17	5	
5—9	7	3	4	
10—14	12	7	5	
15—19	12	7	5	
20—29	17	7	10	
30—39	8	4	4	
40—49	10	8	2	
50—59	20	14	6	
60 & over	34	21	13	

										Registered Deaths			
Age Group										Persons	Males	Females	
1										2	3	4	
										TOTAL			
All Ages	2,200	1,155	1,045	
Under 1 year	614	345	269	
1—4	273	156	117	
5—9	72	40	32	
10—14	47	19	28	
15—19	88	23	65	
20—29	180	56	124	
30—39	126	63	63	
40—49	99	48	51	
50—59	247	145	102	
60 & over	454	260	194	
										RURAL			
All Ages	2,161	1,134	1,027	
Under 1 year	601	337	264	
1—4	270	154	116	
5—9	72	40	32	
10—14	46	19	27	
15—19	86	23	63	
20—29	175	56	119	
30—39	124	61	63	
40—49	96	45	51	
50—59	243	143	100	
60 & over	448	256	192	
										URBAN			
All Ages	39	21	18	
Under 1 year	13	8	5	
1—4	3	2	1	
5—9	
10—14	1	...	1	
15—19	2	...	2	
20—29	5	...	5	
30—39	2	2	...	
40—49	3	3	...	
50—59	4	2	2	
60 & over	6	4	2	

II—Distribution of Population in Punjab

Persons					Males
Age Group	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total
1	2	3	4	5	6
					TOTAL
All Ages	992,481	417,743	500,448	74,290	532,228
Under 1 year	44,287	...	44,287	...	22,872
1—4	129,131	...	129,131	...	67,342
5—14	245,303	12,883	232,057	363	129,202
15—24	172,005	105,701	64,255	2,049	89,305
25—34	138,971	118,387	14,387	6,197	73,771
35—44	100,519	81,817	6,724	11,978	55,122
45—54	76,404	54,880	4,592	16,932	44,166
55—64	49,822	29,166	2,776	17,880	29,480
65—74	24,288	11,039	1,331	11,918	14,378
75 & over	10,951	3,549	560	6,842	6,331
Age not stated	800	321	348	131	259
					RURAL
All Ages	846,955	357,373	424,454	65,128	449,096
Under 1 year	36,587	...	36,587	...	18,825
1—4	107,798	...	107,798	...	55,840
5—14	214,056	11,692	202,058	306	112,337
15—24	143,475	90,046	51,651	1,778	72,715
25—34	117,305	99,868	12,099	5,338	60,479
35—44	86,089	69,727	5,813	10,549	46,659
45—54	66,114	47,310	3,968	14,836	37,811
55—64	43,676	25,531	2,445	15,700	25,889
65—74	21,471	9,727	1,204	10,540	12,765
75 & over	9,605	3,157	495	5,953	5,529
Age not stated	779	315	336	128	247
					URBAN
All Ages	145,526	60,370	75,994	9,162	83,132
Under 1 year	7,700	...	7,700	...	4,047
1—4	21,333	...	21,333	...	11,502
5—14	31,247	1,191	29,999	57	16,865
15—24	28,530	15,655	12,604	271	16,590
25—34	21,666	18,519	2,288	859	13,292
35—44	14,430	12,090	911	1,429	8,463
45—54	10,290	7,570	624	2,096	6,355
55—64	6,146	3,635	331	2,180	3,591
65—74	2,817	1,312	127	1,378	1,613
75 & over	1,346	392	65	889	802
Age not stated	21	6	12	3	12

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females

Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
209,779	288,758	33,691	460,253	207,964	211,690	40,599
...	22,872	...	21,415	...	21,415	...
...	67,342	...	61,789	...	61,789	...
3,758	125,148	296	116,101	9,125	106,909	67
40,781	47,508	1,016	82,700	64,920	16,747	1,033
58,665	11,963	3,143	65,200	59,722	2,424	3,054
44,265	5,807	5,050	45,397	37,552	917	6,928
32,764	3,999	7,403	32,238	22,116	593	9,529
19,199	2,385	7,896	20,342	9,967	391	9,984
7,670	1,160	5,548	9,910	3,369	171	6,370
2,573	458	3,300	4,620	976	102	3,542
104	116	39	541	217	232	92
175,204	244,465	29,427	397,859	182,169	179,989	35,701
...	18,825	...	17,762	...	17,762	...
...	55,840	...	51,958	...	51,958	...
3,312	108,777	248	101,719	8,380	93,281	58
33,192	38,678	845	70,760	56,854	12,973	933
47,730	10,106	2,643	56,826	52,138	1,993	2,695
37,164	5,052	4,443	39,430	32,563	761	6,106
27,889	3,482	6,440	28,303	19,421	486	8,396
16,774	2,126	6,989	17,787	8,757	319	8,711
6,763	1,066	4,936	8,706	2,964	138	5,604
2,281	401	2,847	4,076	876	94	3,106
99	112	36	532	216	224	92
34,575	44,293	4,264	62,394	25,795	31,701	4,898
...	4,047	...	3,653	...	3,653	...
...	11,502	...	9,831	...	9,831	...
446	16,371	48	14,382	745	13,628	9
7,589	8,830	171	11,940	8,066	3,774	100
10,935	1,857	500	8,374	7,584	431	359
7,101	755	607	5,967	4,989	156	822
4,875	517	963	3,935	2,695	107	1,133
2,425	259	907	2,555	1,210	72	1,273
907	94	612	1,204	405	33	766
292	57	453	544	100	8	436
5	4	3	9	1	8	...

II—Distribution of Population in PEPSU

Persons						Males
Age Group	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
TOTAL						
All Ages	314,862	137,595	155,150	22,117	170,439	
Under 1 year	12,573	...	12,573	...	6,769	
1—4	36,635	...	36,635	...	18,945	
5—14	77,423	3,098	74,284	41	41,863	
15—24	53,796	32,316	20,891	589	28,523	
25—34	43,492	37,136	4,879	1,477	23,227	
35—44	32,993	27,841	2,325	2,827	18,105	
45—54	25,808	19,847	1,633	4,328	14,729	
55—64	16,638	10,579	928	5,131	9,420	
65—74	9,917	4,897	465	4,555	5,674	
75 & over	5,104	1,771	194	3,139	2,925	
Age not stated	483	110	343	30	279	
RURAL						
All Ages	263,343	114,619	129,720	19,004	142,267	
Under 1 year	10,350	...	10,350	...	5,584	
1—4	30,489	...	30,489	...	15,699	
5—14	65,546	2,815	62,697	34	35,465	
15—24	44,015	26,644	16,860	511	23,321	
25—34	35,759	30,376	4,167	1,216	19,013	
35—44	27,443	23,013	2,071	2,359	14,998	
45—54	21,702	16,704	1,356	3,642	12,230	
55—64	14,397	9,131	826	4,440	8,140	
65—74	8,760	4,334	423	4,003	5,036	
75 & over	4,479	1,517	177	2,785	2,556	
Age not stated	403	85	304	14	225	
URBAN						
All Ages	51,519	22,976	25,430	3,113	28,192	
Under 1 year	2,223	...	2,223	...	1,185	
1—4	6,146	...	6,146	...	3,246	
5—14	11,877	283	11,587	7	6,398	
15—24	9,781	5,672	4,031	78	5,202	
25—34	7,733	6,760	712	261	4,214	
35—44	5,550	4,828	254	468	3,107	
45—54	4,106	3,143	277	686	2,499	
55—64	2,241	1,448	102	691	1,280	
65—74	1,157	563	42	552	638	
75 & over	625	234	17	354	369	
Age not stated	80	25	39	16	54	

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females

Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
69,044	90,145	11,270	144,403	68,551	65,005	10,847
...	6,769	...	5,804	...	5,804	...
...	18,945	...	17,690	...	17,690	...
911	40,932	20	35,560	2,187	33,952	21
14,024	14,183	316	25,273	18,292	6,708	273
18,371	4,157	699	20,265	18,765	722	778
14,692	2,081	1,332	14,888	13,149	244	1,495
11,114	1,452	2,163	11,079	8,733	181	2,165
5,914	848	2,658	7,218	4,665	80	2,473
2,880	439	2,355	4,243	2,017	26	2,200
1,052	162	1,711	2,179	719	32	1,428
86	177	16	204	24	166	14
56,906	75,381	9,980	121,076	57,713	54,339	9,024
...	5,584	...	4,766	...	4,766	...
...	15,699	...	14,790	...	14,790	...
811	34,635	19	30,081	2,004	28,062	15
11,594	11,441	286	20,694	15,050	5,419	225
14,888	3,527	598	16,746	15,488	640	618
12,002	1,842	1,154	12,445	11,011	229	1,205
9,137	1,206	1,887	9,472	7,567	150	1,755
5,019	752	2,369	6,257	4,112	74	2,071
2,528	399	2,109	3,724	1,806	24	1,894
861	147	1,548	1,923	656	30	1,237
66	149	10	178	19	155	4
12,138	14,764	1,290	23,327	10,838	10,666	1,823
...	1,185	...	1,038	...	1,038	...
...	3,246	...	2,900	...	2,900	...
100	6,297	1	5,479	183	5,290	6
2,430	2,742	30	4,579	3,242	1,289	48
3,483	630	101	3,519	3,277	82	160
2,690	239	178	2,443	2,138	15	290
1,977	246	276	1,607	1,166	31	410
895	96	289	961	553	6	402
352	40	246	519	211	2	306
191	15	163	256	63	2	191
20	28	6	26	5	11	10

II—Distribution of Population in Himachal Pradesh

Persons						Males
Age Group	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
TOTAL						
All Ages	98,887	50,264	40,348	8,275	51,001	
Under 1 year	3,613	...	3,613	...	2,102	
1—4	9,823	...	9,823	...	3,865	
5—14	20,375	817	19,554	4	10,498	
15—24	16,855	11,848	4,596	411	8,910	
25—34	16,021	13,721	1,479	821	8,287	
35—44	12,191	10,315	751	1,125	6,366	
45—54	8,852	6,838	240	1,774	4,917	
55—64	6,376	4,281	196	1,899	3,490	
65—74	2,997	1,641	57	1,299	1,627	
75 & over	1,705	746	31	928	909	
Age not stated	79	57	8	14	30	
RURAL						
All Ages	95,058	48,475	38,779	7,804	49,219	
Under 1 year	3,507	...	3,507	...	2,052	
1—4	9,475	...	9,475	...	3,743	
5—14	19,570	744	18,824	2	10,158	
15—24	16,044	11,384	4,302	358	8,511	
25—34	15,428	13,215	1,439	774	7,983	
35—44	11,632	9,884	723	1,025	6,096	
45—54	8,573	6,656	227	1,690	4,761	
55—64	6,190	4,197	191	1,802	3,405	
65—74	2,916	1,610	56	1,250	1,590	
75 & over	1,648	728	31	889	890	
Age not stated	75	57	4	14	30	
URBAN						
All Ages	3,829	1,789	1,569	471	1,782	
Under 1 year	106	...	106	...	50	
1—4	348	...	348	...	122	
5—14	805	73	730	2	340	
15—24	811	464	294	53	399	
25—34	593	506	40	47	304	
35—44	559	431	28	100	270	
45—54	279	182	13	84	156	
55—64	186	84	5	97	85	
65—74	81	31	1	49	37	
75 & over	57	18	...	39	19	
Age not stated	4	...	4	

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

				Females		
Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
25,400	21,915	3,686	47,886	24,864	18,433	4,589
...	2,102	...	1,511	...	1,511	...
...	3,865	...	5,958	...	5,958	...
261	10,233	4	9,877	556	9,321	...
5,325	3,378	207	7,945	6,523	1,218	204
6,642	1,258	387	7,734	7,079	221	434
5,208	686	472	5,825	5,107	65	653
3,961	165	791	3,935	2,877	75	983
2,551	157	782	2,886	1,730	39	1,117
996	42	589	1,370	645	15	710
433	27	449	796	313	4	479
23	2	5	49	34	6	9
24,498	21,197	3,524	45,839	23,977	17,582	4,280
...	2,052	...	1,455	...	1,455	...
...	3,743	...	5,732	...	5,732	...
206	9,950	2	9,412	538	8,874	...
5,154	3,193	164	7,533	6,230	1,109	194
6,394	1,222	367	7,445	6,821	217	407
4,984	661	451	5,536	4,900	62	574
3,842	153	766	3,812	2,814	74	924
2,498	153	754	2,785	1,699	38	1,048
975	41	574	1,326	635	15	676
422	27	441	758	306	4	448
23	2	5	45	34	2	9
902	718	162	2,047	887	851	309
...	50	...	56	...	56	...
...	122	...	226	...	226	...
55	283	2	465	18	447	...
171	185	43	412	293	109	10
248	36	20	289	258	4	27
224	25	21	289	207	3	79
119	12	25	123	63	1	59
53	4	28	101	31	1	69
21	1	15	44	10	...	34
11	...	8	38	7	...	31
...	4	...	4	...

II—Distribution of Population in Bilaspur

Persons						Males
Age Group	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
						TOTAL
All Ages	12,579	5,273	5,536	1,770	6,826	
Under 1 year	447	...	447	...	226	
1—4	1,448	...	1,448	...	719	
5—14	2,515	5	2,510	...	1,421	
15—24	2,008	958	1,022	28	986	
25—34	1,681	1,475	72	134	916	
35—44	1,294	1,087	24	183	840	
45—54	1,208	908	10	290	771	
55—64	1,022	574	1	447	569	
65—74	646	212	2	432	283	
75 & over	310	54	...	256	95	
Age not stated	
						RURAL
All Ages	12,219	5,148	5,369	1,702	6,650	
Under 1 year	432	...	432	...	216	
1—4	1,410	...	1,410	...	703	
5—14	2,433	5	2,428	...	1,387	
15—24	1,957	931	1,000	26	960	
25—34	1,639	1,443	66	130	895	
35—44	1,262	1,066	22	174	826	
45—54	1,179	890	10	279	756	
55—64	974	552	...	422	544	
65—74	624	208	1	415	269	
75 & over	309	53	...	256	94	
Age not stated	
						URBAN
All Ages	360	125	167	68	176	
Under 1 year	15	...	15	...	10	
1—4	38	...	38	...	16	
5—14	82	...	82	...	34	
15—24	51	27	22	2	26	
25—34	42	32	6	4	21	
35—44	32	21	2	9	14	
45—54	29	18	...	11	15	
55—64	48	22	1	25	25	
65—74	22	4	1	17	14	
75 & over	1	1	1	
Age not stated	

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

Females						
Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
2,841	3,001	984	5,753	2,432	2,535	786
...	226	...	221	...	221	...
...	719	...	729	...	729	...
4	1,417	...	1,094	1	1,093	...
442	530	14	1,022	516	492	14
772	72	72	765	703	...	62
694	24	122	454	393	...	61
567	10	194	437	341	...	96
244	1	324	453	330	...	123
87	2	194	363	125	...	238
31	...	64	215	23	...	192
...
2,775	2,919	956	5,569	2,373	2,450	746
...	216	...	216	...	216	...
...	703	...	707	...	707	...
4	1,383	...	1,046	1	1,045	...
428	518	14	997	503	482	12
759	66	70	744	684	...	60
683	22	121	436	383	...	53
558	10	188	423	332	...	91
229	...	315	430	323	...	107
84	1	184	355	124	...	231
30	...	64	215	23	...	192
...
66	82	28	184	59	85	40
...	10	...	5	...	5	...
...	16	...	22	...	22	...
...	34	...	48	...	48	...
14	12	...	25	13	10	2
13	6	2	21	19	...	2
11	2	1	18	10	...	8
9	...	6	14	9	...	5
15	1	9	23	7	...	16
3	1	10	8	1	...	7
1
...

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of

State & District		Number of Sample Households				POPUL.	
		Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	All	
						Persons	
						Married	Others
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
PUNJAB—							
Total	.	1,590	537	37	1,016	3,514	4,479
Rural	.	711	486	32	193	1,614	1,963
Urban	.	879	51	5	823	1,900	2,516
Gurgaon—							
T	.	636	245	11	380	1,472	1,762
R	.	283	212	6	65	654	730
U	.	353	33	5	315	818	1,032
Ambala—							
T	.	849	291	26	532	1,821	2,396
R	.	428	274	26	128	960	1,233
U	.	421	17	...	404	861	1,163
Simla—							
T	.	105	1	...	104	221	321
R
U	.	105	1	...	104	221	321
PEPSU—							
Total	.	823	416	52	355	1,888	2,497
Rural	.	507	386	52	69	1,192	1,568
Urban	.	316	30	...	286	696	929
Patiala—							
T	.	823	416	52	355	1,888	2,497
R	.	507	386	52	69	1,192	1,568
U	.	316	30	...	286	696	929
HIMACHAL PRADESH—							
Total	.	382	316	1	65	991	1,100
Rural	.	347	310	1	36	925	1,010
Urban	.	35	6	...	29	66	90
Mandi—							
T	.	382	316	1	65	991	1,100
R	.	347	310	1	36	925	1,010
U	.	35	6	...	29	66	90
BILASPUR—							
Total	.	160	142	1	17	383	446
Rural	.	153	141	1	11	371	426
Urban	.	7	1	...	6	12	20

Sample Household Population by Districts, 1952

LATION

Classes

Males		Females		Livelihood Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V--VIII	
Married	Others	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
1,762	2,536	1,752	1,943	1,548	1,294	79	65	2,671	2,336
795	1,143	819	820	1,377	1,157	67	54	494	428
967	1,393	933	1,123	171	137	12	11	2,177	1,908
728	987	744	775	679	618	24	15	1,012	886
318	417	336	313	559	512	12	4	164	133
410	570	408	462	120	106	12	11	848	753
917	1,349	904	1,047	864	670	55	50	1,347	1,231
477	726	483	507	818	645	55	50	330	295
440	623	421	540	46	25	1,017	996
117	200	104	121	5	6	312	219
...
117	200	104	121	5	6	312	219
940	1,463	948	1,034	1,309	1,078	126	96	968	808
586	942	606	626	1,208	983	126	96	194	153
354	521	342	408	101	95	774	655
940	1,463	948	1,034	1,309	1,078	126	96	968	808
586	942	606	626	1,208	983	126	96	194	153
354	521	342	408	101	95	774	655
473	598	518	502	916	895	1	4	154	121
439	547	486	463	904	883	1	4	81	62
34	51	32	39	12	12	73	59
473	598	518	502	916	895	1	4	154	121
439	547	486	463	904	883	1	4	81	62
34	51	32	39	12	12	73	59
185	258	198	188	388	334	3	1	52	51
180	249	191	177	387	331	3	1	39	36
5	9	7	11	1	3	13	15

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Punjab State, 1952

POPULATION								
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females		
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
TOTAL								
All Ages	7,993	3,514	4,479	1,762	2,536	1,752	1,943	
Under 1 year	259	...	259	...	139	...	120	
1—4	1,008	...	1,008	...	515	...	493	
5—9	948	3	945	...	514	3	431	
10—14	959	71	888	16	495	55	393	
15—19	813	327	486	94	345	233	141	
20—24	742	592	150	222	126	370	24	
25—29	659	578	81	306	74	272	7	
30—34	524	469	55	227	35	242	20	
35—39	434	378	56	217	33	161	23	
40—44	414	344	70	181	39	163	31	
45—49	313	241	72	142	34	99	38	
50—54	310	207	103	141	44	66	59	
55—59	170	108	62	69	23	39	39	
60—64	228	113	115	81	55	32	60	
65—69	90	37	53	28	24	9	29	
70—74	72	28	44	24	25	4	19	
75 & over	50	18	32	14	16	4	16	
Age not stated	
RURAL								
All Ages	3,577	1,614	1,963	795	1,143	819	820	
Under 1 year	141	...	141	...	80	...	61	
1—4	480	...	480	...	246	...	234	
5—9	459	2	457	...	257	2	200	
10—14	395	52	343	12	203	40	140	
15—19	339	179	160	68	125	111	35	
20—24	313	263	50	97	44	166	6	
25—29	262	236	26	124	23	112	3	
30—34	252	226	26	99	18	127	8	
35—39	218	189	29	107	16	82	13	
40—44	191	158	33	82	22	76	11	
45—49	131	98	33	58	20	40	13	
50—54	138	91	47	65	24	26	23	
55—59	71	41	30	24	8	17	22	
60—64	107	51	56	37	28	14	28	
65—69	41	15	26	11	11	4	15	
70—74	24	10	14	8	8	2	6	
75 & over	15	3	12	3	10	...	2	
Age not stated	
URBAN								
All Ages	4,416	1,900	2,516	967	1,393	933	1,123	
Under 1 year	118	...	118	...	59	...	59	
1—4	528	...	528	...	269	...	259	
5—9	489	1	488	...	257	1	231	
10—14	564	19	545	4	292	15	253	
15—19	474	148	326	26	220	122	106	
20—24	429	329	100	125	82	204	18	
25—29	397	342	55	182	51	160	4	
30—34	272	243	29	128	17	115	12	
35—39	216	189	27	110	17	79	10	
40—44	223	186	37	99	17	87	20	
45—49	182	143	39	84	14	59	25	
50—54	172	116	56	76	20	40	36	
55—59	99	67	32	45	15	22	17	
60—64	121	62	59	44	27	18	32	
65—69	49	22	27	17	13	5	14	
70—74	48	18	30	16	17	2	13	
75 & over	33	15	20	11	6	4	14	
Age not stated	

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of PEPSU State, 1952

POPULATION							
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females	
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TOTAL							
All Ages	4,385	1,888	2,497	940	1,463	948	1,034
Under 1 year	183	...	183	...	94	...	89
1—4	537	...	537	...	269	...	268
5—9	611	4	607	...	339	4	268
10—14	486	45	441	7	272	38	169
15—19	449	222	227	65	173	157	54
20—24	392	298	94	118	82	180	12
25—29	358	311	47	152	41	159	6
30—34	322	285	37	140	30	145	7
35—39	240	206	34	128	19	78	15
40—44	190	157	33	95	15	62	18
45—49	136	97	39	67	26	30	13
50—54	157	105	52	65	19	40	33
55—59	83	48	35	25	15	23	20
60—64	129	71	58	50	27	21	31
65—69	33	11	22	10	11	1	11
70—74	47	14	33	10	21	4	12
75 & over	32	14	18	8	10	6	8
Age not stated
RURAL							
All Ages	2,760	1,192	1,568	586	942	606	626
Under 1 year	131	...	131	...	66	...	65
1—4	344	...	344	...	178	...	166
5—9	414	4	410	...	237	4	173
10—14	282	34	248	5	155	29	93
15—19	283	158	125	46	101	112	24
20—24	241	177	64	74	56	103	8
25—29	215	188	27	94	23	94	4
30—34	197	180	17	81	15	99	2
35—39	150	131	19	83	8	48	11
40—44	108	90	18	56	10	34	8
45—49	79	55	24	37	15	18	9
50—54	106	76	30	44	15	32	15
55—59	50	26	24	16	12	10	12
60—64	81	48	33	32	19	16	14
65—69	20	6	14	6	7	...	7
70—74	37	10	27	7	19	3	8
75 & over	22	9	13	5	6	4	7
Age not stated
URBAN							
All Ages	1,625	696	929	354	521	342	408
Under 1 year	52	...	52	...	28	...	24
1—4	193	...	193	...	91	...	102
5—9	197	...	197	...	102	...	95
10—14	204	11	193	2	117	9	76
15—19	166	64	102	19	72	45	30
20—24	151	121	30	44	26	77	4
25—29	143	123	20	58	18	65	2
30—34	125	105	20	59	15	46	5
35—39	90	75	15	45	11	30	4
40—44	82	67	15	39	5	28	10
45—49	57	42	15	30	11	12	4
50—54	51	29	22	21	4	8	18
55—59	33	22	11	9	3	13	8
60—64	48	23	25	18	8	5	17
65—69	13	5	8	4	4	1	4
70—74	10	4	6	3	2	1	4
75 & over	10	5	5	3	4	2	1
Age not stated

**IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household
Population of Himachal Pradesh State, 1952**

POPULATION								
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females		
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
TOTAL								
All Ages	2,091	991	1,100	473	598	518	502	
Under 1 year	65	...	65	...	34	...	31	
1—4	235	...	235	...	119	...	116	
5—9	253	1	252	...	120	1	132	
10—14	201	9	192	2	107	7	85	
15—19	236	120	116	20	96	100	20	
20—24	188	155	33	60	25	95	8	
25—29	166	139	27	61	23	78	4	
30—34	139	125	14	57	9	68	5	
35—39	144	125	19	68	10	57	9	
40—44	102	84	18	49	5	35	13	
45—49	66	56	10	35	6	21	4	
50—54	83	63	20	32	7	31	13	
55—59	48	33	15	26	5	7	10	
60—64	75	48	27	35	11	13	16	
65—69	31	12	19	8	8	4	11	
70—74	39	16	23	15	7	1	16	
75 & over	20	5	15	5	6	...	9	
Age not stated	
RURAL								
All Ages	1,935	925	1,010	439	547	486	463	
Under 1 year	58	...	58	...	31	...	27	
1—4	216	...	216	...	107	...	109	
5—9	234	1	233	...	113	1	120	
10—14	187	9	178	2	101	7	77	
15—19	217	115	102	18	84	97	18	
20—24	174	146	28	58	21	88	7	
25—29	154	127	27	57	23	70	4	
30—34	128	117	11	53	7	64	4	
35—39	135	116	19	63	10	53	9	
40—44	91	75	16	44	5	31	11	
45—49	64	55	9	34	5	21	4	
50—54	78	59	19	28	7	31	12	
55—59	44	29	15	22	5	7	10	
60—64	73	47	26	35	11	12	15	
65—69	27	10	17	7	6	3	11	
70—74	37	14	23	13	7	1	16	
75 & over	18	5	13	5	4	...	9	
Age not stated	
URBAN								
All Ages	156	66	90	34	51	32	39	
Under 1 year	7	...	7	...	3	...	4	
1—4	19	...	19	...	12	...	7	
5—9	19	...	19	...	7	...	12	
10—14	14	...	14	...	6	...	8	
15—19	19	5	14	2	12	3	2	
20—24	14	9	5	2	4	7	1	
25—29	12	12	...	4	...	8	...	
30—34	11	8	3	4	2	4	1	
35—39	9	9	...	5	...	4	...	
40—44	11	9	2	5	...	4	2	
45—49	2	1	1	1	1	
50—54	5	4	1	4	1	
55—59	4	4	...	4	
60—64	2	1	1	1	1	
65—69	4	2	2	1	2	1	...	
70—74	2	2	...	2	
75 & over	2	...	2	...	2	
Age not stated	

**IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household
Population of Bilaspur State, 1952**

POPULATION								
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females		
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
TOTAL								
All Ages	829	383	446	185	258	198	188	
Under 1 year	19	...	19	...	10	...	9	
1-4	77	...	77	...	48	...	29	
5-9	94	...	94	...	46	...	48	
10-14	92	2	90	...	50	2	40	
15-19	88	39	49	4	40	35	9	
20-24	86	62	24	19	23	43	1	
25-29	79	64	15	29	13	35	2	
30-34	47	44	3	26	2	18	1	
35-39	37	36	1	19	...	17	1	
40-44	42	36	6	16	2	20	4	
45-49	34	26	8	15	1	11	7	
50-54	39	25	14	18	7	7	7	
55-59	23	16	7	13	2	3	5	
60-64	31	20	11	15	4	5	7	
65-69	18	7	11	6	4	1	7	
70-74	10	3	7	2	1	1	6	
75 & over	13	3	10	3	5	...	5	
Age not stated	
RUARL								
All Ages	797	371	426	180	249	191	177	
Under 1 year	19	...	19	...	10	...	9	
1-4	75	...	75	...	48	...	27	
5-9	91	...	91	...	44	...	47	
10-14	88	2	86	...	48	2	38	
15-19	80	39	41	4	35	35	6	
20-24	85	61	24	19	23	42	1	
25-29	76	61	15	29	13	32	2	
30-34	45	42	3	25	2	17	1	
35-39	35	34	1	18	...	16	1	
40-44	40	34	6	15	2	19	4	
45-49	32	25	7	14	1	11	6	
50-54	38	25	13	18	7	7	6	
55-59	22	15	7	12	2	3	5	
60-64	30	20	10	15	4	5	6	
65-69	18	7	11	6	4	1	7	
70-74	10	3	7	2	1	1	6	
75 & over	13	3	10	3	5	...	5	
Age not stated	
URBAN								
All Ages	32	12	20	5	9	7	11	
Under 1 year	
1-4	2	...	2	2	
5-9	3	...	3	...	2	...	1	
10-14	4	...	4	...	2	...	2	
15-19	8	...	8	...	5	...	3	
20-24	1	1	1	...	
25-29	3	3	3	...	
30-34	2	2	...	1	...	1	...	
35-39	2	2	...	1	...	1	...	
40-44	2	2	...	1	...	1	...	
45-49	2	1	1	1	1	
50-54	1	...	1	1	
55-59	1	1	...	1	
60-64	1	...	1	1	
65-69	
70-74	
75 & over	
Age not stated	

BILASPUR--

[illegible]

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

		PRESENT AGE							
		All ages			14 & less			15—24	
Order of Birth	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	257	944	752	116	285	235
1st	46	46	44	38	38	37
2nd	48	96	89	31	62	58
3rd	47	141	124	21	63	53
4th	36	144	125	13	52	44
5th	27	135	104	8	40	26
6th	21	126	103	5	30	18
7th & above	32	256	163
RURAL									
All Orders	140	510	386	66	166	137
1st	28	28	26	22	22	21
2nd	25	50	45	16	32	29
3rd	28	84	72	14	42	36
4th	16	64	51	4	16	12
5th	12	60	48	6	30	22
6th	13	78	60	4	24	17
7th & above	18	146	84
URBAN									
All Orders	117	434	366	50	119	99
1st	18	18	18	16	16	16
2nd	23	46	44	15	30	29
3rd	19	57	52	7	21	17
4th	20	80	74	9	36	32
5th	15	75	56	2	10	4
6th	8	48	43	1	6	1
7th & above	14	110	79

Households of Punjab State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1952

OF MOTHER

25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
103	418	338	37	235	174	1	6	4
7	7	6	1	1	1
16	32	29	1	2	2
22	66	59	4	12	12
19	76	68	4	16	13
16	80	64	3	15	14
10	60	55	5	30	26	1	6	4
13	97	57	19	159	106
54	212	155	19	126	90	1	6	4
5	5	4	1	1	1
9	18	16
14	42	36
9	36	29	3	12	10
5	25	21	1	5	5
4	24	19	4	24	20	1	6	4
8	62	30	10	84	54
49	206	183	18	109	84
2	2	2
7	14	13	1	2	2
8	24	23	4	12	12
10	40	39	1	4	3
11	55	43	2	10	9
6	36	36	1	6	6
5	35	27	9	75	52

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

Order of Birth	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	181	718	613	79	187	165
1st	32	32	32	25	25	25
2nd	29	58	56	25	50	48
3rd	29	87	72	17	51	40
4th	25	100	91	6	24	19
5th	20	100	83	3	15	11
6th	17	102	91
7th & above	29	239	188	3	22	22
RURAL									
All Orders	130	517	425	54	119	101
1st	25	25	25	18	18	18
2nd	21	42	41	17	34	33
3rd	19	57	45	11	33	24
4th	17	68	59	6	24	19
5th	13	65	52	2	10	7
6th	13	78	67
7th & above	22	182	136
URBAN									
All Orders	51	201	188	25	68	64
1st	7	7	7	7	7	7
2nd	8	16	15	8	16	15
3rd	10	30	27	6	18	16
4th	8	32	32
5th	7	35	31	1	5	4
6th	4	24	24
7th & above	7	57	52	3	22	22

Households of PEPSU State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1952

OF MOTHER											
25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
82	405	345	18	109	92	2	17	11
6	6	6	1	1	1
4	8	8
11	33	30	1	3	2
18	72	68	1	4	4
12	60	51	5	25	21
11	66	57	6	36	34
20	160	125	4	40	30	2	17	11
61	303	251	13	78	62	2	17	11
6	6	6	1	1	1
4	8	8
8	24	21
11	44	40
7	35	29	4	20	16
8	48	39	5	30	28
17	138	108	3	27	17	2	17	11
21	102	94	5	31	30
...
...
3	9	9	1	3	2
7	28	28	1	4	4
5	25	22	1	5	5
3	18	18	1	6	6
3	22	17	1	13	13

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample House.

PRESENT AGE									
Order of Birth	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
TOTAL									
All Orders . . .	64	199	166	36	60	51
1st . . .	22	22	20	19	19	17
2nd . . .	13	26	22	11	22	19
3rd . . .	8	24	20	5	15	12
4th . . .	5	20	18	1	4	3
5th . . .	3	15	14
6th . . .	6	36	29
7th & above . . .	7	56	43
RURAL									
All Orders . . .	57	164	141	33	55	47
1st . . .	21	21	19	18	18	16
2nd . . .	11	22	19	9	18	16
3rd . . .	8	24	20	5	15	12
4th . . .	5	20	18	1	4	3
5th . . .	3	15	14
6th . . .	4	24	21
7th & above . . .	5	38	30
URBAN									
All Orders . . .	7	35	25	3	5	4
1st . . .	1	1	1	1	1	1
2nd . . .	2	4	3	2	4	3
3rd
4th
5th
6th . . .	2	12	8
7th & above . . .	2	18	13

OF MOTHER

[illegible]

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

[illegible]

Households of Bilaspur State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1952

[illegible]

[illegible]

Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1952

OF DEATHS				WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED					
Females				Yes		No		Not known	
Total	L. C. I. II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V-VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
50	23	...	27	42	36	9	10	3	4
30	22	...	8	30	23	4	3	2	4
20	1	...	19	12	13	5	7	1	...
23	10	...	13	20	15	6	5	...	3
11	9	...	2	12	7	3	1	...	3
12	1	...	11	8	8	3	4
27	13	...	14	21	21	3	5	2	1
19	13	...	6	18	16	1	2	2	1
8	8	3	5	2	3
...	1	1	...
...
...	1	1	...
32	20	3	9	15	15	6	16	1	1
23	18	3	2	13	11	4	11	1	1
9	2	...	7	2	4	2	5
32	20	3	9	15	15	6	16	1	1
23	18	3	2	13	11	4	11	1	1
9	2	...	7	2	4	2	5
10	9	...	1	9	7	2	3	2	...
9	9	9	7	1	2	1	...
1	1	1	1	1	...
10	9	...	1	9	7	2	3	2	...
9	9	9	7	1	2	1	...
1	1	1	1	1	...
1	1	7	1
1	1	7	1
...

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Punjab State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1952**

NUMBER OF DEATHS										
Age Group	Total			Rural			Urban			
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
All Ages	104	54	50	66	36	30	38	18	20	
Under 1 year	25	10	15	20	10	10	5	...	5	
1—4	29	14	15	18	9	9	11	5	6	
5—9	7	4	3	3	2	1	4	2	2	
10—14	
15—19	2	1	1	2	1	1	
20—24	2	1	1	1	...	1	1	1	...	
25—29	3	2	1	3	2	1	
30—34	
35—39	3	1	2	3	1	2	
40—44	3	2	1	1	1	...	2	1	1	
45—49	2	1	1	2	1	1	
50—54	4	3	1	2	2	...	2	1	1	
55—59	4	3	1	4	3	1	
60—64	8	6	2	3	1	2	5	5	...	
65—69	1	1	...	1	1	
70—74	4	3	1	2	2	...	2	1	1	
75 & over	4	1	3	1	...	1	3	1	2	
Age not stated	3	1	2	3	1	2	

[illegible]

VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Himachal Pradesh State by Sex and Age at Death, 1952

[illegible]

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Bilaspur State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1952**

[illegible]

AJMER

**I-A—Area, Households, Population and Registered Birth
and Death Rates per mille by Districts, 1951**

			POPULATION	
State & District	Area (in square miles)	Number of households	Persons	Males
1	2	3	4	5
AJMER	Total	2,417	693,372	360,236
	Rural	90,431	395,608	202,869
	Urban	66,634	297,764	157,367

POPULATION —(concl'd.)—					
State & District		Females	Registration population	Registered birth rate	Registered death rate
1		6	7	8	9
AJMER	Total	333,136	707,996	21·8	17·3
	Rural	192,739	412,577	20·5	19·4
	Urban	140,397	295,619	23·7	14·3

NOTE : Registration data (columns 7, 8 & 9) relates to the year, 1952.

I-B—Registered Deaths in Ajmer State by Age and Sex, 1952

										Registered Deaths			
Age Group										Persons	Males	Females	
1										2	3	4	
										TOTAL			
All Ages	12,220	6,396	5,824	
Under 1 year	2,151	1,100	1,051	
1—4	3,660	1,903	1,757	
5—9	562	308	254	
10—14	342	188	154	
15—19	394	178	216	
20—29	722	333	389	
30—39	630	303	327	
40—49	741	405	336	
50—59	921	562	359	
60 & over	2,097	1,116	981	
										RURAL			
All Ages	8,004	4,254	3,750	
Under 1 year	1,124	586	538	
1—4	2,626	1,401	1,225	
5—9	383	214	169	
10—14	222	123	99	
15—19	218	106	112	
20—29	480	239	241	
30—39	473	228	245	
40—49	494	257	237	
50—59	669	401	268	
60 & over	1,315	699	616	
										URBAN			
All Ages	4,216	2,142	2,074	
Under 1 year	1,027	514	513	
1—4	1,034	502	532	
5—9	179	94	85	
10—14	120	65	55	
15—19	176	72	104	
20—29	242	94	148	
30—39	157	75	82	
40—49	247	148	99	
50—59	252	161	91	
60 & over	782	417	365	

II—Distribution of Population in Ajmer

Persons						Males
Age Group	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	
1	2	3	4	5	6	
						TOTAL
All Ages	62,212	31,421	24,991	5,800	32,433	
Under 1 year	2,989	...	2,989	...	1,572	
1—4	6,496	...	6,496	...	3,177	
5—14	15,084	2,901	12,119	64	7,939	
15—24	11,386	8,523	2,657	206	5,671	
25—34	9,693	8,757	459	477	5,070	
35—44	7,157	5,989	146	1,022	4,075	
45—54	4,653	3,214	63	1,376	2,567	
55—64	3,077	1,535	39	1,503	1,492	
65—74	1,222	375	15	832	642	
75 & over	451	125	7	319	224	
Age not stated	4	2	1	1	4	
						RURAL
All Ages	39,468	20,713	14,938	3,817	20,393	
Under 1 year	1,807	...	1,807	...	922	
1—4	4,358	...	4,358	...	2,216	
5—14	9,789	2,591	7,139	59	5,085	
15—24	6,831	5,437	1,262	132	3,406	
25—34	6,062	5,573	184	305	3,167	
35—44	4,545	3,759	94	692	2,547	
45—54	2,880	1,980	41	859	1,531	
55—64	2,065	1,028	35	1,002	955	
65—74	870	286	13	571	454	
75 & over	257	57	4	196	106	
Age not stated	4	2	1	1	4	
						URBAN
All Ages	22,744	10,708	10,053	1,983	12,040	
Under 1 year	1,182	...	1,182	...	650	
1—4	2,138	...	2,138	...	961	
5—14	5,295	310	4,980	5	2,854	
15—24	4,555	3,086	1,395	74	2,265	
25—34	3,631	3,184	275	172	1,903	
35—44	2,612	2,230	52	330	1,528	
45—54	1,773	1,234	22	517	1,036	
55—64	1,012	507	4	501	537	
65—74	352	89	2	261	188	
75 & over	194	68	3	123	118	
Age not stated	

State by Age, Sex and Marital Status, 1951

				Females		
Married	Unmarried	Widowed	Total	Married	Unmarried	Widowed
7	8	9	10	11	12	13
15,719	14,527	2,187	29,779	15,702	10,464	3,613
...	1,572	...	1,417	...	1,417	...
...	3,177	...	3,319	...	3,319	...
975	6,933	31	7,145	1,926	5,186	33
3,400	2,173	98	5,715	5,123	484	108
4,416	419	235	4,623	4,341	40	242
3,583	138	354	3,082	2,406	8	668
2,017	58	492	2,086	1,197	5	884
968	35	489	1,585	567	4	1,014
282	14	346	580	93	1	486
76	7	141	227	49	...	178
2	1	1
10,413	8,677	1,303	19,075	10,300	6,261	2,514
...	922	...	885	...	885	...
...	2,216	...	2,142	...	2,142	...
938	4,116	31	4,704	1,653	3,023	28
2,252	1,082	72	3,425	3,185	180	60
2,847	163	157	2,895	2,726	21	148
2,245	89	213	1,998	1,514	5	479
1,240	40	251	1,349	740	1	608
623	31	301	1,110	405	4	701
219	13	222	416	67	...	349
47	4	55	151	10	...	141
2	1	1
5,306	5,850	884	10,704	5,402	4,203	1,099
...	650	...	532	...	532	...
...	961	...	1,177	...	1,177	...
37	2,817	...	2,441	273	2,163	5
1,148	1,091	26	2,290	1,938	304	48
1,569	256	78	1,728	1,615	19	94
1,338	49	141	1,084	892	3	189
777	18	241	737	457	4	276
345	4	188	475	162	...	313
63	1	124	164	26	1	137
29	3	86	76	39	...	37
...

III—Economic Groups and Marital Status of Sample Household Population by Districts, 1951

State & District	Number of Sample Households				POPULATION			
	Total	Livelihood Classes I, II & IV	Livelihood Class III	Livelihood Classes V—VIII	All Classes			
					Persons		Males	
					Married	Others	Married	Others
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

AJMER—

Total	695	120	6	569	1,535	1,766	778	966
Rural	157	109	6	42	399	363	204	193
Urban	538	11	...	527	1,136	1,403	574	773

State & District	POPULATION—(concl.)							
	All Classes—(concl.)				Livelihood			
	Females		Classes I, II & IV		Livelihood Class III		Livelihood Classes V—VIII	
	Married	Others	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
1	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17

AJMER—

Total	757	800	322	294	8	9	1,414	1,254
Rural	195	170	284	268	8	9	105	88
Urban	562	630	38	26	1,309	1,166

IV—Age, Sex & Marital Status of the Sample Household Population of Ajmer State, 1951

POPULATION								
Age Group	Persons			Males		Females		
	Total	Married	Others	Married	Others	Married	Others	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
TOTAL								
All Ages	3,301	1,535	1,766	778	966	757	800	
Under 1 year	79	...	79	...	43	...	36	
1—4	404	...	404	...	212	...	192	
5—9	448	6	442	1	234	5	208	
10—14	382	41	341	14	198	27	143	
15—19	326	160	166	38	124	122	42	
20—24	312	254	58	110	52	144	6	
25—29	273	251	22	122	19	129	3	
30—34	224	205	19	99	8	106	11	
35—39	185	163	22	91	12	72	10	
40—44	208	176	32	104	12	72	20	
45—49	119	94	25	66	7	28	18	
50—54	134	86	48	60	13	26	35	
55—59	56	40	16	28	5	12	11	
60—64	79	34	45	27	12	7	33	
65—69	27	10	17	6	5	4	12	
70—74	29	14	15	11	5	3	10	
75 & over	16	1	15	1	5	...	10	
Age not stated	
RURAL								
All Ages	762	399	363	204	193	195	170	
Under 1 year	26	...	26	...	13	...	13	
1—4	96	...	96	...	46	...	50	
5—9	91	4	87	...	47	4	40	
10—14	81	18	63	11	38	7	25	
15—19	79	55	24	16	19	39	5	
20—24	68	62	6	35	6	27	...	
25—29	52	46	6	18	6	28	...	
30—34	63	60	3	31	2	29	1	
35—39	45	41	4	22	1	19	3	
40—44	44	37	7	20	4	17	3	
45—49	29	24	5	16	...	8	5	
50—54	28	16	12	13	3	3	9	
55—59	14	13	1	6	...	7	1	
60—64	24	12	12	9	3	3	9	
65—69	9	4	5	1	3	3	2	
70—74	8	6	2	5	1	1	1	
75 & over	5	1	4	1	1	...	3	
Age not stated	
URBAN								
All Ages	2,539	1,136	1,403	574	773	562	630	
Under 1 year	53	...	53	...	30	...	23	
1—4	308	...	308	...	166	...	142	
5—9	357	2	355	1	187	1	168	
10—14	301	23	278	3	160	20	118	
15—19	247	105	142	22	105	83	37	
20—24	244	192	52	75	46	117	6	
25—29	221	205	16	104	13	101	3	
30—34	161	145	16	68	6	77	10	
35—39	140	122	18	69	11	53	7	
40—44	164	139	25	84	8	55	17	
45—49	90	70	20	50	7	20	13	
50—54	106	70	36	47	10	23	26	
55—59	42	27	15	22	5	5	10	
60—64	55	22	33	18	9	4	24	
65—69	18	6	12	5	2	1	10	
70—74	21	8	13	6	4	2	9	
75 & over	11	...	11	...	4	...	7	
Age not stated	

V—Number of Births in Sample Households by Economic Groups and their Registration by Districts, 1951

State & District	TOTAL NUMBER OF BIRTHS											
	Number of Mothers				Persons				Males			
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	
AJMER—												
Total . . .	85	22	1	62	85	22	1	62	47	11	...	
Rural . . .	26	20	1	5	26	20	1	5	12	10	...	
Urban . . .	59	2	...	57	59	2	...	57	35	1	...	

State & District	TOTAL NUMBER OF BIRTHS - (concl.)					WHETHER BIRTH WAS REGISTERED					
	Males— (concl.)		Females			Yes		No		Not known	
	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23
AJMER—											
Total . . .	36	38	11	1	26	32	19	10	13	5	6
Rural . . .	2	14	10	1	3	9	4	1	6	2	4
Urban . . .	34	24	1	...	23	23	15	9	7	3	2

VI—Number of Mothers, Children Born and Children Surviving in Sample

Order of Birth	PRESENT AGE								
	All ages			14 & less			15—24		
	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
TOTAL									
All Orders	85	327	249	29	47	37
1st	19	19	19	17	17	17
2nd	17	34	28	8	16	12
3rd	12	36	34	2	6	6
4th	8	32	22	2	8	2
5th	3	15	15
6th	9	54	38
7th & above	17	137	93
RURAL									
All Orders	26	110	81	5	8	8
1st	4	4	4	3	3	3
2nd	5	10	9	1	2	2
3rd	5	15	14	1	3	3
4th	3	12	9
5th
6th	1	6	1
7th & above	8	63	44
URBAN									
All Orders	59	217	168	24	39	29
1st	15	15	15	14	14	14
2nd	12	24	19	7	14	10
3rd	7	21	20	1	3	3
4th	5	20	13	2	8	2
5th	3	15	15
6th	8	48	37
7th & above	9	74	49

Households of Ajmer State by Order of Birth and Present Age of Mother, 1951

OF MOTHER											
25—34			35—44			45 & over			Age not stated		
No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving	No. of mothers	No. of children born	No. of children surviving
11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22
44	214	100	12	66	52
2	2	2
6	12	11	3	6	5
9	27	25	1	3	3
6	24	20
1	5	5	2	10	10
7	42	27	2	12	11
13	102	70	4	35	23
16	73	50	5	29	23
1	1	1
3	6	5	1	2	2
3	9	8	1	3	3
3	12	9
...
1	6	1
5	39	26	3	24	18
28	141	110	7	37	29
1	1	1
3	6	6	2	4	3
6	18	17
3	12	11
1	5	5	2	10	10
6	36	26	2	12	11
8	63	44	1	11	5

**VII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households by Economic Groups
and their Registration by Districts, 1951**

TOTAL NUMBER OF DEATHS												
State & District	Persons				Males				Females			
	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Total			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		
AJMER—												
Total	.	.	.	35	6	...	29	19	4	...	15	16
Rural	.	.	.	6	5	...	1	5	4	...	1	1
Urban	.	.	.	29	1	...	28	14	14	15

State & District	TOTAL NUMBER OF DEATHS—(concl.)			WHETHER DEATH WAS REGISTERED					
	Females—(concl.)			Yes		No		Not known	
	L. C. I, II & IV	L. C. III	L. C. V—VIII	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females
1	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
AJMER—									
Total	2	...	14	11	10	5	2	3	4
Rural	1	3	1	2
Urban	1	...	14	8	9	3	2	3	4

**VIII—Number of Deaths in Sample Households of Ajmer State
by Sex and Age at Death, 1951**

NUMBER OF DEATHS													
					Total		Rural			Urban			
					Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
Age Group					Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1					2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
All Ages	35	19	16	6	5	1	29	14	15
Under 1 year	6	4	2	6	4	2
1—4	15	7	8	3	3	...	12	4	8
5—9	2	1	1	2	1	1
10—14
15—19	1	...	1	1	...	1
20—24	1	...	1	1	...	1
25—29	1	1	1	1	...
30—34	1	1	1	1	...
35—39
40—44	1	1	1	1	...
45—49	1	1	1	1	...
50—54
55—59	2	1	1	1	1	...	1	...	1
60—64
65—69	1	1	1	1	...
70—74	1	...	1	1	...	1
75 & over	2	1	1	1	1	...	1	...	1
Age not stated

PART III
SUBSIDIARY TABLES

Table 1—Coverage of Sample

State		Population 1951	Sample Population	Percentage of col. 3 to col. 2	Number of districts in the State	Number of districts covered	Reference date of sample census	Period of enumeration
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8
I—North India—								
1. Uttar Pradesh	T R U	63,215,742 54,590,043 8,625,699	— 512,187 —	— 0.94 —	51	51	1-11-1953 in 47 Districts 1-3-1954 in 4 Hill Districts	Nov. 1953 47 District April-May 1954 in Hill Districts
II—East India—								
1. Bihar	T R U	40,225,947 37,521,216 2,704,731	85,894 73,371 12,523	0.21 0.20 0.46	18	4	31-10-1952	16th Nov. '52. to 31st Dec. '52.
2. Assam	T R U	9,043,707 8,629,289 414,418	32,109 25,583 6,526	0.36 0.30 1.57	17	3	30-11-1952	December, 1952 to Jan ary, 1953
3. Manipur	T R U	577,635 574,773 2,862	10,054 9,959 95	1.74 1.73 3.32	1	1	do.	do.
4. Tripura	T R U	699,029 596,434 42,595	7,492 5,458 2,034	1.17 0.92 4.78	1	1	do.	do.
III—South India—								
1. Madras	T R U	57,016,002 45,832,268 11,183,734	167,153 105,530 61,623	0.29 0.23 0.55	26	26	1-9-1952	Sept. Oct. 1952.
2. Travancore-Cochin	T R U	9,280,425 7,792,133 1,488,292	25,473 21,370 4,103	0.27 0.27 0.28	4	1		
3. Coorg	T R U	229,405 213,150 16,255	624 484 140	0.27 0.23 0.86	1	1	1-9-1952	Sept. Oct. 1952.
IV—West India—								
1. Bombay	T R U	35,956,150 24,785,810 11,170,340	148,930 127,226 21,704	0.41 0.51 0.19	28	5	18-10-1952	3rd Nov.-10 Nov., 1952
2. Saurashtra	T R U	4,137,359 2,744,198 1,393,161	19,906 10,404 9,502	0.48 0.38 0.68	5	1	do.	do.
3. Kutch	T R U	567,606 453,852 113,754	8,511 5,011 3,500	1.50 1.10 3.08	1	1	do.	do.

*The sample census was carried in census towns also which had no local body but had a gaon sabha, while it could not be held in the area south of Kaimur Range in Mirzapur district. Records of some panchayat secretary circles were either found to be incomplete or were received too late and had to be rejected. If adjustment is made for these factors the figure works out to 0.95. For details see paper No. 1 of 1955.

Table 1—Coverage of Sample—(concl.)

State		Population 1951	Sample Population	Percentage of col. 3 to col. 2	Number of districts in the State	Number of districts covered	Reference date of sample census	Period of enumerations				
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8				
Central India—												
1. Madhya Pradesh	T R U	21,247,533 18,370,194 2,877,339	65,751 49,146 16,605	0.31 0.27 0.58	22	5	1-12-1952	1st December, to 15th Dec- ember, 1952				
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	T R U	7,954,154 6,512,923 1,441,231	22,296 13,881 8,415	0.28 0.21 0.58					16	16	18-10-1952	19th Oct. '52 to 7th Nov. '52
Madhya Bharat (1953)	T R U	7,954,154 6,512,923 1,441,231	106,755 75,291 31,464	1.34 1.16 2.18								
3. Vindhya Pradesh	T R U	3,574,690 3,268,780 305,910	42,687 36,686 6,001	1.19 1.12 1.96	8	7	1-3-1952	1st Sept. '52 to 31st Dec. '52				
I—North-West India—												
1. Rajasthan	T R U	15,290,797 12,641,430 2,649,367	8,742 7,365 1,377	0.06 0.06 0.05					25	1	31-12-1951	Jan. '52 to March '52
2. Punjab	T R U	12,641,205 10,240,273 2,400,932	7,993 3,577 4,416	0.06 0.03 0.18	13	3	18-10-1952	10th October to 31st Octo- ber, 1952				
3. P. E. P. S. U.	T R U	3,493,685 2,828,175 665,510	4,385 2,760 1,625	0.13 0.10 0.24								
4. Bilaspur	T R U	126,099 122,354 3,745	829 797 32	0.66 0.65 0.85					1	1	do.	do.
5. Himachal Pradesh	T R U	983,367 941,966 41,401	2,091 1,935 156	0.21 0.21 0.38	4	1	do.	do.				
6. Ajmer	T R U	693,372 395,608 297,764	3,301 762 2,539	0.48 0.19 0.85								

**Table 2—Size of Household at the Sample Census
and Census, 1951**

State	Total		Rural		Urban	
	Sample Census	Census, 1951	Sample Census	Census, 1951	Sample Census	Census, 1951
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh . . .	—	5.04	5.05	5.07	—	4.87
II—East India—						
1. Bihar . . .	6.40	—	6.40	—	6.41	—
2. Assam . . .	5.75	5.21	5.79	5.20	5.60	5.33
3. Manipur . . .	4.40	4.97	4.39	4.97	5.00	—
4. Tripura . . .	5.42	4.91	5.18	4.95	6.18	4.43
III—South India—						
1. Madras . . .	4.95	4.73	4.86	—	5.11	—
2. Travancore-Cochin . . .	6.97	5.51	6.81	5.48	7.97	5.68
3. Coorg . . .	4.84	4.52	4.75	—	5.19	—
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay . . .	5.22	4.98	5.23	5.05	5.17	4.83
2. Saurashtra . . .	5.40	5.20	5.62	5.36	5.18	4.91
3. Kutch . . .	4.42	4.35	4.29	4.31	4.63	4.53
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh . . .	4.70	4.26	4.69	4.21	4.73	4.55
2. Madhya Bharat (1952) . . .	5.15	4.94	5.17	4.95	5.11	4.85
Madhya Bharat (1953) . . .	5.15	4.94	5.20	4.95	5.01	4.85
3. Vindhya Pradesh . . .	4.67	4.69	4.72	4.75	4.37	4.19
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan . . .	4.78	4.94	4.80	5.02	4.67	4.59
2. Punjab . . .	5.03	—	5.03	5.03	5.02	—
3. P. E. P. S. U. . . .	5.33	5.69	5.44	5.73	5.14	5.53
4. Bilaspur . . .	5.18	4.79	5.21	4.79	4.57	4.83
5. Himachal Pradesh . . .	5.47	5.09	5.58	5.14	4.46	4.13
6. Ajmer . . .	4.75	4.37	4.85	4.32	4.72	4.43

**Table 3—Size of Households by Economic Groups
at the Sample Census**

State	PERSONS PER 100 HOUSEHOLDS											
	Total				Rural				Urban			
	All economic groups	Land- holders and tenants	Agri- cultural labour- ers	Non- agri- cultural classes	All economic groups	Land- holders and tenants	Agri- cultural labour- ers	Non- agri- cultural classes	All economic groups	Land- holders and tenants	Agri- cultural labour- ers	Non- agri- cultural classes
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
I—North India—												
1. Uttar Pradesh . . .	—	—	—	—	505	527	466	457	—	—	—	—
II—East India—												
1. Bihar . . .	640	683	559	618	640	681	560	601	641	755*	551	635
2. Assam . . .	575	641	480	495	579	641	482	428	560	702	436	556
3. Manipur . . .	440	455	316	445	439	460	316	436	500	81	...	2,733
4. Tripura . . .	542	567	450	540	518	562	461	435	618	933	340	623
III—South India—												
1. Madras . . .	495	520	438	500	486	519	437	474	511	529	451	512
2. Travancore-Cochin .	697	778	606	697	681	770	603	672	797	929	685	789
3. Coorg . . .	484	548	414	469	475	559	414	389	519	425	...	535
IV—West India—												
1. Bombay . . .	522	558	441	487	523	558	442	476	517	572	430	509
2. Saurashtra . . .	540	638	431	500	562	636	453	482	518	649	327	509
3. Kutch . . .	442	497	303	434	429	492	303	403	463	576	300	459
V—Central India—												
1. Madhya Pradesh . .	470	514	393	455	469	512	393	440	473	574	376	467
2. Madhya Bharat (1952) .	515	557	412	494	517	555	409	439	511	596	533	511
Madhya Bharat (1953) .	515	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3. Vindhya Pradesh . .	467	490	275	460	472	491	268	473	437	452	442	436
VI—North-West India—												
1. Rajasthan . . .	478	522	396	441	480	522	398	424	467	514	250	466
2. Punjab . . .	503	529	389	493	503	521	378	478	502	604	460	496
3. P. E. P. S. U. . .	533	574	427	500	544	568	427	503	514	653	...	500
4. Bilaspur . . .	518	508	400	606	521	509	400	682	457	400	...	467
5. Himachal Pradesh .	547	573	500	423	558	576	500	397	446	400	...	455
6. Ajmer . . .	475	513	283	469	485	506	283	460	472	582	...	470

**Table 4—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Economic Groups
at the Sample Census and Census, 1951**

State	Sample Census			Census, 1951		
	Agricultural land-holders and tenants	Agricultural labourers	Non-agricul- tural classes	Agricultural land-holders and tenants	Agricultural labourers	Non-agricul- tural classes
	2	3	4	5	6	7
TOTAL*						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	6,847	571	2,582
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	5,676	1,870	2,454	6,418	2,186	1,396
2. Assam	6,161	591	3,248	7,160	174	2,666
3. Manipur	6,120	613	3,267	8,321	24	1,655
4. Tripura	5,070	1,002	3,928	7,042	483	2,475
III—South India—						
1. Madras	3,556	1,653	4,791	4,670	1,823	3,507
2. Travancore-Cochin	2,534	1,740	5,726	3,468	2,017	4,515
3. Coorg	4,391	2,452	3,157	4,699	1,069	4,232
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	5,995	957	3,048	5,241	905	3,854
2. Saurashtra	3,584	193	6,223	4,287	376	5,337
3. Kutch	2,869	412	6,719	3,905	298	5,797
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	4,736	1,452	3,812	5,559	2,041	2,400
2. Madhya Bharat(1952)	5,002	554	4,444	6,155	1,067	2,778
3. Vindhya Pradesh	5,779	313	3,908	6,950	1,762	1,288
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan	5,675	919	3,406	6,776	311	2,913
2. Punjab	3,556	180	6,264	5,682	770	3,548
3. P. E. P. S. U.	5,444	506	4,050	6,228	1,027	2,745
4. Bilaspur	8,709	48	1,243	8,915	157	928
5. Himachal Pradesh	8,661	24	1,315	9,204	93	703
6. Ajmer	1,866	52	8,082	4,260	282	5,458
RURAL†						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	6,994	1,004	2,002	7,740	646	1,605
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	6,450	2,093	1,457	6,768	2,289	943
2. Assam	7,609	717	1,674	7,474	181	2,345
3. Manipur	6,165	619	3,216	8,358	24	1,618
4. Tripura	6,805	1,282	1,913	7,451	516	2,033
III—South India—						
1. Madras	5,253	2,404	2,343	5,502	2,155	2,343
2. Travancore-Cochin	2,842	1,991	5,167	3,855	2,204	3,941
3. Coorg	5,310	3,161	1,529	4,996	1,145	3,859

*Of the sample population 68 persons in Travancore-Cochin and 236 persons in Madhya Bharat were not classified by livelihood classes. The unclassified population has been excluded from the calculations.

†Of the sample population 57 persons in Travancore-Cochin and 147 persons in Madhya Bharat were not classified by livelihood classes. The unclassified population has been excluded from the calculations.

Table 4—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Economic Groups at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(concl'd.)

				Sample Census			Census, 1951		
State				Agricultural land-holders and tenants	Agricultural labourers	Non-agricul- tural classes	Agricultural land-holders and tenants	Agricultural labourers	Non-agricul- tural classes
1				2	3	4	5	6	7
RURAL—(concl'd.)									
IV—West India—									
1.	Bombay	.	.	6,660	1,042	2,298	7,046	1,175	1,779
2.	Saurashtra	.	.	5,990	322	3,688	5,919	526	3,555
3.	Kutch	.	.	4,540	677	4,783	4,676	345	4,979
V—Central India—									
1.	Madhya Pradesh	.	.	6,023	1,882	2,095	6,268	2,276	1,456
2.	Madhya Bharat(1952)	.	.	7,587	879	1,534	7,354	1,262	1,384
3.	Vindhya Pradesh	.	.	6,586	341	3,073	7,390	1,867	743
VI—North-West India—									
1.	Rajasthan	.	.	6,582	1,077	2,341	7,877	356	1,767
2.	Punjab	.	.	7,084	338	2,578	6,815	922	2,263
3.	P. E. P. S. U.	.	.	7,939	804	1,257	7,289	1,211	1,500
4.	Bilaspur	.	.	9,009	50	941	9,125	162	713
5.	Himachal Pradesh	.	.	9,235	26	739	9,489	92	419
6.	Ajmer	.	.	7,244	223	2,533	7,262	475	2,263
URBAN*									
I—North India—									
1.	Uttar Pradesh	.	.	—	—	—	1,144	97	8,759
II—East India—									
1.	Bihar	.	.	1,145	559	8,296	1,535	759	7,706
2.	Assam	.	.	484	94	9,422	625	21	9,354
3.	Manipur	.	.	1,368	...	8,632	798	...	9,242
4.	Tripura	.	.	413	251	9,336	1,316	19	8,665
III—South India—									
1.	Madras	.	.	650	367	8,983	1,262	460	8,278
2.	Travancore-Cochin	.	.	931	435	8,634	1,441	1,036	7,523
3.	Coorg	.	.	1,214	...	8,786	802	77	9,121
IV—West India—									
1.	Bombay	.	.	2,096	462	7,442	1,237	305	8,458
2.	Saurashtra	.	.	949	52	8,999	1,072	81	8,847
3.	Kutch	.	.	477	34	9,489	831	111	9,058
V—Central India—									
1.	Madhya Pradesh	.	.	926	181	8,893	1,035	540	8,425
2.	Madhya Bharat(1952)	.	.	738	19	9,243	739	184	9,077
3.	Vindhya Pradesh	.	.	843	140	9,017	2,249	642	7,109
VI—North-West India—									
1.	Rajasthan	.	.	821	72	9,107	1,525	95	8,380
2.	Punjab	.	.	698	52	9,250	913	129	8,958
3.	P. E. P. S. U.	.	.	1,206	...	8,794	1,721	244	8,035
4.	Bilaspur	.	.	1,250	...	8,750	2,048	16	7,936
5.	Himachal Pradesh	.	.	1,538	...	8,462	2,720	114	7,166
6.	Ajmer	.	.	252	...	9,748	270	26	9,704

*Of the sample population 11 persons in Travancore-Cochin and 89 persons in Madhya Bharat were not classified by livelihood classes. The unclassified population has been excluded from the calculations.

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951**

Sample Census				Census, 1951		
Age Group	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
I—NORTH INDIA						
1. Uttar Pradesh						
TOTAL						
0	—	—	—	332	171	161
1—4	—	—	—	1,014	512	501
5—14	—	—	—	2,505	1,334	1,171
15—24	—	—	—	1,694	880	814
25—34	—	—	—	1,524	808	716
35—44	—	—	—	1,194	647	547
45—54	—	—	—	895	489	406
55—64	—	—	—	519	278	241
65—74	—	—	—	223	112	111
75 & over	—	—	—	98	46	53
Age not stated	—	—	—	2	1	1
RURAL*						
0	289	161	128	357	183	174
1—4	954	499	455	1,029	520	508
5—14	2,518	1,386	1,132	2,458	1,320	1,139
15—24	1,801	990	811	1,696	877	819
25—34	1,584	834	751	1,512	802	709
35—44	1,207	649	558	1,194	654	540
45—54	891	483	408	907	504	403
55—64	502	277	224	528	292	236
65—74	184	94	89	224	118	106
75 & over	62	32	31	94	48	47
Age not stated	8	4	4	1	..	1
URBAN						
0	—	—	—	345	183	163
1—4	—	—	—	975	504	470
5—14	—	—	—	2,340	1,254	1,087
15—24	—	—	—	1,901	1,055	846
25—34	—	—	—	1,596	915	681
35—44	—	—	—	1,197	696	501
45—54	—	—	—	865	501	364
55—64	—	—	—	472	262	210
65—74	—	—	—	205	110	95
75 & over	—	—	—	100	49	51
Age not stated	—	—	—	4	2	1
II—EAST INDIA						
1. Bihar						
TOTAL						
0	350	181	169	481	243	238
1—4	1,177	599	579	979	487	492
5—14	2,536	1,318	1,218	2,403	1,241	1,162
15—24	1,766	862	903	1,555	773	782
25—34	1,571	816	755	1,494	750	744
35—44	1,159	603	556	1,178	602	577
45—54	793	447	346	860	442	418
55—64	493	221	212	558	279	279
65—74	165	82	83	311	147	164
75 & over	50	24	26	162	79	82
Age not stated	19	10	9

*34 Selected districts.

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

					Sample Census			Census, 1951		
Age Group					Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1					2	3	4	5	6	7
RURAL										
0	353	183	170	482	242	240
1-4	1,147	595	552	977	485	491
5-14	2,464	1,276	1,188	2,407	1,245	1,163
15-24	1,813	888	925	1,540	759	781
25-34	1,609	841	768	1,489	739	750
35-44	1,150	603	547	1,176	592	584
45-54	780	420	360	862	437	425
55-64	452	227	225	566	282	285
65-74	178	88	90	316	150	166
75 & over	54	26	28	165	81	84
Age not stated	20	10	9
URBAN										
0	334	172	162	466	258	208
1-4	1,354	619	735	1,015	509	506
5-14	2,955	1,560	1,395	2,337	1,190	1,147
15-24	1,488	712	776	1,753	965	789
25-34	1,353	675	678	1,564	895	669
35-44	1,211	606	605	1,209	728	481
45-54	868	601	267	837	519	318
55-64	324	188	136	453	246	207
65-74	89	46	43	232	108	124
75 & over	24	10	14	120	60	59
Age not stated	14	6	8
2. Assam										
TOTAL										
0	374	190	184	344	181	164
1-4	1,338	685	653	1,312	660	652
5-14	2,668	1,410	1,258	2,643	1,392	1,251
15-24	1,826	891	935	1,713	840	873
25-34	1,613	899	714	1,587	849	738
35-44	1,035	610	424	1,104	653	451
45-54	661	402	259	706	424	282
55-64	314	185	130	359	204	155
65-74	124	63	61	147	84	63
75 & over	46	25	21	74	42	31
Age not stated	1	...	1	11	5	6
RURAL										
0	422	215	208	347	183	165
1-4	1,412	726	686	1,325	666	659
5-14	2,737	1,465	1,272	2,654	1,396	1,257
15-24	1,724	812	912	1,688	816	872
25-34	1,536	826	710	1,578	835	744
35-44	1,021	592	429	1,106	650	456
45-54	658	398	260	707	423	284
55-64	319	184	134	361	204	157
65-74	125	64	61	148	84	63
75 & over	45	26	19	75	43	32
Age not stated	1	...	1	11	5	6

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

				Sample Census			Census, 1951		
Age Group				Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1				2	3	4	5	6	7
URBAN									
0	.	.	.	185	94	92	275	139	135
1-4	.	.	.	1,047	524	523	1,019	515	504
5-14	.	.	.	2,400	1,197	1,203	2,389	1,285	1,104
15-24	.	.	.	2,226	1,201	1,025	2,297	1,408	889
25-34	.	.	.	1,912	1,183	729	1,805	1,190	615
35-44	.	.	.	1,090	683	406	1,058	724	334
45-54	.	.	.	671	415	256	665	439	226
55-64	.	.	.	299	189	110	308	196	112
65-74	.	.	.	121	58	63	130	72	58
75 & over	.	.	.	49	23	26	50	25	26
Age not stated	4	1	3
3. Manipur									
TOTAL									
0	.	.	.	459	242	218	275	147	128
1-4	.	.	.	1,198	602	597	1,196	625	571
5-14	.	.	.	2,262	1,119	1,143	2,557	1,270	1,287
15-24	.	.	.	1,867	837	1,029	1,699	842	856
25-34	.	.	.	1,500	722	778	1,488	739	749
35-44	.	.	.	1,164	542	621	1,119	540	579
45-54	.	.	.	810	393	417	781	369	412
55-64	.	.	.	446	217	230	500	227	273
65-74	.	.	.	222	102	119	258	110	148
75 & over	.	.	.	72	28	44	119	54	65
Age not stated	8	7	2
RURAL									
0	.	.	.	463	244	219	275	147	128
1-4	.	.	.	1,186	598	588	1,196	625	571
5-14	.	.	.	2,269	1,121	1,149	2,557	1,270	1,287
15-24	.	.	.	1,864	836	1,027	1,699	842	856
25-34	.	.	.	1,497	718	779	1,488	739	749
35-44	.	.	.	1,165	541	624	1,119	540	579
45-54	.	.	.	812	395	418	781	369	412
55-64	.	.	.	449	217	232	500	227	273
65-74	.	.	.	224	103	120	258	110	148
75 & over	.	.	.	71	28	43	119	54	65
Age not stated	8	7	2
URBAN									
0	.	.	.	105	...	105	—	—	—
1-4	.	.	.	2,526	1,053	1,474	—	—	—
5-14	.	.	.	1,474	947	526	—	—	—
15-24	.	.	.	2,211	947	1,263	—	—	—
25-34	.	.	.	1,789	1,158	632	—	—	—
35-44	.	.	.	1,053	632	421	—	—	—
45-54	.	.	.	526	210	316	—	—	—
55-64	.	.	.	211	211	...	—	—	—
65-74	—	—	—
75 & over	.	.	.	105	...	105	—	—	—
Age not stated	—	—	—

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951		
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
	1	2	3	4	5	6
4. Tripura						
TOTAL						
0	269	135	135	306	179	126
1—4	1,201	622	579	1,254	642	612
5—14	2,660	1,391	1,269	2,614	1,371	1,243
15—24	1,905	898	1,006	1,709	792	918
25—34	1,639	897	742	1,601	833	768
35—44	1,024	579	445	1,195	721	474
45—54	718	412	306	708	411	297
55—64	378	200	178	354	187	167
65—74	143	75	68	145	79	66
75 & over	63	39	24	80	43	37
Age not stated	34	7	27
RURAL						
0	278	137	141	299	177	122
1—4	1,275	667	608	1,263	646	617
5—14	2,627	1,389	1,239	2,617	1,374	1,243
15—24	1,785	790	995	1,694	777	917
25—34	1,689	905	784	1,608	834	774
35—44	1,054	599	454	1,196	721	475
45—54	691	416	275	707	408	299
55—64	396	212	183	355	190	165
65—74	137	70	68	147	81	66
75 & over	68	42	26	79	44	35
Age not stated	35	7	28
URBAN						
0	246	128	118	464	232	232
1—4	1,003	501	502	1,066	559	507
5—14	2,748	1,396	1,352	2,550	1,316	1,234
15—24	2,227	1,190	1,037	2,038	1,114	924
25—34	1,505	875	629	1,457	817	641
35—44	944	526	418	1,182	722	460
45—54	792	403	388	722	464	258
55—64	329	167	162	331	120	211
65—74	157	89	69	95	39	56
75 & over	49	30	20	95	21	73
Age not stated
III—SOUTH INDIA						
1. Madras						
TOTAL						
0	186	93	93	257	128	129
1—4	1,132	567	565	949	475	473
5—14	2,354	1,187	1,166	2,412	1,214	1,198
15—24	1,905	934	971	1,799	888	911
25—34	1,586	781	805	1,550	753	797
35—44	1,215	631	584	1,225	625	600
45—54	878	450	428	933	478	456
55—64	508	255	253	559	283	276
65—74	182	90	92	228	113	115
75 & over	54	26	29	85	39	45
Age not stated	3	2	2

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951		
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
	2	3	4	5	6	7
R U R A L						
0	197	99	97	258	129	129
1—4	1,155	574	580	961	482	479
5—14	2,423	1,221	1,203	2,433	1,225	1,209
15—24	1,799	877	922	1,757	860	897
25—34	1,568	752	816	1,536	737	799
35—44	1,221	630	591	1,230	626	604
45—54	882	451	431	941	483	458
55—64	517	267	250	566	289	276
65—74	185	95	91	231	115	116
75 & over	53	26	27	84	39	45
Age not stated	3	1	2
U R B A N						
0	166	81	85	253	125	129
1—4	1,093	554	538	899	449	450
5—14	2,234	1,130	1,104	2,322	1,169	1,153
15—24	2,084	1,031	1,054	1,972	1,003	969
25—34	1,618	831	787	1,610	818	791
35—44	1,206	632	575	1,203	620	582
45—54	872	450	422	903	457	445
55—64	494	235	259	530	259	271
65—74	176	81	94	218	105	114
75 & over	57	26	31	86	41	45
Age not stated	4	3	2
2. Travancore-Cochin						
T O T A L						
0	374	186	189	295	149	146
1—4	1,022	516	506	1,180	598	582
5—14	2,315	1,182	1,132	2,454	1,235	1,219
15—24	2,112	991	1,121	2,042	989	1,053
25—34	1,504	736	768	1,401	693	708
35—44	1,079	539	540	1,046	527	519
45—54	749	360	389	783	394	389
55—64	533	252	281	487	239	248
65—74	225	120	105	225	108	117
75 & over	87	39	48	87	40	46
Age not stated
R U R A L						
0	381	189	193	300	152	148
1—4	1,006	507	498	1,190	602	588
5—14	2,302	1,178	1,124	2,475	1,245	1,230
15—24	2,128	999	1,130	2,028	975	1,053
25—34	1,504	736	768	1,387	681	706
35—44	1,074	531	542	1,041	521	520
45—54	757	363	394	779	392	388
55—64	536	253	283	486	240	246
65—74	227	125	102	226	109	116
75 & over	85	37	48	88	41	47
Age not stated

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

Sample Census							Census, 1951		
Age Group	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1	2	3	4	5	6	7			
U R B A N									
0	336	171	166	270	137	134			
1-4	1,107	563	543	1,130	574	555			
5-14	2,381	1,206	1,175	2,342	1,181	1,161			
15-24	2,030	953	1,077	2,115	1,060	1,054			
25-34	1,504	736	768	1,472	755	717			
35-44	1,109	580	529	1,073	561	512			
45-54	704	341	363	799	406	393			
55-64	514	244	271	494	232	262			
65-74	217	95	122	223	102	121			
75 & over	98	51	46	82	39	41			
Age not stated			
3. Coorg									
TOTAL									
0	208	128	80	306	153	154			
1-4	1,426	769	657	1,089	540	549			
5-14	2,276	1,330	946	2,263	1,143	1,120			
15-24	1,683	946	737	1,934	1,063	872			
25-34	1,603	721	882	1,722	957	765			
35-44	1,394	913	481	1,232	768	463			
45-54	721	497	224	786	489	296			
55-64	401	289	112	422	229	194			
65-74	128	32	96	175	94	80			
75 & over	160	64	96	69	38	31			
Age not stated	2	1	1			
R U R A L									
0	227	124	103	310	157	154			
1-4	1,467	702	765	1,107	551	556			
5-14	2,417	1,384	1,033	2,270	1,146	1,123			
15-24	1,570	847	723	1,891	1,023	867			
25-34	1,550	641	909	1,730	960	771			
35-44	1,384	868	517	1,247	779	468			
45-54	723	496	227	779	485	294			
55-64	372	289	83	422	228	194			
65-74	145	21	124	171	94	78			
75 & over	145	41	103	72	40	31			
Age not stated	1	...	1			
U R B A N									
0	143	143	...	259	105	154			
1-4	1,286	1,000	286	858	395	463			
5-14	1,786	1,143	643	2,173	1,093	1,080			
15-24	2,071	1,286	786	2,506	1,580	926			
25-34	1,786	1,000	786	1,617	932	685			
35-44	1,429	1,071	357	1,031	623	408			
45-54	714	500	214	877	549	327			
55-64	500	286	214	426	235	192			
65-74	71	71	...	216	99	117			
75 & over	214	143	71	31	6	25			
Age not stated	6	6	...			

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951		
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
	2	3	4	5	6	7
IV—WEST INDIA						
1. Bombay						
TOTAL						
0	335	170	165	325	164	161
1—4	1,051	519	531	1,052	536	516
5—14	2,639	1,363	1,277	2,550	1,317	1,234
15—24	1,724	855	869	1,805	926	879
25—34	1,473	710	763	1,607	839	767
35—44	1,148	577	570	1,168	628	540
45—54	837	434	403	779	417	361
55—64	516	255	261	464	237	227
65—74	205	97	108	182	85	97
75 & over	72	34	39	66	31	36
Age not stated	2	1	1
RURAL						
0	340	173	167	330	167	163
1—4	1,059	525	534	1,091	556	536
5—14	2,641	1,362	1,279	2,675	1,380	1,295
15—24	1,708	846	862	1,684	824	860
25—34	1,472	712	760	1,527	746	781
35—44	1,136	567	570	1,146	587	559
45—54	843	435	407	789	409	379
55—64	522	259	263	489	247	242
65—74	207	98	109	196	90	106
75 & over	72	34	38	71	33	38
Age not stated	2	1	1
URBAN						
0	303	151	152	314	157	157
1—4	998	485	513	963	491	471
5—14	2,630	1,369	1,261	2,268	1,174	1,094
15—24	1,816	908	908	2,079	1,158	921
25—34	1,483	697	786	1,787	1,052	736
35—44	1,213	641	573	1,217	722	495
45—54	804	424	380	756	435	321
55—64	483	234	249	407	212	195
65—74	196	93	103	151	74	77
75 & over	74	30	43	56	25	31
Age not stated	2	1	1
2. Saurashtra						
TOTAL						
0	324	164	160	328	162	166
1—4	1,088	543	545	1,079	543	534
5—14	2,629	1,366	1,263	2,755	1,433	1,321
15—24	2,055	1,014	1,041	1,934	993	962
25—34	1,427	706	721	1,456	738	718
35—44	1,113	589	524	1,060	548	512
45—54	703	366	337	666	333	333
55—64	450	225	225	456	215	241
65—74	182	81	81	164	77	87
75 & over	49	24	25	67	28	39
Age not stated	15	4	11

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

					Sample Census			Census, 1951		
Age Group					Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1					2	3	4	5	6	7
RURAL										
0	356	184	172	327	166	160
1—4	1,165	558	607	1,100	554	546
5—14	2,708	1,423	1,285	2,787	1,451	1,336
15—24	1,992	980	1,011	1,911	975	936
25—34	1,379	717	662	1,461	749	712
35—44	1,101	549	553	1,048	546	503
45—54	688	369	319	657	333	324
55—64	437	226	211	458	220	238
65—74	141	65	76	169	80	89
75 & over	33	20	13	65	28	37
Age not stated	17	6	11
URBAN										
0	288	142	146	330	153	177
1—4	1,004	525	479	1,036	526	510
5—14	2,544	1,305	1,239	2,689	1,397	1,292
15—24	2,125	1,051	1,073	2,043	1,028	1,015
25—34	1,480	695	785	1,445	714	731
35—44	1,126	633	494	1,084	552	532
45—54	719	363	356	685	334	351
55—64	464	224	240	451	205	246
65—74	184	98	86	153	71	83
75 & over	66	28	38	73	28	45
Age not stated	11	1	9
3. Kutch										
TOTAL										
0	328	156	172	323	168	156
1—4	964	469	495	1,059	544	514
5—14	2,571	1,291	1,279	2,589	1,330	1,259
15—24	1,839	880	959	1,664	792	872
25—34	1,496	682	814	1,532	724	808
35—44	1,218	584	634	1,216	589	627
45—54	748	345	403	753	352	401
55—64	533	213	321	540	232	308
65—74	209	87	122	222	94	128
75 & over	94	35	59	101	35	66
Age not stated	1	...	1
RURAL										
0	347	170	178	322	165	157
1—4	994	475	519	1,076	549	527
5—14	2,586	1,313	1,273	2,602	1,346	1,255
15—24	1,736	816	920	1,609	762	848
25—34	1,483	671	812	1,533	725	808
35—44	1,197	535	662	1,228	593	635
45—54	814	375	439	751	355	396
55—64	563	239	323	544	242	302
65—74	204	94	110	233	101	132
75 & over	76	26	50	101	36	65
Age not stated	1	...	1

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951		
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
	1	2	3	4	5	6
URBAN						
0	300	137	163	327	179	148
1-4	920	460	460	986	525	461
5-14	2,549	1,260	1,289	2,536	1,260	1,276
15-24	1,986	972	1,014	1,893	919	974
25-34	1,514	697	817	1,528	719	809
35-44	1,249	654	594	1,166	573	593
45-54	654	303	352	760	339	421
55-64	491	174	317	525	191	334
65-74	217	77	140	176	64	112
75 & over	120	49	71	103	33	70
Age not stated
V—CENTRAL INDIA						
1. Madhya Pradesh						
TOTAL						
0	343	170	174	841	175	166
1-4	1,160	579	581	1,043	527	516
5-14	2,354	1,195	1,158	2,450	1,254	1,196
15-24	1,714	879	835	1,619	821	798
25-34	1,605	801	804	1,624	811	813
35-44	1,250	648	603	1,260	657	603
45-54	824	431	393	850	432	418
55-64	483	222	261	490	225	265
65-74	204	86	117	224	97	126
75 & over	63	28	35	90	41	50
Age not stated	9	5	4
RURAL						
0	361	176	185	940	173	167
1-4	1,146	576	570	1,052	531	520
5-14	2,356	1,198	1,158	2,467	1,261	1,206
15-24	1,646	833	812	1,572	787	785
25-34	1,620	803	817	1,633	809	824
35-44	1,257	644	612	1,269	658	611
45-54	837	428	410	850	427	423
55-64	502	225	277	491	225	267
65-74	212	88	124	226	97	129
75 & over	63	30	34	90	40	50
Age not stated	10	6	4
URBAN						
0	292	151	141	346	189	157
1-4	1,200	587	614	983	497	486
5-14	2,347	1,186	1,161	2,332	1,206	1,127
15-24	1,917	1,015	902	1,930	1,049	881
25-34	1,558	794	764	1,566	823	742
35-44	1,232	658	574	1,198	647	552
45-54	786	440	346	853	466	387
55-64	426	213	212	481	228	253
65-74	178	82	96	213	99	113
75 & over	64	24	40	93	42	51
Age not stated	5	3	2

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

				Sample Census			Census, 1951		
Age Group				Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1				2	3	4	5	6	7
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)									
TOTAL									
0	.	.	.	291	159	131	342	172	170
1-4	.	.	.	1,182	604	578	987	488	500
5-14	.	.	.	2,495	1,349	1,146	2,528	1,312	1,216
15-24	.	.	.	1,874	937	937	1,822	919	903
25-34	.	.	.	1,682	868	814	1,686	885	800
35-44	.	.	.	1,141	632	509	1,198	670	528
45-54	.	.	.	725	412	313	758	427	331
55-64	.	.	.	401	190	211	427	219	208
65-74	.	.	.	153	77	77	164	80	85
75 & over	.	.	.	56	23	33	70	32	38
Age not stated	18	7	10
RURAL									
0	.	.	.	340	189	151	360	179	181
1-4	.	.	.	1,201	612	589	987	484	503
5-14	.	.	.	2,548	1,381	1,167	2,548	1,324	1,224
15-24	.	.	.	1,797	907	890	1,795	903	892
25-34	.	.	.	1,684	861	823	1,693	886	807
35-44	.	.	.	1,158	625	533	1,196	666	529
45-54	.	.	.	690	391	299	758	427	331
55-64	.	.	.	382	176	206	424	220	204
65-74	.	.	.	142	68	74	162	79	83
75 & over	.	.	.	58	25	33	68	31	37
Age not stated	9	6	4
URBAN									
0	.	.	.	209	110	99	256	137	119
1-4	.	.	.	1,150	591	560	997	507	490
5-14	.	.	.	2,407	1,295	1,111	2,434	1,257	1,177
15-24	.	.	.	2,000	987	1,013	1,949	993	956
25-34	.	.	.	1,680	881	800	1,653	884	769
35-44	.	.	.	1,114	644	469	1,209	685	525
45-54	.	.	.	783	447	336	755	426	329
55-64	.	.	.	430	212	219	440	215	224
65-74	.	.	.	172	90	82	177	84	93
75 & over	.	.	.	55	20	34	80	38	42
Age not stated	50	13	37
Madhya Bharat (1953)									
TOTAL									
0	.	.	.	289	145	145	342	172	170
1-4	.	.	.	1,081	541	540	987	488	500
5-14	.	.	.	2,422	1,255	1,167	2,528	1,312	1,216
15-24	.	.	.	1,879	940	938	1,822	919	903
25-34	.	.	.	1,746	909	837	1,686	885	800
35-44	.	.	.	1,231	682	549	1,198	670	528
45-54	.	.	.	755	419	336	758	427	331
55-64	.	.	.	399	196	203	427	219	208
65-74	.	.	.	147	73	75	164	80	85
75 & over	.	.	.	51	22	28	70	32	38
Age not stated	18	7	10

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

Sample Census				Census, 1951		
Age Group	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
RURAL						
0	—	—	—	360	179	181
1—4	—	—	—	987	484	503
5—14	—	—	—	2,548	1,324	1,224
15—24	—	—	—	1,795	903	892
25—34	—	—	—	1,693	886	807
35—44	—	—	—	1,196	666	529
45—54	—	—	—	758	427	331
55—64	—	—	—	424	220	204
65—74	—	—	—	162	79	83
75 & over	—	—	—	68	31	37
Age not stated	—	—	—	9	6	4
URBAN						
0	—	—	—	256	137	119
1—4	—	—	—	997	507	490
5—14	—	—	—	2,434	1,257	1,177
15—24	—	—	—	1,949	993	956
25—34	—	—	—	1,653	884	769
35—44	—	—	—	1,209	685	525
45—54	—	—	—	755	426	329
55—64	—	—	—	440	215	224
65—74	—	—	—	177	84	93
75 & over	—	—	—	80	38	42
Age not stated	—	—	—	50	19	37
3. Vindhya Pradesh						
TOTAL						
0	154	89	65	228	110	118
1—4	832	420	412	957	490	467
5—14	1,777	936	841	2,574	1,402	1,172
15—24	1,649	845	804	1,604	858	746
25—34	1,643	835	807	1,679	869	810
35—44	1,387	716	672	1,357	706	651
45—54	1,124	586	538	857	436	422
55—64	832	421	411	462	215	247
65—74	450	221	230	184	68	115
75 & over	145	72	73	81	28	53
Age not stated	7	3	3	17	8	9
RURAL						
0	153	89	64	227	108	119
1—4	831	417	414	959	491	468
5—14	1,766	938	828	2,593	1,416	1,177
15—24	1,650	851	800	1,588	849	739
25—34	1,668	852	816	1,677	866	810
35—44	1,375	709	665	1,361	706	655
45—54	1,113	577	536	855	431	425
55—64	835	423	412	462	214	248
65—74	462	228	234	182	67	115
75 & over	140	71	69	81	27	54
Age not stated	7	4	3	15	7	8

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

				Sample Census			Census, 1951		
Age Group				Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1				2	3	4	5	6	7
URBAN									
0	.	.	.	162	90	72	245	132	113
1-4	.	.	.	836	438	398	936	480	457
5-14	.	.	.	1,843	926	916	2,360	1,244	1,116
15-24	.	.	.	1,638	808	830	1,777	950	827
25-34	.	.	.	1,488	733	755	1,710	901	809
35-44	.	.	.	1,461	752	710	1,308	705	603
45-54	.	.	.	1,190	642	548	880	494	386
55-64	.	.	.	816	412	405	466	228	238
65-74	.	.	.	382	175	207	201	85	116
75 & over	.	.	.	177	78	98	73	39	34
Age not stated	.	.	.	7	...	7	44	23	20
VI-NORTH-WEST INDIA									
1. Rajasthan									
TOTAL									
0	.	.	.	374	190	184	361	184	177
1-4	.	.	.	1,121	590	531	1,070	543	526
5-14	.	.	.	2,662	1,399	1,263	2,543	1,341	1,203
15-24	.	.	.	1,665	840	826	1,682	863	820
25-34	.	.	.	1,757	904	853	1,562	784	778
35-44	.	.	.	1,142	636	506	1,178	631	546
45-54	.	.	.	740	393	346	790	432	358
55-64	.	.	.	373	167	206	497	268	229
65-74	.	.	.	132	71	61	226	128	98
75 & over	.	.	.	34	11	23	84	47	37
Age not stated	7	4	3
RURAL									
0	.	.	.	391	201	190	368	187	181
1-4	.	.	.	1,120	595	525	1,089	550	539
5-14	.	.	.	2,733	1,445	1,288	2,585	1,363	1,222
15-24	.	.	.	1,602	806	796	1,657	853	804
25-34	.	.	.	1,778	908	869	1,562	782	780
35-44	.	.	.	1,130	625	505	1,171	631	539
45-54	.	.	.	721	388	333	777	430	347
55-64	.	.	.	369	162	208	487	260	227
65-74	.	.	.	125	68	57	217	122	95
75 & over	.	.	.	31	9	22	82	47	35
Age not stated	5	3	3
URBAN									
0	.	.	.	283	131	152	323	167	155
1-4	.	.	.	1,126	566	559	973	511	463
5-14	.	.	.	2,280	1,155	1,126	2,337	1,231	1,106
15-24	.	.	.	2,004	1,017	988	1,807	913	894
25-34	.	.	.	1,649	879	770	1,561	791	770
35-44	.	.	.	1,206	697	508	1,211	629	582
45-54	.	.	.	842	421	421	854	444	410
55-64	.	.	.	392	196	196	551	307	244
65-74	.	.	.	167	87	80	273	157	116
75 & over	.	.	.	51	22	29	95	50	45
Age not stated	15	9	6

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951		
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
	1	2	3	4	5	6
2. Punjab						
TOTAL						
0	324	174	150	446	230	216
1—4	1,261	644	617	1,301	679	623
5—14	2,386	1,282	1,103	2,472	1,302	1,170
15—24	1,945	985	961	1,733	900	833
25—34	1,480	803	677	1,400	743	657
35—44	1,061	588	473	1,013	555	457
45—54	779	452	328	770	445	325
55—64	498	285	213	502	297	205
65—74	203	126	76	245	145	100
75 & over	63	38	25	110	64	46
Age not stated	8	3	5
RURAL						
0	394	224	171	432	222	210
1—4	1,342	688	654	1,273	659	614
5—14	2,387	1,319	1,068	2,527	1,326	1,201
15—24	1,823	934	889	1,694	859	835
25—34	1,437	738	699	1,385	714	671
35—44	1,143	635	509	1,016	551	466
45—54	752	467	285	781	446	334
55—64	498	271	226	516	306	210
65—74	182	106	75	254	151	103
75 & over	42	36	6	113	65	48
Age not stated	9	3	6
URBAN						
0	267	134	134	529	278	251
1—4	1,196	609	586	1,466	790	676
5—14	2,384	1,252	1,132	2,147	1,159	988
15—24	2,045	1,026	1,019	1,960	1,140	821
25—34	1,515	856	659	1,489	913	575
35—44	994	550	444	992	582	410
45—54	802	439	362	707	437	270
55—64	498	297	202	422	247	176
65—74	220	143	77	194	111	83
75 & over	79	38	41	93	55	37
Age not stated	1	1	...
3. P. E. P. S. U.						
TOTAL						
0	417	214	203	399	215	184
1—4	1,225	613	611	1,164	602	562
5—14	2,502	1,409	1,092	2,459	1,329	1,129
15—24	1,918	999	919	1,709	906	803
25—34	1,551	828	723	1,381	738	644
35—44	981	586	394	1,048	575	473
45—54	668	404	265	820	468	352
55—64	483	267	217	528	299	229
65—74	182	119	64	315	180	135
75 & over	73	41	32	162	93	69
Age not stated	15	9	6

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

				Sample Census			Census, 1951		
Age Group				Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1				2	3	4	5	6	7
RURAL									
0	.	.	.	475	239	236	393	212	181
1-4	.	.	.	1,246	645	602	1,158	596	562
5-14	.	.	.	2,522	1,438	1,083	2,489	1,347	1,142
15-24	.	.	.	1,898	1,004	895	1,671	886	786
25-34	.	.	.	1,493	772	721	1,358	722	636
35-44	.	.	.	935	569	366	1,042	569	472
45-54	.	.	.	670	402	268	824	464	360
55-64	.	.	.	475	286	188	547	309	238
65-74	.	.	.	206	141	65	333	191	141
75 & over	.	.	.	80	40	40	170	97	73
Age not stated	15	9	7
URBAN									
0	.	.	.	320	172	148	431	230	201
1-4	.	.	.	1,188	560	628	1,193	630	563
5-14	.	.	.	2,468	1,360	1,108	2,305	1,242	1,063
15-24	.	.	.	1,951	991	960	1,899	1,010	889
25-34	.	.	.	1,649	923	726	1,501	818	683
35-44	.	.	.	1,058	616	443	1,077	603	474
45-54	.	.	.	665	406	258	797	485	312
55-64	.	.	.	498	234	265	435	248	187
65-74	.	.	.	141	80	61	225	124	101
75 & over	.	.	.	62	43	18	121	72	50
Age not stated	16	10	5
4. Bilaspur									
TOTAL									
0	.	.	.	229	121	109	355	180	176
1-4	.	.	.	929	579	350	1,151	572	579
5-14	.	.	.	2,244	1,158	1,086	1,999	1,130	870
15-24	.	.	.	2,099	1,037	1,061	1,596	784	812
25-34	.	.	.	1,520	844	675	1,336	728	608
35-44	.	.	.	953	446	507	1,029	668	361
45-54	.	.	.	880	495	386	960	613	347
55-64	.	.	.	651	410	241	813	452	360
65-74	.	.	.	338	157	181	514	225	289
75 & over	.	.	.	157	97	60	247	75	171
Age not stated
RURAL									
0	.	.	.	239	126	113	353	177	177
1-4	.	.	.	941	602	339	1,154	575	579
5-14	.	.	.	2,246	1,154	1,092	1,991	1,135	856
15-24	.	.	.	2,070	1,016	1,054	1,602	786	816
25-34	.	.	.	1,518	866	652	1,341	732	609
35-44	.	.	.	941	439	502	1,033	676	357
45-54	.	.	.	878	502	376	965	619	346
55-64	.	.	.	653	414	238	797	445	352
65-74	.	.	.	351	163	188	511	220	290
75 & over	.	.	.	163	101	63	253	77	176
Age not stated

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)**

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951		
	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
	2	3	4	5	6	7
U R B A N						
0	417	278	139
1—4	625	...	625	1,056	445	611
5—14	2,188	1,250	938	2,278	944	1,333
15—24	2,813	1,563	1,250	1,417	722	695
25—34	1,562	313	1,250	1,166	583	583
35—44	1,250	625	625	889	389	500
45—54	937	312	625	805	417	389
55—64	625	312	312	1,333	694	639
65—74	611	389	222
75 & over	28	28	...
Age not stated
5. Himachal Pradesh						
TOTAL						
0	311	163	148	365	213	153
1—4	1,124	569	555	993	391	602
5—14	2,171	1,095	1,076	2,061	1,062	999
15—24	2,028	961	1,067	1,705	901	803
25—34	1,459	717	741	1,620	838	782
35—44	1,176	631	545	1,233	644	589
45—54	712	383	330	895	497	398
55—64	588	368	220	645	353	292
65—74	335	182	153	303	164	139
75 & over	96	53	43	172	92	80
Age not stated	8	3	5
R U R A L						
0	300	160	140	369	216	153
1—4	1,116	553	563	997	394	603
5—14	2,176	1,116	1,059	2,059	1,069	990
15—24	2,021	935	1,085	1,688	895	792
25—34	1,457	724	734	1,623	840	783
35—44	1,168	631	538	1,223	641	582
45—54	734	382	351	902	501	401
55—64	604	377	227	651	358	293
65—74	331	171	160	307	167	140
75 & over	93	47	47	173	94	80
Age not stated	8	3	5
U R B A N						
0	449	192	257	277	130	146
1—4	1,218	769	449	909	319	590
5—14	2,115	833	1,282	2,102	888	1,215
15—24	2,115	1,282	833	2,118	1,042	1,076
25—34	1,474	641	833	1,549	794	755
35—44	1,282	641	641	1,460	705	755
45—54	449	385	64	729	407	321
55—64	385	257	128	486	222	264
65—74	385	321	64	211	97	115
75 & over	128	128	...	149	50	99
Age not stated	10	...	10

**Table 5—Distribution of 10,000 Persons by Age Groups
in Sample Census and Census, 1951—(concl'd.)**

Sample Census				Census, 1951		
Age Group	Persons	Males	Females	Persons	Males	Females
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
6. Ajmer						
TOTAL						
0	239	130	109	481	253	228
1-4	1,224	642	582	1,044	511	534
5-14	2,514	1,354	1,160	2,425	1,276	1,148
15-24	1,933	982	951	1,830	911	919
25-34	1,506	751	755	1,558	815	743
35-44	1,191	664	527	1,150	655	495
45-54	766	442	324	748	412	335
55-64	409	218	191	495	240	255
65-74	170	82	88	196	103	93
75 & over	48	18	30	72	36	37
Age not stated	1	1	..
RURAL						
0	341	171	171	458	234	224
1-4	1,260	604	656	1,104	562	543
5-14	2,257	1,260	997	2,480	1,288	1,192
15-24	1,929	997	932	1,731	863	868
25-34	1,509	748	761	1,536	802	734
35-44	1,168	617	551	1,152	645	506
45-54	748	420	328	730	388	342
55-64	499	236	263	523	242	281
65-74	223	131	92	220	115	105
75 & over	66	26	39	65	27	38
Age not stated	1	1	...
URBAN						
0	209	118	91	520	286	234
1-4	1,213	654	559	940	422	517
5-14	2,592	1,382	1,209	2,328	1,255	1,073
15-24	1,934	977	957	2,003	996	1,007
25-34	1,504	752	752	1,596	837	760
35-44	1,197	677	520	1,148	672	477
45-54	772	449	323	780	455	324
55-64	382	213	169	445	236	209
65-74	154	67	87	155	83	72
75 & over	43	16	28	85	52	33
Age not stated

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951	
	Married	Others		Married	Others
	1	2	3	4	5
I—NORTH INDIA					
1. Uttar Pradesh*					
TOTAL					
Under 15	—	—	—	1,351	8,649
15—19	—	—	—	8,737	1,263
20—24	—	—	—	9,217	783
25—29	—	—	—	8,252	1,748
30—34	—	—	—	6,326	3,674
35—39	—	—	—	3,272	6,728
40—44	—	—	—	3,176	6,824
45—54	—	—	—		
55 & over	—	—	—		
Age not stated	—	—	—		
RURAL					
Under 15	790	9,210		1,010	8,990
15—19	7,458	2,542		8,830	1,170
20—24	9,513	487	1,400	9,256	744
25—29	9,466	534	685	8,344	1,656
30—34	9,159	841		6,400	3,600
35—39	8,698	1,302	1,752	3,249	6,751
40—44	7,830	2,170		2,662	7,338
45—54	6,285	3,715			
55 & over	3,475	6,525			
Age not stated	5,439	4,561			
URBAN					
Under 15	—	—	—	608	9,392
15—19	—	—	—	7,882	2,118
20—24	—	—	—	9,170	830
25—29	—	—	—	8,335	1,665
30—34	—	—	—	6,381	3,619
35—39	—	—	—	3,284	6,716
40—44	—	—	—	4,636	5,364
45—54	—	—	—		
55 & over	—	—	—		
Age not stated	—	—	—		
II—EAST INDIA					
1. Bihar					
TOTAL					
Under 15	1,005	8,995		1,434	8,566
15—19	7,497	2,503		8,406	1,594
20—24	9,313	687	1,546	9,049	951
25—29	9,565	435	538	8,521	1,479
30—34	9,341	659		7,334	2,666
35—39	9,262	738	1,018	5,329	4,671
40—44	8,660	1,340		3,850	6,150
45—54	7,738	2,262			
55 & over	5,450	4,550			
Age not stated			

*34 Selected districts.

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951	
	Married	Others		Married	Others
	1	2	3	4	5
RURAL					
Under 15	1,051		8,949		
15—19	7,408	8,412	2,592	1,454	8,546
20—24	9,313		687	8,416	1,584
25—29	9,579	9,457	421		
30—34	9,312		688	9,041	959
35—39	9,191	8,862	809		
40—44	8,481		1,519	8,515	1,485
45—54	7,679		2,321	7,324	2,676
55 & over	5,533		4,467	5,352	4,648
Age not stated	3,953	6,047
URBAN					
Under 15	784		9,216		
15—19	8,117	8,745	1,883	1,150	8,850
20—24	9,314		686	8,263	1,737
25—29	9,469	9,494	531		
30—34	9,519		481	9,183	817
35—39	9,646	9,617	354		
40—44	9,584		416	8,611	1,389
45—54	8,204		1,796	7,531	2,469
55 & over	4,587		5,413	4,888	5,112
Age not stated	2,079	7,921
2. Assam					
TOTAL					
Under 15	284		9,716	277	9,723
15—19	5,429	7,058	4,571		
20—24	8,568		1,432	7,321	2,679
25—29	9,075	8,988	925		
30—34	8,879		1,121	8,819	1,181
35—39	8,476	8,091	1,524		
40—44	7,682		2,318	7,602	2,398
45—54	5,950		4,050	5,454	4,546
55 & over	3,270		6,730	2,890	7,110
Age not stated	...		10,000	1,525	8,475
RURAL					
Under 15	327		9,673	278	9,722
15—19	6,005	7,629	3,995		
20—24	9,063		937	7,376	2,624
25—29	9,296	9,174	704		
30—34	9,022		978	8,831	1,169
35—39	8,635	8,204	1,365		
40—44	7,749		2,251	7,610	2,390
45—54	6,075		3,925	5,477	4,523
55 & over	3,534		6,466	2,924	7,076
Age not stated	...		10,000	1,556	8,444

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

Age Group	Sample Census		Census, 1951	
	Married	Others	Married	Others
	1	2	3	4
URBAN				
Under 15	84	9,916	268	9,732
15—19	3,629	6,371	6,072	3,928
20—24	6,646	3,354	8,475	1,525
25—29	8,240	1,760	7,368	2,632
30—34	8,325	1,675	4,787	5,213
35—39	7,826	2,174	1,893	8,107
40—44	7,402	2,598	...	10,000
45—54	5,449	4,551		
55 & over	2,154	7,846		
Age not stated		
3. Manipur				
TOTAL				
Under 15	66	9,934	42	9,958
15—19	4,091	5,909	4,701	5,299
20—24	7,913	2,087	8,079	1,921
25—29	8,074	1,926	7,120	2,880
30—34	8,148	1,852	6,366	3,634
35—39	7,719	2,281	4,325	5,675
40—44	7,049	2,951	3,333	6,667
45—54	5,919	4,081		
55 & over	3,367	6,633		
Age not stated		
RURAL				
Under 15	67	9,933	42	9,958
15—19	4,083	5,917	4,701	5,299
20—24	7,901	2,099	8,079	1,921
25—29	8,080	1,920	7,120	2,880
30—34	8,138	1,862	6,366	3,634
35—39	7,712	2,288	4,325	5,675
40—44	7,020	2,980	3,333	6,667
45—54	5,962	4,038		
55 & over	3,376	6,624		
Age not stated		
URBAN				
Under 15	...	10,000	—	—
15—19	5,000	5,000	—	—
20—24	8,750	1,250	—	—
25—29	7,500	2,500	—	—
30—34	10,000	...	—	—
35—39	10,000	...	—	—
40—44	10,000	...	—	—
45—54	...	10,000	—	—
55 & over	...	10,000	—	—
Age not stated	—	—

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

						Sample Census		Census, 1951	
Age Group						Married	Others	Married	Others
1						2	3	4	5
4. Tripura									
TOTAL									
Under 15	666	9,334	701	9,299
15—19	6,511	3,489	8,015	1,985
20—24	8,923	1,077	2,241	1,158
25—29	9,032	968		
30—34	8,984	1,016	8,842	1,158
35—39	7,709	2,291	7,625	2,375
40—44	5,844	4,156		
45—54	4,541	5,459	5,627	4,373
55 & over	2,871	7,129	2,618	7,382
Age not stated	6,345	3,655
RURAL									
Under 15	793	9,207	721	9,279
15—19	7,490	2,510	8,058	1,942
20—24	9,088	912		
25—29	9,073	927	8,841	1,159
30—34	9,056	944		
35—39	7,955	2,045	7,677	2,323
40—44	5,862	4,138		
45—54	4,467	5,533	5,671	4,329
55 & over	3,113	6,887	2,645	7,355
Age not stated	6,345	3,655
URBAN									
Under 15	324	9,676	261	9,739
15—19	4,444	5,556	7,070	2,930
20—24	8,404	1,596		
25—29	8,871	1,129	8,859	1,141
30—34	8,788	1,212		
35—39	7,021	2,979	6,449	3,551
40—44	5,789	4,211		
45—54	4,684	5,316	4,500	5,500
55 & over	2,157	7,843	2,152	7,848
Age not stated
III—SOUTH INDIA									
1. Madras									
TOTAL									
Under 15	271	9,729	351	9,649
15—19	5,571	4,429	6,987	3,013
20—24	8,800	1,200		
25—29	9,215	785	8,647	1,353
30—34	8,817	1,183		
35—39	8,419	1,581	7,521	2,479
40—44	7,496	2,504		
45—54	5,900	4,100	5,601	4,399
55 & over	3,671	6,329	2,902	7,098
Age not stated	3,080	6,920

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

						Sample Census		Census, 1951	
Age Group						Married	Others	Married	Others
1						2	3	4	5
RURAL									
Under 15	308	9,692		
15--19	5,902	4,098	381	9,619
20--24	8,953	1,047	7,046	2,954
25--29	9,310	690		
30--34	8,825	1,175	8,649	1,351
35--39	8,381	1,619		
40--44	7,482	2,518	7,537	2,463
45--54	5,982	4,018	5,638	4,362
55 & over	3,669	6,331	2,883	7,117
Age not stated	2,534	7,466
URBAN									
Under 15	201	9,799	225	9,775
15--19	5,059	4,941		
20--24	8,579	1,421	6,762	3,238
25--29	9,053	947		
30--34	8,801	1,199	8,640	1,360
35--39	8,485	1,515		
40--44	7,520	2,480	7,454	2,546
45--54	5,758	4,242	5,444	4,556
55 & over	3,673	6,327	2,983	7,017
Age not stated	5,164	4,836
2. Travancore-Cochin									
TOTAL									
Under 15	15	9,985	8	9,992
15--19	2,452	7,548		
20--24	6,984	3,016	5,132	4,868
25--29	8,434	1,566		
30--34	8,354	1,646	8,641	1,359
35--39	8,586	1,414		
40--44	7,091	2,909	7,973	2,027
45--54	6,307	3,693	6,359	3,641
55 & over	3,475	6,525	3,265	6,735
Age not stated	1,429	8,571
RURAL									
Under 15	15	9,985	7	9,993
15--19	2,571	7,429		
20--24	7,062	2,938	5,160	4,840
25--29	8,475	1,525		
30--34	8,289	1,711	8,682	1,318
35--39	8,598	1,402		
40--44	7,000	3,000	8,016	1,984
45--54	6,271	3,729	6,439	3,561
55 & over	3,514	6,486	3,363	6,637
Age not stated	2,000	8,000

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

						Sample Census		Census, 1951	
Age Group						Married	Others	Married	Others
1						2	3	4	5
U R B A N									
Under 15	13	9,987	11	9,989
15—19	1,806	8,194		
20—24	6,558	3,442	4,986	5,014
25—29	8,212	1,788		
30—34	8,676	1,324	8,433	1,567
35—39	8,525	1,475		
40—44	7,579	2,421	7,741	2,259
45—54	6,510	3,490	5,942	4,058
55 & over	3,278	6,722	2,771	7,229
Age not stated	10,000
3. Coorg									
TOTAL									
Under 15	95	9,905	93	9,907
15—19	1,667	8,333		
20—24	7,143	2,857	6,149	3,851
25—29	9,600	400		
30—34	9,000	1,000	9,309	691
35—39	9,474	526		
40—44	10,000	...	7,815	2,185
45—54	7,143	2,857	5,405	4,595
55 & over	5,263	4,737	2,489	7,511
Age not stated	10,000	...
R U R A L									
Under 15	10,000	92	9,908
15—19	1,333	8,667		
20—24	7,000	3,000	6,183	3,817
25—29	9,583	417		
30—34	8,500	1,500	9,342	658
35—39	9,412	588		
40—44	10,000	...	7,831	2,169
45—54	6,364	3,636	5,527	4,473
55 & over	5,333	4,667	2,419	7,581
Age not stated	10,000	...
U R B A N									
Under 15	769	9,231	109	9,891
15—19	3,333	6,667		
20—24	7,500	2,500	5,733	4,267
25—29	10,000	...		
30—34	10,000	...	8,829	1,171
35—39	10,000	...		
40—44	10,000	...	7,576	2,424
45—54	10,000	...	3,962	6,038
55 & over	5,000	5,000	3,333	6,667
Age not stated

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951	
	Married	Others		Married	Others
	1	2	3	4	5
IV—WEST INDIA					
1. Bombay					
TOTAL					
Under 15	346	9,654		705	9,295
15—19	6,583	3,417	2,066		
20—24	9,304	696		8,154	1,846
25—29	9,417	583	677		
30—34	9,215	785		8,952	1,048
35—39	8,778	1,222	1,528		
40—44	8,135	1,865		7,701	2,499
45—54	6,919	3,081		5,360	4,640
55 & over	4,187	5,813		2,196	7,804
Age not stated		3,291	6,709
RURAL					
Under 15	380	9,620		822	9,178
15—19	6,787	3,213	1,895		
20—24	9,417	583		8,446	1,554
25—29	9,444	556	664		
30—34	9,213	787		9,085	915
35—39	8,805	1,195	1,507		
40—44	8,151	1,849		7,806	2,194
45—54	6,966	3,034		5,533	4,467
55 & over	4,177	5,823		2,262	7,738
Age not stated		2,810	7,190
URBAN					
Under 15	141	9,859		397	9,603
15—19	5,510	4,490	3,014		
20—24	8,636	1,364		7,534	2,466
25—29	9,267	733	750		
30—34	9,230	770		8,630	1,370
35—39	8,625	1,375	1,648		
40—44	8,035	1,965		7,431	2,569
45—54	6,622	3,378		4,898	5,102
55 & over	4,247	5,753		2,005	7,995
Age not stated		4,865	5,135
2. Saurashtra					
TOTAL					
Under 15	217	9,783		577	9,423
15—19	5,891	4,109	2,582		
20—24	9,146	854		7,613	2,387
25—29	9,446	554	634		
30—34	9,267	733		9,206	794
35—39	9,007	993	1,638		
40—44	7,633	2,367		7,834	2,166
45—54	6,582	3,418		5,709	4,291
55 & over	4,468	5,532		2,732	7,268
Age not stated		208	9,792

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

					Sample Census		Census, 1951	
Age Group					Married	Others	Married	Others
1					2	3	4	5
R U R A L								
Under 15	349	9,651	689	9,311
15—19	6,756	3,244		
20—24	9,369	631	7,872	2,128
25—29	9,564	436		
30—34	9,348	652	9,342	658
35—39	9,241	759		
40—44	8,051	1,949	8,077	1,923
45—54	7,259	2,741	6,132	3,868
55 & over	5,032	4,968	3,010	6,990
Age not stated	96	9,904
U R B A N								
Under 15	56	9,944	341	9,659
15—19	4,991	5,009		
20—24	8,919	1,081	7,122	2,878
25—29	9,344	656		
30—34	9,185	815	8,933	1,067
35—39	8,725	1,275		
40—44	7,110	2,890	7,362	2,638
45—54	5,917	4,083	4,906	5,094
55 & over	3,960	6,040	2,174	7,826
Age not stated	496	9,504
3. Kutch								
T O T A L								
Under 15	652	9,348	677	9,323
15—19	5,792	4,208		
20—24	9,037	963	7,135	2,865
25—29	9,304	696		
30—34	8,802	1,198	8,947	1,053
35—39	8,212	1,788		
40—44	7,293	2,707	7,171	2,829
45—54	6,093	3,907	5,208	4,792
55 & over	2,646	7,354	2,370	7,630
Age not stated	10,000
R U R A L								
Under 15	770	9,230	759	9,241
15—19	6,850	3,150		
20—24	9,469	531	7,211	2,789
25—29	9,563	437		
30—34	8,806	1,194	9,011	989
35—39	8,118	1,882		
40—44	7,716	2,284	7,215	2,785
45—54	6,682	3,318	5,342	4,658
55 & over	2,438	7,562	2,265	7,735
Age not stated	10,000

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

						Sample Census		Census, 1951	
Age Group						Married	Others	Married	Others
1						2	3	4	5
U R B A N									
Under 15	478	9,522	326	9,674
15—19	4,362	5,638		
20—24	8,503	1,497	6,858	3,142
25—29	8,954	1,046		
30—34	8,797	1,203	8,677	1,323
35—39	8,365	1,635		
40—44	6,635	3,365	6,970	3,030
45—54	5,041	4,959	4,680	5,320
55 & over	2,919	7,081	2,798	7,202
Age not stated
V—CENTRAL INDIA									
1. Madhya Pradesh									
TOTAL									
Under 15	893	9,107	1,017	8,983
15—19	8,015	1,985		
20—24	9,398	602	8,331	1,669
25—29	9,313	687		
30—34	8,940	1,060	8,862	1,138
35—39	8,152	1,848		
40—44	7,332	2,668	8,041	1,959
45—54	5,315	4,685	5,991	4,009
55 & over	2,500	7,500	3,149	6,851
Age not stated	5,759	4,241
R U R A L									
Under 15	1,060	8,940	1,077	8,923
15—19	8,366	1,634		
20—24	9,516	484	8,429	1,571
25—29	9,319	681		
30—34	8,958	1,042	8,842	1,158
35—39	8,112	1,888		
40—44	7,352	2,648	8,052	1,948
45—54	5,355	4,645	6,010	3,990
55 & over	2,555	7,445	3,161	6,839
Age not stated	5,810	4,190
U R B A N									
Under 15	402	9,598	595	9,405
15—19	7,106	2,894		
20—24	9,075	925	7,760	2,240
25—29	9,292	708		
30—34	8,881	1,119	9,010	990
35—39	8,285	1,715		
40—44	7,271	2,729	7,960	2,040
45—54	5,174	4,826	5,857	4,143
55 & over	2,297	7,703	3,068	6,932
Age not stated	5,088	4,912

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951	
	Married	Others		Married	Others
	1	2	3	4	5
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)					
TOTAL					
Under 15	856		9,144		
15—19	8,543	8,985	1,457	1,161	8,839
20—24	9,443		557	9,136	864
25—29	9,381	9,174	619		
30—34	8,928		1,072	9,097	903
35—39	8,253	7,489	1,747		
40—44	6,679		3,321	7,635	2,365
45—54	5,043		4,957	5,207	4,793
55 & over	1,846		8,154	2,596	7,404
Age not stated	652	9,348
RURAL					
Under 15	1,171		8,829	1,251	8,749
15—19	9,155	9,361	845		
20—24	9,573		427	9,283	717
25—29	9,485	9,072	515		
30—34	8,580		1,420	9,047	953
35—39	7,904	7,243	2,096		
40—44	6,483		3,517	7,560	2,440
45—54	5,012		4,988	5,180	4,820
55 & over	2,079		7,921	2,715	7,285
Age not stated	1,352	8,648
URBAN					
Under 15	296		9,704	708	9,292
15—19	7,666	8,439	2,334		
20—24	9,253		747	8,490	1,510
25—29	9,203	9,346	797		
30—34	9,515		485	9,340	660
35—39	8,989	7,949	1,011		
40—44	7,005		2,995	7,996	2,004
45—54	5,088		4,912	5,338	4,662
55 & over	1,489		8,511	2,091	7,909
Age not stated	316	9,684
Madhya Bharat (1953)					
TOTAL					
Under 15	753		9,247	1,161	8,839
15—19	7,522	8,469	2,478		
20—24	9,273		727	9,136	864
25—29	9,408	9,215	592		
30—34	9,009		991	9,097	903
35—39	8,287	7,657	1,713		
40—44	7,017		2,983	7,635	2,365
45—54	5,066		4,934	5,207	4,793
55 & over	2,368		7,632	2,596	7,404
Age not stated	652	9,348

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

Age Group	Sample Census		Census, 1951	
	Married	Others	Married	Others
	1	2	3	4
RURAL				
Under 15	—	—	1,251	8,749
15—19	—	—	9,283	717
20—24	—	—	9,047	953
25—29	—	—	7,560	2,440
30—34	—	—	5,180	4,820
35—39	—	—	2,715	7,285
40—44	—	—	1,352	8,648
45—54	—	—		
55 & over	—	—		
Age not stated	—	—		
URBAN				
Under 15	—	—	708	9,292
15—19	—	—	8,490	1,510
20—24	—	—	9,340	660
25—29	—	—	7,996	2,004
30—34	—	—	5,338	4,662
35—39	—	—	2,091	7,909
40—44	—	—	316	9,684
45—54	—	—		
55 & over	—	—		
Age not stated	—	—		
3. Vindhya Pradesh				
TOTAL				
Under 15	1,699	8,301	2,061	7,939
15—19	8,065	1,935	8,667	1,333
20—24	9,109	891	8,769	1,231
25—29	9,224	776	7,920	2,080
30—34	9,091	909	6,459	3,541
35—39	8,481	1,519	4,017	5,983
40—44	7,824	2,176	1,567	8,433
45—54	7,598	2,402		
55 & over	4,944	5,056		
Age not stated	1,429	8,571		
RURAL				
Under 15	1,773	8,227	2,123	7,877
15—19	8,088	1,912	8,650	1,350
20—24	9,145	855	8,765	1,235
25—29	9,213	787	7,939	2,061
30—34	9,060	940	4,533	3,467
35—39	8,573	1,427	4,048	5,952
40—44	7,891	2,109	1,595	8,405
45—54	7,659	2,341		
55 & over	4,989	5,011		
Age not stated	2,000	8,000		

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

						Sample Census		Census, 1951	
Age Group						Married	Others	Married	Others
1						2	3	4	5
U R B A N									
Under 15	1,274	8,726	1,360	8,640
15—19	7,917	2,083		
20—24	8,915	1,085	8,825	1,175
25—29	9,295	705		
30—34	9,292	708	8,812	1,188
35—39	7,991	2,009		
40—44	7,411	2,589	7,697	2,303
45—54	7,234	2,766	5,586	4,414
55 & over	4,671	5,329	3,648	6,352
Age not stated	10,000	1,452	8,548
IV—NORTH-WEST INDIA									
1. Rajasthan									
TOTAL									
Under 15	925	9,075	1,122	8,878
15—19	8,964	1,036		
20—24	9,583	417	8,711	1,289
25—29	9,505	495		
30—34	9,161	839	9,094	906
35—39	8,241	1,759		
40—44	6,504	3,496	8,145	1,855
45—54	4,224	5,776	6,467	3,533
55 & over	1,818	8,182	3,825	6,175
Age not stated	4,322	5,678
R U R A L									
Under 15	962	9,038	1,110	8,890
15—19	9,217	783		
20—24	9,541	459	8,733	1,267
25—29	9,564	436		
30—34	9,084	916	9,054	946
35—39	8,197	1,803		
40—44	6,561	3,439	8,074	1,926
45—54	4,204	5,796	6,389	3,611
55 & over	1,706	8,294	3,764	6,236
Age not stated	4,880	5,120
U R B A N									
Under 15	711	9,289	1,188	8,812
15—19	7,719	2,281		
20—24	9,747	253	8,515	1,385
25—29	9,123	877		
30—34	9,592	408	9,295	705
35—39	8,485	1,515		
40—44	6,216	3,784	8,469	1,531
45—54	4,310	5,690	6,791	3,209
55 & over	2,381	7,619	4,091	5,909
Age not stated	3,000	7,000

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951	
	Married	Others		Married	Others
	2	3		4	5
2. Punjab					
TOTAL					
Under 15	388	9,612		458	9,542
15—19	6,230	3,770	2,148		
20—24	9,391	609		7,850	2,150
25—29	9,749	251	499		
30—34	9,237	763		9,160	840
35—39	8,750	1,250	1,429		
40—44	8,402	1,598		8,272	1,728
45—54	6,298	3,702		6,860	3,140
55 & over	3,506	6,494		4,104	5,896
Age not stated		4,011	5,989
RURAL					
Under 15	620	9,380		489	9,511
15—19	7,603	2,397	1,289		
20—24	9,651	349		8,035	1,965
25—29	9,739	261	440		
30—34	9,407	593		9,175	825
35—39	8,632	1,368	1,319		
40—44	8,736	1,264		8,258	1,742
45—54	6,471	3,529		6,862	3,138
55 & over	3,364	6,636		4,121	5,879
Age not stated		4,060	5,940
URBAN					
Under 15	196	9,804		267	9,733
15—19	5,351	4,649	2,756		
20—24	9,189	811		6,755	3,245
25—29	9,756	244	550		
30—34	9,055	945		9,057	943
35—39	8,876	1,124	1,531		
40—44	8,131	1,869		8,361 *	1,639
45—54	6,188	3,812		6,849	3,151
55 & over	3,617	6,383		3,986	6,014
Age not stated		1,111	8,889
3. P. E. P. S. U.					
TOTAL					
Under 15	502	9,498		370	9,630
15—19	7,441	2,559	1,638		
20—24	9,375	625		7,298	2,762
25—29	9,636	364	410		
30—34	9,539	461		9,260	740
35—39	8,387	1,613	1,908		
40—44	7,750	2,250		8,832	1,168
45—54	6,034	3,966		7,882	2,118
55 & over	4,015	5,985		5,426	4,574
Age not stated		1,176	8,824

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

						Sample Census		Census, 1951	
Age Group						Married	Others	Married	Others
1						2	3	4	5
RURAL									
Under 15	623	9,377	404	9,596
15—19	8,235	1,765	7,273	2,727
20—24	9,279	721	9,249	751
25—29	9,592	408	8,848	1,152
30—34	9,802	198	7,989	2,011
35—39	8,136	1,864	5,523	4,477
40—44	8,095	1,905	1,067	8,933
45—54	6,757	3,243		
55 & over	4,074	5,926		
Age not stated		
URBAN									
Under 15	294	9,706	194	9,806
15—19	6,000	4,000	7,080	2,920
20—24	9,506	494	9,312	688
25—29	9,701	299	8,752	1,248
30—34	9,020	980	7,256	2,744
35—39	8,824	1,176	4,764	5,236
40—44	7,368	2,632	1,923	8,077
45—54	4,762	5,238		
55 & over	3,929	6,071		
Age not stated		
4. Bilaspur									
TOTAL									
Under 15	156	9,844	5	9,995
15—19	7,955	2,045	5,049	4,951
20—24	9,773	227	9,190	810
25—29	9,459	541	8,656	1,344
30—34	9,474	526	7,803	2,197
35—39	9,444	556	4,636	5,364
40—44	8,333	1,667
45—54	5,625	4,375		
55 & over	2,500	7,500		
Age not stated		
RURAL									
Under 15	163	9,837	5	9,995
15—19	8,537	1,463	5,045	4,955
20—24	9,767	233	9,194	806
25—29	9,412	588	8,784	1,216
30—34	9,444	556	7,849	2,151
35—39	9,412	588	4,700	5,300
40—44	8,261	1,739
45—54	6,000	4,000		
55 & over	2,564	7,436		
Age not stated		

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each Age Group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(contd.)

						Sample Census		Census, 1951	
Age Group						Married	Others	Married	Others
1						2	3	4	5
U R B A N									
Under 15	10,000	...	10,000
15—19	10,000	10,000	...	4,800
20—24	10,000	...	5,200	...
25—29	10,000	952
30—34	10,000	...	9,048	...
35—39	10,000	...	5,556	4,444
40—44	10,000	...	6,429	3,571
45—54	10,000	2,581	7,419
55 & over
Age not stated
5. Himachal Pradesh									
TOTAL									
Under 15	215	9,785	321	9,679
15—19	8,333	1,667	8,210	1,790
20—24	9,223	777	9,153	847
25—29	9,512	488	8,767	1,233
30—34	9,315	685	7,311	2,689
35—39	8,636	1,364	5,321	4,679
40—44	7,292	2,708	6,939	3,061
45—54	7,536	2,464
55 & over	2,874	7,126
Age not stated
R U R A L									
Under 15	235	9,765	324	9,676
15—19	8,435	1,565	8,270	1,730
20—24	9,263	737	9,162	838
25—29	9,459	541	8,851	1,149
30—34	9,412	588	7,382	2,618
35—39	8,548	1,452	5,422	4,578
40—44	7,381	2,619	7,556	2,444
45—54	7,647	2,353
55 & over	2,738	7,262
Age not stated
U R B A N									
Under 15	10,000	241	9,759
15—19	6,000	4,000	7,112	2,888
20—24	8,750	1,250	8,927	1,073
25—29	10,000	...	7,163	2,837
30—34	8,000	2,000	5,122	4,878
35—39	10,000	...	2,623	7,377
40—44	6,667	3,333	...	10,000
45—54	10,000
55 & over	6,667	3,333
Age not stated

Table 6—Distribution of 10,000 Females of each age group according to Marital Status at the Sample Census and Census, 1951—(concl'd.)

Age Group	Sample Census			Census, 1951	
	Married	Others		Married	Others
	1	2	3	4	5

6. Ajmer

TOTAL

Under 15	524		9,476		
15—19	7,439	8,471	2,561	1,621	8,379
20—24	9,600		400	8,964	1,036
25—29	9,773	9,438	227		
30—34	9,060		940	9,390	610
35—39	8,780	8,276	1,220		
40—44	7,826		2,174	7,807	2,193
45—54	5,047		4,953	5,738	4,262
55 & over	2,549		7,451	2,964	7,036
Age not stated

RURAL

Under 15	791		9,209		
15—19	8,864	9,296	1,136	2,138	7,862
20—24	10,000		...	9,299	701
25—29	10,000	9,828	...		
30—34	9,667		333	9,416	584
35—39	8,636	8,571	1,364		
40—44	8,500		1,500	7,578	2,422
45—54	4,400		5,600	5,486	4,514
55 & over	4,667		5,333	2,874	7,126
Age not stated

URBAN

Under 15	445		9,555	658	9,342
15—19	6,917	8,230	3,083		
20—24	9,512		488	8,463	1,537
25—29	9,712	9,319	288		
30—34	8,851		1,149	9,346	654
35—39	8,833	8,182	1,167		
40—44	7,639		2,361	8,229	1,771
45—54	5,244		4,756	6,201	3,799
55 & over	1,667		8,333	3,175	6,825
Age not stated

Table 7—Sex Ratio at the Sample Census and 1951 Census

State	NUMBER OF FEMALES PER 1,000 MALES					
	Total		Rural		Urban	
	Sample Census	Census, 1951	Sample Census	Census, 1951	Sample Census	Census, 1951
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	910	869	925	—	820
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	940	989	943	1,000	927	845
2. Assam	865	879	884	890	796	683
3. Manipur	1,082	1,036	1,033	1,039	939	633
4. Tripura	905	904	913	909	885	836
III—South India—						
1. Madras	994	1,006	1,003	1,011	980	989
2. Travancore-Cochin	1,032	1,008	1,083	1,014	1,024	981
3. Coorg	758	830	847	837	505	750
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	994	932	996	988	987	818
2. Saurashtra	969	975	964	963	975	999
3. Kutch	1,109	1,079	1,122	1,085	1,091	1,057
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	985	993	1,000	1,004	942	925
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	904	925	910	929	895	905
Madhya Bharat (1953)	930	925	—	929	—	905
3. Vindhya Pradesh	944	950	938	953	979	920
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan	923	921	920	918	934	932
2. Punjab	860	863	846	876	871	809
3. P.E.P.S.U.	825	844	806	849	857	822
4. Bilaspur	871	948	858	952	1,286	826
5. Himachal Pradesh	953	910	962	918	835	758
6. Ajmer	893	925	919	950	885	892

Table 8—Birth Rates

(For computation of birth rate, by the Census Actuary, see Census of India Paper No. 6, 1954—*Estimation of birth and death rates in India during 1941-50-1951 Census*)

State		Year	Birth rate (registered)	Number of un- registered births per 100 registered as verified at the Sample Census	Birth rate (registered) corrected for omissions shown in column 4	Birth rate (Sample Census)	Birth rate (Census Actuary) 1941-50
			Rate				
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	T	—	—	—	—	—	38.6
	R	1953	15.3	41.9	21.7	26.4	—
	U	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	T	1952	18.8	508.2	114.3*	33.9	39.0
	R	1952	19.5	496.3	116.3*	34.6	—
	U	1952	9.4	650.0	70.5*	30.1	—
2. Assam	T	1952	14.9	64.2	24.5	34.8	46.7 ¹
	R	1952	14.8	65.0	24.4	39.3	—
	U	1952	16.7	57.1	26.2	16.9	—
3. Manipur	T	—	—	—	—	44.4	46.7 ²
	R	—	—	—	—	44.8	—
	U	—	—	—	—	—	—
4. Tripura	T	—	—	—	—	24.4	—
	R	—	—	—	—	24.6	—
	U	—	—	—	—	24.1	—
III—South India—							
1. Madras	T	1951-52	30.4	11.1	33.8	18.6	35.7
	R	1951-52	28.8	11.4	32.1	19.8	(34.0)
	U	1951-52	37.3	10.5	41.2	16.6	—
2. Travancore-Cochin	T	1952	24.2	342.7	107.1*	39.8	37.4
	R	1952	22.6	409.8	115.2*	40.9	(35.4)
	U	1952	37.3	143.6	90.9*	34.1	—
3. Coorg	T	—	—	18.2	—	20.8	38.7 ¹
	R	—	—	—	—	22.7	—
	U	—	—	—	—	14.3	—
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	T	1952	33.3	17.7	39.2	34.8	41.0
	R	1952	34.3	16.3	39.9	35.3	(38.9)
	U	1952	31.0	27.7	39.6	31.9	—
2. Saurashtra	T	—	—	88.9	—	34.6	42.2 ³
	R	—	—	66.2	—	38.0	(39.1)
	U	—	—	132.5	—	30.9	—
3. Kutch	T	—	—	55.5	—	35.2	42.2 ⁴
	R	—	—	53.1	—	37.7	(39.1)
	U	—	—	59.4	—	31.7	—

* Rates are too high due to the highly inflated figures of percentage omissions in registered births as verified at the Sample Census.

† Calculated on other bases explained in Paras (4.10) to (4.13) of Census of India, Paper No. 6, 1954.

¹ Including Manipur

² Including Assam

³ Including Kutch

⁴ Including Saurashtra

Table 8—Birth Rates—(concl'd.)

(For computation of birth rate, by the Census Actuary, see Census of India Paper No. 6, 1954—*Estimation of birth and death rates in India during 1941—50—1951 Census*)

State		Year	Birth rate (registered)		Number of un- registered births per 100 registered as verified at the Sample Census	Birth rate (registered) corrected for omissions shown in column 4	Birth rate (Sample Census)	Birth rate (Census Actuary) 1941—50
			Rate	Rate				
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	
V—Central India—								
1. Madhya Pradesh	T	1952	33.5	10.3	37.0	38.1	46.1	
	R	1952	34.1	6.6	36.4	40.9	—	
	U	1952	30.6	28.8	39.4	30.0	—	
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	T	1952	10.4	225.9	33.9	33.0	44.2 ⁵	
	R	1952	8.5	343.1	37.7	38.8	—	
	U	1952	19.0	88.5	35.8	23.5	—	
Madhya Bharat (1953)	T	—	—	143.1	—	30.6	44.2 ⁵	
	R	—	—	187.6	—	34.9	—	
	U	—	—	32.1	—	20.2	—	
3. Vindhya Pradesh	T	—	—	214.4	—	16.3	44.2 ⁶	
	R	—	—	227.8	—	16.1	—	
	U	—	—	156.8	—	17.0	—	
VI—North-West India—								
1. Rajasthan	T	—	—	272.6	—	38.7	42.5	
	R	—	—	402.1	—	40.5	—	
	U	—	—	38.5	—	29.0	—	
2. Punjab	T	1952	41.5	14.5	47.5	32.4	41.2 (36.6)	
	R	1952	43.4	14.9	49.9	39.4	—	
	U	1952	33.3	14.0	38.0	26.7	—	
3. P.E.P.S.U.	T	—	—	64.6	—	41.7	41.5 ⁷	
	R	—	—	73.2	—	47.5	—	
	U	—	—	42.9	—	32.0	—	
4. Bilaspur	T	1952	34.9	...	34.9	22.9	41.5 ⁸	
	R	1952	35.1	...	35.1	23.8	—	
	U	1952	29.4	...	29.4	—	—	
5. Himachal Pradesh	T	1952	19.3	26.5	24.4	31.1	41.5 ⁹	
	R	1952	19.4	21.7	23.6	30.0	—	
	U	1952	16.9	100.0	33.8	44.9	—	
6. Ajmer	T	1952	21.8	45.1	31.6	25.7	45.0	
	R	1952	20.5	53.8	31.5	34.1	—	
	U	1952	23.7	42.1	33.7	23.2	—	

⁵ Including Vindhya Pradesh and Bhopal

⁶ Including Madhya Bharat and Bhopal

⁷ Including Himachal Pradesh and Bilaspur

⁸ Including Himachal Pradesh and P.E.P.S.U.

⁹ Including Bilaspur and P.E.P.S.U.

Table 9—Average Maternal Age at the Various Birth Orders

(Arithmetic average of maternal age for each birth order)

State		BIRTH ORDER						
		All orders	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7
I—North India—								
1. Uttar Pradesh	T	28.60	22.13	25.04	28.54	30.91	33.43	34.77
	R	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	U	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—								
1. Bihar	T	27.91	22.84	24.32	28.52	30.92	32.94	34.15
	R	28.21	23.07	24.93	28.87	31.17	32.86	33.80
	U	25.89	20.74	21.26	26.34	29.46	33.42	36.82
2. Assam	T	25.94	21.41	22.58	25.45	28.11	30.85	32.56
	R	25.90	21.29	22.64	25.33	28.30	30.87	32.88
	U	26.27	22.26	21.76	26.88	25.83	30.77	36.81
3. Manipur	T	27.17	22.92	24.36	27.31	31.23	30.21	35.22
	R	27.17	22.92	24.36	27.31	31.23	30.21	35.22
	U	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
4. Tripura	T	25.06	20.50	22.09	24.06	26.67	31.11	31.43
	R	24.66	20.33	21.67	24.35	26.84	31.43	32.86
	U	26.12	21.00	24.29	23.33	26.00	30.00	30.00
III—South India—								
1. Madras	T	27.04	21.69	24.01	26.79	29.75	31.54	33.22
	R	27.32	21.75	24.70	27.21	30.66	32.10	33.81
	U	26.46	21.53	22.60	25.91	27.96	30.51	31.93
2. Travancore-Cochin	T	27.44	21.43	23.60	26.37	30.32	31.36	33.94
	R	27.43	21.29	23.70	26.36	30.74	31.49	34.26
	U	27.50	22.59	22.92	26.40	27.78	30.63	32.00
3. Coorg	T	27.69	20.00	26.67	28.00	30.00	30.00	—
	R	29.09	—	30.00	28.00	30.00	30.00	—
	U	20.00	20.00	20.00	—	—	—	—
IV—West India—								
1. Bombay	T	27.75	21.77	23.94	26.86	29.30	31.80	32.94
	R	27.82	21.90	24.08	26.99	29.23	31.83	33.19
	U	27.32	20.86	22.92	26.02	29.71	31.57	31.02
2. Saurashtra	T	27.89	21.30	23.72	27.41	28.62	31.85	34.18
	R	28.42	21.82	23.92	28.00	29.30	32.59	34.21
	U	27.19	20.83	23.33	26.34	27.84	30.37	34.12
3. Kutch	T	28.19	22.83	23.93	26.91	30.45	29.57	33.75
	R	28.88	23.41	24.52	28.06	31.43	33.08	34.12
	U	27.03	20.00	23.20	25.42	28.75	25.00	32.86

Table 9—Average Maternal Age at the Various Birth Orders—(concl'd.)*(Arithmetic average of maternal age for each birth order)*

BIRTH ORDER										
State				All orders	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th
1				2	3	4	5	6	7	8
V—Central India—										
1. Madhya Pradesh	.	.	T	27.21	21.06	23.31	26.03	29.13	30.84	33.58
			R	27.24	21.19	23.37	26.17	29.41	30.68	33.55
			U	27.06	20.50	23.03	25.45	28.14	31.36	33.68
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	.	.	T	26.75	21.36	22.35	26.06	28.46	30.80	32.12
			R	26.84	21.59	22.72	25.42	28.38	31.88	32.25
			U	26.51	20.75	21.11	27.73	28.65	26.67	31.67
Madhya Bharat (1953)	.	.	T	26.84	21.72	23.71	25.94	28.49	30.77	31.89
			R	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
			U	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3. Vindhya Pradesh	.	.	T	27.96	22.44	26.55	29.07	30.63	32.81	34.29
			R	28.13	22.64	26.89	29.24	30.60	32.80	35.00
			U	27.00	21.43	23.75	27.89	30.83	32.86	30.00
VI—North-West India—										
1. Rajasthan	.	.	T	27.07	21.63	24.80	28.41	27.92	31.38	33.89
			R	27.15	21.57	25.00	28.29	28.16	32.31	34.38
			U	26.50	22.22	22.86	30.00	27.00	23.33	30.00
2. Punjab	.	.	T	27.00	21.96	23.75	26.38	27.50	28.15	30.95
			R	26.79	22.50	23.60	25.00	29.38	25.83	31.54
			U	27.26	21.11	23.91	28.42	26.00	30.00	30.00
3. P.E.P.S.U.	.	.	T	26.85	22.50	21.38	24.48	28.00	31.00	33.53
			R	27.15	23.20	21.90	24.21	26.47	31.54	33.85
			U	26.08	20.00	20.00	25.00	31.25	30.00	32.50
4. Bilaspur	.	.	T	25.79	22.00	20.00	26.67	27.50	—	40.00
			R	25.79	22.00	20.00	26.67	27.50	—	40.00
			U	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
5. Himachal Pradesh	.	.	T	25.16	21.36	21.54	23.75	28.00	33.33	35.00
			R	24.91	21.43	21.82	23.75	28.00	33.33	35.00
			U	27.14	20.00	20.00	—	—	—	—
6. Ajmer	.	.	T	28.00	21.05	27.06	29.17	27.50	35.67	32.22
			R	30.00	22.50	30.00	30.00	30.00	—	30.00
			U	27.12	20.67	25.83	28.57	26.00	36.67	32.50
Other Countries—										
1. Japan (1952)	.	.	.	28.60	24.51	26.93	29.11	31.62	33.86	35.71
2. U. S. A. (1951)	.	.	.	26.73	23.35	26.10	28.02	29.50	30.79	32.05
3. England and Wales (1952)	.	.	.	28.27	25.49	28.33	30.29	31.88	33.35	34.82

Table 10—Child Birth Indices*(Number of children born per mother)*

				AGE GROUP OF MOTHERS						
				Incomplete maternity experience				Completed maternity experience		Average for all ages
State				Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated	
1				2	3	4	5	6	7	8
I—North India—										
1. Uttar Pradesh	.	.	T	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	.	.	R	...	1.8	3.8	5.4	6.1	3.5	3.4
	.	.	U	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—										
1. Bihar	.	.	T	1.3*	1.9	3.5	5.2	5.8	5.5	3.2
	.	.	R	1.3*	1.9	3.5	5.2	5.8	5.5	3.2
	.	.	U	...	2.0	3.8	5.1	5.5*	...	3.0
2. Assam	.	.	T	1.0*	2.1	4.1	5.3	6.6	...	3.2
	.	.	R	1.0*	2.1	4.1	5.2	6.7	...	3.2
	.	.	U	...	2.0	4.4	6.0*	5.5*	...	3.4
3. Manipur	.	.	T	...	2.2	3.4	4.4	4.7*	...	3.0
	.	.	R	...	2.2	3.4	4.4	4.7*	...	3.0
	.	.	U
4. Tripura	.	.	T	1.0*	2.1	4.6	6.1	...	2.5*	3.3
	.	.	R	1.0*	2.1	4.1	5.8	...	2.5*	3.0
	.	.	U	...	2.0	5.5	7.0*	4.0
III—South India—										
1. Madras	.	.	T*	1.0*	2.0	3.8	5.7	6.0	1.0*	3.3
	.	.	R	1.0*	1.8	3.7	5.4	5.6	1.0*	3.2
	.	.	U	...	2.1	4.1	6.4	7.1*	...	3.4
2. Travancore-Cochin (1952)	.	.	T	2.0*	1.8	4.1	6.3	10.5*	2.5*	3.5
	.	.	R	...	1.8	4.1	6.3	10.5*	2.5*	3.5
	.	.	U	2.0*	2.1	4.2	6.7	3.7
Travancore-Cochin (1951) 10 % Sample	.	.	T	1.4	1.7	3.5	5.7	6.6	...	4.3
	.	.	R	1.4*	1.7	3.4	5.7	6.6	...	4.3
	.	.	U	1.0*	1.8	3.5	5.6	6.4	...	4.2
3. Coorg	.	.	T	...	2.0*	3.8	3.4
	.	.	R	...	3.0*	3.8	3.7
	.	.	U	...	1.5*	1.5*
IV—West India—										
1. Bombay	.	.	T	1.0*	2.0	4.1	6.2	7.7	...	3.6
	.	.	R	1.0*	2.0	4.1	6.1	7.8	...	3.6
	.	.	U	...	2.0	4.5	6.7	7.3*	...	3.8
2. Saurashtra	.	.	T	1.0*	2.0	4.2	6.4	7.0*	...	3.7
	.	.	R	...	2.1	3.9	5.8	5.5*	...	3.6
	.	.	U	1.0*	1.9	4.7	7.6	13.0*	...	3.9
3. Kutch	.	.	T	...	2.1	4.1	6.0	8.3*	...	3.7
	.	.	R	...	1.9	3.8	5.7	7.5*	...	3.6
	.	.	U	...	2.5	4.6	7.1	10.0*	...	4.0

*Number of mothers less than 10.

Table 10—Child Birth Indices—(concl'd.)

(Number of children born per mother)

			AGE GROUP OF MOTHERS						
			Incomplete maternity experience				Completed maternity experience		
State			Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated	Average for all ages
1			2	3	4	5	6	7	8
V—Central India—									
1. Madhya Pradesh (1952)		T	1.0*	2.0	4.4	6.5	7.7	...	3.6
		R	1.0*	1.9	4.3	6.5	7.4	...	3.6
		U	1.0*	2.1	4.5	6.2	9.0*	...	3.7
Madhya Pradesh (1951) Total Count			1.3	2.1	3.8	5.2	5.7	4.0	4.4
Madhya Pradesh (1951) (10 % Sample)		T	1.2	1.9	3.8	5.6	6.3	...	4.2
		R	1.2	1.9	3.8	5.6	6.3	...	4.3
		U	1.3	2.0	3.8	5.8	6.5	...	4.2
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)		T	1.3*	2.3	4.2	6.1	5.7	3.7*	3.6
		R	1.3*	2.3	4.1	6.0	6.2*	3.6*	3.5
		U	...	2.4	4.7	6.2	5.0*	4.0*	3.7
Madhya Bharat (1953)		T	1.0	2.2	4.3	5.7	6.5	...	3.5
3. Vindhya Pradesh		T	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.0
		R	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.9
		U	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.1
VI—North-West India—									
1. Rajasthan		T	1.0*	1.9	3.5	5.8	6.0*	...	3.2
		R	1.0*	1.9	3.4	5.7	6.0*	...	3.1
		U	...	2.4	4.1	7.3*	3.6
2. Punjab		T	...	2.5	4.1	6.4	6.0*	...	3.7
		R	...	2.5	3.9	6.6	6.0*	...	3.6
		U	...	2.4	4.2	6.1	3.7
3. P.E.P.S.U.		T	...	2.4	4.9	6.1	8.5*	...	4.0
		R	...	2.2	5.0	6.0	8.5*	...	4.0
		U	...	2.7	4.9	6.2*	3.9
4. Bilaspur		T	...	1.9	3.9*	6.5*	3.1
		R	...	1.9	3.9*	6.5*	3.1
		U
5. Himachal Pradesh		T	...	1.7	4.7	6.7*	6.0*	...	3.1
		R	...	1.7	4.2	7.0*	6.0*	...	2.9
		U	...	1.7*	8.0*	6.0*	5.0*
6. Ajmer		T	...	1.6	4.9	5.5	3.8
		R	...	1.6*	4.6	5.8*	4.2
		U	...	1.6	5.0	5.3*	3.7
Other Countries—									
1. Japan (1950)			...	1.3	2.5	4.5	5.3	3.9	4.1
2. U. S. A. (1950)			...	1.6	2.3	2.9	3.3	...	2.7
3. England and Wales (1951)			...	1.4	1.9	2.4	2.6	...	2.2

*Number of mothers less than 10.

Table 11—Child Survival*(Number of children surviving)*

				AGE OF					
				Under 15		15—24		25—34	
State				Survival index	Loss index	Survival index	Loss index	Survival index	Loss index
1				2	3	4	5	6	7
I—North India—									
1. Uttar Pradesh	.	.	T	—	—	—	—	—	—
			R	1.5	0.3	2.9	0.9
			U	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—									
1. Bihar	.	.	T	1.3	...	1.6	0.3	2.8	0.7
			R	1.3	...	1.5	0.4	2.7	0.8
			U	1.9	0.1	3.3	0.5
2. Assam	.	.	T	1.0	...	1.9	0.2	3.7	0.4
			R	1.0	...	1.9	0.2	3.7	0.4
			U	2.0	...	4.0	0.4
3. Manipur	.	.	T	2.2	...	3.3	0.1
			R	2.2	...	3.3	0.1
			U
4. Tripura	.	.	T	1.0	...	2.0	0.1	3.9	0.7
			R	1.0	...	2.1	...	3.8	0.3
			U	1.8	0.2	4.1	1.4
III—South India—									
1. Madras	.	.	T	1.0	...	1.8	0.2	3.3	0.5
			R	1.0	...	1.7	0.1	3.1	0.6
			U	1.9	0.2	3.6	0.5
2. Travancore-Cochin (1952)	.	.	T	2.0	...	1.6	0.2	3.4	0.7
			R	1.6	0.2	3.3	0.8
			U	2.0	...	1.9	0.2	3.6	0.6
Travancore-Cochin (1951)	.	.	T	1.1	0.3	1.4	0.3	2.7	0.8
			R	1.1	0.3	1.4	0.3	2.7	0.7
			U	1.0	...	1.5	0.3	2.7	0.8
3. Coorg	.	.	T	2.0	...	3.4	0.4
			R	3.0	...	3.4	0.4
			U	1.5
IV—West India—									
1. Bombay	.	.	T	0.9	0.1	1.7	0.3	3.4	0.7
			R	0.9	0.1	1.7	0.3	3.3	0.8
			U	1.7	0.3	3.7	0.8
2. Saurashtra	.	.	T	1.0	...	1.8	0.2	3.5	0.7
			R	1.8	0.3	3.6	0.3
			U	1.0	...	1.6	0.3	3.5	1.2
3. Kutch	.	.	T	1.8	0.3	3.2	0.9
			R	1.6	0.3	3.0	0.8
			U	2.0	0.5	3.6	1.0

and Loss Indices

(and dead per mother)

MOTHER (YEARS)

35—44		45 & over		Age not stated		All ages	
Survival index	Loss index	Survival index	Loss index	Survival index	Loss index	Survival index	Loss index
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
4.0	1.4	4.4	1.7	2.8	0.7	2.6	0.8
3.9	1.3	4.6	1.2	5.2	0.3	2.5	0.7
3.9	1.3	4.5	1.3	5.2	0.3	2.5	0.7
4.1	1.0	5.0	0.5	2.6	0.4
4.8	0.5	5.1	1.5	2.9	0.3
4.7	0.5	5.0	1.7	2.8	0.4
6.0	...	5.5	3.2	0.2
4.3	0.1	4.4	0.3	2.9	0.1
4.3	0.1	4.4	0.3	2.9	0.1
...
5.4	0.7	2.5	...	2.9	0.4
5.2	0.6	2.5	...	2.8	0.2
6.3	0.7	3.2	0.8
4.8	0.9	5.1	0.9	1.0	...	2.8	0.5
4.6	0.8	4.9	0.7	1.0	...	2.7	0.5
5.2	1.2	5.9	1.2	3.0	0.4
5.1	1.2	6.5	4.0	2.5	...	2.9	0.6
5.0	1.3	6.5	4.0	2.5	...	2.9	0.6
5.8	0.9	3.3	0.4
4.3	1.4	4.6	2.0	3.2	1.1
4.3	1.4	4.6	2.0	3.2	1.1
4.1	1.5	4.4	2.0	3.1	1.1
...	3.1	0.3
...	3.4	0.3
...	1.5	...
4.9	1.3	6.0	1.7	2.9	0.7
4.8	1.3	6.1	1.7	2.9	0.7
5.3	1.4	5.3	2.0	3.1	0.7
5.4	1.0	5.6	1.4	3.2	0.5
5.1	0.7	4.8	0.7	3.2	0.4
5.8	1.8	9.0	4.0	3.1	0.8
4.3	1.7	6.0	2.3	2.9	0.8
4.3	1.4	5.0	2.5	2.8	0.8
4.3	2.8	8.0	2.0	3.0	1.0

Table 11—Child Survival

(Number of children surviving)

		AGE OF					
		Under 15		15—24		25—34	
State		Survival index	Loss index	Survival index	Loss index	Survival index	Loss index
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh (1952)	T	0.9	0.1	1.5	0.5	3.1	1.3
	R	0.9	0.1	1.5	0.4	2.9	1.4
Madhya Pradesh (1951) Total Count	U	1.0	...	1.8	0.3	3.7	0.8
		0.8	0.5	1.3	0.8	2.3	1.5
Madhya Pradesh (1951)	T	0.8	0.4	1.3	0.6	2.4	1.4
(10 % Sample)	R	0.8	0.4	1.2	0.7	2.3	1.4
	U	0.8	0.5	1.3	0.7	2.5	1.3
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	T	1.3	...	1.8	0.5	3.1	1.1
	R	1.3	...	1.7	0.6	3.0	1.1
	U	2.0	0.4	3.5	1.2
Madhya Bharat (1953)	T	0.9	0.1	1.8	0.4	3.3	1.0
3. Vindhya Pradesh	T	—	—	—	—	—	—
	R	—	—	—	—	—	—
	U	—	—	—	—	—	—
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	T	1.0	...	1.7	0.2	2.9	0.6
	R	1.0	...	1.6	0.3	2.8	0.6
	U	2.1	0.3	3.6	0.5
2. Punjab	T	2.0	0.5	3.3	0.8
	R	2.1	0.4	2.9	1.0
	U	2.0	0.4	3.7	0.5
3. P.E.P.S.U.	T	2.1	0.3	4.2	0.7
	R	1.9	0.3	4.1	0.9
	U	2.6	0.1	4.5	0.4
4. Bilaspur	T	1.9	...	3.7	0.2
	R	1.9	...	3.7	0.2
	U
5. Himachal Pradesh	T	1.4	0.3	4.0	0.7
	R	1.4	0.3	3.8	0.4
	U	1.3	0.4	5.3	2.7
6. Ajmer	T	1.3	0.3	3.6	1.3
	R	1.6	...	3.1	1.5
	U	1.2	0.4	3.9	1.1

and Loss Indices—(concl.d.)

and dead per mother)

MOTHER (YEARS)							
35—44		45 & over		Age not stated		All ages	
Survival index	Loss index	Survival index	Loss index	Survival index	Loss index	Survival index	Loss index
8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
4.4	2.1	5.0	2.7	2.6	1.0
4.3	2.2	4.3	3.1	2.5	1.1
4.8	1.4	7.2	1.8	3.0	0.7
3.0	2.2	3.0	2.7	2.1	1.9	2.5	1.9
3.4	2.2	3.6	2.7	2.6	1.6
3.4	2.2	3.6	2.7	2.6	1.7
3.6	2.2	3.7	2.8	2.6	1.6
4.3	1.8	4.5	1.2	3.1	0.6	2.7	0.9
4.3	1.7	4.3	1.9	2.8	0.8	2.6	0.9
4.4	1.8	4.8	0.2	4.0	...	2.9	0.8
4.2	1.5	4.6	1.9	2.7	0.8
—	—	—	—	—	—	2.4	0.6
—	—	—	—	—	—	2.4	0.5
—	—	—	—	—	—	2.4	0.7
4.5	1.3	5.0	1.0	2.6	0.6
4.4	1.3	5.0	1.0	2.5	0.6
4.8	2.5	3.0	0.6
4.7	1.7	4.0	2.0	2.9	0.8
4.7	1.9	4.0	2.0	2.8	0.8
4.7	1.4	3.1	0.6
5.1	1.0	5.5	3.0	3.4	0.6
4.8	1.2	5.5	3.0	3.3	0.7
6.0	0.2	3.7	0.2
6.0	0.5	3.0	0.1
6.0	0.5	3.0	0.1
...
5.0	1.7	5.0	1.0	2.6	0.5
5.0	2.0	5.0	1.0	2.5	0.4
5.0	1.0	3.6	1.4
4.3	1.2	2.9	0.9
4.6	1.2	3.1	1.1
4.1	1.2	2.8	0.9

Table 12*—Percentage Births in the Maternal Age Periods

AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)						
State	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
TOTAL						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	0.10	40.03	42.02	15.51	1.96	0.38
2. Assam	0.27	51.26	38.76	8.27	1.44	...
3. Manipur	...	45.52	38.79	14.12	1.57	...
4. Tripura	0.55	56.04	34.07	8.24	...	1.10
III—South India—						
1. Madras	0.10	43.88	42.55	12.44	1.00	0.03
2. Travancore-Cochin	0.20	41.36	42.25	15.79	0.20	0.20
3. Coorg	...	23.08	76.92
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	0.17	39.25	44.69	14.68	1.21	...
2. Saurashtra	0.15	39.47	42.40	17.25	0.73	...
3. Kutch	...	35.91	47.31	15.77	1.01	...
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	0.40	43.00	41.83	13.69	1.08	...
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	0.41	45.70	39.97	11.60	1.36	0.96
Madhya Bharat (1953)	0.49	42.98	45.29	10.10	1.14	...
3. Vindhya Pradesh	0.29	38.18	42.08	15.13	1.87	2.45
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan	2.37	39.94	43.49	13.02	1.18	...
2. Punjab	...	45.13	40.08	14.40	0.39	...
3. P.E.P.S.U.	...	43.65	45.30	9.94	1.11	...
4. Bilaspur	...	52.63	36.84	10.53
5. Himachal Pradesh	...	56.25	37.50	4.69	1.56	...
6. Ajmer	...	34.12	51.76	14.12
Other Countries—						
1. Japan (1952)	...	28.03	58.59	13.23	0.14	0.01
2. U. S. A. (1951)	0.14	43.80	45.58	10.21	0.13	0.14
3. England and Wales (1952)	...	32.74	53.53	13.50	0.23	...
RURAL						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	...	35.75	44.33	16.84	2.56	0.52
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	0.12	37.83	43.38	16.23	2.01	0.43
2. Assam	0.30	51.50	38.52	8.28	1.40	...
3. Manipur	...	45.52	38.79	14.12	1.57	...
4. Tripura	0.75	60.15	28.57	9.02	1.51	...
III—South India—						
1. Madras	0.14	41.73	44.04	12.89	1.15	0.05
2. Travancore-Cochin	...	42.15	41.35	16.04	0.23	0.23
3. Coorg	...	9.09	90.91

*In this and the subsequent tables upto Table 18 the calculations for U. P. are based on births (each multiple birth being treated as a separate birth) while for other states the calculations are based on the number of mothers.

Table 12—Percentage Births in the Maternal Age Periods—(concl'd.)

		AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)					
State		Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
RURAL—(concl'd.)							
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	0.20	38.87	44.75	14.92	1.26	...
2. Saurashtra	37.24	42.35	19.39	1.02	...
3. Kutch	33.15	45.99	19.79	1.07	...
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	0.35	42.38	42.63	13.39	1.05	...
2. Madhya Bharat	0.56	44.59	40.67	12.13	1.12	0.93
3. Vindhya Pradesh	0.17	37.33	42.40	15.71	1.06	2.53
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	2.69	39.26	43.29	13.42	1.34	...
2. Punjab	47.14	38.57	13.57	0.72	...
3. P. E. P. S. U.	41.54	46.92	10.00	1.54	...
4. Bilaspur	52.63	36.84	10.53
5. Himachal Pradesh	57.90	36.84	3.51	1.75	...
6. Ajmer	19.23	61.54	19.23
URBAN							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	54.91	32.89	10.61	1.59	...
2. Assam	49.09	40.91	8.18	1.02	...
3. Manipur
4. Tripura	44.90	48.98	6.12
III—South India—							
1. Madras	48.27	30.51	11.53	0.69	...
2. Travancore-Cochin	1.43	36.43	47.86	14.28
3. Coorg	100.00
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	41.66	44.30	13.16	0.88	...
2. Saurashtra	0.34	42.47	42.47	14.38	0.34	...
3. Kutch	40.54	49.55	9.01	0.90	...
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	0.60	45.47	37.83	14.89	1.21	...
2. Madhya Bharat	48.73	38.07	10.15	2.03	1.02
3. Vindhya Pradesh	0.98	43.14	40.20	11.76	1.96	1.96
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	45.00	45.00	10.00
2. Punjab	42.74	41.88	15.38
3. P. E. P. S. U.	49.02	41.18	9.80
4. Bilaspur	—	—	—	—	—	—
5. Himachal Pradesh	42.86	42.86	14.28
6. Ajmer	40.68	47.46	11.86

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births

First Birth

AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)						
State	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
TOTAL						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	0.29	74.49	21.28	3.21	0.29	0.44
2. Assam	1.18	85.10	12.15	1.57
3. Manipur	...	77.08	16.67	6.25
4. Tripura	2.44	87.80	7.32	2.44
III—South India—						
1. Madras	0.43	83.14	15.30	0.99	...	0.14
2. Travancore-Cochin	...	86.50	12.66	0.84
3. Coorg	...	100.00
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	0.83	81.93	16.05	1.10	0.09	...
2. Saurashtra	0.72	86.23	12.32	0.73
3. Kutch	...	73.58	24.53	1.89
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	1.92	85.96	11.73	0.39
2. Madhya Bharat(1952)	1.35	84.46	12.16	1.35	...	0.68
Madhya Bharat(1953)	2.48	79.57	16.25	1.70
3. Vindhya Pradesh	1.10	74.59	14.92	3.87	0.55	4.97
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan	8.69	67.39	22.83	1.09
2. Punjab	...	82.61	15.22	2.17
3. P. E. P. S. U.	...	78.12	18.75	3.13
4. Bilaspur	...	80.00	20.00
5. Himachal Pradesh	...	86.36	13.64
6. Ajmer	...	89.47	10.53
Other Countries—						
1. Japan (1952)	...	63.39	34.79	1.79	0.02	0.01
2. U. S. A. (1951)	0.41	69.33	26.93	3.13	0.02	0.18
3. England and Wales (1952)	...	53.72	41.50	4.74	0.04	...
RURAL						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	...	80.78	16.27	1.99	0.31	0.65
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	0.32	72.33	23.14	3.40	0.32	0.49
2. Assam	1.34	85.71	11.61	1.34
3. Manipur	...	77.08	16.67	6.25
4. Tripura	3.22	87.10	6.45	3.23
III—South India—						
1. Madras	0.60	82.31	15.70	1.19	...	0.20
2. Travancore-Cochin	...	87.62	11.90	0.48
3. Coorg

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)**First Birth—(concl.)**

		AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)					
State		Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
RURAL—(concl.)							
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	..	0.95	80.55	17.14	1.26	0.10	...
2. Saurashtra	83.33	15.15	1.52
3. Kutch	68.18	29.55	2.27
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	..	1.67	85.20	12.65	0.48
2. Madhya Bharat	..	1.85	81.48	13.89	1.85	...	0.93
3. Vindhya Pradesh	..	0.66	74.17	15.90	3.97	0.66	4.64
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	..	9.64	66.27	22.89	1.20
2. Punjab	78.57	17.86	3.57
3. P. E. P. S. U.	72.00	24.00	4.00
4. Bilaspur	80.00	20.00
5. Himachal Pradesh	85.71	14.29
6. Ajmer	75.00	25.00
URBAN							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	..	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	94.12	4.41	1.47
2. Assam	80.64	16.13	3.23
3. Manipur
4. Tripura	90.00	10.00
III—South India—							
1. Madras	85.22	14.29	0.49
2. Travancore-Cochin	77.78	18.52	3.70
3. Coorg	100.00
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	91.37	8.63
2. Saurashtra	..	1.39	88.89	9.72
3. Kutch	100.00
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	..	2.97	89.11	7.92
2. Madhya Bharat	92.50	7.50
3. Vindhya Pradesh	..	3.33	76.67	10.00	3.33	...	6.67
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	77.78	22.22
2. Punjab	88.89	11.11
3. P. E. P. S. U.	100.00
4. Bilaspur
5. Himachal Pradesh	100.00
6. Ajmer	93.33	6.67

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)

Second Birth

		AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)					
State		Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
TOTAL							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	0.15	60.77	34.92	4.01	0.15	...
2. Assam	76.39	21.89	1.29	0.43	...
3. Manipur	62.38	32.67	3.96	0.99	...
4. Tripura	81.39	16.28	2.33
III—South India—							
1. Madras	63.52	33.18	3.00	0.30	...
2. Travancore-Cochin	1.07	63.64	32.62	2.14	...	0.53
3. Coorg	33.33	66.67
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	64.42	32.00	3.36	0.22	...
2. Saurashtra	66.12	30.58	3.30
3. Kutch	62.50	35.71	1.79
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	69.51	27.85	2.64
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	0.83	74.38	22.31	0.83	...	1.65
Madhya Bharat (1953)	66.66	30.26	2.43	0.65	...
3. Vindhya Pradesh	42.67	50.00	3.33	2.67	1.33
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	56.00	40.00	4.00
2. Punjab	64.58	33.33	2.09
3. P. E. P. S. U.	86.21	13.79
4. Bilaspur	100.00
5. Himachal Pradesh	84.62	15.38
6. Ajmer	47.06	35.29	17.65
Other Countries—							
1. Japan (1952)	32.30	64.25	3.41	0.03	0.01
2. U. S. A. (1951)	0.03	46.63	47.47	5.77	0.03	0.07
3. England and Wales (1952)	27.71	61.91	10.30	0.08	...
RURAL							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	55.73	38.23	5.12	0.52	0.40
II—East India							
1. Bihar	0.18	55.52	39.32	4.80	0.18	...
2. Assam	75.93	22.22	1.39	0.46	...
3. Manipur	62.38	32.67	3.96	0.99	...
4. Tripura	83.33	16.67
III—South India—							
1. Madras	57.72	38.03	3.80	0.45	...
2. Travancore-Cochin	65.03	31.90	2.46	...	0.61
3. Coorg	100.00

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)

Second Birth—(concl'd.)

		AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)					
State		Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
RURAL—(concl'd.)							
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	63.16	33.13	3.46	0.25	...
2. Saurashtra	64.56	31.64	3.80
3. Kutch	58.06	38.71	3.23
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	68.74	28.78	2.48
2. Madhya Bharat	1.07	70.97	25.81	1.08	...	1.07
3. Vindhya Pradesh	40.30	51.49	3.73	2.99	1.49
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	54.41	41.18	4.41
2. Punjab	64.00	36.00
3. P. E. P. S. U.	80.95	19.05
4. Bilaspur	100.00
5. Himachal Pradesh	81.82	18.18
6. Ajmer	20.00	60.00	20.00
URBAN							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	87.39	12.61
2. Assam	82.35	17.65
3. Manipur
4. Tripura	71.43	14.29	14.28
III—South India—							
1. Madras	75.34	23.29	1.37
2. Travancore-Cochin	8.33	54.17	37.50
3. Coorg	100.00
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	73.45	23.89	2.66
2. Saurashtra	69.05	28.57	2.38
3. Kutch	68.00	32.00
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	73.03	23.60	3.37
2. Madhya Bharat	85.71	10.72	3.57
3. Vindhya Pradesh	62.50	37.50
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	71.43	28.57
2. Punjab	65.22	30.43	4.35
3. P. E. P. S. U.	100.00
4. Bilaspur
5. Himachal Pradesh	100.00
6. Ajmer	58.33	25.00	16.67

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)

Third Birth						
AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)						
State	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
TOTAL						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	30.47	55.47	12.50	1.56	...
2. Assam	52.00	42.50	4.50	1.00	...
3. Manipur	39.42	50.00	8.66	1.92	...
4. Tripura	62.50	34.38	3.12
III—South India—						
1. Madras	40.40	52.24	6.46	0.90	...
2. Travancore-Cochin	39.65	56.21	3.55	...	0.59
3. Coorg	20.00	80.00
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	38.54	54.41	6.93	0.12	...
2. Saurashtra	33.62	58.62	7.76
3. Kutch	36.36	58.18	5.46
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	46.67	46.67	6.41	0.25	...
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	47.73	46.21	3.79	2.27	...
Madhya Bharat (1953)	47.29	46.57	5.60	0.54	...
3. Vindhya Pradesh	28.95	51.97	15.79	1.97	1.32
VI—North West India—						
1. Rajasthan	29.55	59.09	9.09	2.27	...
2. Punjab	44.68	46.81	8.51
3. P. E. P. S. U.	58.62	37.93	3.45
4. Bilaspur	33.33	66.67
5. Himachal Pradesh	62.50	37.50
6. Ajmer	16.67	75.00	8.33
Other Countries—						
1. Japan (1952)	11.66	81.37	6.94	0.03	...
2. U. S. A. (1951)	31.70	57.80	10.34	0.06	0.10
3. England and Wales (1952)	15.64	65.68	18.48	0.20	...
RURAL						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	28.08	59.45	11.06	1.13	0.28
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	28.34	56.46	13.38	1.82	...
2. Assam	52.72	41.85	4.89	0.54	...
3. Manipur	39.42	50.00	8.66	1.92	...
4. Tripura	60.87	34.78	4.35
III—South India—						
1. Madras	35.91	57.18	5.85	1.06	...
2. Travancore-Cochin	39.58	56.25	3.47	...	0.70
3. Coorg	20.00	80.00

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)

Third Birth (concl'd.)

State	AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)					
	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated
	1	2	3	4	5	6
RURAL—(concl'd.)						
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	...	38.36	53.57	7.94	0.13	...
2. Saurashtra	...	30.67	58.67	10.66
3. Kutch	...	29.03	61.29	9.68
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	...	44.73	49.20	5.75	0.32	...
2. Madhya Bharat	...	48.96	48.96	1.04	1.04	...
3. Vindhya Pradesh	...	27.82	51.88	17.29	1.51	1.50
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan	...	31.71	56.10	9.75	2.44	...
2. Punjab	...	50.00	50.00
3. P. E. P. S. U.	...	57.89	42.11
4. Bilaspur	...	33.33	66.67
5. Himachal Pradesh	...	62.50	37.50
6. Ajmer	...	20.00	60.00	20.00
URBAN						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	...	43.66	49.30	7.04
2. Assam	...	43.75	50.00	...	6.25	...
3. Manipur
4. Tripura	...	66.67	33.33
III—South India—						
1. Madras	...	49.72	41.99	7.74	0.55	...
2. Travancore-Cochin	...	40.00	56.00	4.00
3. Coorg
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	...	39.81	60.19
2. Saurashtra	...	39.02	58.54	2.44
3. Kutch	...	45.83	54.17
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	...	54.55	36.36	9.09
2. Madhya Bharat	...	44.44	38.89	11.11	5.56	...
3. Vindhya Pradesh	...	36.84	52.63	5.26	5.27	...
VI—North West India—						
1. Rajasthan	100.00
2. Punjab	...	36.84	42.11	21.05
3. P. E. P. S. U.	...	60.00	30.00	10.00
4. Bilaspur
5. Himachal Pradesh
6. Ajmer	...	14.29	85.71

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)

Fourth Birth

AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)							
State	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
TOTAL							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—	
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	...	16.89	59.25	20.64	2.68	0.54	
2. Assam	...	29.56	61.01	8.17	1.26	...	
3. Manipur	...	17.54	52.63	29.83	
4. Tripura	...	40.00	48.00	8.00	...	4.00	
III—South India—							
1. Madras	...	19.08	65.29	14.71	0.92	...	
2. Travancore-Cochin	...	15.87	65.08	19.05	
3. Coorg	100.00	
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	...	19.94	67.69	11.79	0.58	...	
2. Saurashtra	...	27.50	58.75	13.75	
3. Kutch	...	15.91	63.64	20.45	
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	...	21.67	65.64	12.38	0.31	...	
2. Madhya Bharat(1952)	...	26.05	61.35	10.92	...	1.68	
Madhya Bharat(1953)	...	25.52	64.44	9.62	0.42	...	
3. Vindhya Pradesh	...	15.85	59.76	19.51	1.22	3.66	
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	...	29.17	62.50	8.33	
2. Punjab	...	36.11	52.78	11.11	
3. P. E. P. S. U.	...	24.00	72.00	4.00	
4. Bilaspur	...	25.00	75.00	
5. Himachal Pradesh	...	20.00	80.00	
6. Ajmer	...	25.00	75.00	
Other Countries—							
1. Japan (1952)	...	2.79	79.04	18.08	0.08	0.01	
2. U. S. A. (1951)	...	21.83	61.82	16.09	0.13	0.13	
3. England and Wales (1952)	...	8.33	63.87	27.39	0.41	...	
RURAL							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	...	13.99	64.47	19.02	1.94	0.58	
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	...	16.40	58.04	21.77	3.16	0.63	
2. Assam	...	28.57	61.23	8.84	1.36	...	
3. Manipur	...	17.54	52.63	29.83	
4. Tripura	...	40.00	45.00	10.00	...	5.00	
III—South India—							
1. Madras	...	13.54	67.71	17.36	1.39	...	
2. Travancore-Cochin	...	13.89	64.81	21.30	
3. Coorg	100.00	

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)

Fourth Birth—(concl'd.)

State	AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)					
	Under 15	15-24	25-34	35-44	45 & over	Age not stated
	1	2	3	4	5	6
RURAL—(concl'd.)						
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	...	20.27	67.87	11.17	0.69	...
2. Saurashtra	...	23.26	60.46	16.28
3. Kutch	...	14.29	57.14	28.57
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	...	19.37	67.59	12.65	0.39	...
2. Madhya Bharat	...	26.83	59.76	10.97	...	2.44
3. Vindhya Pradesh	...	15.71	60.00	18.57	1.43	4.29
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan	...	26.32	65.79	7.89
2. Punjab	...	25.00	56.25	18.75
3. P. E. P. S. U.	...	35.29	64.71
4. Bilaspur	...	25.00	75.00
5. Himachal Pradesh	...	20.00	80.00
6. Ajmer	100.00
URBAN						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	...	19.64	66.07	14.29
2. Assam	...	41.67	58.33
3. Manipur
4. Tripura	...	40.00	60.00
III—South India—						
1. Madras	...	29.93	60.55	9.52
2. Travancore-Cochin	...	27.78	66.67	5.55
3. Coorg
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	...	18.09	66.67	15.24
2. Saurashtra	...	32.43	56.76	10.81
3. Kutch	...	18.75	75.00	6.25
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	...	30.00	58.57	11.43
2. Madhya Bharat	...	24.32	64.87	10.81
3. Vindhya Pradesh	...	16.67	58.33	25.00
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan	...	40.00	50.00	10.00
2. Punjab	...	45.00	50.00	5.00
3. P. E. P. S. U.	87.50	12.50
4. Bilaspur
5. Himachal Pradesh
6. Ajmer	...	40.00	60.00

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)

Fifth Birth

AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)						
State	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
TOTAL						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	4.41	64.71	27.94	2.94	...
2. Assam	13.68	65.81	18.80	1.71	...
3. Manipur	19.15	61.70	17.02	2.13	...
4. Tripura	11.11	66.67	22.22
III—South India—						
1. Madras	11.23	63.16	24.56	1.05	...
2. Travancore-Cochin	7.77	70.87	21.36
3. Coorg	100.00
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	7.04	69.26	22.41	1.29	...
2. Saurashtra	7.41	67.90	23.46	1.23	...
3. Kutch	21.74	60.87	17.39
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	11.24	69.48	18.88	0.40	...
2. Madhya Bharat(1952)	16.09	60.92	21.84	1.15	...
Madhya Bharat(1953)	13.96	66.38	17.66	2.00	...
3. Vindhya Pradesh	12.50	51.56	31.25	4.69	...
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan	10.34	65.52	24.14
2. Punjab	29.63	59.26	11.11
3. P. E. P. S. U.	15.00	60.00	25.00
4. Bilaspur
5. Himachal Pradesh	66.67	33.33
6. Ajmer	33.33	66.67
Other Countries—						
1. Japan (1952)	0.50	62.96	36.36	0.17	0.01
2. U. S. A. (1951)	13.47	64.37	21.80	0.20	0.16
3. England and Wales (1952)	3.92	58.57	36.81	0.70	...
RURAL						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	5.45	58.48	30.89	4.51	0.67
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	4.27	64.96	28.63	2.14	...
2. Assam	13.46	66.35	18.27	1.92	...
3. Manipur	19.15	61.70	17.02	2.13	...
4. Tripura	14.29	57.14	28.57
III—South India—						
1. Madras	9.68	60.75	28.49	1.08	...
2. Travancore-Cochin	6.90	71.26	21.84
3. Coorg	100.00

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(concl.)

Fifth Birth—(concl.)

AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)							
State	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
RURAL—(concl.d.)							
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	...	6.81	69.36	22.55	1.28	...	
2. Saurashtra	...	7.41	61.11	29.63	1.85	...	
3. Kutch	69.23	30.77	
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	...	10.53	72.63	16.31	0.53	...	
2. Madhya Bharat	...	11.59	59.42	27.54	1.45	...	
3. Vindhya Pradesh	...	12.00	52.00	32.00	4.00	...	
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	...	3.85	69.23	26.92	
2. Punjab	...	50.00	41.67	8.33	
3. P.E.P.S.U.	...	15.38	53.85	30.77	
4. Bilaspur	
5. Himachal Pradesh	66.67	33.33	
6. Ajmer	
URBAN							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—	
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	...	5.26	63.16	23.68	7.90	...	
2. Assam	...	15.38	61.54	23.08	
3. Manipur	
4. Tripura	100.00	
III—South India—							
1. Madras	...	14.14	67.68	17.17	1.01	...	
2. Travancore-Cochin	...	12.50	68.75	18.75	
3. Coorg	
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	...	8.57	68.57	21.43	1.43	...	
2. Saurashtra	...	7.41	81.48	11.11	
3. Kutch	...	50.00	50.00	
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	...	13.56	59.32	27.12	
2. Madhya Bharat	...	33.33	66.67	
3. Vindhya Pradesh	...	14.29	50.00	28.57	7.14	...	
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	...	66.67	33.33	
2. Punjab	...	13.33	73.34	13.33	
3. P. E. P. S. U.	...	14.29	71.43	14.28	
4. Bilaspur	
5. Himachal Pradesh	33.33	66.67	
6. Ajmer	

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)

Sixth Birth						
AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)						
State	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
TOTAL						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	6.35	50.79	37.04	5.29	0.53
2. Assam	6.41	64.10	26.92	2.57	...
3. Manipur	4.35	43.48	47.82	4.35	...
4. Tripura	85.71	14.29
III—South India—						
1. Madras	3.28	63.39	31.15	2.18	...
2. Travancore-Cochin	60.56	39.44
3. Coorg
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	4.98	62.08	31.52	1.42	...
2. Saurashtra	5.46	47.27	47.27
3. Kutch	4.16	54.17	41.67
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	1.05	65.26	30.53	3.16	...
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	11.32	58.49	24.53	3.77	1.89
Madhya Bharat (1953)	6.69	69.29	22.44	1.58	...
3. Vindhya Pradesh	3.57	50.00	46.43
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan	5.55	50.00	44.45
2. Punjab	23.81	47.62	23.81	4.76	...
3. P. E. P. S. U.	64.71	35.29
4. Bilaspur	100.00
5. Himachal Pradesh	66.67	16.67	16.66	...
6. Ajmer	77.78	22.22
Other Countries—						
1. Japan (1952)	0.14	42.42	57.04	0.39	0.01
2. U. S. A. (1951)	6.91	64.38	28.13	0.39	0.19
3. England and Wales (1952)	1.35	50.39	47.06	1.20	...
RURAL						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	2.89	51.98	38.91	5.79	0.43
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	5.99	53.89	35.33	4.19	0.60
2. Assam	6.06	62.12	28.79	3.03	...
3. Manipur	4.35	43.48	47.82	4.35	...
4. Tripura	71.43	28.57
III—South India—						
1. Madras	3.17	57.94	36.51	2.38	...
2. Travancore-Cochin	57.38	42.62
3. Coorg

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)

Sixth Birth—(concl'd.)

State	AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)					
	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated
	2	3	4	5	6	7
RURAL—(concl'd.)						
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	...	4.29	60.86	33.51	1.34	...
2. Saurashtra	...	7.89	42.11	50.00
3. Kutch	...	5.88	47.06	47.06
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	...	1.32	65.13	30.26	3.29	...
2. Madhya Bharat	...	10.00	60.00	27.50	2.50	...
3. Vindhya Pradesh	...	4.17	41.67	54.16
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan	...	6.25	43.75	50.00
2. Punjab	...	30.77	30.77	30.77	7.69	...
3. P.E.P.S.U.	61.54	38.46
4. Bilaspur	100.00
5. Himachal Pradesh	75.00	...	25.00	...
6. Ajmer	100.00
URBAN						
I—North India—						
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—						
1. Bihar	...	9.09	27.27	50.00	13.64	...
2. Assam	...	8.33	75.00	16.67
3. Manipur
4. Tripura	100.00
III—South India—						
1. Madras	...	3.51	75.44	19.30	1.75	...
2. Travancore-Cochin	80.00	20.00
3. Coorg
IV—West India—						
1. Bombay	...	10.20	71.43	16.33	2.04	...
2. Saurashtra	58.82	41.18
3. Kutch	71.43	28.57
V—Central India—						
1. Madhya Pradesh	65.79	31.58	2.63	...
2. Madhya Bharat	...	15.39	53.85	15.38	7.69	7.69
3. Vindhya Pradesh	100.00
VI—North-West India—						
1. Rajasthan	100.00
2. Punjab	...	12.50	75.00	12.50
3. P. E. P. S. U.	75.00	25.00
4. Bilaspur
5. Himachal Pradesh	50.00	50.00
6. Ajmer	75.00	25.00

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)

Seventh and above Birth

AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)							
State	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
TOTAL							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—	
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	1.91	31.90	55.24	8.57	2.38	
2. Assam	4.29	57.14	28.57	10.00	...	
3. Manipur	27.78	16.67	44.44	11.11	...	
4. Tripura	61.11	38.89	
III—South India—							
1. Madras	0.76	44.49	49.81	4.94	...	
2. Travancore-Cochin	36.67	61.67	1.66	...	
3. Coorg	100.00	
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	1.11	41.90	50.48	6.51	...	
2. Saurashtra	1.08	43.01	51.61	4.30	...	
3. Kutch	48.84	44.19	6.97	...	
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	46.79	47.71	5.50	...	
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	8.22	41.10	43.83	5.48	1.37	
Madhya Bharat (1953)	4.73	59.17	31.07	5.09	...	
3. Vindhya Pradesh	40.54	54.06	2.70	2.70	
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	37.50	53.13	9.37	...	
2. Punjab	40.62	59.38	
3. P. E. P. S. U.	10.34	68.97	13.79	6.90	...	
4. Bilaspur	50.00	50.00	
5. Himachal Pradesh	85.71	14.29	
6. Ajmer	76.47	23.53	
Other Countries—							
1. Japan (1952)	0.07	16.26	81.84	1.82	0.01	
2. U. S. A. (1951)	1.68	46.80	49.76	1.49	0.27	
3. England and Wales (1952)	0.43	31.75	64.50	3.32	...	
RURAL							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	0.93	38.79	48.87	10.71	0.70	
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	2.01	31.16	55.28	9.04	2.51	
2. Assam	4.92	57.38	27.87	9.83	...	
3. Manipur	27.78	16.67	44.44	11.11	...	
4. Tripura	44.44	55.56	
III—South India—							
1. Madras	46.10	48.05	5.85	...	
2. Travancore-Cochin	36.00	62.00	2.00	...	
3. Coorg	100.00	

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(contd.)

Seventh and above Birth—(concl'd.)

AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)							
State	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 and over	Age not stated	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
RURAL—(concl'd.)							
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	...	0.95	41.13	50.94	6.98	...	
2. Saurashtra	32.43	59.46	8.11	...	
3. Kutch	39.13	52.17	8.70	...	
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	46.59	48.49	4.92	...	
2. Madhya Bharat	...	8.34	37.50	45.83	6.25	2.08	
3. Vindhya Pradesh	36.67	56.67	3.33	3.33	
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	34.61	53.85	11.54	...	
2. Punjab	44.44	55.56	
3. P.E.P.S.U.	77.27	13.64	9.09	...	
4. Bilaspur	50.00	50.00	
5. Himachal Pradesh	80.00	20.00	
6. Ajmer	62.50	37.50	
URBAN							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	—	—	—	—	—	—	
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	45.45	54.55	11.11	...	
2. Assam	55.56	33.33	
3. Manipur	
4. Tripura	77.78	22.22	
III—South India—							
1. Madras	...	1.84	42.20	52.29	3.67	...	
2. Travancore-Cochin	40.00	60.00	
3. Coorg	
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	...	2.00	46.00	48.00	4.00	...	
2. Saurashtra	...	1.79	50.00	46.43	1.78	...	
3. Kutch	60.00	35.00	5.00	...	
V—Central India							
1. Madhya Pradesh	47.62	44.44	7.94	...	
2. Madhya Bharat	...	8.00	48.00	40.00	4.00	...	
3. Vindhya Pradesh	57.14	42.86	
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	50.00	50.00	
2. Punjab	35.71	64.29	
3. P.E.P.S.U.	...	42.86	42.86	14.28	
4. Bilaspur	
5. Himachal Pradesh	100.00	
6. Ajmer	88.89	11.11	

Table 13—Percentage Births in Maternal Ages by Order of Births—(concl'd.)

Unknown Birth

AGE OF MOTHER (YEARS)							
State	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45 & over	Age not stated	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	
TOTAL							
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh	
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	
2. Assam	
3. Manipur	
4. Tripura	
III—South India—							
1. Madras	
2. Travancore-Cochin	
3. Coorg	
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	
2. Saurashtra	
3. Kutch	
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	
Madhya Bharat (1953)	
3. Vindhya Pradesh	
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	
2. Punjab	
3. P. E. P. S. U.	
4. Bilaspur	
5. Himachal Pradesh	
6. Ajmer	
Other Countries—							
1. Japan (1952)	...	20.29	52.86	25.71	0.57	0.57	
2. U. S. A. (1951)	0.08	35.40	52.01	11.98	0.13	0.40	
3. England and Wales (1952)	

Table 14—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders

State	BIRTH ORDER							
	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th & over	Unknown
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
TOTAL								
I—North India—								
1. Uttar Pradesh . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—								
1. Bihar . . .	23.53	23.09	17.57	12.80	9.33	6.48	7.20	...
2. Assam . . .	22.93	20.95	17.99	14.30	10.52	7.01	6.30	...
3. Manipur . . .	21.52	22.64	23.32	12.78	10.54	5.16	4.04	...
4. Tripura . . .	22.53	23.63	17.58	13.74	4.94	7.69	9.89	...
III—South India—								
1. Madras . . .	22.81	21.52	18.00	14.05	9.21	5.91	8.50	...
2. Travancore-Cochin . .	23.39	18.46	16.68	12.44	10.17	7.01	11.85	...
3. Coorg . . .	7.69	23.08	38.46	7.69	15.39	...	7.69	...
IV—West India—								
1. Bombay . . .	21.20	17.93	16.55	13.36	10.50	8.21	12.25	...
2. Saurashtra . . .	20.17	17.69	16.96	11.70	11.84	8.04	13.60	...
3. Kutch . . .	17.79	18.79	18.46	14.76	7.72	8.05	14.43	...
V—Central India—								
1. Madhya Pradesh . . .	20.87	19.75	15.66	12.97	9.99	7.63	13.13	...
2. Madhya Bharat (1952) .	20.19	16.51	18.01	16.23	11.87	7.23	9.96	...
Madhya Bharat (1953) .	19.94	19.08	17.10	14.76	10.84	7.84	10.44	...
3. Vindhya Pradesh . . .	26.08	21.61	21.90	11.82	9.22	4.04	5.33	...
VI—North-West India—								
1. Rajasthan . . .	27.22	22.19	13.02	14.20	8.58	5.32	9.47	...
2. Punjab . . .	17.90	18.68	18.29	14.01	10.50	8.17	12.45	...
3. P. E. P. S. U. . .	17.68	16.02	16.02	13.81	11.05	9.40	16.02	...
4. Bilaspur . . .	26.32	21.05	15.79	21.05	...	5.26	10.53	...
5. Himachal Pradesh . .	34.38	20.31	12.50	7.81	4.69	9.37	10.94	...
6. Ajmer . . .	22.35	20.00	14.12	9.41	3.53	10.59	20.00	...
Other Countries—								
1. Japan (1952) . . .	26.20	26.63	21.10	11.23	6.28	3.68	4.86	0.02
2. U. S. A. (1951) . . .	30.55	28.45	17.46	8.89	4.53	2.61	4.66	2.85
3. England and Wales (1952)	39.46	30.06	15.60	7.18	3.54	1.72	2.44	...
RURAL								
I—North India—								
1. Uttar Pradesh . . .	21.56	18.48	18.40	14.12	11.00	6.90	9.54	...
II—East India—								
1. Bihar . . .	24.35	22.14	17.38	12.49	9.22	6.58	7.84	...
2. Assam . . .	22.35	21.56	18.36	14.67	10.38	6.59	6.09	...
3. Manipur . . .	21.52	22.64	23.32	12.78	10.54	5.16	4.04	...
4. Tripura . . .	23.31	27.07	17.29	15.04	5.26	5.26	6.77	...
III—South India—								
1. Madras . . .	24.18	21.49	18.08	13.85	8.94	6.06	7.40	...
2. Travancore-Cochin . .	24.06	18.67	16.49	12.37	9.97	6.99	11.45	...
3. Coorg	18.18	45.46	9.09	18.18	...	9.09	...

Table 14—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders—(concl.)

BIRTH ORDER									
State	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th & over	Unknown	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
RURAL—(concl.)									
IV—West India—									
1. Bombay . . .	21.33	18.15	16.67	13.05	10.54	8.37	11.89	...	
2. Saurashtra . . .	16.84	20.15	19.13	10.97	13.78	9.69	9.44	...	
3. Kutch . . .	23.53	16.58	16.58	14.97	6.95	9.09	12.30	...	
V—Central India—									
1. Madhya Pradesh . .	21.01	20.21	15.70	12.69	9.53	7.62	13.24	...	
2. Madhya Bharat (1952) .	20.15	17.35	17.91	15.30	12.87	7.46	8.96	...	
3. Vindhya Pradesh . .	25.51	22.63	22.47	11.82	8.45	4.05	5.07	...	
VI—North-West India—									
1. Rajasthan . . .	27.85	22.82	13.76	12.75	8.73	5.37	8.72	...	
2. Punjab . . .	20.00	17.86	20.00	11.43	8.57	9.28	12.86	...	
3. P.E.P.S.U. . . .	19.23	16.15	14.62	13.08	10.00	10.00	16.92	...	
4. Bilaspur . . .	26.32	21.05	15.79	21.05	...	5.26	10.53	...	
5. Himachal Pradesh . .	36.84	19.30	14.04	8.77	5.26	7.02	8.77	...	
6. Ajmer . . .	15.38	19.23	19.23	11.54	...	3.85	30.77	...	
URBAN									
I—North India—									
1. Uttar Pradesh . . .	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
II—East India—									
1. Bihar . . .	18.04	29.44	18.83	14.85	10.08	5.84	2.92	...	
2. Assam . . .	28.18	15.45	14.55	10.91	11.82	10.91	8.18	...	
3. Manipur	
4. Tripura . . .	20.41	14.28	18.37	10.20	4.08	14.29	18.37	...	
III—South India—									
1. Madras . . .	20.00	21.58	17.83	14.48	9.75	5.62	10.74	...	
2. Travancore-Cochin . .	19.29	17.14	17.86	12.86	11.43	7.14	14.28	...	
3. Coorg . . .	50.00	50.00	
IV—West India—									
1. Bombay . . .	20.32	16.52	15.79	15.35	10.24	7.16	14.62	...	
2. Saurashtra . . .	24.66	14.38	14.04	12.67	9.25	5.82	19.18	...	
3. Kutch . . .	8.11	22.52	21.62	14.41	9.01	6.31	18.02	...	
V—Central India—									
1. Madhya Pradesh . .	20.32	17.91	15.49	14.08	11.87	7.65	12.68	...	
2. Madhya Bharat (1952) .	20.31	14.21	18.27	18.78	9.14	6.60	12.69	...	
3. Vindhya Pradesh . .	29.41	15.69	18.63	11.76	13.73	3.92	6.86	...	
VI—North-West India—									
1. Rajasthan . . .	22.50	17.50	7.50	25.00	7.50	5.00	15.00	...	
2. Punjab . . .	15.38	19.66	16.24	17.09	12.82	6.84	11.97	...	
3. P. E. P. S. U. . . .	13.72	15.69	19.61	15.69	13.72	7.84	13.73	...	
4. Bilaspur	
5. Himachal Pradesh . .	14.29	28.57	28.57	28.57	...	
6. Ajmer . . .	25.42	20.34	11.86	8.48	5.09	13.56	15.25	...	

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods**

BIRTH ORDER											
Age of Mother		1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th & above	Unknown		
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8		9	
I—NORTH INDIA											
1. Uttar Pradesh											
TOTAL											
14 & less	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
15—24	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
25—34	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
35—44	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
45 & over	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Age not stated	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
RURAL											
14 & less
15—24	.	48.73	28.81	14.45	5.53	1.67	0.56	0.25
25—34	.	7.91	15.94	24.67	20.53	14.50	8.10	8.35
35—44	.	2.55	5.62	12.08	15.95	20.17	15.95	27.68
45 & over	.	2.60	3.76	8.09	10.69	19.36	15.61	39.89
Age not stated	.	27.14	14.29	10.00	15.71	14.29	5.71	12.86
URBAN											
14 & less	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
15—24	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
25—34	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
35—44	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
45 & over	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Age not stated	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—EAST INDIA											
1. Bihar											
TOTAL											
14 & less	.	66.67	33.33
15—24	.	43.79	35.04	13.37	5.40	1.03	1.03	0.34
25—34	.	11.92	19.18	23.18	18.04	14.37	7.84	5.47
35—44	.	4.87	5.97	14.16	17.04	16.81	15.49	25.66
45 & over	.	3.51	1.75	14.04	17.54	14.04	17.54	31.58
Age not stated	.	27.27	18.18	...	9.09	45.46

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

[illegible]

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

[illegible]

by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)

4. Tripura

III—SOUTH INDIA

1. Madras

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

		BIRTH ORDER								
Age of Mother		1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th & above	Unknown	
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
R U R A L										
14 & less	. . .	100.00
15—24	. . .	47.70	29.72	15.55	4.49	2.08	0.46
25—34	. . .	8.62	18.56	23.47	21.29	12.34	7.97	7.75
35—44	. . .	2.24	6.34	8.21	18.66	19.78	17.16	27.61
45 & over	8.33	16.67	16.67	8.33	12.50	37.50
Age not stated	. . .	100.00
U R B A N										
14 & less
15—24	. . .	35.30	33.67	18.37	8.98	2.86	0.41	0.41
25—34	. . .	7.23	12.72	18.95	22.20	16.71	10.72	11.47
35—44	. . .	0.85	2.56	11.97	11.97	14.53	9.40	48.72
45 & over	14.28	...	14.29	14.29	57.14
Age not stated
2. Travancore-Cochin										
T O T A L										
14 & less	100.00
15—24	. . .	48.93	28.40	15.99	4.77	1.91
25—34	. . .	7.01	14.25	22.20	19.16	17.05	10.05	10.28
35—44	. . .	1.25	2.50	3.75	15.00	13.75	17.50	46.25
45 & over	100.00
Age not stated	50.00	50.00
R U R A L										
14 & less
15—24	. . .	50.00	28.80	15.49	4.08	1.63
25—34	. . .	6.93	14.40	22.44	19.39	17.17	9.70	9.97
35—44	. . .	0.71	2.86	3.57	16.43	13.57	18.57	44.29
45 & over	100.00
Age not stated	50.00	50.00

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

[illegible]

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

BIRTH ORDER										
Age of Mother	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th & above	Unknown		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
IV—WEST INDIA										
1. Bombay										
TOTAL										
14 & less	100.00		
15—24	44.25	29.44	16.25	6.79	1.88	1.04	0.35	...		
25—34	7.62	12.84	20.15	20.23	16.27	11.40	11.49	...		
35—44	1.59	4.11	7.81	10.73	16.03	17.61	42.12	...		
45 & over	1.61	3.23	1.61	6.45	11.29	9.68	66.13	...		
Age not stated		
RURAL										
14 & less	100.00		
15—24	44.20	29.49	16.44	6.81	1.85	0.92	0.29	...		
25—34	8.17	13.43	19.95	19.80	16.34	11.38	10.93	...		
35—44	1.81	4.21	8.87	9.77	15.94	18.80	40.60	...		
45 & over	1.79	3.57	1.79	7.14	10.71	8.93	66.07	...		
Age not stated		
URBAN										
14 & less		
15—24	44.56	29.12	15.09	6.67	2.11	1.75	0.70	...		
25—34	3.96	8.91	21.45	23.11	15.84	11.55	15.18	...		
35—44	...	3.33	...	17.78	16.67	8.89	53.33	...		
45 & over	16.67	16.67	66.66	...		
Age not stated		
2. Saurashtra										
TOTAL										
14 & less	100.00		
15—24	44.07	29.63	14.45	8.15	2.22	1.11	0.37	...		
25—34	5.86	12.76	23.45	16.21	18.96	8.97	13.79	...		
35—44	0.85	3.39	7.63	9.32	16.10	22.03	40.68	...		
45 & over	20.00	...	80.00	...		
Age not stated		

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

[illegible]

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

[illegible]

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

BIRTH ORDER										
Age of Mother	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th & above	Unknown		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)										
TOTAL										
14 & less	66.67	33.33		
15—24	37.31	26.87	18.81	9.25	4.18	1.79	1.79	...		
25—34	6.14	9.22	20.82	24.91	18.09	10.58	10.24	...		
35—44	2.35	1.18	5.88	15.30	22.35	15.29	37.65	...		
45 & over	30.00	...	10.00	20.00	40.00	...		
Age not stated	14.28	28.57	...	28.57	...	14.29	14.29	...		
RURAL										
14 & less	66.67	33.33		
15—24	36.82	27.62	19.67	9.20	3.35	1.67	1.67	...		
25—34	6.88	11.01	21.56	22.48	18.81	11.01	8.25	...		
35—44	3.08	1.54	1.54	13.85	29.23	16.92	33.84	...		
45 & over	16.66	...	16.67	16.67	50.00	...		
Age not stated	20.00	20.00	...	40.00	20.00	...		
URBAN										
14 & less		
15—24	38.54	25.00	16.67	9.38	6.25	2.08	2.08	...		
25—34	4.00	4.00	18.67	32.00	16.00	9.33	16.00	...		
35—44	20.00	20.00	...	10.00	50.00	...		
45 & over	50.00	25.00	25.00	...		
Age not stated	...	50.00	50.00		
Madhya Bharat (1953)										
TOTAL										
14 & less	100.00		
15—24	36.93	29.60	18.82	8.76	3.52	1.22	1.15	...		
25—34	7.16	12.75	17.59	20.99	15.88	12.00	13.63	...		
35—44	3.36	4.59	9.48	14.07	18.96	17.43	32.11	...		
45 & over	...	10.81	8.11	5.40	18.92	10.81	45.95	...		
Age not stated		

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

BIRTH ORDER											
Age of Mother				1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th & above	Unknown
1				2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
R U R A L											
14 & less	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
15—24	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
25—34	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
35—44	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
45 & over	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Age not stated	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
U R B A N											
14 & less	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
15—24	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
25—34	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
35—44	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
45 & over	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Age not stated	.	.	.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3. Vindhya Pradesh											
T O T A L											
14 & less	.	.	.	100.00
15—24	.	.	.	50.94	24.15	16.60	4.91	3.02	0.38
25—34	.	.	.	9.25	25.69	27.05	16.78	11.30	4.79	5.14	...
35—44	.	.	.	6.67	4.76	22.86	15.24	19.05	12.38	19.04	...
45 & over	.	.	.	7.69	30.77	23.08	7.69	23.08	...	7.69	...
Age not stated	.	.	.	52.94	11.76	11.77	17.65	5.83	...
R U R A L											
14 & less	.	.	.	100.00
15—24	.	.	.	50.68	24.43	16.74	4.98	2.72	0.45
25—34	.	.	.	9.56	27.49	27.49	16.73	10.36	3.99	4.38	...
35—44	.	.	.	6.45	5.38	24.73	13.98	17.20	13.98	18.28	...
45 & over	.	.	.	9.09	36.37	18.18	9.09	18.18	...	9.09	...
Age not stated	.	.	.	46.67	13.33	13.33	20.00	6.67	...

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

[illegible]

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

[illegible]

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

[illegible]

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

BIRTH ORDER										
Age of Mother	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th & above	Unknown		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
U R B A N										
14 & less		
15—24		
25—34		
35—44		
45 & over		
Age not stated		
5. Himachal Pradesh										
T O T A L										
14 & less		
15—24	52.78	30.55	13.89	2.78		
25—34	12.50	8.33	12.50	16.67	8.33	16.67	25.00	...		
35—44	33.34	33.33	33.33	...		
45 & over	100.00		
Age not stated		
R U R A L										
14 & less		
15—24	54.55	27.27	15.15	3.03		
25—34	14.28	9.52	14.29	19.05	9.52	14.29	19.05	...		
35—44	50.00	...	50.00	...		
45 & over	100.00		
Age not stated		
U R B A N										
14 & less		
15—24	33.33	66.67		
25—34	33.33	66.67	...		
35—44	100.00		
45 & over		
Age not stated		

**Table 15—Percentage Births in the Various Birth Orders
by Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

BIRTH ORDER										
Age of Mother	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th & above	Unknown		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9		
6. Ajmer										
TOTAL										
14 & less		
15—24	58.62	27.58	6.90	6.90		
25—34	4.55	13.64	20.45	13.64	2.27	15.91	29.54	...		
35—44	...	25.00	8.33	...	16.67	16.67	33.33	...		
45 & over		
Age not stated		
RURAL										
14 & less		
15—24	60.00	20.00	20.00		
25—34	6.25	18.75	18.75	18.75	...	6.25	31.25	...		
35—44	...	20.00	20.00	60.00	...		
45 & over		
Age not stated		
URBAN										
14 & less		
15—24	58.33	29.17	4.17	8.33		
25—34	3.57	10.72	21.43	10.71	3.57	21.43	28.57	...		
35—44	...	28.57	28.57	28.57	14.29	...		
45 & over		
Age not stated		
OTHER COUNTRIES										
1. Japan (1952)										
14 & less	100.00		
15—24	59.25	30.69	8.78	1.12	0.12	0.02	0.01	0.0		
25—34	15.55	29.20	29.31	15.15	6.75	2.67	1.35	0.0		
35—44	3.55	6.87	11.06	15.35	17.25	15.87	30.02	0.0		
45 & over	3.78	4.41	4.80	6.53	7.42	10.31	62.68	0.0		
Age not stated	31.45	28.93	13.84	8.18	5.66	2.51	8.17	1.2		

[illegible]

Table 16—Birth Rate Specific for Age of the Mother*(Number of live births per 1,000 women in each specific age-group)*

				AGE OF MOTHER					
State				All ages	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45—49
1				2	3	4	5	6	7
I—North India—									
1. Uttar Pradesh	.	.	T R* U	— 108.0 —	— ... —	— 136.3 —	— 179.1 —	— 93.7 —	— 41.9 —
II—East India—									
1. Bihar	.	.	T R U	115.9 116.6 111.4	0.7 0.8 ...	150.9 142.0 213.0	189.7 196.2 146.1	95.1 103.1 52.8	35.0 35.6 30.3
2. Assam	.	.	T R U	127.1 145.1 59.7	1.8 2.3 ...	189.9 221.2 80.7	188.0 212.4 94.5	67.5 75.7 34.0	38.3 39.7 30.8
3. Manipur	.	.	T R U	138.7 139.7	196.1 198.4 ...	221.2 222.9 ...	100.8 101.4 ...	31.0 31.0 ...
4. Tripura	.	.	T R U	82.8 83.7 80.3	2.2 3.2 ...	136.6 149.2 104.3	113.3 91.1 187.5	45.0 48.4 35.3
III—South India—									
1. Madras	.	.	T R U	59.0 63.2 51.9	0.3 0.5 ...	83.7 89.2 75.5	97.8 106.5 82.7	39.4 43.0 33.0	9.0 10.8 5.8
2. Travancore-Cochin	.	.	T R U	126.0 128.7 111.3	1.5 ... 9.7	147.1 152.9 115.4	219.2 220.5 212.7	116.3 120.8 92.2	3.9 4.6 ...
3. Coorg	.	.	T R U	76.9 81.5 58.8	65.7 28.6 181.8	181.8 227.3
IV—West India—									
1. Bombay	.	.	T R U	114.6 116.7 102.7	1.0 1.2 ...	156.0 158.0 144.6	202.1 206.5 177.6	88.9 91.8 72.3	19.6 20.6 13.7
2. Saurashtra	.	.	T R U	112.3 125.3 98.5	0.8 ... 1.7	130.3 138.8 121.6	202.1 240.9 166.2	113.0 132.2 89.6	16.7 25.8 6.9
3. Kutch	.	.	T R U	109.8 117.9 98.4	131.1 134.5 126.8	203.5 211.3 192.3	87.0 111.4 48.1	21.1 22.0 19.6

*34 Selected districts

Table 16—Birth Rate Specific for Age of the Mother—(concl'd.)*(Number of live births per 1,000 women in each specific age-group)*

			AGE OF MOTHER					
State			All ages	Under 15	15—24	25—34	35—44	45—49
1			2	3	4	5	6	7
V—Central India—								
1. Madhya Pradesh	T		127.9	2.9	195.1	197.2	86.1	20.6
	R		136.8	2.7	211.7	212.6	88.7	20.9
	U		101.5	3.4	150.9	148.3	77.6	19.7
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	T		111.8	2.6	161.9	163.1	75.8	28.5
	R		132.1	4.2	195.0	192.6	89.2	27.0
	U		78.8	...	113.8	112.9	50.6	31.0
Madhya Bharat (1953)	T		100.9	2.8	139.0	164.1	55.8	22.2
	R		—	—	—	—	—	—
	U		—	—	—	—	—	—
3. Vindhya Pradesh	T		55.3	1.2	79.2	86.7	37.7	11.5
	R		55.1	0.7	77.3	86.1	38.9	11.4
	U		56.5	3.8	90.2	92.5	28.2	12.4
VI—North-West India—								
1. Rajasthan	T		135.5	17.9	187.0	197.1	99.5	29.2
	R		142.4	21.1	199.7	201.6	107.5	34.8
	U		99.8	...	132.4	169.8	57.1	...
2. Punjab	T		113.1	...	151.0	190.4	97.9	7.3
	R		142.4	...	207.5	216.0	104.4	18.9
	U		90.8	...	111.1	168.4	91.8	...
3. P. E. P. S. U.	T		158.4	...	196.0	258.7	104.0	46.5
	R		186.8	...	218.6	306.5	128.7	74.1
	U		114.1	...	160.3	178.0	69.4	...
4. Bilaspur	T		77.2	...	113.6	125.0	47.6	...
	R		81.5	...	119.0	134.6	50.0	...
	U	
5. Himachal Pradesh	T		105.1	...	161.4	154.0	26.3	40.0
	R		100.9	...	157.1	147.9	19.2	40.0
	U		159.1	...	230.8	230.8	100.0	...
6. Ajmer	T		89.3	...	92.4	177.4	69.0	...
	R		120.4	...	70.4	275.9	119.0	...
	U		80.1	...	98.8	146.6	53.0	...
Other Countries—								
1. Japan (1952)			75.8	...	67.6	180.2	51.4	1.4
2. U. S. A. (1950)			80.4	0.9	138.9	133.4	34.0	1.1
3. England & Wales (1952)*			50.4	...	71.9	104.6	25.4	0.9

*Data are confinements resulting in legitimate live births or still-births to women married once only.

Table 17—Birth Rates by Order of Birth*(Number of live births per 1,000 women distributed according to birth order)*

			BIRTH ORDER								
State			Total births	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th & above	Unknown
1			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
I—North India—											
1. Uttar Pradesh	T	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	...
	R*	108.0	22.5	19.7	19.4	15.5	12.2	7.8	10.9
	U	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	...
II—East India—											
1. Bihar	T	115.9	27.3	26.8	20.4	14.8	10.8	7.5	8.3
	R	116.6	28.4	25.8	20.3	14.6	10.7	7.7	9.1
	U	111.4	20.1	32.8	21.0	16.5	11.2	6.5	3.3
2. Assam	T	127.1	29.1	26.6	22.9	18.2	13.4	8.9	8.0
	R	145.1	32.4	31.3	26.6	21.3	15.1	9.6	8.8
	U	59.7	16.8	9.2	8.7	6.5	7.1	6.5	4.9
3. Manipur	T	138.6	29.9	31.4	32.3	17.7	14.6	7.1	5.6
	R	139.7	30.1	31.6	32.6	17.9	14.7	7.2	5.6
	U
4. Tripura	T	82.8	18.6	19.6	14.5	11.4	4.1	6.4	8.2
	R	83.7	19.5	22.6	14.5	12.6	4.4	4.4	5.7
	U	80.3	16.4	11.5	14.7	8.2	3.3	11.5	14.7
III—South India—											
1. Madras	T	59.0	13.5	12.7	10.6	8.3	5.4	3.5	5.0
	R	63.2	15.3	13.6	11.4	8.7	5.7	3.8	4.7
	U	51.9	10.4	11.2	9.2	7.5	5.1	2.9	5.6
2. Travancore-Cochin	T	126.0	29.5	23.3	21.0	15.7	12.8	8.8	14.9
	R	128.7	31.0	24.0	21.2	15.9	12.8	9.0	14.8
	U	111.3	21.5	19.1	19.9	14.3	12.7	7.9	15.9
3. Coorg	T	76.9	5.9	17.8	29.6	5.9	11.8	...	5.9
	R	81.5	...	14.8	37.1	7.4	14.8	...	7.4
	U	58.8	29.4	29.4
IV—West India—											
1. Bombay	T	114.6	24.3	20.6	19.0	15.3	12.0	9.4	14.0
	R	116.7	24.9	21.2	19.4	15.2	12.3	9.8	13.9
	U	102.7	20.9	17.0	16.2	15.8	10.5	7.3	15.0
2. Saurashtra	T	112.3	22.7	19.9	19.0	13.1	13.3	9.0	15.3
	R	125.3	21.1	25.3	24.0	13.7	17.3	12.1	11.8
	U	98.5	24.3	14.2	13.8	12.5	9.1	5.7	18.9
3. Kutch	T	109.8	19.5	20.6	20.3	16.2	8.5	8.9	15.8
	R	117.9	27.7	19.5	19.6	17.7	8.2	10.7	14.5
	U	98.4	8.0	22.1	21.3	14.2	8.9	6.2	17.7

*34 Selected districts

Table 17—Birth Rates by Order of Birth—(concl'd.)

(Number of live births per 1,000 women distributed according to birth order)

		BIRTH ORDER								
State		Total births	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th & above	Unknown
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
V—Central India—										
1. Madhya Pradesh . .	T	127.9	26.7	25.3	20.0	16.6	12.8	9.7	16.8	...
	R	136.8	28.7	27.7	21.5	17.4	13.0	10.4	18.1	...
	U	101.5	20.6	18.2	15.7	14.3	12.0	7.8	12.9	...
2. Madhya Bharat (1952) .	T	111.8	22.6	18.5	20.1	18.1	13.3	8.1	11.1	...
	R	132.1	26.6	22.9	23.7	20.2	17.0	9.9	11.8	...
	U	78.8	16.0	11.2	14.4	14.8	7.2	5.2	10.0	...
Madhya Bharat (1953) .	T	100.9	20.1	19.3	17.3	14.9	10.9	7.9	10.5	...
	R	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	...
	U	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	...
3. Vindhya Pradesh . .	T	55.3	14.4	12.0	12.1	6.5	5.1	2.2	3.0	...
	R	55.1	14.1	12.5	12.4	6.5	4.6	2.2	2.8	...
	U	56.5	16.6	8.9	10.5	6.6	7.8	2.2	3.9	...
VI—North-West India—										
1. Rajasthan . . .	T	135.5	36.9	30.1	17.6	19.3	11.6	7.2	12.8	...
	R	142.4	39.7	32.5	19.6	18.2	12.4	7.6	12.4	...
	U	99.8	22.4	17.5	7.5	24.9	7.5	5.0	15.0	...
2. Punjab . . .	T	113.1	20.3	21.1	20.7	15.8	11.9	9.2	14.1	...
	R	142.4	28.5	25.4	28.5	16.3	12.2	13.2	18.3	...
	U	90.8	14.0	17.9	14.7	15.5	11.6	6.2	10.9	...
3. P. E. P. S. U. . .	T	158.4	28.0	25.4	25.4	21.8	17.5	14.9	25.4	...
	R	186.8	35.9	30.2	27.3	24.4	18.7	18.7	31.6	...
	U	114.1	15.7	17.9	22.4	17.9	15.7	8.9	15.6	...
4. Bilaspur . . .	T	77.2	20.3	16.3	12.2	16.2	...	4.1	8.1	...
	R	81.5	21.4	17.2	12.9	17.1	...	4.3	8.6	...
	U
5. Himachal Pradesh .	T	105.1	36.1	21.4	13.1	8.2	4.9	9.9	11.5	...
	R	100.9	37.2	19.5	14.2	8.8	5.3	7.1	8.8	...
	U	159.1	22.7	45.5	45.5	45.4	...
6. Ajmer . . .	T	89.3	20.0	17.9	12.6	8.4	3.1	9.4	17.9	...
	R	120.4	18.5	23.2	23.2	13.9	...	4.6	37.0	...
	U	80.1	20.3	16.3	9.5	6.8	4.1	10.9	12.2	...
Other Countries—										
1. Japan (1952) . . .		75.8	19.9	20.2	16.0	8.5	4.7	2.8	3.7	...
2. U. S. A. (1950) . .		80.4	24.6	23.7	13.6	6.7	3.5	2.1	3.9	2.3
3. England and Wales (1952)*		50.4	19.9	15.1	7.9	3.6	1.8	0.9	1.2	...

*Data are confinements resulting in legitimate live births or still-births to women married once only.

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

Order of birth	AGE OF MOTHER				All ages 15—44
	15—24	25—34	35—44		
	1	2	3	4	5
I—NORTH INDIA					
1. Uttar Pradesh					
TOTAL					
Fertility rate	—	—	—	—	—
1st	—	—	—	—	—
2nd	—	—	—	—	—
3rd	—	—	—	—	—
4th	—	—	—	—	—
5th	—	—	—	—	—
6th	—	—	—	—	—
7th and above	—	—	—	—	—
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	—	—	—	—	—
RURAL*					
Fertility rate	157.8	191.4	113.1	159.3	
1st	75.1	13.4	2.9	33.9	
2nd	46.3	28.6	6.3	29.7	
3rd	23.3	45.6	13.0	29.2	
4th	8.9	40.9	17.5	23.1	
5th	2.8	28.8	23.5	17.7	
6th	0.9	17.0	18.1	11.2	
7th and above	0.5	17.1	31.8	14.5	
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	37.6	37.6	24.8	100.0	
URBAN					
Fertility rate	—	—	—	—	—
1st	—	—	—	—	—
2nd	—	—	—	—	—
3rd	—	—	—	—	—
4th	—	—	—	—	—
5th	—	—	—	—	—
6th	—	—	—	—	—
7th and above	—	—	—	—	—
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	—	—	—	—	—
II—EAST INDIA					
1. Bihar					
TOTAL					
Fertility rate	177.9	199.6	105.5	167.5	
1st	77.9	23.8	5.2	40.0	
2nd	62.4	38.3	6.3	39.5	
3rd	23.8	46.3	14.9	29.7	
4th	9.6	36.0	18.0	21.3	
5th	1.8	28.7	17.7	15.5	
6th	1.8	15.6	16.3	10.5	
7th and above	0.6	10.9	27.1	11.0	
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	38.6	36.1	25.3	100.0	

*34 Selected districts

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

		AGE OF MOTHER			
Order of birth		15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44
1		2	3	4	5
RURAL					
Fertility rate	168.1	206.6	115.8	169.4
1st	78.3	26.8	5.9	41.8
2nd	54.6	41.5	7.6	38.4
3rd	21.9	46.7	16.6	29.7
4th	9.1	34.5	19.4	20.9
5th	1.8	28.5	18.8	15.7
6th	1.7	16.9	16.6	10.9
7th and above	0.7	11.7	30.9	12.0
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	39.1	36.5	24.4	100.0
URBAN					
Fertility rate	243.5	153.8	54.9	155.6
1st	75.3	3.7	1.4	28.5
2nd	114.1	17.4	...	46.5
3rd	36.5	43.4	6.9	29.8
4th	12.9	45.9	11.0	23.5
5th	2.4	29.8	12.3	14.7
6th	2.3	7.4	15.1	8.0
7th and above	6.2	8.2	4.6
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	35.7	33.8	30.5	100.0
2. Assam					
TOTAL					
Fertility rate	269.1	209.2	83.5	207.0
1st	102.5	15.0	3.6	47.7
2nd	84.0	24.8	2.7	44.0
3rd	49.1	41.2	8.2	37.5
4th	22.2	47.1	11.8	29.7
5th	7.5	37.4	20.0	21.8
6th	2.4	24.3	19.1	14.4
7th and above	1.4	19.4	18.1	11.9
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	40.1	39.0	20.9	100.0
RURAL					
Fertility rate	290.1	231.7	92.2	226.7
1st	107.9	15.6	3.3	50.9
2nd	92.2	28.8	3.3	49.5
3rd	54.5	46.2	10.0	42.1
4th	23.6	54.1	14.5	33.4
5th	7.9	41.4	21.1	23.5
6th	2.3	24.6	21.1	14.7
7th and above	1.7	21.0	18.9	12.6
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	41.0	38.3	20.7	100.0

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

						AGE OF MOTHER				
Order of birth						15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44	
1						2	3	4	5	
URBAN										
Fertility rate	159.3	114.2	44.6	115.5	
1st	73.7	12.7	4.9	33.2	
2nd	41.3	7.6	...	18.2	
3rd	20.6	20.3	...	16.0	
4th	14.8	17.8	...	12.8	
5th	5.9	20.3	14.9	13.9	
6th	3.0	22.8	9.9	12.8	
7th and above	12.7	14.9	8.6	
Group proportion per 100	36.3	42.1	21.6	100.0	
total married women 15—44					
3. Manipur										
TOTAL										
Fertility rate	320.2	272.9	136.4	253.8	
1st	116.7	25.2	13.0	55.5	
2nd	99.4	52.1	8.7	57.8	
3rd	64.6	82.0	19.5	59.0	
4th	15.8	47.3	36.8	33.0	
5th	14.2	45.8	17.3	26.6	
6th	1.6	15.8	23.8	12.7	
7th and above	7.9	4.7	17.3	9.2	
Group proportion per 100	36.6	36.7	26.7	100.0	
total married women 15—44					
RURAL										
Fertility rate	324.8	275.0	137.6	256.4	
1st	118.4	25.4	13.1	56.1	
2nd	100.8	52.4	8.7	58.4	
3rd	65.6	82.7	19.7	59.6	
4th	16.0	47.7	37.1	33.3	
5th	14.4	46.1	17.5	26.9	
6th	1.6	15.9	24.0	12.8	
7th and above	8.0	4.8	17.5	9.3	
Group proportion per 100	36.5	36.7	26.8	100.0	
total married women 15—44					
URBAN										
Fertility rate	
1st	
2nd	
3rd	
4th	
5th	
6th	
7th and above	
Group proportion per 100	50.0	27.8	22.2	100.0	
total married women 15—44					

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

						AGE OF MOTHER			
						15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44
Order of birth									
1						2	3	4	5
4. Tripura									
TOTAL									
Fertility rate	174.3	123.8	65.8	136.2
1st	61.5	6.0	...	29.7
2nd	59.8	14.0	...	32.7
3rd	34.2	22.0	4.4	24.3
4th	17.1	23.9	8.8	18.3
5th	1.7	12.0	8.8	6.8
6th	23.9	8.7	10.7
7th and above	22.0	30.7	13.7
Group proportion per 100	44.5	38.1	17.4	100.0
total married women 15—44				
RURAL									
Fertility rate	176.2	97.9	69.4	128.1
1st	59.5	5.1	...	28.6
2nd	66.1	15.5	...	35.5
3rd	30.8	20.6	5.8	22.6
4th	17.6	23.2	11.6	18.7
5th	2.2	10.3	11.6	6.9
6th	12.9	11.5	6.9
7th and above	10.3	28.9	8.9
Group proportion per 100	44.7	38.2	17.1	100.0
total married women 15—44				
URBAN									
Fertility rate	167.9	212.4	54.5	163.9
1st	68.7	8.9	...	33.5
2nd	38.2	8.9	18.2	23.4
3rd	45.8	26.6	...	30.1
4th	15.2	26.5	...	16.7
5th	17.7	...	6.7
6th	61.9	...	23.4
7th and above	61.9	36.3	30.1
Group proportion per 100	43.8	37.8	18.4	100.0
total married women 15—44				
III—SOUTH INDIA									
1. Madras									
TOTAL									
Fertility rate	116.9	108.3	49.4	96.9
1st	50.6	8.9	0.9	22.3
2nd	36.4	18.2	2.6	21.0
3rd	19.4	23.9	4.6	17.5
4th	7.1	23.4	8.2	13.6
5th	2.7	14.8	9.0	8.9
6th	0.5	9.5	7.3	5.7
7th and above	0.2	9.6	16.8	7.9
Group proportion per 100	36.8	38.5	24.7	100.0
total married women 15—44				

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

						AGE OF MOTHER				
Order of birth						15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44	
1						2	3	4	5	
RURAL										
Fertility rate	120.7	117.1	54.2	102.8	
1st	57.6	10.1	1.2	25.0	
2nd	35.9	21.7	3.4	22.3	
3rd	18.8	27.5	4.5	18.6	
4th	5.4	24.9	10.1	14.2	
5th	2.5	14.5	10.7	9.2	
6th	0.5	9.3	9.3	6.2	
7th and above	9.1	15.0	7.3	
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	36.0	39.2	24.8	100.0	
URBAN										
Fertility rate	110.6	92.4	41.2	86.8	
1st	39.0	6.7	0.3	17.5	
2nd	37.2	11.8	1.1	18.9	
3rd	20.3	17.5	4.9	15.5	
4th	9.9	20.5	4.9	12.7	
5th	3.2	15.4	6.0	8.4	
6th	0.5	9.9	3.9	4.8	
7th and above	0.5	10.6	20.1	9.0	
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	38.2	37.4	24.4	100.0	
2. Travancore-Cochin										
TOTAL										
Fertility rate	315.1	260.3	146.7	247.5	
1st	153.8	18.2	1.8	58.3	
2nd	90.0	37.1	3.7	45.2	
3rd	50.3	57.8	5.5	41.3	
4th	15.0	49.9	22.0	31.0	
5th	6.0	44.4	20.2	25.3	
6th	26.1	25.7	17.4	
7th and above	26.8	67.8	29.0	
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	32.8	40.4	26.8	100.0	
RURAL										
Fertility rate	319.7	261.8	153.0	252.2	
1st	159.9	18.1	1.1	61.0	
2nd	92.1	37.7	4.4	47.0	
3rd	49.5	58.7	5.5	41.5	
4th	13.0	50.8	25.1	31.3	
5th	5.2	45.0	20.8	25.3	
6th	25.4	28.4	17.7	
7th and above	26.1	67.7	28.4	
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	33.4	40.0	26.6	100.0	

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

Order of birth	AGE OF MOTHER			
	15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44
	1	2	3	4
U R B A N				
Fertility rate	280.2	252.8	113.6	221.5
1st	115.4	18.9	5.7	43.3
2nd	71.4	33.9	...	35.3
3rd	54.9	52.8	5.7	40.1
4th	27.5	45.3	5.7	28.9
5th	11.0	41.5	17.0	25.7
6th	30.2	11.3	16.1
7th and above	30.2	68.2	32.1
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	29.2	42.5	18.3	100.0
3. Coorg				
TOTAL				
Fertility rate	130.4	196.1	...	126.2
1st	43.4	9.7
2nd	43.5	39.2	...	29.1
3rd	43.5	78.5	...	48.6
4th	19.6	...	9.7
5th	39.2	...	19.4
6th
7th and above	19.6	...	9.7
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	22.3	49.5	28.2	100.0
RURAL				
Fertility rate	62.5	250.0	...	137.5
1st
2nd	50.0	...	25.0
3rd	62.5	100.0	...	62.5
4th	25.0	...	12.5
5th	50.0	...	25.0
6th
7th and above	25.0	...	12.5
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	20.0	50.0	30.0	100.0
U R B A N				
Fertility rate	285.7	87.0
1st	142.9	43.5
2nd	142.8	43.5
3rd
4th
5th
6th
7th and above
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	30.4	47.8	21.8	100.0

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

Order of Birth	AGE OF MOTHER			
	15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44
	1	2	3	4
IV—WEST INDIA				
1. Bombay				
TOTAL				
Fertility rate	196.6	216.8	105.0	180.8
1st	87.0	16.5	1.7	38.5
2nd	57.9	27.8	4.3	32.8
3rd	32.0	43.7	8.2	30.3
4th	13.3	43.9	11.3	24.4
5th	3.7	35.3	16.8	19.0
6th	2.0	24.7	18.5	14.8
7th and above	0.7	24.9	44.2	21.0
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	36.6	37.8	25.6	100.0
RURAL				
Fertility rate	195.0	221.2	108.1	182.6
1st	86.2	18.1	1.9	39.1
2nd	57.5	29.7	4.6	33.6
3rd	32.1	44.1	9.6	30.8
4th	13.3	43.8	10.6	24.0
5th	3.6	36.1	17.2	19.3
6th	1.8	25.2	20.3	15.3
7th and above	0.5	24.2	43.9	20.5
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	36.9	37.5	25.6	100.0
URBAN				
Fertility rate	207.0	192.0	86.6	169.8
1st	92.2	7.6	...	34.8
2nd	60.3	17.1	2.9	28.3
3rd	31.2	41.2	...	27.1
4th	13.8	44.4	15.4	26.3
5th	4.4	30.4	14.4	17.3
6th	3.6	22.2	7.7	12.0
7th and above	1.5	29.1	46.2	24.0
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	34.5	39.5	26.0	100.0
2. Saurashtra				
TOTAL				
Fertility rate	175.7	215.8	135.2	180.6
1st	77.4	12.7	1.1	36.5
2nd	52.0	27.5	4.6	32.2
3rd	25.4	50.6	10.3	30.9
4th	14.3	35.0	12.6	21.3
5th	3.9	40.9	21.8	21.3
6th	2.0	19.3	29.8	14.7
7th and above	0.7	29.8	55.0	23.7
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	40.9	35.8	23.3	100.0

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

Order of birth	AGE OF MOTHER			
	15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44
	2	3	4	5
RURAL				
Fertility rate	174.0	254.6	152.3	195.0
1st	65.5	15.3	2.0	33.2
2nd	60.8	38.4	6.0	39.7
3rd	27.4	67.5	16.0	37.7
4th	11.9	39.9	14.0	21.6
5th	4.8	50.6	32.1	26.6
6th	3.6	24.5	38.1	19.1
7th and above	18.4	44.1	17.1
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	42.1	32.8	25.1	100.0
URBAN				
Fertility rate	177.7	179.2	112.3	164.4
1st	91.7	10.1	...	40.3
2nd	41.6	17.3	2.7	23.8
3rd	22.9	34.7	2.7	23.2
4th	17.2	30.3	10.7	21.0
5th	2.9	31.8	8.0	15.3
6th	14.5	18.7	9.6
7th and above	1.4	40.5	69.5	31.2
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	39.6	39.2	21.2	100.0
3. Kutch				
TOTAL				
Fertility rate	180.1	224.5	112.2	179.8
1st	65.6	20.7	2.4	32.3
2nd	58.9	31.8	2.4	34.2
3rd	33.7	51.0	7.2	33.5
4th	11.8	44.6	21.5	26.8
5th	8.4	22.3	9.5	14.0
6th	1.7	20.7	23.9	14.6
7th and above	33.4	45.3	24.4
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	36.2	38.3	25.5	100.0
RURAL				
Fertility rate	167.6	229.9	140.7	183.7
1st	81.1	34.7	3.8	43.7
2nd	48.7	32.1	3.8	30.8
3rd	24.3	50.8	11.4	30.8
4th	10.8	42.8	30.4	27.8
5th	24.1	15.2	12.9
6th	2.7	21.4	30.4	16.9
7th and above	24.0	45.7	20.8
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	36.8	37.1	26.1	100.0

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

		AGE OF MOTHER			
Order of birth		15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44
1		2	3	4	5
U R B A N					
Fertility rate	200.9	216.5	64.1	173.5
1st	40.2	14.2
2nd	75.9	31.5	...	39.4
3rd	49.1	51.2	...	37.9
4th	13.4	47.2	6.4	25.2
5th	22.3	19.7	...	15.8
6th	19.7	12.8	11.0
7th and above	47.2	44.9	30.0
Group proportion per 100	35.3	40.1	24.6	100.0
total married women 15—44					
V—CENTRAL INDIA					
1. Madhya Pradesh					
T O T A L					
Fertility rate	224.3	215.8	110.9	193.6
1st	93.6	12.6	0.7	40.2
2nd	71.6	28.4	4.2	38.8
3rd	38.1	37.7	8.1	30.7
4th	14.7	43.9	13.0	25.4
5th	5.9	35.8	15.3	19.6
6th	0.4	25.7	18.9	14.5
7th and above	31.7	50.7	24.4
Group proportion per 100	37.7	38.1	24.2	100.0
total married women 15—44					
R U R A L					
Fertility rate	236.9	232.4	114.4	205.3
1st	100.1	14.4	0.8	43.0
2nd	77.7	31.6	4.3	42.1
3rd	39.2	41.9	7.7	32.6
4th	13.7	46.5	13.7	26.3
5th	5.6	37.6	13.3	19.7
6th	0.6	26.9	19.7	15.4
7th and above	33.5	54.9	26.2
Group proportion per 100	37.2	38.4	24.4	100.0
total married women 15—44					
U R B A N					
Fertility rate	187.2	162.9	99.7	157.3
1st	74.6	6.9	...	31.6
2nd	53.8	18.2	4.0	28.7
3rd	34.8	24.3	9.4	24.8
4th	17.4	35.5	10.8	22.6
5th	6.6	30.3	21.6	19.0
6th	21.7	16.2	11.9
7th and above	26.0	37.7	18.7
Group proportion per 100	38.9	37.2	23.9	100.0
total married women 15—44					

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

Order of birth	AGE OF MOTHER			
	15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44
	1	2	3	4
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)				
TOTAL				
Fertility rate	178.6	176.0	100.0	162.4
1st	66.6	10.8	2.4	33.0
2nd	48.0	16.2	1.2	26.9
3rd	33.6	36.7	5.9	29.4
4th	16.5	43.9	15.3	26.6
5th	7.5	31.8	22.3	19.6
6th	3.2	18.6	15.3	11.4
7th and above	3.2	18.0	37.6	15.5
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	42.7	37.9	19.4	100.0
RURAL				
Fertility rate	206.6	210.4	121.3	191.3
1st	76.1	14.5	3.7	38.5
2nd	57.0	23.1	1.9	33.4
3rd	40.6	45.4	1.9	34.8
4th	19.0	47.3	16.8	29.3
5th	6.9	39.6	35.5	24.9
6th	3.5	23.1	20.5	14.3
7th and above	3.5	17.4	41.0	16.1
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	42.4	38.0	19.6	100.0
URBAN				
Fertility rate	133.5	119.2	63.7	114.9
1st	51.5	4.8	...	24.1
2nd	33.4	4.8	...	16.2
3rd	22.2	22.2	12.7	20.5
4th	12.5	38.1	12.7	22.3
5th	8.3	19.1	...	10.8
6th	2.8	11.1	6.4	6.6
7th and above	2.8	19.1	31.9	14.4
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	43.3	37.8	18.9	100.0
Madhya Bharat (1953)				
TOTAL				
Fertility rate	164.1	178.1	72.8	150.2
1st	60.6	12.7	2.5	29.7
2nd	48.5	22.7	3.3	29.0
3rd	30.9	31.3	6.9	26.0
4th	14.4	37.4	10.2	22.4
5th	5.8	28.3	13.8	16.2
6th	2.0	21.4	12.7	11.8
7th and above	1.9	24.3	23.4	15.1
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	40.0	38.8	21.2	100.0

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

						AGE OF MOTHER				
Order of birth						15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44	
1						2	3	4	5	
R U R A L										
Fertility rate	—	—	—	—	
1st	—	—	—	—	
2nd	—	—	—	—	
3rd	—	—	—	—	
4th	—	—	—	—	
5th	—	—	—	—	
6th	—	—	—	—	
7th and above	—	—	—	—	
Group proportion per 100	—	—	—	—	
total married women 15—44	—	—	—	—	
U R B A N										
Fertility rate	—	—	—	—	
1st	—	—	—	—	
2nd	—	—	—	—	
3rd	—	—	—	—	
4th	—	—	—	—	
5th	—	—	—	—	
6th	—	—	—	—	
7th and above	—	—	—	—	
Group proportion per 100	—	—	—	—	
total married women 15—44	—	—	—	—	
3. Vindhya Pradesh										
TOTAL										
Fertility rate	90.1	92.5	44.9	78.5	
1st	45.9	8.6	3.0	20.0	
2nd	21.8	23.8	2.1	17.1	
3rd	15.0	25.0	10.3	17.4	
4th	4.4	15.5	6.8	9.3	
5th	2.7	10.5	8.5	7.2	
6th	0.3	4.4	5.6	3.3	
7th and above	4.7	8.6	4.2	
Group proportion per 100	34.9	37.4	27.7	100.0	
total married women 15—44					
R U R A L										
Fertility rate	87.7	91.8	46.3	77.8	
1st	44.4	8.8	3.0	19.5	
2nd	21.4	25.2	2.5	17.6	
3rd	14.7	25.2	11.4	17.8	
4th	4.4	15.4	6.5	9.1	
5th	2.4	9.5	8.0	6.6	
6th	0.4	3.7	6.5	3.3	
7th and above	4.0	8.4	3.9	
Group proportion per 100	34.7	37.6	27.7	100.0	
total married women 15—44					

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

						AGE OF MOTHER			
						15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44
Order of birth									
1						2	3	4	5
U R B A N									
Fertility rate	104.8	97.4	36.5	82.9
1st	54.8	7.1	3.1	23.1
2nd	23.8	14.3	...	13.7
3rd	16.7	23.8	3.0	15.4
4th	4.8	16.6	9.1	10.2
5th	4.7	16.6	12.2	11.1
6th	9.5	...	3.4
7th and above	9.5	9.1	6.0
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	35.9	36.0	28.1	100.0
VI—NORTH-WEST INDIA									
1. Rajasthan									
TOTAL									
Fertility rate	201.2	210.6	135.4	192.4
1st	92.4	30.1	3.1	49.6
2nd	62.6	43.0	9.2	44.3
3rd	19.4	37.2	12.3	25.4
4th	20.8	43.0	12.3	28.3
5th	4.5	27.2	21.6	17.1
6th	1.5	12.9	24.6	10.6
7th and above	17.2	52.3	17.1
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	39.6	41.2	19.2	100.0
R U R A L									
Fertility rate	212.7	215.4	146.0	201.0
1st	100.0	31.7	3.7	52.7
2nd	67.3	46.8	11.0	47.8
3rd	23.6	38.4	14.6	28.1
4th	18.2	41.7	10.9	26.7
5th	1.8	30.1	25.5	18.3
6th	1.8	11.7	29.2	11.2
7th and above	15.0	51.1	16.2
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	38.6	42.1	19.3	100.0
U R B A N									
Fertility rate	148.8	181.8	78.4	147.6
1st	57.9	20.2	...	33.2
2nd	41.3	20.2	...	25.8
3rd	30.3	...	11.1
4th	33.1	50.5	19.6	36.9
5th	16.5	10.1	...	11.1
6th	20.2	...	7.4
7th and above	30.3	58.8	22.1
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	44.7	36.5	18.8	100.0

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

Order of birth	AGE OF MOTHER			
	15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44
	1	2	3	4
2. Punjab				
TOTAL				
Fertility rate	192.4	200.4	114.2	177.7
1st	63.0	13.6	3.1	31.9
2nd	51.4	31.1	3.1	33.3
3rd	34.8	42.8	12.4	32.6
4th	21.6	37.0	12.3	25.0
5th	13.3	31.1	9.3	18.8
6th	8.3	19.5	15.4	13.9
7th and above	25.3	58.6	22.2
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	41.8	35.7	22.5	100.0
RURAL				
Fertility rate	238.3	225.9	120.3	206.2
1st	79.4	20.9	6.4	41.6
2nd	57.8	37.7	...	37.1
3rd	50.6	58.6	...	41.5
4th	14.4	37.6	19.0	23.7
5th	21.7	20.9	6.3	17.8
6th	14.4	16.7	25.3	17.8
7th and above	33.5	63.3	26.7
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	41.1	35.5	23.4	100.0
URBAN				
Fertility rate	153.4	178.2	108.4	152.5
1st	49.1	7.3	...	23.5
2nd	46.0	25.4	6.0	30.0
3rd	21.5	29.1	24.1	24.8
4th	27.6	36.4	6.0	26.1
5th	6.1	40.0	12.1	19.5
6th	3.1	21.8	6.0	10.4
7th and above	18.2	54.2	18.2
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	42.5	35.9	21.6	100.0
3. P. E. P. S. U.				
TOTAL				
Fertility rate	234.4	269.7	128.6	229.2
1st	74.2	19.7	7.1	41.0
2nd	74.2	13.1	...	37.1
3rd	50.4	36.2	7.2	37.1
4th	17.8	59.2	7.1	32.0
5th	8.9	39.5	35.7	25.6
6th	36.2	42.9	21.8
7th and above	8.9	65.8	28.6	34.6
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	43.2	38.9	17.9	100.0

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

						AGE OF MOTHER			
						15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44
Order of birth									
1						2	3	4	5
R U R A L									
Fertility rate	251.2	316.1	158.5	261.2
1st	83.7	31.1	12.2	51.0
2nd	79.1	20.7	...	42.9
3rd	51.2	41.5	...	38.8
4th	27.9	57.0	...	34.7
5th	9.3	36.3	48.8	26.5
6th	41.4	60.9	26.5
7th and above	88.1	36.6	40.8
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	43.9	39.4	16.7	100.0
U R B A N									
Fertility rate	204.9	189.2	86.2	175.3
1st	57.4	24.1
2nd	65.5	27.5
3rd	49.2	27.0	17.3	34.4
4th	63.1	17.3	27.5
5th	8.2	45.1	17.2	24.1
6th	27.0	17.2	13.7
7th and above	24.6	27.0	17.2	24.0
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	41.9	38.2	19.9	100.0
4. Bilaspur									
T O T A L									
Fertility rate	128.2	132.1	54.1	113.1
1st	51.3	18.9	...	29.8
2nd	51.3	23.8
3rd	12.8	37.7	...	17.9
4th	12.8	56.6	...	23.8
5th
6th	27.1	5.9
7th and above	18.9	27.0	11.9
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	46.4	31.6	22.0	100.0
R U R A L									
Fertility rate	129.9	142.9	57.1	118.0
1st	52.0	20.4	...	31.1
2nd	51.9	24.9
3rd	13.0	40.8	...	18.6
4th	13.0	61.3	...	24.8
5th
6th	28.6	6.2
7th and above	20.4	28.5	12.4
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	47.8	30.4	21.8	100.0

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

						AGE OF MOTHER				
Order of birth						15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44	
1						2	3	4	5	
URBAN										
Fertility rate	
1st	
2nd	
3rd	
4th	
5th	
6th	
7th and above	
Group proportion per 100	14.3	57.1	28.6	100.0	
total married women 15—44										
5. Himachal Pradesh										
TOTAL										
Fertility rate	184.6	164.4	32.6	145.5	
1st	97.4	20.6	...	50.8	
2nd	56.4	13.7	...	30.0	
3rd	25.7	20.5	...	18.5	
4th	5.1	27.4	...	11.6	
5th	13.7	10.9	6.9	
6th	27.4	10.9	11.5	
7th and above	41.1	10.8	16.2	
Group proportion per 100	45.0	33.7	21.3	100.0	
total married women 15—44										
RURAL										
Fertility rate	178.4	156.7	23.8	139.0	
1st	97.3	22.4	...	52.1	
2nd	48.7	14.9	...	27.3	
3rd	27.0	22.4	...	19.9	
4th	5.4	29.9	...	12.4	
5th	14.9	11.9	7.5	
6th	22.4	...	7.4	
7th and above	29.8	11.9	12.4	
Group proportion per 100	45.9	33.3	20.8	100.0	
total married women 15—44										
URBAN										
Fertility rate	300.0	250.0	125.0	233.3	
1st	100.0	33.3	
2nd	200.0	66.7	
3rd	
4th	
5th	
6th	83.3	125.0	66.7	
7th and above	166.7	...	66.6	
Group proportion per 100	33.3	40.0	26.7	100.0	
total married women 15—44										

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(contd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

Order of birth	AGE OF MOTHER			
	15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44
	1	2	3	4
6. Ajmer				
TOTAL				
Fertility rate	109.0	187.2	83.3	131.8
1st	63.9	8.5	...	29.5
2nd	30.1	25.5	20.8	26.4
3rd	7.5	38.3	6.9	18.6
4th	7.5	25.5	...	12.4
5th	4.3	13.9	4.6
6th	29.8	13.9	13.9
7th and above	55.3	27.8	26.4
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	41.3	36.4	22.3	100.0
RURAL				
Fertility rate	75.8	280.7	138.9	163.5
1st	45.5	17.6	...	25.2
2nd	15.2	52.6	27.8	31.4
3rd	15.1	52.6	27.8	31.4
4th	52.6	...	18.9
5th
6th	17.6	...	6.3
7th and above	87.7	83.3	50.3
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	41.5	35.9	22.6	100.0
URBAN				
Fertility rate	120.0	157.3	64.8	121.4
1st	70.0	5.6	...	30.9
2nd	35.0	16.9	18.5	24.7
3rd	5.0	33.7	...	14.4
4th	10.0	16.9	...	10.3
5th	5.6	18.5	6.2
6th	33.7	18.5	16.4
7th and above	44.9	9.3	18.5
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	41.2	36.6	22.2	100.0
OTHER COUNTRIES				
1. Japan (1950)				
Fertility rate	378.4	257.4	88.5	214.2
1st	227.0	42.7	2.8	58.2
2nd	125.9	81.1	5.1	60.1
3rd	22.1	62.1	8.1	35.3
4th	2.6	38.6	12.1	22.7
5th	0.4	19.4	14.6	14.5
6th	0.1	8.5	14.6	9.4
7th and above	0.1	4.9	31.1	13.9
Unknown	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	16.5	46.0	37.5	100.0

**Table 18—Fertility Rate and Birth Rate by Birth Order
in the Various Maternal Age Periods—(concl'd.)**

(Number of live births per 1,000 married women of each age-group distributed among birth orders)

AGE OF MOTHER					
Order of birth	15—24	25—34	35—44	All ages 15—44	
1	2	3	4	5	
2. U. S. A. (1950)					
Fertility rate	326.9	157.1	40.3	146.3	
1st	156.8	29.3	4.0	44.7	
2nd	102.6	48.2	6.6	43.2	
3rd	40.3	33.4	6.9	24.8	
4th	13.3	17.9	5.4	12.2	
5th	4.3	9.7	3.8	6.4	
6th	1.3	5.7	2.8	3.8	
7th and above	0.6	7.6	9.4	6.9	
Unknown	7.7	5.3	1.4	4.3	
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	19.6	42.6	37.8	100.0	
3. England and Wales (1951)					
Fertility rate	259.5	135.5	34.5	105.2	
1st	164.9	40.0	4.6	39.8	
2nd	69.6	48.8	8.2	32.9	
3rd	19.3	26.3	7.5	16.9	
4th	4.1	11.4	4.9	7.5	
5th	0.9	4.9	3.1	3.6	
6th	0.1	2.1	2.1	1.8	
7th and above	...	1.7	4.0	2.5	
Unknown	0.6	0.3	0.1	0.2	
Group proportion per 100 total married women 15—44	12.7	41.7	45.6	100.0	

Table 19—Death Rates

(For computation of death rate by the Census Actuary see Census of India Paper No. 6, 1954—
Estimation of birth and death rates in India during 1941—50-1951 Census)

State		Death rate (registered)		Number of un- registered deaths per 100 registered as verified at the Sample Census	Death rate (registered) corrected for omissions shown in column 4	Death rate (Sample Census)	Death rate (Census Actuary) 1941—50
		Year	Rate				
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
I—North India—							
1. Uttar Pradesh (1953)	T	—	—	—	—	—	27.2
	R	1953	10.1	40.5	14.2	16.6	—
	U	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—							
1. Bihar	T	1952	10.0	614.2	71.4†	15.3	26.6
	R	1952	10.3	501.8	62.0†	13.9	—
	U	1952	4.7	1,873.3	92.7†	23.6	—
2. Assam	T	1952	7.2	52.9	11.0	17.8	31.8†
	R	1952	7.2	53.4	11.0	21.5	—
	U	1952	6.7	38.5	9.3	2.9	—
3. Manipur	T	—	—	—	—	20.4	31.8‡
	R	—	—	—	—	20.6	—
	U	—	—	—	—	—	—
4. Tripura	T	—	—	—	—	21.5	N. A.
	R	—	—	—	—	25.5	—
	U	—	—	—	—	10.8	—
II—South India—							
1. Madras	T	1951-52	15.9	15.7	18.4	11.6	22.8* (19.1)
	R	1951-52	15.0	16.5	17.5	11.9	—
	U	1951-52	19.8	14.2	22.6	11.1	—
2. Travancore-Cochin .	T	1952	6.2	374.2	29.4	11.9	18.0* (13.7)
	R	1952	5.8	420.4	30.2	12.4	—
	U	1952	9.6	200.0	28.8	9.5	—
3. Coorg	T	—	—	—	—	3.2	18.6
	R	—	—	—	—	4.1	—
	U	—	—	—	—	—	—
IV—West India—							
1. Bombay	T	1952	16.8	16.4	19.6	13.2	24.9* (21.3)
	R	1952	17.5	14.5	20.0	13.4	—
	U	1952	15.3	30.3	19.9	12.1	—
2. Saurashtra	T	—	—	101.4	—	14.3	24.9*§ (18.5)
	R	—	—	64.1	—	14.7	—
	U	—	—	172.9	—	13.9	—
3. Kutch	T	—	—	47.2	—	19.4	24.9*§ (18.5)
	R	—	—	60.9	—	23.3	—
	U	—	—	23.1	—	13.7	—

† Rates are too high due to the highly inflated figures of percentage omission in registered deaths as verified at the Sample Census.

‡ Including Manipur.

§ Including Assam.

§ Including Kutch.

§ Including Saurashtra.

* Calculated on other bases explained in Paras (4.10) to (4.13) of Census of India, Paper No. 6, 1954.

Table 19—Death Rates—(concl'd.)

(For computation of death rate by the Census Actuary see Census of India Paper No. 6, 1954—
Estimation of birth and death rates in India during 1941—50-1951 Census)

State		Death Rate (registered)		Number of un- registered deaths per 100 registered as verified at the Sample Census	Death rate (registered) corrected for omissions shown in column 4	Death rate (Sample Census)	Death rate (Census Actuary) 1941—50
		Year	Rate				
1		2	3	4	5	6	7
V—Central India—							
1. Madhya Pradesh	T	1952	24.8	14.2	28.3	21.9	38.5
	R	1952	25.7	10.1	28.3	23.8	—
	U	1952	19.8	37.4	27.2	16.4	—
2. Madhya Bharat (1952)	T	1952	6.5	194.0	19.1	18.8	35.8 ⁵
	R	1952	5.5	320.6	23.1	22.7	—
	U	1952	11.3	43.4	16.2	12.4	—
Madhya Bharat (1953)	T	—	—	153.0	—	15.5	35.8 ⁵
	R	—	—	162.4	—	18.9	—
	U	—	—	105.6	—	7.2	—
3. Vindhya Pradesh	T	—	—	232.0	—	18.1	35.8 ⁶
	R	—	—	249.3	—	17.3	—
	U	—	—	169.0	—	22.7	—
VI—North-West India—							
1. Rajasthan	T	—	—	222.0	—	22.3	27.2
	R	—	—	351.7	—	22.3	—
	U	—	—	42.9	—	22.5	—
2. Punjab	T	1952	17.3	24.4	21.5	13.0	26.3* (18.2)
	R	1952	18.7	13.2	21.2	18.5	—
	U	1952	11.1	48.0	16.4	8.6	—
3. P. E. P. S. U.	T	—	—	73.3	—	12.3	31.3 ⁷
	R	—	—	62.5	—	14.9	—
	U	—	—	116.7	—	8.0	—
4. Bilaspur	T	1952	17.4	—	17.4	9.7	31.3 ⁸
	R	1952	17.7	—	17.7	10.0	—
	U	1952	10.4	—	10.4	—	—
5. Himachal Pradesh	T	1952	9.8	31.3	12.9	11.0	31.3 ⁹
	R	1952	10.0	18.8	11.9	10.3	—
	U	1952	5.3	100.0	10.6	19.2	—
6. Ajmer	T	1952	17.3	33.3	23.1	10.6	38.0
	R	1952	19.4	50.0	29.1	7.9	—
	U	1952	14.3	29.4	18.5	11.4	—

⁵Including Vindhya Pradesh and Bhopal.

⁶Including Madhya Bharat and Bhopal.

⁷Including Bilaspur and Himachal Pradesh.

⁸Including P.E.P.S.U. and Himachal Pradesh.

⁹Including P.E.P.S.U. and Bilaspur.

*Calculated on other bases explained in Paras (4.10) to (4.13) of Census of India, Paper No. 6, 1951.

Table 20—Death Rate Specific for Age

State		All ages	Under 1 year	1—4	5—9	10—14	15—19	20—24	25—29	TOTAL
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	
I—North India—										
1. Uttar Pradesh	Persons	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Males	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	Females	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—										
1. Bihar	P	15.3	100.5	28.6	8.6	5.1	2.5	4.9	4.3	
	M	15.4	102.2	28.2	8.6	4.6	2.5	4.1	3.5	
	F	15.3	98.6	29.0	8.5	5.6	2.5	5.6	5.2	
2. Assam	P	17.8	10.8	24.9	10.3	7.2	8.8	11.3	10.3	
	M	17.3	9.8	25.5	12.8	7.7	5.0	6.1	10.3	
	F	18.3	11.8	24.3	7.6	6.6	12.5	16.1	10.2	
3. Manipur	P	20.4	19.5	36.5	13.9	13.4	6.8	10.1	8.2	
	M	20.7	12.3	31.4	15.9	8.0	9.9	11.4	7.2	
	F	20.1	27.4	41.7	11.7	18.2	4.1	9.1	9.3	
4. Tripura	P	21.5	...	34.4	15.2	7.4	9.8	7.0	20.3	
	M	22.1	...	40.8	12.6	12.3	8.6	9.3	13.1	
	F	20.8	...	27.6	18.1	2.2	11.0	5.1	29.0	
III—South India—										
1. Madras	P	11.6	39.0	22.1	6.9	2.6	4.0	4.9	5.1	
	M	11.9	51.6	23.1	7.2	2.0	2.7	3.1	4.0	
	F	11.3	26.4	21.2	6.6	3.1	5.3	6.5	6.1	
2. Travancore-Cochin	P	11.9	63.0	24.2	3.5	1.5	2.9	4.2	2.3	
	M	13.1	63.4	30.4	1.2	2.2	3.8	3.3	1.9	
	F	10.8	62.5	17.9	5.8	0.7	2.1	5.0	2.6	
3. Coorg	P	3.2	20.0	
	M	2.8	
	F	3.7	40.0	
IV—West India—										
1. Bombay	P	13.2	85.0	25.5	4.2	1.6	4.4	6.0	4.7	
	M	13.2	86.6	23.3	3.4	1.3	3.9	5.5	3.9	
	F	13.2	83.4	27.7	5.1	2.0	4.9	6.4	5.5	
2. Saurashtra	P	14.3	108.7	39.2	6.8	2.7	3.7	3.7	4.5	
	M	16.8	131.9	42.6	10.2	3.0	2.8	3.2	5.3	
	F	11.7	84.9	35.9	3.1	2.4	4.5	4.1	3.8	
3. Kutch	P	19.4	103.9	57.3	12.5	6.5	2.3	8.5	6.2	
	M	19.8	135.3	57.6	12.7	3.6	...	6.0	3.5	
	F	19.0	75.3	57.0	12.4	9.6	4.5	10.7	8.4	

and Sex at the Sample Census

30—34	35—39	40—44	45—49	50—54	55—59	60—64	65—69	70—74	75 and over	Infant mortality
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
7.3	5.4	6.4	11.6	15.9	17.8	43.3	78.4	65.5	152.6	103.6
6.6	6.2	5.8	14.2	18.8	14.6	41.4	100.5	60.3	176.5	99.4
8.0	4.7	7.2	8.6	11.9	21.2	45.4	57.1	70.7	130.6	108.7
11.9	16.9	11.0	26.2	38.4	47.7	77.7	96.1	136.1	223.0	11.6
11.9	11.2	9.0	24.7	31.6	46.7	81.6	94.5	162.2	256.1	10.5
11.8	25.6	13.6	28.7	48.3	49.5	72.6	98.0	115.8	181.8	12.8
18.2	11.9	12.0	26.0	23.0	23.0	74.5	55.6	78.3	236.1	20.2
19.5	18.7	10.8	25.4	20.2	35.1	76.9	74.1	122.4	250.0	12.8
17.1	6.3	13.1	26.5	25.9	13.6	71.4	37.0	45.5	227.3	28.4
20.5	19.2	34.2	26.5	21.9	50.8	60.6	55.6	150.9	212.8	...
13.7	12.7	20.3	12.4	33.8	31.3	81.4	111.1	241.4	241.4	...
28.5	27.9	51.9	48.5	7.9	74.1	38.0	...	41.7	166.7	...
7.1	6.6	9.1	13.1	14.5	23.0	36.6	49.9	50.1	148.7	38.8
6.0	6.5	9.5	15.2	17.2	26.3	44.6	49.9	48.2	154.2	51.0
8.3	6.8	8.6	10.8	11.9	19.4	29.0	49.9	51.9	143.8	26.5
3.0	10.1	10.3	11.2	9.8	11.0	23.7	50.0	77.3	135.1	59.2
...	11.2	9.1	19.1	15.7	15.5	34.6	44.0	48.4	170.0	59.6
6.1	9.1	11.6	3.9	4.2	7.5	12.7	57.0	110.1	106.6	58.8
...	41.7
...	58.8
...
5.5	5.5	6.3	7.8	13.3	16.9	26.7	50.6	61.7	192.0	81.7
4.1	4.7	8.0	10.1	17.4	21.6	31.7	67.2	66.7	182.4	82.9
6.8	6.3	4.7	5.4	8.8	11.7	22.4	35.5	57.4	200.4	80.5
2.3	6.0	7.6	14.9	12.4	8.1	17.2	48.4	58.8	164.9	101.6
3.0	8.3	7.1	16.1	14.0	15.2	28.0	38.5	87.7	229.2	119.8
1.6	3.6	8.2	13.4	10.8	...	7.3	61.0	38.0	102.0	81.8
4.8	17.0	13.8	7.3	19.3	5.5	36.8	27.9	71.4	87.5	96.7
6.8	13.7	16.5	7.6	24.7	13.5	56.1	20.4	80.0	...	122.4
3.0	18.2	11.3	7.0	14.9	...	24.2	33.9	66.7	140.0	71.9

Table 20—Death Rate Specific for Age

State			All ages	Under 1 year	1—4	5—9	10—14	15—19	20—24	25—29
1			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
										TOTAL
V—Central India—										
1. Madhya Pradesh	P		21.9	111.3	46.9	1.9	4.0	8.0	10.9	8.6
	M		21.9	123.0	46.8	10.6	4.7	5.2	7.4	5.4
	F		22.0	99.8	47.1	7.9	3.2	11.2	14.4	11.8
2. Madhya Bharat(1952)	P		18.8	91.0	47.8	11.8	3.1	6.0	7.4	9.1
	M		19.1	107.0	44.6	14.6	0.7	4.6	6.0	9.0
	F		18.4	71.7	51.2	8.6	6.0	7.5	8.8	9.1
Madhya Bharat(1953)	P		15.5	62.8	48.9	9.8	3.7	4.2	4.6	6.0
	M		15.0	64.7	50.1	9.1	2.7	3.6	3.2	5.0
	F		16.0	60.8	47.7	10.5	4.8	4.8	5.9	6.9
3. Vindhya Pradesh	P		18.1	60.8	28.7	14.6	6.5	6.7	7.2	8.9
	M		19.5	57.9	28.5	15.1	6.9	5.7	6.5	7.6
	F		16.6	64.7	29.0	14.0	6.0	7.9	7.9	10.5
VI—North-West India—										
1. Rajasthan	P		22.3	45.9	60.2	11.7	3.8	9.3	11.4	7.2
	M		24.2	42.2	48.4	15.9	3.4	7.2	15.6	9.7
	F		20.3	49.7	73.3	7.6	4.5	11.8	7.8	4.7
2. Punjab	P		13.0	96.5	28.8	7.4	...	2.5	2.7	4.6
	M		12.6	71.9	27.2	7.8	...	2.3	2.9	5.3
	F		13.5	125.0	30.4	6.9	...	2.7	2.5	3.9
3. P. E. P. S. U.	P		12.3	21.9	20.5	4.9	4.1	6.7	7.7	...
	M		9.2	21.3	14.9	2.9	...	4.2
	F		16.1	22.5	26.1	7.4	9.7	9.5	15.6	...
4. Bilaspur	P		9.7	...	13.0	11.6	12.7
	M		15.8	...	20.8	23.8	23.8
	F		2.6
5. Himachal Pradesh	P		11.0	30.8	21.3	7.9	...	12.7	5.3	12.0
	M		12.1	29.4	25.2	8.3	...	8.6	...	1.9
	F		9.8	32.3	17.2	7.5	...	16.7	9.7	12.2
6. Ajmer	P		10.6	76.0	37.1	4.5	...	3.1	3.2	3.7
	M		10.9	93.0	33.0	4.3	7.1
	F		10.3	55.6	41.7	4.7	...	6.1	6.7	...

and Sex at the Sample Census—(contd.)

30—34	35—39	40—44	45—49	50—54	55—59	60—64	65—69	70—74	75 and over	Infant mortality
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
—(concl'd.)										
9.6	10.6	13.4	13.0	27.9	27.2	59.4	58.4	94.9	182.3	100.1
7.6	9.7	13.6	15.7	33.7	34.0	63.6	68.2	125.6	204.3	109.5
11.6	11.6	13.2	9.9	21.9	21.0	56.1	50.2	76.3	164.5	90.8
8.5	8.3	9.8	14.0	23.7	34.6	36.8	71.8	74.8	150.8	80.2
8.5	6.8	13.3	15.8	31.4	26.9	50.6	85.1	39.0	192.3	91.8
8.4	10.3	5.4	11.4	14.4	42.1	25.0	59.4	114.3	121.6	65.2
5.8	5.3	11.5	13.7	16.5	28.5	42.5	29.4	50.4	120.1	59.4
2.8	6.3	12.2	16.4	18.9	29.8	43.2	24.6	57.6	147.1	61.2
9.2	4.1	10.7	10.2	13.6	26.9	42.0	34.0	43.1	99.0	57.6
10.0	12.6	17.6	23.8	23.3	22.1	40.1	18.6	59.4	64.5	57.6
9.9	14.0	20.8	30.2	23.5	24.3	39.5	26.8	81.4	87.9	55.1
10.0	11.0	14.2	16.8	23.2	19.5	40.7	10.3	40.3	41.5	61.0
12.9	15.4	21.0	25.7	23.8	63.0	80.4	14.9	125.0	233.3	44.4
8.0	16.4	27.9	34.5	35.3	132.1	96.8	27.0	160.0	600.0	39.5
18.6	13.9	13.3	14.6	12.1	13.5	66.0	...	87.0	50.0	49.7
...	6.9	7.2	6.4	12.9	23.5	35.1	11.1	55.6	80.0	96.5
...	4.0	9.1	5.7	16.2	32.6	44.1	19.2	61.2	33.3	71.9
...	10.9	5.2	7.3	8.0	12.8	21.7	...	43.5	150.0	125.0
3.1	12.5	5.3	29.4	19.1	12.0	54.3	60.6	21.3	156.3	21.9
...	6.8	...	21.5	11.9	25.0	64.9	...	32.3	166.7	21.3
6.6	21.5	12.5	46.5	27.4	...	38.5	166.7	...	142.9	22.5
...	25.6	...	32.3	...	200.0	76.9	...
...	40.0	...	52.6	...	333.3	125.0	...
...	142.9
7.2	6.9	19.6	...	12.0	20.8	100.0	30.8
...	12.8	37.6	...	25.6	32.3	90.9	29.4
13.7	111.1	32.3
4.5	...	4.8	8.4	...	35.7	...	37.0	34.5	125.0	70.6
9.3	...	8.6	13.7	...	30.3	...	90.9	...	166.7	85.1
...	43.5	76.9	100.0	52.6

Table 20—Death Rate Specific for Age

State		All ages	Under 1 year	1—4	5—9	10—14	15—19	20—24	25—29
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
RURAL									
I—North India—									
1. Uttar Pradesh*	Persons	19.0	70.6	45.4	11.3	6.4	9.1	8.2	8.9
	Males	17.4	66.9	41.8	10.9	5.2	5.7	5.9	6.4
	Females	20.9	75.4	49.3	11.7	8.0	14.1	10.6	11.8
II—East India—									
1. Bihar	P	13.9	95.4	22.6	7.1	5.0	2.7	4.8	4.2
	M	15.0	99.2	23.4	7.0	5.1	2.5	3.9	3.9
	F	12.8	91.4	21.7	7.2	4.3	2.8	5.6	4.6
2. Assam	P	21.5	12.0	29.3	12.1	9.0	11.9	13.5	13.6
	M	21.2	10.9	30.2	14.7	9.5	6.9	7.5	14.2
	F	21.9	13.2	28.5	9.2	8.4	16.5	18.6	12.9
3. Manipur	P	20.6	19.5	37.3	14.0	13.4	6.8	10.2	8.3
	M	20.9	12.3	31.9	16.2	8.0	10.1	11.5	7.3
	F	20.3	27.5	42.7	11.7	18.3	4.2	9.2	9.4
4. Tripura	P	25.5	...	38.8	19.1	7.7	14.8	10.0	18.8
	M	26.6	...	44.0	16.7	11.8	13.3	14.6	14.1
	F	24.2	...	33.1	21.8	3.2	16.2	6.8	24.2
III—South India—									
1. Madras	P	11.9	36.1	21.8	7.6	3.1	3.9	4.9	5.3
	M	12.4	48.6	23.8	8.0	2.4	2.6	3.1	4.3
	F	11.5	23.4	19.9	7.1	3.8	5.2	6.5	6.2
2. Travancore-Cochin	P	12.4	71.2	25.1	4.2	1.3	2.5	4.6	1.6
	M	13.8	69.5	32.3	1.5	1.7	3.6	3.0	1.1
	F	11.0	72.8	17.8	7.1	0.9	1.6	5.9	2.1
3. Coorg	P	4.1	22.7
	M	3.8
	F	4.5	41.7
IV—West India—									
1. Bombay	P	13.4	83.9	26.1	4.3	1.7	4.5	6.1	4.7
	M	13.5	84.1	24.8	3.6	1.2	3.7	5.6	4.4
	F	13.4	83.6	27.4	5.1	2.4	5.3	6.6	5.1
2. Saurashtra	P	14.7	100.0	41.3	5.6	2.2	3.6	4.1	3.9
	M	17.9	130.9	53.4	7.9	1.4	3.7	4.1	7.5
	F	11.4	67.0	30.1	2.9	3.0	3.6	4.1	...
3. Kutch	P	23.3	120.7	62.2	8.8	8.2	4.1	13.1	5.4
	M	23.7	164.7	63.0	8.8	3.2	...	11.4	...
	F	23.0	78.7	61.5	8.7	13.6	7.9	14.5	9.7

*34 Selected districts.

and Sex at the Sample Census—(contd.)

30—34	35—39	40—44	45—49	50—54	55—59	60—64	65—69	70—74	75 and over	Infant mortality
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
9.6	10.5	12.3	16.7	23.6	31.0	48.1	53.2	85.5	139.9	66.6
7.4	9.5	11.6	17.8	24.7	33.8	46.7	55.1	83.3	151.8	63.3
12.0	11.8	13.1	15.4	22.2	27.2	49.8	51.1	87.5	127.5	70.7
7.9	5.9	7.0	11.2	16.0	11.7	33.8	68.0	54.8	154.0	97.3
7.4	6.6	6.0	13.7	21.6	15.8	41.5	101.7	55.0	187.5	96.3
8.5	5.1	8.1	8.4	9.1	7.4	26.1	35.4	54.6	122.5	98.5
15.0	20.7	13.2	31.3	49.4	58.0	89.4	110.5	152.2	258.6	12.9
16.1	14.4	11.8	29.5	39.8	60.6	91.7	112.2	169.2	283.6	11.6
13.6	30.1	15.0	34.0	64.1	54.1	86.7	108.4	137.0	224.5	14.3
18.4	12.0	12.1	26.0	23.3	23.1	74.9	55.6	78.3	239.4	20.2
19.9	19.0	10.9	25.4	20.4	35.4	77.7	74.1	122.4	250.0	12.8
17.2	6.3	13.2	26.5	26.3	13.6	71.4	37.0	45.5	232.6	28.4
25.6	25.5	34.5	32.4	26.0	44.4	79.4	73.2	235.3	189.2	...
14.2	16.5	20.7	16.1	38.8	19.6	107.7	157.9	368.4	260.9	...
38.9	37.9	51.7	65.6	11.2	76.9	49.2	...	66.7	71.4	...
8.6	7.4	9.2	14.0	14.8	23.7	34.2	50.4	50.7	143.4	35.8
7.2	7.4	11.1	17.3	15.0	26.9	38.9	54.8	45.3	136.5	47.6
10.0	7.5	7.2	10.3	14.6	20.1	29.4	45.4	55.8	149.8	23.5
3.6	9.6	11.5	10.7	11.6	9.7	28.5	51.9	71.8	120.9	66.4
...	10.0	11.2	19.7	18.9	14.4	41.7	43.8	56.1	177.2	65.0
7.4	9.2	11.8	2.3	5.0	5.8	15.3	62.0	90.9	77.7	67.9
...	52.6
...	76.9
...
5.5	6.1	6.5	7.9	12.1	17.8	27.9	47.2	61.8	197.2	80.7
3.8	5.3	8.9	9.7	16.2	22.8	32.4	60.4	73.9	180.1	80.7
7.1	6.9	4.3	5.9	7.7	12.3	23.8	34.9	51.4	212.4	80.8
4.5	6.6	3.7	13.8	14.2	10.2	19.4	71.4	79.4	176.5	93.7
5.8	9.9	3.7	14.4	11.4	18.5	31.5	44.4	130.4	95.2	117.9
3.1	3.3	3.7	12.9	16.9	...	7.6	102.6	50.0	307.7	65.6
8.1	22.6	17.2	6.0	28.9	8.9	47.1	51.7	113.6	131.6	111.1
11.8	28.6	15.6	...	35.4	18.5	75.8	34.5	111.1	...	144.3
5.0	17.6	18.5	11.0	23.3	...	28.8	69.0	115.4	200.0	76.1

Table 20—Death Rate Specific for Age

State		All ages	Under 1 year	1—4	5—9	10—14	15—19	20—24	25—29
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
RURAL									
V—Central India—									
1. Madhya Pradesh . . .	P	23.8	134.4	49.2	9.9	3.7	8.1	11.5	9.1
	M	24.3	149.3	47.3	11.1	4.9	6.0	8.8	5.8
	F	23.2	120.2	51.0	7.6	2.4	10.5	14.1	12.3
2. Madhya Bharat(1952) . . .	P	22.7	97.5	62.4	14.6	3.1	5.4	6.7	9.8
	M	23.8	118.3	60.1	17.8	1.1	4.5	6.8	11.6
	F	21.5	71.4	64.8	11.1	5.6	6.4	6.6	8.1
Madhya Bharat(1953) . . .	P	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	M	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	F	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3. Vindhya Pradesh . . .	P	17.3	55.3	23.9	14.4	6.7	5.9	5.3	8.6
	M	19.3	55.2	25.5	15.2	7.5	6.0	4.8	8.1
	F	15.2	55.3	22.4	13.4	5.7	5.8	5.8	9.2
VI—North-West India—									
1. Rajasthan . . .	P	22.3	41.7	55.8	10.7	4.5	9.7	14.2	8.4
	M	24.5	47.3	45.7	14.5	3.9	8.9	19.5	11.6
	F	19.8	35.7	67.2	7.0	5.3	10.7	9.8	5.4
2. Punjab . . .	P	18.5	141.8	37.5	6.5	...	5.9	3.2	11.5
	M	18.6	125.0	36.6	7.8	...	5.2	...	13.6
	F	18.3	163.9	38.5	5.0	..	6.8	5.8	8.7
3. P. E. P. S. U. . . .	P	14.9	22.9	20.3	7.2	7.1	10.6	4.1	...
	M	11.8	15.2	22.5	4.2	...	6.8
	F	18.7	30.8	18.1	11.3	16.4	14.7	9.0	...
4. Bilaspur . . .	P	10.0	...	13.3	11.8	13.2
	M	16.3	...	20.8	23.8	23.8
	F	2.7
5. Himachal Pradesh . . .	P	10.3	34.5	18.5	4.3	...	13.8	5.7	13.0
	M	11.2	32.3	18.7	8.8	...	9.8	...	12.5
	F	9.5	37.0	18.3	17.4	10.5	13.5
6. Ajmer . . .	P	7.9	...	31.3	14.7	...
	M	12.6	...	65.2
	F	2.7	37.0	...

[illegible]

Table 20—Death Rate Specific for Age

State			All ages	Under 1 year	1—4	5—9	10—14	15—19	20—24	25—29
1			2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
I—North India—										URBAN
1. Uttar Pradesh	.	.	Persons	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	.	.	Males	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	.	.	Females	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
II—East India—										
1. Bihar	.	.	P	23.6	131.6	58.4	15.7	5.4	1.2	5.8
	.	.	M	17.9	120.9	55.5	17.7	2.9	2.8	4.9
	.	.	F	29.9	142.9	60.8	14.1	9.9
2. Assam	.	.	P	2.9	...	1.5	1.2
	.	.	M	2.5	4.2	...
	.	.	F	3.5	...	2.9	2.5	...
3. Manipur	.	.	P	6.3	...
	.	.	M
	.	.	F
4. Tripura	.	.	P	10.8	...	19.6	3.7	6.8	...	25.0
	.	.	M	10.2	...	29.4	...	13.5	...	10.2
	.	.	F	11.5	...	9.8	7.6	48.4
III—South India—										
1. Madras	.	.	P	11.1	44.9	22.7	5.6	1.7	4.3	4.7
	.	.	M	11.1	58.0	22.0	5.6	1.4	3.0	3.6
	.	.	F	11.0	32.4	23.5	5.6	2.0	5.6	5.9
2. Travancore-Cochin	.	.	P	9.5	14.5	19.8	...	2.2	4.7	5.9
	.	.	M	9.4	28.6	21.6	...	4.1	5.0	6.2
	.	.	F	9.6	...	17.9	4.4	5.6
3. Coorg	.	.	P
	.	.	M
	.	.	F
IV—West India—										
1. Bombay	.	.	P	12.1	92.8	21.7	3.4	1.1	3.8	4.6
	.	.	M	11.8	103.7	13.3	2.0	2.1	4.7	1.2
	.	.	F	12.3	82.1	29.6	4.9	...	2.9	7.8
2. Saurashtra	.	.	P	13.9	120.4	36.7	8.3	3.3	3.7	5.2
	.	.	M	15.6	133.3	30.1	13.1	4.8	1.8	2.9
	.	.	F	12.2	107.9	44.0	3.4	1.7	5.6	7.0
3. Kutch	.	.	P	13.7	76.2	49.7	18.5	4.4	...	7.3
	.	.	M	14.3	83.3	49.7	19.0	4.3	...	8.3
	.	.	F	13.1	70.2	49.7	17.9	4.4	...	6.5

and Sex at the Sample Census—(contd.)

30—34	35—39	40—44	45—49	50—54	55—59	60—64	65—69	70—74	75 and over	Infant mortality
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3.4	2.7	3.8	14.0	15.5	62.2	132.6	185.7	214.3	133.3	145.9
2.2	2.9	4.8	16.0	7.9	7.3	40.4	88.2	125.0	...	118.7
4.8	2.5	2.8	10.1	36.8	147.7	243.9	277.8	333.3	222.2	183.5
1.8	2.7	3.0	4.7	...	9.7	21.7	41.7	64.5	93.8	...
...	6.8	37.0	34.5	111.1	133.3	...
4.8	7.2	7.9	29.4	...	52.6	45.5	58.8	...
...
...
...
6.8	...	33.3	12.7	12.2	71.4	300.0	...
12.5	...	19.2	...	22.2	76.9	166.7	...
...	...	52.6	23.8	...	66.7	500.0	...
4.7	5.2	8.9	11.5	14.1	21.8	40.8	48.9	49.0	157.1	45.1
4.2	5.0	6.9	11.5	20.9	25.1	55.9	39.6	53.6	184.7	58.1
5.2	5.5	11.1	11.5	7.2	18.3	28.3	56.7	44.9	134.7	32.6
...	12.8	4.5	14.2	...	19.2	...	39.2	105.3	200.0	14.3
...	17.9	...	15.6	...	21.7	...	45.5	...	142.9	27.8
...	8.2	10.5	13.0	...	17.2	...	34.5	190.5	263.2	...
...
...
...
5.4	2.1	5.0	7.6	20.5	11.2	19.5	73.9	61.5	162.5	88.2
5.9	1.3	3.2	12.5	25.0	14.1	26.8	116.5	30.3	197.0	97.7
5.0	3.0	7.0	2.3	15.4	7.9	13.9	39.4	93.8	138.3	78.5
...	5.4	11.7	16.2	10.7	5.7	15.0	29.4	41.1	158.7	112.2
...	6.6	10.1	18.3	16.6	11.1	24.4	33.9	58.8	333.3	122.4
...	4.0	13.8	13.9	5.2	...	7.0	23.3	25.6	27.8	102.0
...	9.2	9.1	9.3	19.6	47.6	72.1
...	...	17.4	17.5	24.4	80.0
...	19.2	16.4	80.0	65.6

Table 20—Death Rate Specific for Age

State		All ages	Under 1 year	1—4	5—9	10—14	15—19	20—24	25—29
1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
URBAN									
V—Central India—									
1. Madhya Pradesh . . .	P	16.4	26.8	40.6	7.3	4.9	7.8	9.3	7.2
	M	14.7	32.0	45.2	8.9	4.2	3.3	3.8	4.2
	F	18.1	21.3	36.3	5.7	5.7	12.9	15.2	10.3
2. Madhya Bharat(1952) . . .	P	12.4	73.9	22.7	6.7	3.0	7.0	8.5	7.9
	M	11.5	75.3	18.1	9.0	...	4.7	4.9	5.1
	F	13.3	72.3	27.6	4.1	6.7	9.2	12.0	11.0
Madhya Bharat(1953) . . .	P	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	M	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
	F	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
3. Vindhya Pradesh . . .	P	22.7	92.8	57.8	15.8	5.6	12.1	18.5	10.7
	M	20.4	74.1	45.6	14.2	3.6	3.9	17.5	4.1
	F	24.9	116.3	71.1	17.5	7.6	20.8	19.4	17.6
VI—North-West India—									
1. Rajasthan . . .	P	22.5	76.9	83.9	18.0	...	7.5
	M	22.5	...	64.1	25.3
	F	22.6	142.9	103.9	11.4	...	17.5
2. Punjab . . .	P	8.6	42.4	20.8	8.2	2.3	...
	M	7.6	...	18.6	7.8	4.8	...
	F	9.7	84.7	23.2	8.6
3. P. E. P. S.U. . . .	P	8.0	19.2	20.7	13.2	...
	M	4.6	35.7
	F	12.0	...	39.2	24.7	...
4. Bilaspur . . .	P
	M
	F
5. Himachal Pradesh . . .	P	19.2	...	52.6	52.6
	M	23.5	...	83.3
	F	14.1	83.3
6. Ajmer . . .	P	11.4	113.2	39.0	5.6	...	4.0	...	4.5
	M	10.4	133.3	24.1	5.3	8.5
	F	12.6	87.0	56.3	5.9	...	8.3

and Sex at the Sample Census—(concl'd.)

30—34	35—39	40—44	45—49	50—54	55—59	60—64	65—69	70—74	75 and over	Infant mortality
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
—(concl'd.)—										
10.8	7.7	12.0	12.0	26.7	29.7	54.1	38.5	71.4	122.6	26.1
8.2	1.8	7.5	13.7	19.1	34.5	44.4	62.5	53.6	200.0	31.0
13.6	14.5	17.1	9.9	37.0	24.5	63.2	13.2	83.3	75.8	20.8
9.2	6.2	4.4	6.3	23.5	26.7	33.0	24.1	16.1	108.7	65.7
8.7	3.4	8.1	5.3	26.7	27.4	47.6	48.8	...	176.5	67.3
9.7	10.6	...	7.8	19.5	26.0	18.7	...	37.0	69.0	63.8
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
7.1	8.9	16.4	17.9	10.6	17.0	51.0	18.3	50.0	66.0	88.2
10.1	13.6	13.0	5.7	14.2	18.9	56.8	17.9	40.8	85.1	72.7
4.4	4.4	20.3	31.1	6.0	14.8	46.3	18.9	56.3	50.8	106.4
...	58.8	...	117.6	81.1	428.6	75.0
...	103.4	...	285.7	50.0	1,000.0	...
...	117.6	157.9
...	...	9.0	...	11.6	...	41.3	...	41.7	85.7	42.4
...	...	8.6	...	10.4	...	70.4	...	30.3	58.8	...
...	...	9.3	...	13.2	66.7	111.1	84.7
...	11.1	...	35.1	19.6	...	20.8	100.0	19.2
...	24.4	38.5	142.9	35.7
...	29.4	...	62.5	38.5
...
...
...
...	111.1
...	200.0
...
6.2	...	6.1	11.1	...	23.8	...	55.6	47.6	90.9	101.7
13.5	...	10.9	17.5	142.9	114.3
...	66.7	90.9	142.9	83.3

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A

COPY OF LETTER NO. 4/22/52-RG, DATED THE 3RD MARCH, 1952, FROM THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, INDIA, MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, NEW DELHI, TO THE CHIEF SECRETARIES OF ALL PART A AND PART B STATES (EXCEPT JAMMU AND KASHMIR) AND CHIEF COMMISSIONERS OF ALL PART C STATES.

SUBJECT : *Improvement of Population Data*

I am directed by the Government of India to address the State Governments on measures to be taken for improvement of population data.

As early as May, 1949, the Government of India decided that they should initiate steps for 'developing and improving the systematic collection of statistics bearing upon the size and growth of population' and created the post of Registrar General and *ex-officio* Census Commissioner to deal with population statistics and census. On account of pre-occupation with the 1951 Census Operations, consideration could not be given, until recently, to the general problem of improvement of population data. As part of the census operations, however, one important step has been taken with the co-operation of all State Governments which has a bearing on the arrangements to be made, in future, for improvement of population data. This is the preparation of the National Register of Citizens. The contents of the Register and the uses to which it could be put are described in my letter No. 290/50-RG dated the 11th April, 1950, and I have also stated in that letter that the question of the maintenance of the Register could be considered later 'as the maintenance is connected with the improvement of Vital Statistics as well as the procedure for the maintenance of Electoral Rolls.'

2. The scope of measures necessary for 'improvement of population data' is very wide. The most important part of this subject relates to three basic factors which determine "the size and growth of population", *viz.*, births, deaths and migration. A detailed examination of the problem of improvement of population data so far as it is limited to these basic factors was recently carried out by me in close consultation with the Population Advisory Committee, set up by the Government of India. The result of this examination is briefly set out in a "statement of conclusions" submitted to the Government of India. I am directed to forward a copy of this statement (Annexure I) and request that the State Government may be moved to consider it and take such steps in accordance therewith as they consider appropriate for improvement of population data relating to the State.

3. I am to invite your attention specially to the more important aspects of the proposals embodied in the statement of conclusions as below :

First. The Bhore Committee (and, following it, the Vital Statistics Committee set up by the Second Health Ministers' Conference) had already studied the subject and

made a number of recommendations. The present proposals take full account of them, and where there are differences, they are clearly specified in para 12 of the Statement of Conclusions. It will be observed that there is a material departure in respect of one major recommendation about the setting up of a whole-time district Vital Statistics Organisation. The present proposals proceed on the view that the work connected with registration of births and deaths must necessarily continue to be organised as a minor addition to the main duties of staff maintained primarily for other purposes. It would be uneconomical to employ whole-time staff merely for registration of births and deaths.

Secondly. It is proposed that systematic arrangements should be instituted so as to secure that approximately one household out of every hundred households in all districts would be visited once a year, and specific enquiries about births and deaths and migrations should be made in such households. It is further proposed that these households should be selected on a random sample basis, thereby enabling a double purpose to be served. One purpose is to ensure that measures taken to improve registration of births and deaths are being put into effect properly and to provide an estimate of the errors and omissions present in data secured thereby. The other purpose is to supplement the data procurable by registration and provide a substitute for such data in those places where (and for so long as) they continue to be too defective.

Thirdly. It is proposed in order to reduce expense and administrative effort to the minimum, that the house-to-house visits and enquiries to be made for the foregoing purposes should be carried out as part of the visits and enquiries which will have to be made for the purpose of maintaining electoral rolls up-to-date; that the staff engaged on these duties should, as far as possible, be the same and that the National Register of Citizens should be used to facilitate both operations.

4. It is not easy to devise arrangements of a permanent nature which can be relied upon to yield a continuous supply of basic population data of satisfactory quality, and in sufficient detail to meet the requirements not only of the Central Government but also of the State Governments and the district administrative agencies of the State Government. The difficulty is not technical, but administrative and financial; it arises out of the need for making sure that the cost of such arrangements (measured not merely in terms of money but also the administrative

effort involved) is reasonable and justified by the value of the data secured thereby. While the proposals set out in Annexure I are devised with this consideration prominently in view and it is hoped that experience will justify the expectations on which they are framed, it is essential that they should be regarded, at this stage, as tentative and experimental. They have to be tried out in practice before firm decisions are taken on a permanent basis. Hence, the operative recommendations for the year 1952-53 have been limited to those set out in a separate statement (Annexure II). I am to request that the State Government may be moved to accept these recommendations and give effect to them. These recommendations call for two main decisions on the part of State Governments which are explained in the next two paragraphs.

5. The first decision is that the Superintendent of Census Operations should be appointed as Officer on Special Duty for performing certain tasks specified in Annexure II. The Superintendent of Census Operations has been specifically proposed for the following reasons :—

- (i) For purposes of writing his Census Report, he is required to study the Vital Statistics of the State and correlate them with Census data relating to growth of population. This work will dovetail easily with the work proposed for him in Annexure II.
- (ii) The work proposed as item D in Annexure II will have to be organised, with the help of the National Register, on much the same lines as census enumeration and tabulation of census data. The Superintendent of Census Operations and his staff are in the best position to do this work. (If however, the Officer is required to be employed otherwise; or, for any other reason, the State Government wish to entrust this special duty to some other officer, there will be no serious objection to alternative arrangements being made in a suitable manner).

I am also to make it quite clear that this proposal is expressly limited to the financial year 1952-53 and does not prejudice in any way the nature of the permanent arrangements to be made for handling the work relating to improvement of population data from 1953-54 onwards. That will be one of the matters to be decided by the State Government, after considering the report of the Officer on Special Duty; and it is very likely that the decision on this subject will have to be taken in relation to the type of organisation maintained in the State for central co-ordination of statistics generally. I am to add that if the State Government decide to appoint the Superintendent of Census Operations as their Officer on Special Duty, and to utilise his staff for purposes of this special duty, the Government of India will also retain the officer and the staff as part of the Census of India Organisation for such extended period as may be necessary (during the financial year 1952-53). They are, in that case, willing that the expenditure involved in such extension should be shared equally between the State Government and the Central Government. On this assumption, provision has already been made in the Census budget for 9 months' expenditure

on these establishments. If the State Government accept the proposals, their financial liability will be limited to the equivalent of 3 months' expenditure during the financial year 1952-53.

6. The other decision to be taken by the State Government would be selection of a few districts (approximately one in five) among the districts of the State and the issue of directions to the district authorities concerned that an experimental census of births, deaths and migrations should be carried out in sample households selected at the rate of approximately one per hundred households in each of the selected districts. Thus the scale of enquiry will be approximately one in five hundred households in the State Government as a whole.

It is not without some hesitation, that the Government of India are inviting the State Governments to undertake such enquiries—even on such limited scale. They are aware of the great strain to which the district administrative machinery in all States has been subjected within the last twelve months, as a result, first of the decennial population census and, then, of the general elections. They are, therefore, most reluctant to suggest anything in the nature of new work, where such work may be postponed without serious inconvenience. In inviting the State Governments, nevertheless, to make a beginning during the year 1952 in the effort to bring about improvement of basic population data, they are not merely guided by the general consideration that such an effort is necessary in the national interest and is in fact, long over-due; but they are also moved more immediately by two specific considerations which are explained below :

- (i) It is understood that the Election Commission has examined the manner in which statutory responsibilities regarding the maintenance of electoral rolls are to be discharged. State Governments are likely to be required by the Commission to institute arrangements whereby during each of the five years—1952 to 1956—they would “cover every year one-fifth of the ground, in which area they will have to hold detailed house-to-house enquiries”. In respect of the other four-fifths of the area the intention is, in each year, to “follow the less ambitious method of proceeding on the existing rolls with such minor amendments of the lists as may be worked out without such detailed enquiries, e.g., by reference to the National Register and the records of deaths and lists of amendments to be made which come to the notice of the Electoral Registration Officers otherwise”. The Government of India feel that if it becomes necessary in any event to carry out house-to-house visits and enquiries during 1952 for discharging statutory responsibilities in respect of the maintenance of electoral rolls, it would be a mistake not to commence the arrangements for improvement of population data in conjunction with them. Experience has already indicated that if the preparation of electoral rolls could have been synchronised with the writing up of the National Register, the resulting gain in efficiency

and economy would have been considerable. For various reasons such synchronisation was not possible in the past. It is necessary, at least in the future, to secure that advantages of co-ordination of these operations are not lost.

- (ii) As explained already, the services of Superintendents of Census Operations and the staff recently trained by them would be very useful for initiating the work specified in Annexure II. In ordinary course, this staff must be disbanded during the next six months, as and when the work relating to the 1951 Census

including the preparation of State Census Reports is concluded. If the staff is dispersed during 1952 and the work specified in Annexure II is postponed to 1953, it will be more difficult and more expensive to reassemble new staff in 1953 and instruct them in their duties.

7. I am to request that the State Government may be moved to take their decisions on the proposals set out in Annexure II, in the light of the explanation furnished in paragraphs 5 and 6 above; and that the decisions may be communicated to me as early as possible.

ANNEXURE I TO APPENDIX A

IMPROVEMENT OF REGISTRATION OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS

(Statement of Conclusions)

1. *Compulsory registration in all areas*—In large parts of the country registration of births and deaths is not compulsory. It is necessary that compulsory registration should be extended throughout the country as soon as possible. But before compulsion is actually introduced for the first time in any locality, it is necessary to make sure that an officer (who may be authorised and required to receive and register reports of births and deaths) is available in that locality, near enough to the houses of the citizens who are to report to him.

2. *Registrars and Additional Registrars*—It would be uneconomical to employ wholtime officers merely for registration of births and deaths. Registrars must be appointed on an *ex-officio* basis, and the work relating to registration should normally represent only a minor addition to their main duties. Where there is more than one post the incumbent of which can be appointed *ex-officio* as Registrar, that post should be chosen the incumbent of which is most favourably placed for securing efficient registration. In urban areas, the Registrar is usually an employee borne on the sanitary or other health establishments of Municipalities. This is generally the most suitable arrangement. There is need for changes in rural areas in many States. The following four types of villages should be distinguished among different States and sometimes between different parts of the same State :—

- (a) Rayatwari villages;
- (b) Non-Rayatwari villages, with Patwari (or other village officer);
- (c) Non-Rayatwari villages, without Patwari (or other village officer, but with chowkidars);
- (d) Non-Rayatwari villages without any village officer or chowkidar.

In the case of villages of type (a) the Registrar is usually a responsible officer located in or near the village or villages in his charge. The existing arrangements are, therefore, likely to be the most suitable among possible arrangements. As regards type (b) the Patwari may be substituted where, at present, the officer-in-charge of the Police Station is the Registrar. It is possible that in some areas the Patwari's charge may also be too extensive. In that case, the utilisation of village panchayat staff (where it exists) as Additional Registrars may be considered. The provision of suitable agency in type (c) villages is more difficult. A permanent solution of the problems is likely to be deferred until the land reform schemes (now

under consideration or implementation) are carried out and the villages are thereby converted to type (a). Meanwhile improvement of a temporary character may be possible by utilising village school teachers (or other personnel similar to those used for census enumeration). Such possibilities should be considered with reference to local conditions. Type (d) villages (they cannot be very numerous) will continue to remain an even more intractable problem. Even temporary improvement may have to await the development of rudimentary forms of administration.

3. *Registration Supervisors*—It is necessary that responsibility should be clearly located for the supervision of the work of Registrars and Additional Registrars. An appropriate officer should be designated as "Registration Supervisor" and made responsible for a definite territorial area which may be called the "Registration Circle". Supervision of Registration cannot also be full-time work; so these appointments should also be made on an *ex-officio* basis. As far as possible Registration Supervisors should be permanent employees of the State Government even in areas where Registrars are employees of Municipalities or Panchayats or other local bodies.

4. *Chief Registration Officers*—It is necessary to locate responsibility in an administrative officer for putting into effect all the orders of the State Government relating to improvement of the Registration of Births and Deaths. This officer should exercise general superintendence and control over Registration Supervisors, Registrars and Additional Registrars (without reference to differences in the departments from which these officials may be drawn). These officers may be designated as "Chief Registration Officer". It is recommended that they should be the same as the "Electoral Registration Officer" appointed under Section 22 of the Representation of the People Act, 1950. (The purpose of this recommendation is to ensure that population records are used to the fullest extent in maintaining Electoral Rolls up-to-date; and whenever house-to-house enquiries are made for purposes of revising the Electoral Rolls, the occasion is utilised also for purposes of improvement of basic population data.)

5. *Standardisation of Forms*—There should be a common form throughout the country for registration of births and deaths. The Registrar will be required to enter only simple details which it will be possible for him to enquire and record. The Vital Statistics Committee have made some recommendations in regard to recording of community particulars, order of births and age of mother at confinement, cause of death, etc., at the time of registration. These should be taken into consideration, when the forms are finalised.

6. *Population Records and Registration*—For every village and every town there should be three Registers viz., the National Register of Citizens compiled at the 1951 Census, the Register of Births and the Register of Deaths. These are referred to collectively as the "Population Records". The Registrar of the town or village should have custody of these Registers, and the responsibility of maintaining them in accordance with instructions. The maintenance consists in entering the birth or death reported to him with relevant particulars in the Register of Births or in the Register of Deaths respectively and in noting the birth or deleting the entry relating to a deceased person in the household in question in the National Register of Citizens.

[NOTE—It is emphasised that no attempt should be made to ascertain and record in the National Register all population changes as and when they occur, or to use the National Register as a basis for annual estimates of population. The "National Register of Citizens" should be used mainly for purposes of maintenance of Electoral Rolls (where no house-to-house enquiries are made) and for the selection of households in enquiries organised on a random sample basis.]

7. *Annual Review of Population Records*—It is necessary, once every year, to make arrangements for ensuring that the attention of householders is prominently drawn to their statutory obligation to report births and deaths. As large a proportion as possible of unregistered births and deaths should be brought to light and registered. It is suggested that such arrangement should be combined with the celebration of the Health Week and carried out, preferably, during the week ending Diwali day. Any other week may, however, be fixed for any district (e.g. week ending Pongal in Madras) if the State Government consider it more suitable for such district.

[NOTE—It is not contemplated that these arrangements should include house-to-house enquiries relating to births and deaths except in the circumstances specified in the next paragraph.]

8. *Electoral Roll Revision Operations*—In areas where house-to-house visits and enquiries are carried out in order to revise Electoral Rolls, advantage should be taken of these visits to make enquiries about all members of the household, and not merely of adults. As a result of such enquiries, the entries contained in the National Register should be revised as found necessary and brought up-to-date.

[NOTE—It is understood from the Election Commission that the minimum requirements are that the Electoral Rolls should be revised on the basis of house-to-house enquiries, in respect of one-fifth of the population of each district every year.]

9. *Annual Census of Sample Households*—Once every year, an Annual Census of Sample Households should be held, in conjunction with the Annual Review of Population Records referred to in para. 7 above. One household out of every hundred households in the district should be chosen as the sample. The District Census Handbook and the National Register of Citizens should be used in order to ensure that the selection of households is made on a strictly random basis. (Where Electoral Roll Revision Operations are carried out as described in para. 8 above, the selection of sample households for the Annual Census should be made from within the areas where the operations are carried out. The Annual Census of Sample Households will then be part of the enquiries necessary

for supervision and verification of the house-to-house enquiries made for Electoral Roll Revision Operations.) The enquiries in sample households should be made by Registration Supervisors. They should ascertain particulars regarding (a) births, (b) deaths and (c) permanent changes of ordinary residence which actually occurred in the sample households, during a prescribed twelve-month period. The object of the Annual Census is to ascertain these three sets of Basic Population Data with the maximum possible accuracy. The facts thus ascertained are intended to be used as follows :—

First, an estimate should be framed of the percentage of omissions occurring in the Registration of Births and Deaths. (Where this percentage is too large, an independent basis for the estimate of Annual Births and Annual Deaths should be provided.);

Secondly, information necessary for the compilation of Age-group-specific Maternity Rates and Age-group-specific Mortality Rates for different territorial economic and social groups within each State should be secured; and

Thirdly, on the basis of the foregoing, an Annual Population Estimate is to be framed for the general, rural and urban population of every district as well as for defined social groups and economic groups of every State.

10. *Analysis, compilation and study of Registration Returns and Census data*—

(i) *Registrar General and Director of Population Records*—The post of Registrar General has already been created at the Centre for the work connected with the improvement of Registration of Births and Deaths, integration of such data with Census data, and the continual analysis and study of such data. It is recommended that, in every State, there should be a 'Director of Population Records'. This post may be combined (in all Part A and Part B States except Saurashtra, PEPSU and Jammu and Kashmir) with that of 'Superintendent of Census Operations' (the officer at the head of the branch office of the 'Census of India'—a Central Government agency). It is suggested that the combined cost of this officer and his establishment should be borne equally by the Central Government and the State Government concerned. If, however, a State Government considers it advantageous to combine the office of the Director of Population Records with some other appropriate post on the establishment of the State Government, they should be free to do so. In that case, the number of branch offices of the Census of India will have to be correspondingly reduced by combining more than one State in charge of the same Superintendent of Census Operations.

(ii) *Compilation of the results*—The 'Director of Population Records' should be responsible for the centralised compilation of the results of the data based on Registration of Births and Deaths. The Superintendent of Census Operations should be responsible for centralised compilation of the results of the data based on the Annual Census of Sample Households. The compilation forms should be standardised.

The Vital Statistics Committee have made certain recommendations in regard to the standardisation of forms, as well as the use of machines in tabulation. These recommendations should be considered when the organisation described above is sanctioned.

11. *Annual Population Reports*—It is necessary to ensure that the foregoing arrangements work properly. To this end, as well as in order to enable the fullest use being made of the results of official analysis and study of population data, a system of publication of "Annual Population Reports" should be established. There should be one report for each State and one for India as a whole. The report should contain all the tables compiled from the Registration data as well as the tables showing data referred to in para. 9 above. The Report should include a detailed commentary on the foregoing tables, explaining how the statistics were secured, the extent to which measures taken for extending their coverage and increasing their accuracy are achieving their purpose, drawing attention to the differences in the trend of births, deaths and migration and net resultant growth in respect of different territorial, social and economic groups and whenever possible, assessing their significance.

12. *Conclusions related to the recommendations of the Bhore Committee and the Vital Statistics Committee*—The Bhore Committee made a series of inter-related recommendations for the improvement of Registration of Births and Deaths. These recommendations were reviewed by the Vital Statistics Committee.

(i) Some of the recommendations made by the Bhore Committee and the Vital Statistics Committee have already been implemented. They are:

(a) "Vital Statistics including Registration of Births and Deaths" has been included in the field of concurrent legislation.

(b) The office of the "Registrar General, India" has been created.

(ii) It is suggested that certain recommendations need not be accepted and the consideration of some others may be postponed.

(a) *Legislation*—The Bhore Committee and the Vital Statistics Committee recommended that an Indian Vital Statistics Act should be enacted as a piece of central legislation. It is proposed that action on this recommendation should be postponed for two more years. The State Governments are no less interested in the Registration of Births and Deaths than the Central Government. It is by no means clear that the necessary degree of uniformity cannot be brought about by agreed action based on legislation already available or to be undertaken by each State Government separately. If after giving effect to the measures for improvement of registration now suggested practical experience shows that central legislation is required, necessary steps may be taken to that end in due course. The position may be reviewed after two years.

(b) *Registration of marriages*—The Bhore Committee and the Vital Statistics Committee have recommended that all marriages in the country should be registered. This is not considered essential, merely in order to secure improvement of population data. If, however, for other reasons all marriages are registered, the relevant records should be used as a source of statistical information relating to the population.

(c) *District Vital Statistics Organisation*—The most important amongst the recommendations of the Bhore Committee related to the setting up of a large District Vital Statistics Organisation consisting of (a) District Registrar of Vital Statistics, (b) a certain number of Assistant District Registrars and (c) a Village Registrar for groups of villages, all of them being visualised as whole-time officers. It is recommended that this proposal may be abandoned, as it is likely to prove much too costly.

(iii) All other recommendations of the Bhore Committee and the Vital Statistics Committee are taken into account and embodied in the recommendations made in paras. 1 to 10.

ANNEXURE II TO APPENDIX A

IMPROVEMENT OF REGISTRATION OF BIRTHS AND DEATHS

(Operative proposals for the year 1952-53)

A. Every State Government should be invited to appoint the Superintendent of Census Operations concerned as an Officer on Special Duty during the year 1952-53. He should complete the work relating to the 1951 Census and, in addition, perform the duties specified in items **B** to **F** below.

B. He should review the existing organisation and methods of registration of births and deaths, as well as the submission of returns and compilation of statistics based thereon. He should consult the Heads of District and the Director of Public Health on the proposals for changes made by the Registrar General, formulate specific proposals; and submit them, together with the comments of the Registrar General, for orders of the State Government.

C. Every State Government should be invited to agree in principle that a combined review of the National Register of Citizens and the Electoral Rolls should be tried out for one year in the manner recommended by the Registrar General, with the concurrence of the Election Commission. The trial should be limited to a few selected districts in each State, to be specified by the State Government. The Officer on Special Duty should be required (in consultation with the Chief Electoral Officer) to draw a plan of operations, for carrying out the combined Review in selected districts. After approval of the Plan by the State Government (with the concurrence of the Election Commission, in respect of those parts, which relate to Electoral Rolls) the Officer on Special Duty

should perform such duties as may be allotted to him implementing the plan of operations.

D. Every State Government should be invited to accept in principle that, as part of the arrangements for the combined review mentioned above, a census of births and deaths and migration should be carried out above in approximately one out of every 100 households in the selected districts in the manner recommended by the Registrar General. The Superintendent of Census Operations should prepare the plan of operations for this census and implement it with the concurrence of the Registrar General and the approval of the State Government.

E. As early as may be after the completion of the foregoing operations, and not later than the 31st March, 1953, a Report detailing the results of these operations should be submitted :

(i) to each State Government by the Superintendent of Census Operations and Officer on Special Duty;

(ii) to the Central Government by the Registrar General.

F. If, during 1952-53, the Backward Classes Commission is appointed, it will be the duty of the Officer on Special Duty to supply such information as may be needed by the Commission if it is procurable either from the National Register of Citizens or the Census Records.

APPENDIX B

HOUSEHOLD VERIFICATION SLIP, BIRTH SLIP AND DEATH SLIP

HOUSEHOLD VERIFICATION SLIP

Form 1

(To be filled in respect of every Sample Household)

1. Location Code Number*
2. Social Group*
3. Economic Group*
4. Number of members of Household at the end of the prescribed twelve-month period by sex, civil condition and age groups :

Males		Females	
Number		Number	
Age Group	Married Others†	Age Group	Married Others†
Under 1 year		Under 1 year	
1—4 years		1—4 years	
5—9 years		5—9 years	
10—14 years		10—14 years	
15—19 years		15—19 years	
20—24 years		20—24 years	
25—29 years		25—29 years	
30—34 years		30—34 years	
35—39 years		35—39 years	
40—44 years		40—44 years	
45—49 years		45—49 years	
50—54 years		50—54 years	
55—59 years		55—59 years	
60—64 years		60—64 years	
65—69 years		65—69 years	
70—74 years		70—74 years	
75 and over		75 and over	
Total		Total	

* (i) Location Code Number as in 1951 Census.

(ii) The social grouping and the economic grouping of every household will be deemed to be identical with that of the head of the household.

(a) *Social Groups*—There will be four social groups as below:—

- | | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1. Non-Backward Classes | 3. Scheduled Castes |
| 2. Unscheduled Backward Classes | 4. Scheduled Tribes |

(Definitions as during the 1951 Census, subject to changes if any, made at the instance of the Backward Classes Commission).

Note—No tables by social groups were prepared.

(b) *Economic Groups*—There will be three economic groups based on the eight livelihood classes as defined by the 1951 Census. There are :

- A—Agricultural landholders & tenants (livelihood classes I, II & IV)
 B—Agricultural labourers (livelihood class III).
 C—Non-agricultural classes (livelihood classes V—VIII)

† 'Others' include single (unmarried), widowed and divorced.

BIRTH SLIP

Form 2

[To be filled up in respect of each birth (if any) in the Sample Household during the prescribed twelve-month period]

1. Description of Sample Household
 - (a) Location Code Number
 - (b) Social Group
 - (c) Economic Group
2. Name (and husband's name) of mother
3. Date of Birth
4. Place of Birth
5. Whether (a) Live Birth/Still Birth
 - (b) Single/Multiple Birth
6. Sex of Child
7. Age of mother when the child was born
8. Number of children born alive to mother (including present birth)
 - (a) Number alive at present and
 - (i) now resident in the household
 - (ii) now living elsewhere
 - (b) Number of those who have died
9. Whether Birth *was registered*

742

DEATH SLIP

Form 3

[To be filled up in respect of each death (if any) in the Sample Household, during the prescribed twelve-month period]

1. Description of household
 - (a) Location Code Number
 - (b) Social Group
 - (c) Economic Group
2. Name (and father's/husband's name) of the deceased
3. Date of death
4. Place of death
5. Age
6. Sex
7. Marital Status
8. Cause of death
9. Whether death *was registered*

